

of the National Intervention Plan for Radiological Emergencies. After a review of the available open source literature, the plan was developed in cooperation with the involved authorities, mainly based on the recommendations of International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Radiation Protection Commission (Germany), and the British Institute of Radiology (BIR) *Manual on the Acute Radiation Syndrome*.

If a radiation accident cannot safely be handled by the occupational radiation protection system, the concept envisages a multi-step approach based on the hazardous material response of the first responders at the scene (hand-over to emergency medical services (EMS) after gross-decontamination by the fire service). Any patient should be transported to a hospital for further management. Hospitals are divided in the categories “basic”, “regional”, and “central”.

Basic hospitals should not receive patients known to be involved in radiation accidents, but must prepare for self-referred victims and to be able to perform emergency decontamination similar to that done on scene and first diagnostic measures before transferring the patient. In regional hospitals all specialties with knowledge about radiation effects (mainly radiotherapy and nuclear medicine) must form a cooperational network. A Radiation Emergency Management Plan should comprise the preparation of facilities and equipment, alerting procedures, and an emergency telephone number for inquiries. In central hospitals, this cooperational network is augmented by hematological and intensive care competencies in order to provide all measures of diagnostics and therapy. If necessary, the REMPAN-network can be contacted for further assistance.

The described multi-step-approach appears appropriate for Austrian circumstances; however, its practicality still needs to be demonstrated by exercises and experience.

**Keywords:** Austria; acute radiation syndrome; contaminated patients; decontamination; detection; planning; radiation accidents

*Prehosp Disast Med* 2009;24(2):s158–s159

### Care of the Chemically Dead: A Tale of Two Bodies

Antony Nocera,<sup>1</sup> Peter Davis<sup>2</sup>

1. VMO Dubbo Base Hospital, Parkville, Victoria Australia
2. Avon Fire Service, Bristol, UK

**Introduction:** A case of suicide by ingestion of aluminum phosphide in 1998 in Sydney, Australia and a case of suicide by potassium cyanide ingestion in 2003 in Avon, United Kingdom, resulted in cadavers that posed a residual chemical hazard. The difficulties experienced in managing the contaminated body, from the hospital through to burial in Sydney, provided the basis for planning through a response subsequently used to manage the contaminated cadaver in Avon.

**Methods:** This is a case review of the two incidents from emergency department and fire service records, and video footage of the burial of the cadaver in Sydney.

**Discussion:** The aluminum phosphide incident in Sydney highlighted the need for the effective handling of chemically contaminated cadavers. This included the safe handling and storage of the body, an effective multi-agency

hazardous materials response at the hospital, and the need for dignified arrangements to be in place from death to burial.

The lessons learned and subsequently, used successfully in Avon, United Kingdom in 2003, included the ready availability of gas-tight, chemical-resistant, body bags, and chemical-resistant personal protective equipment for health service staff.

**Conclusions:** Planning for the handling of chemically contaminated cadavers ensures a dignified approach to the cadaver and the family of the deceased. Planning for the management of one chemically contaminated body provides a template for managing a multiple fatality chemical incident. Effective, international cross-discipline communication allows lessons identified from incidents to be shared, and subsequently improve response procedures elsewhere.

**Keywords:** body; cadaver; chemical; contamination; incident management

*Prehosp Disast Med* 2009;24(2):s159

### Management of Mass Fatalities following a Chemical, Biological, or Radiological Attack

David Baker; Kelly A. Jones; Shelly F. Mobbs; Ovnair Sepai; Dilys Morgan; Virginia Murray

UK Health Protection Agency, London, United Kingdom

Contaminated mass fatalities following the release of chemical, biological, or radiological agents pose a potential major health hazard. A United Kingdom government investigation has identified a number of areas of risk. This presentation outlines the findings of the study and describes specific pathways for the management of contaminated and non-contaminated fatalities. Factors determining the choice between cremation and burial are discussed. Effective decontamination remains a neglected area of study for both fatalities and casualties.

**Keywords:** casualties; chemical, biological, or radiological agents; decontamination; risk factors; United Kingdom

*Prehosp Disast Med* 2009;24(2):s159

## Poster Presentations—CBRNE

### (D36) Effect of Wearing Chemical Protective Equipment on Placement of Airway Devices in a Cadaveric Model

Kermit Huebner; Deanna Klesney; Dustin Tauserner

Carl R. Darnall Army Medical Center, Fort Hood, Texas USA

**Background:** Medical personnel may be called to provide life-saving techniques while wearing chemical protective equipment (CPE). The effect of placing airway devices while wearing the Joint Services Lightweight Integrated Suit Technology, butyl rubber gloves, and the M-40 protective mask were evaluated.

**Methods:** Twenty emergency medicine residents placed an endotracheal tube (ETT) using direct laryngoscopy, a laryngeal mask airway (LMA), and King LT airway in four unembalmed cadavers while either wearing CPE or using only standard precautions. Mean differences in time to placement were evaluated using a paired *t*-test.

**Results:** The difference in the means for the first attempts with and without CPE was 3.8 seconds (95%CI 0.9–6.8, *p* = 0.014).