

AN IMPROVED WINTNER OSCILLATION CRITERION FOR SECOND ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BY

GEORGE W. JOHNSON AND JURANG YAN

ABSTRACT. An iterative technique is used to establish an oscillation theorem for the equation $x''+a(t)x=0$ which relaxes the condition that $a(t)$ satisfy

$$\int_t^\infty \exp\left[-2\int_{t_0}^t \int_s^\infty a(r) dr ds\right] dt < \infty,$$

without the restriction that

$$\alpha(t) = \int_t^\infty a(s) ds \geq 0.$$

1. **Introduction.** The well-known Wintner [5] oscillation theorem for the second order linear differential equation

$$(1) \quad x'' + a(t)x = 0$$

is the following.

THEOREM. *Equation (1) is oscillatory if*

$$(2) \quad \int_t^\infty \exp\left[-2\int_{t_0}^t \int_s^\infty a(r) dr ds\right] dt < \infty.$$

Let $a(t)$ be a (real-valued) continuous function for $t \geq t_0$ in Eq. (1). Eq. (1) is said to be oscillatory or non-oscillatory as one (hence every) solution $x(t)$ of Eq. (1) has or does not have an infinity of zeros for $t \geq t_0$.

In [3], Kameneve has established a sharper theorem by using an iterative technique. Unfortunately, Kamenev's theorem is proved under the condition that $\int_t^\infty a(s) ds$ exists and is non-negative while the Wintner theorem simply requires that

$$(3) \quad \alpha(t) = \int_t^\infty a(s) ds \quad \text{exists.}$$

Received by the editors January 7, 1983 and in revised form April 22, 1983.

AMS (MOS) subject classification: Primary 34C10.

Key words and phrases: Oscillatory solution, non-oscillatory solution, Riccati equation, principal solution, non-principal solution.

© Canadian Mathematical Society, 1984.

The purpose of this paper is to improve two of Wintner's theorems using an iterative technique similar to that of Kamenev. *It will be assumed throughout that $a(t)$ is continuous on (t_0, ∞) and (3) holds.* Before stating our main results we give the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1 (Wintner [5]). *If (1) is not oscillatory, then a non-trivial solution $x(t)$ of (1) satisfies*

$$(4) \quad \int_t^\infty w^2(s) ds < \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$$

and

$$(5) \quad w(t) = \int_t^\infty \{w^2(s) + a(s)\} ds, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

where $w(t) = x'(t)/x(t)$.

LEMMA 2 (Leighton and Morse [4], cf Hartman [2]). *If (1) is not oscillatory, then it has a solution $x_1(t)$ (a principal solution) such that*

$$\int_t^\infty x_1(t)^{-2} dt < \infty,$$

and a solution $x_2(t)$ (a non-principal solution) such that

$$\int_t^\infty x_2(t)^{-2} dt = \infty.$$

Using the notation

$$(6) \quad g_+(t) = [g(t)]_+ = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + |g(t)|],$$

we construct the function sequence $\{\alpha_n(t)\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\alpha_0(t) = \alpha(t)$,

$$\alpha_1(t) = \int_t^\infty [\alpha_0(s)]_+^2 ds$$

and for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(7) \quad \alpha_{n+1}(t) = \int_t^\infty [\alpha_0(s) + \alpha_n(s)]_+^2 ds.$$

2. Main results

THEOREM 1. *If there is a positive integer m such that the $\alpha_n(t)$ are defined for $n = 0, \dots, m-1$, but $\alpha_m(t)$ does not exist, then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.*

Proof. Suppose that (1) is not oscillatory and $x(t)$ is a non-trivial solution, $x(t) \neq 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Let $w(t) = x'(t)/x(t)$. We will show that the non-oscillation of

$x(t)$ implies that $\alpha_m(t) < \infty$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$. By Lemma 1, $w(t)$ must satisfy

$$(8) \quad w(t) = v(t) + \alpha(t), v(t) = \int_t^\infty w^2(s) ds < \infty.$$

By virtue of (8), we have $w(t) \geq \alpha_0(t)$, hence from (6)

$$(9) \quad w^2(t) \geq [\alpha_0(t)]_+^2.$$

It follows that

$$\alpha_1(t) = \int_t^\infty [\alpha_0(s)]_+^2 ds \leq \int_t^\infty w^2(s) ds = v(t).$$

Inductively, if $\alpha_k(t) \leq v(t)$ for some $k \geq 0$, then from (8),

$$[\alpha_k(t) + \alpha_0(t)]_+^2 \leq w^2(t),$$

and from (7) it follows immediately that $\alpha_{k+1}(t) \leq v(t)$, as was to be shown. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. *If there is positive number m such that the $\alpha_n(t)$ are defined for $n = 0, \dots, m$ and*

$$(10) \quad \int_t^\infty \exp\left\{-2 \int_{t_0}^s [\alpha_0(r) + \alpha_m(r)] dr\right\} ds < \infty$$

then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that Eq. (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then letting $w(t) = x'(t)/x(t)$, as in Theorem 1, we have $w(t) \geq \alpha_0(t) + \alpha_n(t)$, $n > 0$.

Hence,

$$\ln \frac{x(t)}{x(t_0)} \geq \int_{t_0}^t [\alpha_0(s) + \alpha_n(s)] ds, n > 0.$$

Thus

$$(11) \quad x(t) \geq x(t_0) \exp \int_{t_0}^t [\alpha_0(s) + \alpha_m(s)] ds,$$

that is, for any non-oscillatory solution,

$$\int_t^\infty [x(s)]^{-2} ds \leq [x(t_0)]^{-2} \int_t^\infty \exp\left\{-2 \int_{t_0}^s [\alpha_0(r) + \alpha_m(r)] dr\right\} ds < \infty.$$

This contradicts the existence of a non-principal solution. Hence, Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

REMARK. Theorem 2 is stronger than Wintner's theorem. In fact, if (2) holds, (10) must hold, but (10) can hold while (2) does not hold. It improves Kamenev's theorem [3], which holds under $\alpha(t) = \int_t^\infty a(s) ds \geq 0$.

We illustrate the relationship between Wintner's theorem and Theorem 2 by considering the equation

$$(12) \quad x'' + ct^{-2}x = 0$$

where c is a positive constant. It is clear that $\alpha_0(t) = c_0t^{-1}$, and further, that $\alpha_k(t) = c_k t^{-1}$ where we define the sequence c_k by

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= c \\ c_1 &= c_0^2 \\ c_{k+1} &= (c_0 + c_k)^2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Wintner observed that his theorem guarantees oscillation for large t if $c \geq \frac{1}{2}$, whereas, (12) is actually oscillatory for $c > \frac{1}{4}$. To apply Theorem 2, we note that $\alpha_k(t)$ exists for all k . A simple calculation shows that (10) holds if for some k , $c_0 + c_k > \frac{1}{2}$. It is readily verified that if $c = c_0 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ then $c_0 + c_k < \frac{1}{2}$ for all k . If $c_0 > \frac{1}{4}$, then we see that

$$c_{k+1} - c_k = c_k^2 + (2c_0 - 1)c_k + c_0^2 > c_0 - \frac{1}{4}$$

so that c_k forms a strictly increasing sequence which must eventually satisfy $c_0 + c_k > \frac{1}{2}$. Thus Theorem 2 provides the correct range $c > \frac{1}{4}$.

Another generalization of Wintner's result was given by Hartman [1] and improved on in the versions of [2] published by Hartman (1973) and Birkhauser (1982). These results are sharp when applied to (12), but they do not seem comparable with Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *If Eq. (1) is non-oscillatory and for some positive number m ,*

$$(13) \quad \int_t^\infty \exp\left\{2 \int_{t_0}^s [\alpha_0(r) + \alpha_m(r)] dr\right\} ds = \infty,$$

then Eq. (1) does not have an eigensolution of class L^2 , that is, a solution ($\neq 0$) satisfying

$$\int^\infty x^2(t) dt < \infty.$$

Proof. If Eq. (1) is non-oscillatory and $x(t)$ is any solution of Eq. (1), then $x(t) \neq 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Letting $w(t) = x'(t)/x(t)$, as in Theorem 2, we have that (11) holds. Hence from (12)

$$\int^\infty x^2(t) dt \geq \int^\infty \exp\left\{2 \int_{t_0}^s [\alpha_0(r) + \alpha_m(r)] dr\right\} ds = \infty$$

for any solution of Eq. (1). This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. P. Hartman, *On Nonoscillatory Differential Equations of Second Order*, Amer. J. Math., Vol. 74 (1952), pp. 389–400.
2. —, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley Interscience, 1970.
3. I. V. Kamenev, *Criteria for a Second Order Linear Equation to be Oscillatory, Related to the Existence of a Principal Solution*, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, Vol. 9, No 2 (1973), pp. 370–373.
4. W. Leighton and M. Morse, *Singular Quadratic Functionals*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc, Vol. 40 (1936), pp. 252–286.
5. A. Wintner, *On the Non-existence of Conjugate Points*, Am. J. Math Vol. 73 (1951), pp. 368–380.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29208 USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANXI UNIVERSITY
TAIYUAN, CHINA

&

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29208 USA