

Carlo simulation. Potential drug-drug, gene-drug, or gene-drug-drug interaction risk was characterized as minor, moderate, or major.

Results. Based on prescription data only, the probability of a DDI of any impact (mild, moderate, or major) was 26% [95% CI: 0.248-0.272] in the population. This probability increased to 49.6% [95% CI: 0.484-0.507] when simulated genetic polymorphisms were additionally assessed. When assessing only major impact interactions, there was a 7.8% [95% CI: 0.070-0.085] probability of drug-drug interactions and 10.1% [95% CI: 0.095-0.108] probability with the addition of genetic contributions. The probability of drug-drug-gene interactions of any impact was correlated with the number of prescribed medications, with an approximate probability of 77%, 85%, and 94% in patients prescribed 5, 6, or 7+ medications, respectively. When stratified by specific drug class, antidepressants (19.5%), antiemetics (21.4%), analgesics (16%), antipsychotics (15.6%), and antiparasitics (49.7%) had the highest probability of major drug-drug-gene interaction.

Conclusions. In a community-based population of outpatients, the probability of drug-drug interaction risk increases when genetic polymorphisms are attributed to the population. These data suggest that pharmacogenetic testing may be useful in predicting drug interactions, drug-gene interactions, and severity of interactions when proactively evaluating patient medication profiles.

Funding. Genomind, Inc.

Comparative Effectiveness of an FDA-Authorized Digital Therapeutic to Medications and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Treating Chronic Insomnia in Adults

Felicia Forma, PhD¹, Ramya Pratiwadi, PhD²,
Fadoua El-Moustaid, PhD², Nathaniel Smith, PhD² and
Fulton Velez, MD, MS, MBA¹

¹Pear Therapeutics, Boston, MA, USA, and ²Maple Health Group, LLC, New York, NY, USA

Abstract

Introduction. Chronic insomnia affects the physical and mental health, quality of life, and productivity of 6 to 10% of the adult population (15-25 million U.S. adults). Available treatments include guideline-recommended first-line cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBT-I) and medications. However, limitations such as patient access to CBT-I and limited efficacy, the presence of significant side effects, as well as safety concerns about medications limit favorable outcomes. Somryst is an FDA-authorized prescription digital therapeutic for the treatment of chronic insomnia in adults. The purpose of this analysis is to compare the effectiveness of the digital therapeutic vs CBT-I and medications for primary insomnia.

Methods. Chronic insomnia trials focused on digital therapeutic, CBT-I, or medication were identified in a systematic literature review. Studies using a comparator arm that cannot be considered clinically equivalent to other treatments in the network were excluded (eg, meaningfully different definition of placebo arm). A Bayesian network meta-analysis was performed in R on the mean change from baseline and the proportion of remitters using the insomnia severity index (ISI) endpoint with follow-up time-points between 6 and 12 weeks. Mean change in ISI score from baseline was analyzed as a continuous endpoint while comparisons of the proportion of remitters were performed using odds ratios. The analysis used a random-effects model for the base case analysis. A surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) analysis was performed to rank the treatments on each endpoint.

Results. In total, 13 studies reported ISI mean change from baseline data. Only the digital therapeutic and CBT-I were significantly different than placebo. The digital therapeutic had the greatest mean change from baseline in ISI from placebo (−5.77 points, 95% Credible Interval (CrI) [−8.53, −3.07]), followed by CBT-I (−4.3 points, 95% CrI [−6.32, −2.39]). In the SUCRA analysis, the digital therapeutic had the highest probability (56%) of being the most effective treatment based on ISI mean change from baseline. Only 8 studies reported the proportion of ISI remitters. Only the digital therapeutic showed a statistically significant difference in remission vs placebo and had the highest odds ratio for remission vs placebo (12.33 95% CrI [2.28, 155.91]). The odds ratio for remission vs placebo in CBT-I was not statistically significant (4.08 95% CrI [0.45, 45.58]). The digital therapeutic had the highest probability (64%) of being the most efficacious for inducing remission per ISI.

Conclusions. Somryst was projected to be the most effective therapy on both mean change in ISI and ISI remission within 6 to 12 weeks of treatment start vs either CBT-I or medications. Further investigation should be performed to demonstrate the long-term effectiveness of all chronic insomnia treatments.

Funding. Pear Therapeutics

Outcomes from Engagement and Use of a Prescription Digital Therapeutic to Treat Opioid Use Disorder: A Real-World Pilot Study

Steven C. Rozycki, LMSW, CASAC¹, Xiaorui Xiong, PhD²,
Paul Walter, PA-C¹, Jessica Wright, CSAC, LMHC¹,
Hilary F. Luderer, PhD², Stephen Braun, BA²,
Yuri A. Maricich, MD² and James Purvis, MSSW¹

¹Trinity Health Innovation, Albany, NY, USA, and ²Pear Therapeutics, Inc., Boston, MA, USA

Abstract

Introduction. The opioid epidemic in the United States is getting worse: in 2020 opioid overdose deaths hit an all-time high of 92,183. This underscored the need for more effective and readily available treatments for patients with opioid use disorder (OUD).