

measurements made on a gas prepared from the oxalic-acid standard. The computed value  $0.95A_{ox}$  then becomes the universal  $C^{14}$  standard activity from which  $\delta C^{14}$  values (below), and all dates, are calculated.

We also call attention to the mode of expression adopted by the Lamont laboratory (Lamont VIII, RADIOCARBON, this volume) when  $C^{14}$  assays are corrected (normalized) for isotopic fractionation by  $C^{13}$  measurement. In this notation, which we also indorse, a quantity  $\Delta$  is substituted for  $\Delta C^{14}$ , the definition of which (Lamont VI, RADIOCARBON SUPPLEMENT, v. 1, p. 114) has been found to contain a logical inconsistency. Thus,

$$\Delta = \delta C^{14} - (2\delta C^{13} + 50) \left( 1 + \frac{\delta C^{14}}{1000} \right)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the per-mil deviation from the modern  $C^{14}$  standard (i.e. from  $0.95A_{ox}$  as defined above), and  $\delta C^{14}$  and  $\delta C^{13}$  are the observed per-mil deviations from  $C^{14}$  and  $C^{13}$  standards. The matter is more important for modern  $C^{14}$  assays made for geochemical reasons than for routine dating. In this volume, the papers Lamont VIII and Yale VI follow the new notation, whereas Cambridge IV uses the older  $\Delta C^{14}$ . Conversion can be made by the expression

$$\Delta = \Delta C^{14} - \frac{\delta C^{14}}{20}$$

RICHARD FOSTER FLINT  
EDWARD S. DEEVEY

### ERRATUM

In RADIOCARBON SUPPLEMENT, v. 2, 1960, in the reference to Kenya (W-749) on p. 175, the following words should be deleted from the reference: 'bore hole drilled' and also '(the Limuru trachytes)'.