

A NOTE ON THE VAN DER WAERDEN PERMANENT CONJECTURE

JACQUES DUBOIS

1. Introduction and statement of results. The permanent of an n -square complex matrix $P = (p_{ij})$ is defined by

$$\text{per } P = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} p_{1\sigma(1)} p_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots p_{n\sigma(n)}$$

where the summation extends over S_n , the symmetric group of degree n . This matrix function has considerable significance in certain combinatorial problems [6; 7]. The properties and many related problems about the permanent are presented in [3] along with an extensive bibliography.

In 1926, B. L. van der Waerden [7] conjectured that for all elements P of the set Ω_n the inequality

$$\text{per } P \geq \frac{n!}{n^n}$$

holds with equality if and only if $P = J_n$. Here Ω_n denotes the set of all n -square doubly stochastic matrices and J_n is the element of Ω_n whose entries are all $1/n$. Many authors have studied this conjecture extensively and to my knowledge it has been shown true only for $n = 2$, $n = 3$ [4], $n = 4$ [1] and $n = 5$ [2].

For a general n , we know that if the permanent function achieves its absolute minimum value on Ω_n in $\text{Int } \Omega_n$ (the interior of Ω_n), then the conjecture is true [4, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3]. M. Marcus and M. Newman [4, Theorem 4] have also shown that if the absolute minimizing matrix does not belong to $\text{Int } \Omega_n$, then all its zeros cannot occur in a fixed row (or column). In addition, P. J. Eberlein and G. S. Mudholkar [1, Theorem 7] have shown that this absolute minimizing matrix, after suitable permutations of its rows and columns, cannot be of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} O & Y \\ Z_1 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where O is an $r \times k$ matrix ($1 \leq r, k \leq n - 1$) whose entries are 0, and Y (respectively Z_1, Z_2) is an $r \times (n - k)$ (respectively $(n - r) \times k, (n - r) \times (n - k)$) matrix whose entries are positive.

The purpose of this note is to present, in the following two theorems, other results concerning the zero pattern of the absolute minimizing matrix.

Received October 16, 1972. This research was supported by N.R.C. Grant No. A-8133.

THEOREM 1. *If the absolute minimizing matrix for per P ($P \in \Omega_n$) is not in $\text{Int } \Omega_n$, then all its zeros cannot occur in two fixed rows (or columns).*

THEOREM 2. *If an element P in Ω_n is such that there exist $n \times n$ permutation matrices Q_1 and Q_2 with the property that the matrix $Q_1 P Q_2$ or its transpose takes the form*

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z_1 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $X = (x_{ij})$ is an $r \times 2$ matrix ($r \geq 1$) with $x_{11} > 0$ and the others $x_{ij} = 0$, Z_1 (respectively Z_2) is an $(n - r) \times 2$ (respectively $(n - r) \times (n - 2)$) matrix whose entries are positive and Y is any $r \times (n - 2)$ matrix, then P cannot be an absolute minimizing matrix.

The relation between Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 in Marcus and Newman's paper [4] is self-explanatory. In Theorem 2, the fact that Y is any $r \times (n - 2)$ matrix allows us to decide that many zero patterns are inadmissible in the construction of a minimizing (for the permanent function) element of Ω_n .

2. Proofs. Before proving these theorems we introduce some notation. An $n \times n$ matrix $P = (p_{ij})$ is expressed in terms of its column vectors as (p^1, p^2, \dots, p^n) and in terms of its row vectors as (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) . If $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, $P^{(j,k)}$ denotes the matrix

$$(p^1, \dots, p^{j-1}, p^k - p^j, p^{j+1}, \dots, p^k, \dots, p^n)$$

(if $j = 1$ we adopt the obvious convention), whereas, if $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $C_{ij}(P)$ denotes the permanent of the $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix obtained by deleting row i and column j from P .

Since the permanent is a multilinear function of the column of an n -square matrix P , we have:

$$(1) \quad C_{ij}(P) - C_{ik}(P) = C_{ik}(P^{(j,k)})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j < k \leq n$. This simple relation and Theorem 1 in Marcus and Newman [4] will be our main tools.

We now prove Theorem 1. Assume on the contrary that $P = (p_{ij}) \in \Omega_n$ is an absolute minimizing matrix with all its zeros contained in two given rows. We can suppose that these are the first two rows (N.B. per $QP = \text{per } P$ for any $n \times n$ permutation matrix Q). Let k (respectively r) denote the number of zero entries of P in its first (respectively, second) row. By hypothesis we have $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq r < n$. We may also suppose without loss of generality that $k \geq r$, that $p_{11} = p_{12} = \dots = p_{1k} = 0$, $p_{1j} > 0$ for $k < j \leq n$, and that s and t are two nonnegative integers satisfying $s + t = r$ and $p_{21} = p_{22} = \dots = p_{2s} = 0$, $p_{2k+1} = p_{2k+2} = \dots = p_{2k+t} = 0$, $p_{2j} > 0$ for $s < j \leq k$ and $k + t < j \leq n$. Furthermore, using the corollary to Theorem 3 in [2] we may suppose that the remaining $n - 3$ rows of P are all equal and so we let

$$p_3 = p_4 = \dots = p_n = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

The case where $t = 0$ and $s = k$ is already settled (this is Eberlein and Mudholkar's result quoted earlier).

If $t = 0$ and $s \leq k - 1$ then the stochastic constraints imply that $\alpha_s > \alpha_{s+1}$. On the other hand, using Theorem 1 of [4] and relation (1) above we can write

$$(2) \quad 0 \leq C_{2s}(P) - C_{2s+1}(P) = C_{2s+1}(P^{(s,s+1)})$$

where $P^{(s,s+1)}$ is a matrix whose s th column is $(0, p_{2s+1}, \alpha_{s+1} - \alpha_s, \dots, \alpha_{s+1} - \alpha_s)^T$ (as usual T denotes the transpose of a vector) and whose other entries are nonnegative. Thus it follows from (2) that $\alpha_{s+1} - \alpha_s \geq 0$. This is a contradiction.

Finally if $t \geq 1$, we have

$$(3) \quad 0 \leq C_{1k+1}(P^{(k,k+1)})$$

and

$$(4) \quad C_{2k+1}(P^{(k,k+1)}) \leq 0.$$

Since, in this case, $(p_{1k+1}, -p_{2k}, \alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k)^T$ is the k th column of $P^{(k,k+1)}$ and the submatrix obtained from P by deleting its first two rows and its k th and $(k + 1)$ th columns has only positive entries we infer from (3) that $\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k > 0$ and from (4) that $\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k < 0$. Again we obtain a contradiction and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

An obvious adaptation of this argument gives Theorem 2 and a very short proof of Theorem 4 in [4].

REFERENCES

1. P. J. Eberlein and G. S. Mudholkar, *Some remarks on the van der Waerden Conjecture*, J. Combinatorial Theory 5 (1968), 386–396.
2. P. J. Eberlein, *Remarks on the van der Waerden Conjecture, II*, Linear Algebra and Appl. 2 (1969), 311–320.
3. Marvin Marcus and Henryk Minc, *Permanents*, Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965), 577–591.
4. Marvin Marcus and Morris Newman, *On the minimum of the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix*, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 61–72.
5. H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial mathematics* (Carus Math. Monograph no. 14, 1963).
6. ——— *Permanents and systems of distinct representatives* (with discussion), Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications (Proc. Conf., Univ. North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C., 1967) pp. 55–70. Univ. North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, N.C., 1969.
7. B. L. van der Waerden, *Aufgabe 45*, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 35 (1926), 117.

*Université de Sherbrooke,
Sherbrooke, Québec*