*Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* (First published online 2024), page 1 of 10<sup>∗</sup> doi[:10.1017/S0004972724001163](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0004972724001163)<br>\*Provisional—final page numbers to be inserted when paper edition is published

# **PRIMITIVE IDEAL SPACE OF**  $C^*(R_+) \approx R^{\times}$

## **XIAOHUI CHE[N](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-5792-0850)<sup>®</sup>** and HUI  $LI<sup>®</sup>$

(Received 22 October 2024; accepted 27 October 2024)

### Abstract

For an integral domain *R* satisfying certain conditions, we characterise the primitive ideal space and its Jacobson topology for the semigroup crossed product  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$ . We illustrate the result by the example  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}].$ 

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46L05. *Keywords and phrases*: ring, semigroup crossed product, crossed product, primitive ideal.

## 1. Introduction

Motivated by the pioneering paper of Bost and Connes [\[2\]](#page-8-0), Cuntz in [\[8\]](#page-8-1) constructed the first ring *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra. Cuntz and Li [\[11\]](#page-8-2) generalised the work of [\[8\]](#page-8-1) to an integral domain with finite quotients. Eventually, Li [\[18\]](#page-8-3) generalised the work of [\[8\]](#page-8-1) to arbitrary rings. There is more than one way of studying *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras associated to rings. Hirshberg [\[12\]](#page-8-4), Larsen and Li [\[17\]](#page-8-5), and Kaliszewski *et al.* [\[13\]](#page-8-6) independently investigated *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras from *p*-adic rings. Li [\[19\]](#page-8-7) defined the notion of semigroup *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras and proved that the *ax* + *b*-semigroup *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra of a ring is an extension of the ring *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra. When the ring is the ring of integers of a field, Li [\[19\]](#page-8-7) proved that the  $ax + b$ -semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra is isomorphic to another construction due to Cuntz *et al.* [\[9\]](#page-8-8). Very recent work due to Bruce and Li [\[5,](#page-8-9) [6\]](#page-8-10) and Bruce *et al.* [\[4\]](#page-8-11) on algebraic dynamical systems and their associated *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras solves quite a few open problems.

For an integral domain *R*, denote by  $R_+$  the additive group  $(R, +)$  and by  $R^{\times}$  the multiplicative semigroup  $(R \setminus \{0\},\cdot)$ . There is a natural unital and injective action of  $R^{\times}$  on  $C^*(R_+)$  by multiplication. Thus, we obtain a semigroup crossed product  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$ . We characterise the primitive ideal space and its Jacobson topology for the semigroup crossed product  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  under certain conditions. Our main example is  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ . The semigroup crossed product  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  is closely





<span id="page-0-0"></span>The second author was supported by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2023MS076).

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

#### 2 X. Chen and H. Li [2]

related to other constructions. In the Appendix, we show that  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  is an extension of the boundary quotient of the opposite semigroup of the *ax* + *b*-semigroup of the ring and that when the ring is a greatest common divisor (GCD) domain,  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  is isomorphic to the boundary quotient of the opposite semigroup of the  $ax + b$ -semigroup of the ring. There are only a few investigations of the opposite semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra of the  $ax + b$ -semigroup of a ring (see for example [\[10,](#page-8-12) [20,](#page-8-13) [21\]](#page-8-14)).

Standing assumptions. Throughout the paper, any semigroup is assumed to be discrete, countable, unital and left cancellative; any group is assumed to be discrete and countable; any subsemigroup of a semigroup is assumed to inherit the unit of the semigroup; any ring is assumed to be countable and unital with  $0 \neq 1$ ; and any topological space is assumed to be second countable.

## 2. Laca's dilation theorem revisited

Laca [\[14\]](#page-8-15) proved an important theorem which dilates a semigroup dynamical system  $(A, P, \alpha)$  to a  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(B, G, \beta)$  so that the semigroup crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha}^e P$  is Morita equivalent to the crossed product  $B \rtimes_{\beta} G$ . In this section, we revisite *L* and *C*<sup>\*</sup> and *C* Laca's theorem when  $A$  is a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra.

NOTATION 2.1. Let *P* be a subsemigroup of a group *G* satisfying  $G = P^{-1}P$ . For *p*, *q* ∈ *P*, define *p* ≤ *q* if  $qp^{-1}$  ∈ *P*. Then, ≤ is a reflexive, transitive and directed relation on *P*.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>THEOREM 2.2 (See [\[14,](#page-8-15) Theorem 2.1]). *Let P be a subsemigroup of a group G satisfying*  $G = P^{-1}P$ *, let*  $A = C(X)$ *, where*  $X$  *is a compact Hausdorff space, and let*  $\alpha$ :  $P \rightarrow$  End(A) *be a semigroup homomorphism such that*  $\alpha_p$  *is unital and injective for all*  $p \in P$ . Then, there exists a dynamical system  $(X_{\infty}, G, \gamma)$  *(where*  $X_{\infty}$  *is compact Hausdorff)* such that  $A \rtimes_{\alpha}^e P$  is Morita equivalent to  $C(X_\infty) \rtimes_{\gamma} G$ .

PROOF. By [\[14,](#page-8-15) Theorem 2.1], there exists a  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(A_\infty, G, \beta)$  such that *A*  $\propto_e^e$  *P* is Morita equivalent to  $A_{\infty} \propto_\beta G$ . We cite the proof of [\[14,](#page-8-15) Theorem 2.1] to sketch the construction of *A* and the definition of *B* sketch the construction of  $A_{\infty}$  and the definition of  $\beta$ .

For  $p \in P$ , define  $A_p := A$ . For  $p, q \in P$  with  $p \le q$ , define  $\alpha_{p,q} : A_p \to A_q$ to be  $\alpha_{ap^{-1}}$ . Then,  $\{(A_p, \alpha_{p,q}) : p, q \in P, p \le q\}$  is an inductive system. Let  $A_{\infty}$  :=  $\lim_{p} (A_{p}, \alpha_{p,q})$ , let  $\alpha^{p} : A_{p} \to A_{\infty}$  be the natural unital embedding for all  $p \in P$ and let  $\beta$ :  $G \to \text{Aut}(A_{\infty})$  be the homomorphism satisfying  $\beta_{p_0} \circ \alpha^{p p_0} = \alpha^p$  for all *p*<sub>0</sub>, *p* ∈ *P*.

For  $p \in P$ , denote by  $f_p: X \to X$  the unique surjective continuous map induced from  $\alpha_p$  and set  $X_p := X$ . For  $p, q \in P$  with  $p \le q$ , denote by  $f_{q,p} : X_q \to X_p$  the unique surjective continuous map induced from  $\alpha_{p,q}$ . Since  $\alpha_{p,q} = \alpha_{qp^{-1}}$ , we have  $f_{q,p} = f_{qp^{-1}}$ . Then,  $\{(X_p, f_{q,p}) : p, q \in P, p \leq q\}$  is an inverse system. Set

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
X_{\infty} := \left\{ (x_p)_{p \in P} \in \prod_{p \in P} X_p : f_{q,p}(x_q) = x_p \text{ for all } p \le q \right\},\tag{2.1}
$$

which is the inverse limit of the inverse system. By  $[1,$  Example II.8.2.2(i)], *A*<sub>∞</sub>  $\cong$  *C*(*X*<sub>∞</sub>). For *p* ∈ *P*, denote by *f<sup>p</sup>* : *X*<sub>∞</sub>  $\rightarrow$  *X<sub>p</sub>* the unique projection induced from  $\alpha^p$ . Then,  $f_{q,p} \circ f^q = f^p$  for all  $p, q \in P, p \le q$ . For  $p, p_0 \in P, f \in C(X_\infty)$ , denote by  $\gamma_{p_0}$ :  $X_{\infty} \to X_{\infty}$  the unique homeomorphism such that  $\beta_{p_0}(f) = f \circ \gamma_{p_0}^{-1}$ .<br>From this construction  $(X, G, \gamma)$  is a dynamical system with  $C(T)$ 

From this construction,  $(X_{\infty}, G, \gamma)$  is a dynamical system with  $\ddot{C}(X_{\infty}) \rtimes_{\gamma} G \cong$ <br> $\rtimes_{\alpha} G$ . Hence  $A \rtimes^c P$  is Morita equivalent to  $C(X_{\infty}) \rtimes_{\gamma} G$ .  $A_{\infty} \rtimes_{\beta} G$ . Hence,  $A \rtimes_{\alpha}^e P$  is Morita equivalent to  $C(X_{\infty}) \rtimes$  $\gamma$  *G*.

NOTATION 2.3. We give an explicit description of  $X_{\infty}$  and the action of *G* on  $X_{\infty}$  given in Theorem [2.2.](#page-1-0) We start with the definition of  $X_{\infty}$  in [\(2.1\)](#page-1-1). Then, for  $p_0, p, q \in P$  with *q* ≥ *p*<sub>0</sub>, *p*, and for  $(x_p)_{p \in P}$  ∈  $X_{\infty}$ , we have

$$
(p_0 \cdot (x_p))(p) = x_{pp_0}, \quad (p_0^{-1} \cdot (x_p))(p) = f_{q,p}(x_{qp_0^{-1}}).
$$

In particular, when *G* is abelian, we have a simpler form of the group action given by

$$
\frac{p_0}{q_0} \cdot (x_p) = (f_{q_0}(x_{pp_0})).
$$

Our goal is to apply Theorem [2.2](#page-1-0) to characterise the primitive ideal space of the semigroup crossed product  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  of an integral domain. Since  $R^\times$  is abelian, we will need the following version of Williams' theorem.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let *G* be an abelian group, let *X* be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let  $\alpha$ :  $G \rightarrow$  Homeo(*X*) be a homomorphism. For *x*,  $y \in X$ , define  $x \sim y$  if *G* · *x* = *G* · *y*. Then, ∼ is an equivalence relation on *X*. For *x* ∈ *X*, define [*x*] := *G* · *x*, called the *quasi-orbit* of *x*. The quotient space  $Q(X/G)$  by the relation  $\sim$  is called the *quasi-orbit space.* For  $x \in X$ , define  $G_x := \{g \in G : g \cdot x = x\}$ , called the *isotropy group* (or *stability group*) at *x*. For  $([x], \phi)$ ,  $([y], \psi) \in Q(X/G) \times G$ , define  $([x], \phi) \approx ([y], \psi)$  if  $[x] = [y]$  and  $\phi|_{G_x} = \psi|_{G_x}$ . Then,  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation on  $Q(X/G) \times \widehat{G}$ .

<span id="page-2-0"></span>THEOREM 2.5 [\[16,](#page-8-17) Theorem 1.1]. *Let G be an abelian group, let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let*  $\alpha$  :  $G \rightarrow$  Homeo(*X*) *be a homomorphism. Then,*  $\text{Prim}(C_0(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G) \cong (Q(X/G) \times \widehat{G})/\approx.$ 

## 3. Primitive ideal structure of  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$

In this section, we characterise the primitive ideal space and its Jacobson topology for the semigroup crossed product  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  under certain conditions.

NOTATION 3.1. Let *R* be an integral domain. Denote by *Q* the field of fractions of *R*, by  $R_+$  the additive group  $(R, +)$ , by  $\widehat{R_+}$  the dual group of  $R_+$ , by  $R^\times$  the multiplicative semigroup  $(R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ , by  $Q^{\times}$  the enveloping group  $(Q \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  of  $R^{\times}$ , by  $\{u_r\}_{r \in R}$ , the family of unitaries generating  $C^*(R_+)$  and by  $\alpha : R^{\times} \to \text{End}(C^*(R_+))$ the homomorphism such that  $\alpha_p(u_r) = u_{pr}$  for all  $p \in R^\times$ ,  $r \in R_+$ . Observe that for any  $p \in R^{\times}, \alpha_p$  is unital and injective, and the map  $f_p : \widehat{R_+} \to \widehat{R_+}, \phi \mapsto \phi(p \cdot)$  is the unique<br>surjective continuous man induced from  $\alpha$ . Denote by surjective continuous map induced from  $\alpha_p$ . Denote by

4 X. Chen and H. Li [4]

$$
X_{\infty}(R) := \Big\{ \phi = (\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}} \in \prod_{p \in R^{\times}} \widehat{R_+} : \phi_q\left(\frac{q}{p} \cdot \right) = \phi_p, \text{ whenever } p \mid q \Big\}.
$$

Then,  $(p_0/q_0) \cdot (\phi_p) = (\phi_{pp_0}(q_0))$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span>LEMMA 3.2. *Let R be an integral domain. Fix*  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times} \in X_\infty(R)$ *. If*  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times} \neq (1)_{p \in R^\times}$ , then  $O^\times = O^\times$ *then*  $Q_{\phi}^{\times} = \{1_R\}$ *. If*  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}} = (1)_{p \in R^{\times}}$ *, then*  $Q_{\phi}^{\times} = Q^{\times}$ *.* 

PROOF. To prove the first statement, suppose for a contradiction that there exists  $p_0/q_0 \in Q^{\times}$  with  $p_0/q_0 \neq 1$  and such that  $(p_0/q_0) \cdot \phi = \phi$ . Since  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}} \neq (1)_{p \in R^{\times}}$ , there exists  $p_1 \in R^{\times}$  such that  $\phi_{n+1} \neq 1$ . Then  $\phi_{n+1} \neq \phi_{n+1}(q_0)$  for any  $p \in R^{\times}$ . Since there exists  $p_1 \in R^\times$  such that  $\phi_{p_1} \neq 1$ . Then,  $\phi_p = \phi_{pp_0}(q_0)$  for any  $p \in R^\times$ . Since  $\phi_{p_0}(p_0) = \phi_{p_0}(p_0) = \phi_{p_0}(q_0)$  for all  $p \in R^\times$  $\phi_{pp_0}(p_0 \cdot) = \phi_p$  for any  $p \in R^\times$ , we deduce that  $\phi_{pp_0}(p_0 \cdot) = \phi_{pp_0}(q_0 \cdot)$  for all  $p \in R^\times$ . So  $\phi_{pp_0}((p_0 - q_0)) = 1$  for any  $p \in R^\times$ . Hence,  $\phi_{pp_0}((p_0 - q_0)p_0) = 1$  for any  $p \in R^\times$ . When  $p = p_1(p_0 - q_0)$ , we get  $\phi_{p_1} = \phi_{p_1(p_0 - q_0)p_0}((p_0 - q_0)p_0 \cdot) = 1$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $Q_{\phi}^{\times} = \{1_R\}$ .<br>To prove the second statement

To prove the second statement, suppose that  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times} = (1)_{p \in R^\times}$ . For  $p_0/q_0 \in Q^\times$ , we have  $(p_0/q_0) \cdot (1)_{p \in R^\times} = (p_0/q_0) \cdot (\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times} = (\phi_{pp_0}(q_0 \cdot))_{p \in R^\times} = (1)_{p \in R^\times}$ . So $Q^{\times}_{\phi} = Q^{\times}$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>LEMMA 3.3. Let R be an integral domain. Suppose that for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $(1)_{p \in R^{\times}} \neq (\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}} \in$ <br>  $\mathbf{Y}$ ,  $(\mathbf{P})$ ,  $\pi \in \mathbf{P}$ ,  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{P}^{\times}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\pi \in \mathbf{P}$ , there exist  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}^{\times}$  $X_{\infty}(R)$ ,  $\pi \in \overline{R_+}$ ,  $P \in R^{\times}$  and  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \in R_+$ , there exist  $p, q \in R^{\times}$  with  $P \mid p$  such that  $|d_0(qr_1) - \pi(r_1)| < \epsilon$  *i* = 1.2 *n* Then  $Q(X, (R)/Q^{\times})$  consists of only two points  $|\phi_p(qr_i) - \pi(r_i)| < \epsilon, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Then,  $Q(X_\infty(R)/Q^\times)$  consists of only two points *with the only nontrivial closed subset*  $\{[(1)_{p\in R^{\times}}]\}.$ 

PROOF. Since  $\overline{Q^{\times} \cdot (1)_{p \in R^{\times}}} = (1)_{p \in R^{\times}} = (1)_{p \in R^{\times}}$ , we have  $[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}}] \neq [(1)_{p \in R^{\times}}]$  when-<br>ever (1)  $\overline{Q^{\times} \cdot (1)} = (X, Y, R)$ ever  $(1)_{p \in R^{\times}} \neq (\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}} \in X_{\infty}(R)$ .<br>Fix  $(\phi)_{p \in R^{\times}} (y|_{C})_{p \in R^{\times}} \in X$  (*k* 

Fix  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}, (\psi_p)_{p \in R^\times} \in X_\infty(R)$  such that  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}, (\psi_p)_{p \in R^\times} \neq (1)_{p \in R^\times}$ . We aim to show that  $[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}] = [(\psi_p)_{p \in R^\times}]$ . It suffices to show that  $((\psi_p)_{p \in R^\times} \in \overline{Q^\times \cdot (\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}}]$ since  $(\phi_p)_{p \in \mathbb{R}^\times} \in \overline{Q^\times \cdot (\psi_p)_{p \in \mathbb{R}^\times}}$  follows from the same argument. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \in R^\times$  and  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \in R$ . By the condition imposed in the lemma, there exist  $p_0, q_0 \in R^{\times}$  such that

$$
|\phi_{p_1p_2\cdots p_np_0}(q_0p_1\cdots p_{i-1}p_{i+1}\cdots p_nr_j)-\psi_{p_1p_2\cdots p_n}(p_1\cdots p_{i-1}p_{i+1}\cdots p_nr_j)| < \epsilon
$$

for  $1 \le i, j \le n$ . So  $|\phi_{p_i p_0}(q_0 r_j) - \psi_{p_i}(r_j)| < \epsilon$  for  $1 \le i, j \le n$ . Hence,  $(\psi_p)_{p \in R^\times} \in \overline{O(\epsilon)}$ . Therefore,  $[(\epsilon)$  and  $[(\epsilon)$  by  $]$  and  $[(\epsilon)$  $Q^{\times}$  ·  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}}$ . Therefore,  $[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}}] = [(\psi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}}]$ .

We conclude that  $Q(X_\infty(R)/Q^\times)$  consists of only two points. For any  $(1)_{p\in R^\times} \neq$ <br> $\therefore$   $\begin{pmatrix} Y & (P) & (X_\infty(R)/Q^\times \end{pmatrix} = Y$  (*R*) ((1) is one but not closed. Finally we  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times} \in X_\infty(R), Q^\times \cdot (\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times} = X_\infty(R) \setminus \{(1)_{p \in R^\times}\}$  is open but not closed. Finally, we deduce that { $[(1)_{p \in R^{\times}}]$ } is the only nontrivial closed subset of  $Q(X_{\infty}(R)/Q^{\times})$ .

THEOREM 3.4. *Let R be an integral domain satisfying the condition of Lemma [3.3.](#page-3-0) Take an arbitrary element*  $(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times} \in X_\infty(R)$  *with*  $(1)_{p \in R^\times} \neq (\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}$ . Then, we have  $\Omega^{(1)}(R) \cup (R^\times) \cong \Omega^{(1)}(R) \cup \Omega^{(1)}(R)$  and the span sate of *have*  $\text{Prim}(C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times) \cong \{[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}]\} \amalg \{[(1)_{p \in R^\times}]\} \times \widetilde{Q^\times}$ , and the open sets of  $\text{Prim}(C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times)$  comprise  $\{[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}]\}$  If  $\{[(1)_{p \in R^\times}]\} \times N$  where N is an open subset  $\text{Prim}(C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^{\times})$  *comprise*  $\{[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}}]\}$   $\amalg$   $\{[(1)_{p \in R^{\times}}]\} \times N$ , where N is an open subset  $of \widehat{Q^{\times}}$ .

PROOF. By Theorem [2.2,](#page-1-0)  $(C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times)$  is Morita equivalent to  $C(X_\infty(R)) \rtimes Q^\times$ . So Prim( $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^{\times}$ )  $\cong$  Prim( $C(X_{\infty}(R)) \rtimes Q^{\times}$ ). By Theorem [2.5](#page-2-0) and Lemma [3.3,](#page-3-0)  $\text{Prim}(C(X_{\infty}(R)) \rtimes Q^{\times}) \cong \{[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}}], [(1)_{p \in R^{\times}}] \} \times \widehat{Q^{\times}} / \approx$ . By Lemma [3.2,](#page-3-1)  $Q^{\times}_{(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}}} =$  $\{1_R\}$  and  $Q^{\times}_{(1)_{p \in R^{\times}}} = Q^{\times}$ . So, Prim $(C(X_{\infty}(R)) \rtimes Q^{\times}) \cong \{[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^{\times}}]\}$  II  $\{[(1)_{p \in R^{\times}}] \times \widehat{Q^{\times}}$ . Hence, Prim( $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$ )  $\cong \{[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}]\} \amalg \{[(1)_{p \in R^\times}]\} \times \widehat{Q^\times}$ , and the open sets of Prim( $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$ ) are  $\{[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}]\} \amalg \{[(1)_{p \in R^\times}]\} \times N$  where N is an open of Prim( $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$ ) are  $\{[(\phi_p)_{p \in R^\times}]\}$  II $\{[(1)_{p \in R^\times}]\} \times N$ , where *N* is an open<br>subset of  $\widehat{O^{\times}}$ subset of  $\widehat{O^{\times}}$ .  $\mathcal{Q}^{\times}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.5. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $\widehat{R_+} = \mathbb{T}$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $(1)_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^\times} \neq (\phi_p)_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^\times} \in X_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\pi \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$  and  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Take an arbitrary  $p_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$  such that  $P$  $\pi \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$  and  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Take an arbitrary  $p_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$  such that  $P | p_0$  and let  $\phi_{p_0} = e^{2\pi i \theta}$  for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ .

*Case 1:*  $\theta$  *is rational.* Then,  $\phi_{p_0}^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{e^{2\pi i k/n}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . Since  $\phi_{p p_0}^p = \phi_{p_0}$  for any *p* ≥ 1, we get  $\phi_{pp_0}^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{e^{2\pi i k/pn}\}_{k=0}^{pn-1}$ . Choose  $p_1 \ge 1$  such that  $|e^{2\pi i/p_1 n} - 1| < \epsilon / \sum_{i=1}^n |r_i|$ .<br>Then there exists  $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$  such that  $|d_0^{q_0}| = \pi | < \epsilon / \sum_{i=1}^n |r_i|$ . Then, there exists  $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$  such that  $|\phi_{p_1p_0}^{q_0} - \pi| < \epsilon / \sum_{i=1}^n |r_i|$ .

*Case 2:*  $\theta$  *is irrational.* Then, by the properties of an irrational rotation,  ${\lbrace \phi_{p_0}^z \rbrace}_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a dense subset of  $\mathbb{T}$ . So, there exists  $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$  such that  ${\lbrace \phi_{p_0}^q \rbrace}_{\pi} = \pi | \leq \epsilon / \sum_{p=1}^n |r$ dense subset of T. So, there exists  $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$  such that  $|\phi_{p_0}^{q_0} - \pi| < \epsilon / \sum_{i=1}^n |r_i|$ .<br>In both cases, there exist  $p \circ q \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$  with  $P | p$  such that  $|q_0^q - \pi| < \epsilon / \sum_{i=1}^n |r_i|$ .

In both cases, there exist  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$  with  $P | p$  such that  $|\phi_p^q - \pi| < \epsilon / \sum_{i=1}^n |r_i|$ . For  $1 \le i \le n$ , we may assume that  $r_i \ge 0$  and we calculate that

$$
|\phi_p(qr_i) - \pi(r_i)| = |\phi_p^{qr_i} - \pi^{r_i}| = |\phi_p^q - \pi| \left| \sum_{j=0}^{r_i - 1} \phi_p^{q(r_i - 1 - j)} \pi^j \right| \le |\phi_p^q - \pi| \sum_{j=0}^{r_i - 1} |\phi_p^{q(r_i - 1 - j)} \pi^j| < \epsilon.
$$

So, Z satisfies the condition of Lemma [3.3.](#page-3-0)

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ . Then,  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]_+ \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]_+ \cong \mathbb{T}^2$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,<br>((1, 1))  $_{peR^{\times}} \neq ((a_p, b_p))_{peR^{\times}} \in X_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]), (\pi, \rho) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ ,  $P \in R^{\times}$  and  $r_i + s_i\sqrt{-3} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3$  $[-3]_+$ for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Take an arbitrary  $P | p_0 \in R^\times$  such that  $(a_{p_0}, b_{p_0}) \neq (1, 1)$ . There exist  $p, q = q_1 + q_2 \sqrt{-3} \in R^\times$  with  $P | p$  such that  $|a_p^{q_1} b_p^{q_2} - \pi|, |a_p^{-3q_2} b_p^{q_1} - \rho| < \epsilon/\sum_{p=1}^n |a_p| \leq |a_p|$  For  $1 \leq i \leq p$  we may assume that  $r > 0$  and we estimate  $\epsilon/\sum_{i=1}^{n}(|r_i| + |s_i|)$ . For  $1 \le i \le n$ , we may assume that  $r_i \ge 0$  and we estimate

$$
\begin{split} |(a_p, b_p)(q(r_i + s_i\sqrt{-3})) - (\pi, \rho)(r_i + s_i\sqrt{-3})| \\ &= |(a_p^{q_1}b_p^{q_2})^{r_i}(a_p^{-3q_2}b_p^{q_1})^{s_i} - \pi^{r_i}\rho^{s_i}| \\ &= |((a_p^{q_1}b_p^{q_2})^{r_i} - \pi^{r_i})(a_p^{-3q_2}b_p^{q_1})^{s_i} + \pi^{r_i}((a_p^{-3q_2}b_p^{q_1})^{s_i} - \rho^{s_i})| \\ &\le |(a_p^{q_1}b_p^{q_2})^{r_i} - \pi^{r_i}| + |(a_p^{-3q_2}b_p^{q_1})^{s_i} - \rho^{s_i}| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon|r_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^n |r_i| + |s_i|} + \frac{\epsilon|s_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^n |r_i| + |s_i|} \le \epsilon. \end{split}
$$

So, Z[ √ −3] satisfies the condition of Lemma [3.3.](#page-3-0) 6 X. Chen and H. Li [6]

By a similar argument to this example, we conclude that any (concrete) order of a number field satisfies the condition of Lemma [3.3.](#page-3-0) (For the background about number fields, one may refer to [\[22\]](#page-8-18).)

## Appendix. The relationship between *<sup>C</sup>*∗(*R*+) - *R*<sup>×</sup> and semigroup *C*∗-algebras

In this appendix, we show that  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  is an extension of the boundary quotient of the opposite semigroup of the  $ax + b$ -semigroup of the ring and that when the ring is a GCD domain,  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  is isomorphic to the boundary quotient of the opposite semigroup of the  $ax + b$ -semigroup of the ring.

DEFINITION A.1 ([\[15,](#page-8-19) Section 2], [\[19,](#page-8-7) Definition 2.13]). Let *P* be a semigroup, *A* be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\alpha : P \to \text{End}(A)$  be a semigroup homomorphism such that  $\alpha_p$  is injective for all  $p \in P$ . Define the *semigroup crossed product*  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} P$  to be the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the image of a unital homomorphism the universal unital *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra generated by the image of a unital homomorphism  $i_A : A \to A \rtimes_\alpha P$  and a semigroup homomorphism  $i_P : P \to \text{Isom}(A \rtimes_\alpha P)$  satisfying the following conditions: the following conditions:

- (1)  $i_P(p)i_A(a)i_P(p)^* = i_A(\alpha_p(a))$  for all  $p \in P, a \in A$ ;<br>(2) for any unital  $C^*$ -algebra *B* unital homomorp
- (2) for any unital *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra *B*, unital homomorphism  $j_A : A \rightarrow B$  and semigroup homomorphism  $j_P : P \to \text{Isom}(B)$  satisfying  $j_P(p)j_A(a)j_P(p)^* = j_A(\alpha_p(a))$ , there exists a unique unital homomorphism  $\Phi : A \rtimes P \to B$  such that  $\Phi \circ i_A = i_A$  and exists a unique unital homomorphism  $\Phi : A \rtimes_{\alpha} P \to B$  such that  $\Phi \circ i_A = j_A$  and  $\Phi \circ i_P = j_P$ .

REMARK A.2. We have  $i_A(1_A) = i_P(1_P) =$  the unit of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} P$ .<br>If  $\alpha$  is united for all  $p \in B$ , then  $i_A(p)$  is a unitary for any

If  $\alpha_p$  is unital for all  $p \in P$ , then  $i_P(p)$  is a unitary for any  $p \in P$ . To see this, we calculate that  $i_P(p)i_P(p)^* = i_P(p)i_A(1_A)i_P(p)^* = i_A(\alpha_p(1_A)) = i_A(1_A)$ .

NOTATION A.3 [\[3,](#page-8-20) [19\]](#page-8-7). Let *P* be a semigroup. For  $p \in P$ , we also denote by *p* the left multiplication map  $q \mapsto pq$ . The set of *constructible right ideals* is defined to be

$$
\mathcal{J}(P) := \{p_1^{-1}q_1 \cdots p_n^{-1}q_n P : n \geq 1, p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_n, q_n \in P\} \cup \{0\}.
$$

A finite subset  $F \subset \mathcal{T}(P)$  is called a *foundation set* if for any nonempty  $X \in \mathcal{T}(P)$ , there exists  $Y \in F$  such that  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .

DEFINITION A.4 ([\[3,](#page-8-20) Remark 5.5], [\[19,](#page-8-7) Definition 2.2]). Let *P* be a semigroup. Define the *full semigroup C*<sup>∗</sup>*-algebra C*<sup>∗</sup>(*P*) of *P* to be the universal unital *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra generated by a family of isometries  $\{v_p\}_{p \in P}$  and a family of projections  $\{e_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{T}(P)}$ satisfying the following relations:

- (1)  $v_p v_q = v_{pq}$  for all  $p, q \in P$ ;
- (2)  $v_p e_X v_p^* = e_{pX}$  for all  $p \in P, X \in \mathcal{J}(P)$ ;
- (3)  $e_{\emptyset} = 0$  and  $e_{P} = 1$ ;
- (4)  $e_Xe_Y = e_{X \cap Y}$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{J}(P)$ .

Define the *boundary quotient*  $Q(P)$  of  $C^*(P)$  to be the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a family of isometries  $\{v_p\}_{p \in P}$  and a family of projections  $\{e_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{T}(P)}$ satisfying conditions (1)–(4) and  $\prod_{X \in F} (1 - e_X) = 0$  for any foundation set  $F \subset \mathcal{J}(P)$ .

DEFINITION A.5 ([\[3,](#page-8-20) Definition 2.1], [\[23,](#page-9-0) Definition 2.17]). Let *P* be a semigroup. Then, *P* is said to be *right* LCM (or to satisfy the *Clifford condition*) if the intersection of two principal right ideals is either empty or a principal right ideal.

NOTATION A.6. Let *P* be a semigroup. Denote by *P*op the opposite semigroup of *P*. Let *R* be an integral domain. Denote by  $R_+ \rtimes R^\times$  the  $ax + b$ -semigroup of *R*. Denote by  $\times$  the multiplication of  $(R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}$ , that is,  $(r_1, p_1) \times (r_2, p_2) = (r_2, p_2)(r_1, p_1) =$  $(r_2 + p_2r_1, p_1p_2).$ 

REMARK A.7. Let *R* be an integral domain. We claim that any nonempty element of  $\mathcal{J}((R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}})$  is a foundation set of  $(R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}$ . To see this, for any  $(r_1, p_1), (r_2, p_2) \in$  $(R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}$ , we compute

$$
(r_1, p_1) \times (p_1r_2, p_2) = (p_1r_2, p_2)(r_1, p_1) = (p_1r_2 + p_2r_1, p_1p_2)
$$
  
= 
$$
(p_2r_1, p_1)(r_2, p_2) = (r_2, p_2) \times (p_2r_1, p_1).
$$

THEOREM A.8. Let R be an integral domain. Then, the crossed product  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^{\times}$ *is an extension of*  $Q((R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}})$ *. Moreover, if R is a* GCD *domain* (see [\[7\]](#page-8-21)), then we  $have C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times \cong Q((R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}).$ 

PROOF. Denote by  $i_A : C^*(R_+) \to C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  and  $i_P : R^\times \to \text{Isom}(C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times)$  the canonical homomorphisms generating  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$ . Let  $\{v_{(r,p)} : (r,p) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}\}$ be the family of isometries and  $\{e_X : X \in \mathcal{J}((R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}})\}\)$  be the family of projections generating  $Q((R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}})$ .

For any  $(r, p) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}$ , note that  $1 - v_{(r, p)}v_{(r, p)}^* = 1 - e_{(r, p) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}} = 0$ because  $\{(r, p) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}\}$  is a foundation set. So each  $v(r, p)$  is a unitary.

For  $r \in R_+$ , define  $U_r := v_{(r,1)}$ . For any  $r, s \in R_+$ ,

$$
U_r U_s = v_{(r,1)} v_{(s,1)} = v_{(s,1)(r,1)} = v_{(r+s,1)} = v_{(r,1)(s,1)} = v_{(s,1)} v_{(r,1)} = U_s U_r,
$$

so  $j_A: C^*(R_+) \to Q((R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}), u_r \mapsto v_{(r,1)}$  is a homomorphism by the universal property of  $C^*(R_+)$ . For  $p \in R^\times$ , define  $j_P(p) := v_{(0,p)}^*$ . For any  $p, q \in R^\times$ ,

$$
j_P(p)j_P(q) = v_{(0,p)}^*v_{(0,q)}^* = (v_{(0,q)}v_{(0,p)})^* = (v_{(0,p)(0,q)})^* = v_{(0,pq)}^* = j_P(pq),
$$

so  $j_P: R^{\times} \to \text{Isom}(Q((R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}))$  is a semigroup homomorphism. For any  $p \in R^{\times}$ ,  $r \in R_+$ , we compute

$$
j_P(p)j_A(u_r)j_P(p)^* = v_{(0,p)}^*v_{(r,1)}v_{(0,p)} = v_{(0,p)}^*v_{(pr,p)} = v_{(pr,1)} = j_A(u_{pr}) = j_A(\alpha_p(u_r)).
$$

By the universal property of  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $\Phi: C^*(R_+) \times R^\times \to Q((R_+ \times R^\times)^{op})$  such that  $\Phi \circ i_A = j_A$  and  $\Phi \circ i_P = j_P$ . Since  $v_{(r,p)} =$  $v_{(0,p)}v_{(r,1)}$  for any  $(r, p) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{op}$ , we see that  $\Phi$  is surjective. So,  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  is an extension of  $Q((R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}})$ .

#### 8 X. Chen and H. Li

Now, we assume that *R* is a GCD domain. By [\[23,](#page-9-0) Proposition 2.23],  $R^{\times}$  is right LCM. For  $(r_1, p_1)$ ,  $(r_2, p_2) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}$ , suppose that  $p_1 R^\times \cap p_2 R^\times = pR^\times$  for some  $p \in R^{\times}$ . We claim that

$$
(r_1, p_1) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}} \cap (r_2, p_2) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}} = (0, p) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}.
$$

Indeed, for any  $(s_1, q_1)$ ,  $(s_2, q_2)$  ∈  $(R_∗ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}$ , if  $(r_1, p_1) \times (s_1, q_1) = (r_2, p_2) \times (s_2, q_2)$ , then  $(r_1, p_1) \times (s_1, q_1) = (r_2, p_2) \times (s_2, q_2) = (0, p) \times (s_1 + q_1 r_1, q_1 p_1 / p)$ . Conversely, for any  $(s, q) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}},$ 

$$
(0, p) \times (s, q) = (r_1, p_1) \times \left(s - \frac{pqr_1}{p_1}, \frac{pq}{p_1}\right) = (r_2, p_2) \times \left(s - \frac{pqr_2}{p_2}, \frac{pq}{p_2}\right).
$$

This proves the claim. Hence,  $(R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}$  is right LCM as well.

Since  $(R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}$  is right LCM, it follows from [\[24,](#page-9-1) Lemma 3.4] that  $Q((R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}})$  is the universal unital *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra generated by a family of unitaries  ${v_{(r,p)} : (r, p) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}}$  satisfying the conditions:

$$
(1) \quad v_{(r_1,p_1)}v_{(r_2,p_2)}=v_{(r_1,p_1)\times(r_2,p_2)};
$$

(2)  $v^*_{(r_1,p_1)}v_{(r_2,p_2)} = v_{(s_1,q_1)}v^*_{(s_2,q_2)}$ , whenever  $(r_1,p_1) \times (s_1,q_1) = (r_2,p_2) \times (s_2,q_2)$  and  $(r_1, p_1) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}} \cap (r_2, p_2) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}} = (r_1, p_1) \times (s_1, q_1) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}}.$ 

For  $(r, p) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}$ , define  $V_{(r,p)} := i_P(p)^* i_A(u_r)$ . Finally, we check that  $\{V_{(r,p)}\}$ satisfies the above two conditions. For any  $(r_1, p_1)$ ,  $(r_2, p_2) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}}$ ,

$$
V_{(r_1,p_1)}V_{(r_2,p_2)} = i_P(p_1)^* i_A(u_{r_1}) i_P(p_2)^* i_A(u_{r_2}) = i_P(p_1)^* i_P(p_2)^* i_A(\alpha_{p_2}(u_{r_1})) i_A(u_{r_2})
$$
  
=  $(i_P(p_2)i_P(p_1))^* i_A(u_{p_2r_1}) i_A(u_{r_2}) = i_P(p_1p_2)^* i_A(u_{r_2+p_2r_1})$   
=  $V_{(r_2+p_2r_1,p_1p_2)} = V_{(r_1,p_1)\times(r_2,p_2)}$ .

For  $(r_1, p_1)$ ,  $(r_2, p_2) \in (R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{op}$ , suppose that  $p_1 R^\times \cap p_2 R^\times = pR^\times$  for some  $p \in R^{\times}$ . By the above claim,  $(r_1, p_1) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}} \cap (r_2, p_2) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{\text{op}} =$  $(0, p) \times (R_+ \rtimes R^{\times})^{op}$ . It is not hard to see that  $(r_1, p_1) \times (-pr_1/p_1, p/p_1) = (r_2, p_2) \times (-pr_2/p_2, p/p_2) = (0, p)$ . So  $(-pr_2/p_2, p/p_2) = (0, p)$ . So,

$$
V_{(r_1, p_1)}^* V_{(r_2, p_2)} = i_A (u_{-r_1}) i_P (p_1) i_P (p_2)^* i_A (u_{r_2}) = i_A (u_{-r_1}) i_P \left(\frac{p}{p_1}\right)^* i_P \left(\frac{p}{p_2}\right) i_A (u_{r_2})
$$
  
=  $i_P \left(\frac{p}{p_1}\right)^* i_A (u_{-pr_1/p_1}) i_A (u_{pr_2/p_2}) i_P \left(\frac{p}{p_2}\right)$   
=  $V_{(-pr_1/p_1, p/p_1)} V_{(-pr_2/p_2, p/p_2)}^*$ .

By the universal property of  $Q((R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{\text{op}})$ , there exists a homomorphism  $\Psi : Q((R_+ \rtimes R^\times)^{op}) \to C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times$  such that  $\Psi(\nu_{(r,p)}) = i_P(p)^* i_A(u_r)$ . Since

$$
\Phi \circ \Psi(\nu_{(r,p)}) = \Phi(i_P(p)^* i_A(u_r)) = j_P(p)^* j_A(u_r) = \nu_{(0,p)} \nu_{(r,1)} = \nu_{(r,p)},
$$
  

$$
\Psi \circ \Phi(i_A(u_r)) = \Psi(j_A(u_r)) = \Psi(\nu_{(r,1)}) = i_A(u_r),
$$
  

$$
\Psi \circ \Phi(i_P(p)) = \Psi(j_P(p)) = \Psi(\nu_{(0,p)})^* = i_P(p),
$$

we conclude that  $C^*(R_+) \rtimes R^\times \cong Q((R_+ \rtimes R^\times))$  $\circ$ p).

## Acknowledgement

The first author thanks the second author for his encouragement and patient supervision.

## **References**

- <span id="page-8-16"></span>[1] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras, Theory of C*<sup>∗</sup>*-Algebras and von Neumann Algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006).
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[2] J. B. Bost and A. Connes, 'Hecke algebras, type III factors and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory', *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 1 (1995), 411–457.
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>[3] N. Brownlowe, J. Ramagge, D. Robertson and M. F. Whittaker, 'Zappa–Szép products of semigroups and their *C*∗-algebras', *J. Funct. Anal.* 266 (2014), 3937–3967.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[4] C. Bruce, Y. Kubota and T. Takeishi, 'Groupoid homology and *K*-theory for algebraic actions from number theory', Preprint, 2024, [arXiv:2407.01952.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.01952)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[5] C. Bruce and X. Li, 'Algebraic actions II. Groupoid rigidity', Preprint, 2023, [arXiv:2301.04459.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.04459)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[6] C. Bruce and X. Li, 'Algebraic actions I. *C*∗-algebras and groupoids', *J. Funct. Anal.* 286 (2024), Article no. 110263.
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>[7] S. T. Chapman and S. Glaz, *Non-Noetherian Commutative Ring Theory* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000).
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[8] J. Cuntz, '*C*∗-algebras associated with the *ax* + *b*-semigroup over N ', in: *K-theory and Noncommutative Geometry*, EMS Series of Congress Reports (eds. G. Cortiñas, J. Cuntz, M. Karoubi, R. Nest and C. A. Weibel) (European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2008), 201–215.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[9] J. Cuntz, C. Deninger and M. Laca, '*C*∗-algebras of Toeplitz type associated with algebraic number fields', *Math. Ann.* 355 (2013), 1383–1423.
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[10] J. Cuntz, S. Echterhoff and X. Li, 'On the *K*-theory of crossed products by automorphic semigroup actions', *Q. J. Math.* 64 (2013), 747–784.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[11] J. Cuntz and X. Li, 'The regular *C*∗-algebra of an integral domain', in: *Quanta of Maths: Conference in Honor of Alain Connes*, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, 11 (eds. E. Blanchard, D. Ellwood, M. Khalkhali, M. Marcolli, H. Moscovici and S. Popa) (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010), 149–170.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[12] I. Hirshberg, 'On *C*∗-algebras associated to certain endomorphisms of discrete groups', *New York J. Math.* 8 (2002), 99–109.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[13] S. Kaliszewski, T. Omland and J. Quigg, 'Cuntz–Li algebras from *a*-adic numbers', *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 59 (2014), 331–370.
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>[14] M. Laca, 'From endomorphisms to automorphisms and back: dilations and full corners', *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 61 (2000), 893–904.
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>[15] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, 'Semigroup crossed products and the Toeplitz algebras of nonabelian groups', *J. Funct. Anal.* 139 (1996), 415–440.
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>[16] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, 'The ideal structure of the Hecke *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra of Bost and Connes', *Math. Ann.* 318 (2000), 433–451.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[17] N. S. Larsen and X. Li, 'The 2-adic ring *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebra of the integers and its representations', *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012), 1392–1426.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[18] X. Li, 'Ring *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras', *Math. Ann.* 348 (2010), 859–898.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[19] X. Li, 'Semigroup *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras and amenability of semigroups', *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012), 4302–4340.
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[20] X. Li, 'Semigroup *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras of *ax* + *b*-semigroups', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368 (2016), 4417–4437.
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[21] X. Li and M. D. Norling, 'Independent resolutions for totally disconnected dynamical systems. II. *C*∗-algebraic case', *J. Operator Theory* 75 (2016), 163–193.
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>[22] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999).

#### 10 X. Chen and H. Li [10]

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[23] M. D. Norling, 'Inverse semigroup *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras associated with left cancellative semigroups', *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* 57 (2014), 533–564.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[24] C. Starling, 'Boundary quotients of *C*<sup>∗</sup>-algebras of right LCM semigroups', *J. Funct. Anal.* 268 (2015), 3326–3356.

XIAOHUI CHEN, Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, PR China e-mail: [xiaohui20720@126.com](mailto:xiaohui20720@126.com)

HUI LI, Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, PR China e-mail: [lihui8605@hotmail.com,](mailto:lihui8605@hotmail.com) [50902471@ncepu.edu.cn](mailto:50902471@ncepu.edu.cn)