

ON A THEOREM OF NIVEN

Kenneth S. Williams

(received October 27, 1966)

In 1940, I. Niven [2] proved that the gaussian integer $z = x + iy$ is the sum of two squares of gaussian integers if, and only if, y is even and not both of $\frac{1}{2}x$ and $\frac{1}{2}y$ are rational odd integers. In this note we calculate the total number $g_2(z)$ of representations of z in this form. Now

$$(1) \quad z = (a+ib)^2 + (c+id)^2,$$

where a, b, c, d are rational integers, if and only if

$$(2) \quad z = \{(a-d) + i(b+c)\} \{(a+d) + i(b-c)\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_2(z) &= \sum_{\substack{z_1, z_2 \\ z_1 z_2 = z}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{z_1, z_2 \\ z_1 z_2 = z}} 1 \\
 (a-d) + i(b+c) &= z_1 & \operatorname{Re}(z_1) + \operatorname{Re}(z_2) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\
 (a+d) + i(b-c) &= z_2 & \operatorname{Im}(z_1) + \operatorname{Im}(z_2) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\
 a, b, c, d &\text{ rat. ints,} \\
 &= \sum_{z_1 | z} 1 \\
 \operatorname{Re}(z_1) + \operatorname{Re}(z/z_1) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\
 \operatorname{Im}(z_1) + \operatorname{Im}(z/z_1) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 10, no. 4, 1967

We can write z in the form

$$(3) \quad z = \varepsilon(1+i)^\alpha \pi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha_k} q_1^{\beta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\beta_\ell},$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1, \pm i$; $\alpha \geq 0, \alpha_j \geq 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$), $\beta_j \geq 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$), $\pi_j = u_j + iv_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$) where $u_j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $v_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $u_j^2 + v_j^2 =$ rational prime $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and q_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$) is a rational prime $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Now if $z_1 | z$ we can write z_1 in the form

$$(4) \quad z_1 = \delta(1+i)^\gamma \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} q_1^{\delta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\delta_\ell},$$

where $\delta = \pm 1, \pm i$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$, $0 \leq \gamma_j \leq \alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$) and $0 \leq \delta_j \leq \beta_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$). Hence

$$(5) \quad g_2(z) = \sum_{\delta} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma_1=0}^{\alpha_1} \dots \sum_{\gamma_k=0}^{\alpha_k} \sum_{\delta_1=0}^{\beta_1} \dots \sum_{\delta_\ell=0}^{\beta_\ell} 1,$$

where the dash (') denotes that the summation is only taken over those $\delta, \gamma, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_\ell$ satisfying

$$(6) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Re}(\delta(1+i)^\gamma \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} q_1^{\delta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\delta_\ell}) \\ + \text{Re}(\varepsilon \delta^3 (1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma} \pi_1^{\alpha-\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha-\gamma_k} q_1^{\beta_1-\delta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\beta_\ell-\delta_\ell}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \text{and} \\ \text{Im}(\delta(1+i)^\gamma \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} q_1^{\delta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\delta_\ell}) \\ + \text{Im}(\varepsilon \delta^3 (1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma} \pi_1^{\alpha-\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha-\gamma_k} q_1^{\beta_1-\delta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\beta_\ell-\delta_\ell}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence

$$(7) \quad g_2(z) = 4 \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma_1=0}^{\alpha_1} \dots \sum_{\gamma_k=0}^{\alpha_k} \sum_{\delta_1=0}^{\beta_1} \dots \sum_{\delta_l=0}^{\beta_l} 1,$$

where the double dash (") denotes that the summation is now taken over those $\gamma, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_l$ satisfying

$$(8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Re}((1+i)^{\gamma} \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} q_1^{\delta_1} \dots q_l^{\delta_l}) \\ + \text{Re}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma} \pi_1^{\alpha_1-\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha_k-\gamma_k} q_1^{\beta_1-\delta_1} \dots q_l^{\beta_l-\delta_l}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \text{and} \\ \text{Im}((1+i)^{\gamma} \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} q_1^{\delta_1} \dots q_l^{\delta_l}) \\ + \text{Im}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma} \pi_1^{\alpha_1-\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha_k-\gamma_k} q_1^{\beta_1-\delta_1} \dots q_l^{\beta_l-\delta_l}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{array} \right.$$

Now as each π_j is of the form $u_j + iv_j$, where u_j is odd and v_j is even, the expression

$$(9) \quad \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k}$$

is of the same form, and as each q_j is odd, so also is

$$(10) \quad \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} q_1^{\delta_1} \dots q_l^{\delta_l}.$$

Hence

$$(11) \quad \text{Re}((1+i)^{\gamma} \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} q_1^{\delta_1} \dots q_l^{\delta_l}) \equiv \text{Re}((1+i)^{\gamma}) \pmod{2}$$

and

$$(12) \quad \text{Im}((1+i)^{\gamma} \pi_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\gamma_k} q_1^{\delta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\delta_\ell}) \equiv \text{Im}((1+i)^{\gamma}) \pmod{2} .$$

Similarly

$$(13) \quad \text{Re}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma} \pi_1^{\alpha_1-\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha_k-\gamma_k} q_1^{\beta_1-\delta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\beta_\ell-\delta_\ell}) \equiv \text{Re}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma}) \pmod{2}$$

and

$$(14) \quad \text{Im}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma} \pi_1^{\alpha_1-\gamma_1} \dots \pi_k^{\alpha_k-\gamma_k} q_1^{\beta_1-\delta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\beta_\ell-\delta_\ell}) \equiv \text{Im}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma}) \pmod{2} .$$

Thus

$$g_2(z) = 4 \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma_1=0}^{\alpha_1} \dots \sum_{\gamma_k=0}^{\alpha_k} \sum_{\delta_1=0}^{\beta_1} \dots \sum_{\delta_\ell=0}^{\beta_\ell} 1$$

$$\text{Re}((1+i)^{\gamma}) + \text{Re}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$\text{Im}((1+i)^{\gamma}) + \text{Im}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

and so

$$(15) \quad g_2(z) = 4(\alpha_1+1) \dots (\alpha_k+1)(\beta_1+1) \dots (\beta_\ell+1) h(\alpha, \varepsilon) ,$$

where

$$(16) \quad h(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} 1 .$$

$$\text{Re}((1+i)^{\gamma}) + \text{Re}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$\text{Im}((1+i)^{\gamma}) + \text{Im}(\varepsilon(1+i)^{\alpha-\gamma}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

Now when $\theta \geq 2$, $2 \mid (1+i)^\theta$ so

$$\operatorname{Re}((1+i)^\theta) \equiv \operatorname{Im}((1+i)^\theta) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

When $\theta = 1$

$$\operatorname{Re}((1+i)^\theta) \equiv \operatorname{Im}((1+i)^\theta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

and when $\theta = 0$

$$\operatorname{Re}((1+i)^\theta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \operatorname{Im}((1+i)^\theta) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Consequently the only terms which contribute to the sum in (16) are given by

$$(17) \quad \alpha = \gamma = 0; \quad \alpha = 2, \gamma = 1; \quad 2 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha - 2$$

when $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, and by

$$(18) \quad \alpha = 2, \gamma = 1; \quad 2 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha - 2$$

when $\varepsilon = \pm i$. Hence finally we have

$$(19) \quad g_2(z) = 4(\alpha_1 + 1) \dots (\alpha_k + 1)(\beta_1 + 1) \dots (\beta_\ell + 1) h(\alpha, \varepsilon),$$

where

$$h(0, \pm 1) = 1, \quad h(0, \pm i) = 0,$$

$$h(1, \varepsilon) = 0,$$

$$h(2, \varepsilon) = 1$$

and

$$h(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \alpha - 3 \quad (\alpha \geq 3).$$

It is easy to see that $g_2(z) = 0$ if and only if $h(\alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$. From the list of values of $h(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ we can verify that this occurs if and only if y is odd or y is even and both $\frac{1}{2}x$ and $\frac{1}{2}y$ are odd integers. This provides an alternative proof of Niven's theorem. Another proof has been given recently by Leahey [1].

REFERENCES

1. W.J. Leahey, A note on a theorem of I. Niven. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16 (1965), 1130-1131.
2. I. Niven, Integers of quadratic fields as sums of squares. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 48 (1940), 405-417.

Carleton University, Ottawa