

FINITE QUOTIENTS OF THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A FREE GROUP

ROBERT GILMAN

1. Introduction. Let G and F be groups. A G -defining subgroup of F is a normal subgroup N of F such that F/N is isomorphic to G . The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(F)$ acts on the set of G -defining subgroups of F . If G is finite and F is finitely generated, one obtains a finite permutation representation of $\text{Out}(F)$, the outer automorphism group of F . We study these representations in the case that F is a free group. We denote by F_n a free group on n free generators x_1, \dots, x_n .

THEOREM 1. *Fix $n \geq 3$. For any prime $p \geq 5$, $\text{Out}(F_n)$ acts on the $PSL(2, p)$ -defining subgroups of F_n as the alternating or symmetric group, and both cases occur for infinitely many primes.*

COROLLARY 1. *If $n \geq 3$, $\text{Out}(F_n)$ is residually finite alternating and residually finite symmetric.*

The meaning of Corollary 1 is that for any $\alpha \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ there is a homomorphism ρ from $\text{Out}(F_n)$ onto a finite alternating group such that $\rho(\alpha) \neq 1$. E. Grossman proved that for all n , $\text{Out}(F_n)$ is residually finite [9]. Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 are proved in Section 5. The conclusion of Theorem 1 does not hold for $n = 2$. $\text{Out}(F_2)$ acts intransitively on the $PSL(2, 5)$ -defining subgroups of F_2 [12, § 10; 14, Proposition 4], and on the $PSL(2, 7)$ -defining subgroups of F_2 [15, Theorem 1]. We have the following partial extensions of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *If $n \geq 4$ and G is a finite nonabelian simple group generated by $n - 2$ elements, $\text{Out}(F_n)$ acts as the alternating or symmetric group on at least one of its orbits on the G -defining subgroups of F_n .*

THEOREM 3. *If G is a finite group of order $g > 1$, and $n \geq 2 \log_2(g)$, $\text{Out}(F_n)$ is transitive on the G -defining subgroups of F_n .*

In connection with Theorem 2 we note that all currently known simple groups seem to be generated by two elements [8, § 78]. If G is a finite abelian simple group of order p , the action of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ on the G -defining subgroups of F_n is well-known.

A much sharper form of Theorem 3 holds if G is solvable. M. Dunwoody has shown that in this case one need only assume that n is greater than the size of the smallest set of generators of G [6]. In [5, Theorem 1] he shows that this

Received June 14, 1976 and in revised form, October 8, 1976.

bound is sharp. His discussion in [6] of the action of $\text{Out}(F_3)$ on the A_5 -defining subgroups of F_3 motivated the present work. Theorem 3 is a corollary of a result of F. Cappel, a student of J. Neubuser [2].

2. G-vectors. For any group G a G -vector of length n is an n -tuple $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $a_i \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. A *generating G-vector* is one whose entries generate G . G -vectors were introduced in [12, Kap. II] in order to define an action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ which is equivalent to its action on G -defining subgroups of F_n but easier to work with. If $W = x_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots x_{i_t}^{\epsilon_t}$ is a word in x_1, \dots, x_n , we define

$$W(\mathbf{a}) = a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots a_{i_t}^{\epsilon_t}.$$

Let E be the set of epimorphisms from F_n to G . The direct product $\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Aut}(F_n)$ acts on E ; for $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ the element (α, σ) sends $\rho \in E$ to the composite $\alpha\rho\sigma^{-1}$. Clearly the action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ on the $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits of E is equivalent to its action on G -defining subgroups of F_n . Let $V(G, n)$ be the set of generating G -vectors of length n . The map π sending ρ to $(\rho(x_1), \dots, \rho(x_n))$ gives a one to one correspondence between E and $V(G, n)$ and induces an action of $\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Aut}(F_n)$ on $V(G, n)$ by $(\alpha, \sigma) \cdot \rho = \pi(\alpha\rho\sigma)$.

The induced action is equivalent to the action of $\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Aut}(F_n)$ on E whence the action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ on G -defining subgroups of F_n is equivalent to its action on $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits of $V(G, n)$. Let $\bar{V}(G, n)$ be the set of $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits of $V(G, n)$. Write $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ if \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are in the same $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ -orbit of $\bar{V}(G, n)$. If $\sigma(x_i) = W_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ for words W_i in x_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\alpha \mathbf{a} \sigma = (\alpha(W_1(\mathbf{a})), \dots, \alpha(W_n(\mathbf{a}))).$$

The elementary automorphisms of F_n are

$$P(i, k): x_i \rightarrow x_k, x_k \rightarrow x_i$$

$$\sigma(i): x_i \rightarrow x_i^{-1}$$

$$L(i, k): x_i \rightarrow x_k x_i$$

$$R(i, k): x_i \rightarrow x_i x_k$$

where $1 \leq i, k, \leq n$, $i \neq k$, and unmentioned generators are left fixed [11, Sec. 3.5]. The effect of these automorphisms on $\mathbf{a} \in V(G, n)$ is to interchange any two entries, invert any entry, or multiply one entry by a different one.

The following lemma is used in the proof of Theorem 2 and is the only place we use the simplicity of G in the proof of that theorem.

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a finite nonabelian simple group. Suppose $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V(G, n)$ and $G = \langle a_i \mid i \neq j \rangle$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. For any $c \in G$, there is a word*

$$W(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

such that for

$$\beta = W(R(j, 1), \dots, R(j, j - 1), R(j, j + 1), \dots, R(j, n))$$

we have

$$\mathbf{a}\beta = (a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_j c, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

and for any $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in V(G, n)$ either $\mathbf{b}\beta = \mathbf{b}$ or there exists $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $b_i = \alpha(a_i), 1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq j$.

Proof. For any vector \mathbf{v} of length n , let \mathbf{v}' be the vector of length $n - 1$ obtained by omitting the j th entry of \mathbf{v} . Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V(F_n, n)$; the entries of \mathbf{x}' generate a free group $F \subseteq F_n$.

Let N be the kernel of the homomorphism $\rho: F \rightarrow G, \rho(x_i) = a_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq j$; and let M be the intersection of the kernels of all homomorphisms $\mu: F \rightarrow G$ with kernel distinct from N . Because G is simple, $F = NM$ and we can find $W = W(\mathbf{x}') \in M$ such that $W(\mathbf{a}') = \rho(W) = c$. If we define μ by $\mu(x_i) = b_i$, then $W(\mathbf{b}') = \mu(W(\mathbf{x}')) = 1$ unless μ and ρ have the same kernel in which case $\mu = \alpha\rho$ and $b_i = \alpha(a_i), 1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq j$, for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Clearly β has the desired effect.

3. Proof of Theorem 3. Let S be a finite set of generators of G and let $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subseteq S$ be of minimum order such that $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle = G$. If $H_i = \langle a_1, \dots, a_i \rangle$, then H_1 has order at least 2 and the index $|H_{i+1} : H_i| \geq 2$. Thus G has order $g \geq 2^r$ whence $r \leq k$ where k is the greatest integer less than or equal to $\log_2(g)$.

Now pick $a_1 \dots a_k \in G$ so that $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle = G$. For $n \geq 2k$ define

$$\mathbf{w} = (a_1, \dots, a_k, 1, \dots, 1) \in V(G, n).$$

Consider any $\mathbf{v} \in V(G, n)$; it suffices to reduce \mathbf{v} to \mathbf{w} by elementary automorphisms of F_n . By the preceding paragraph k of the entries of \mathbf{v} generate G . Permute the entries of \mathbf{v} so that the last k entries generate G . Multiplying the first k entries by the last k , we can change \mathbf{v} so that its first k entries are a_1, \dots, a_k . Now multiplying the last $n - k$ entries by the first k , we can reduce \mathbf{v} to \mathbf{w} .

4. Proof of Theorem 2 and part of Theorem 1. It suffices in the proof of Theorem 2 to show that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ acts as the alternating or symmetric group on some subset of $\bar{V}(G, n)$. We will show first that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ acts doubly transitively on one of its orbits and then estimate the degree and minimal degree of the action. At this point a theorem of Bochert [1, p. 144] gives the desired result.

For the first part of the proof, we assume only that $n \geq 3$ and G is generated by $n - 1$ elements in order to apply our argument to the proof of Theorem 1. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ be a fixed set of generators for G . Let V' be the orbit of

Aut $(G) \times$ Aut (F_n) containing

$$\mathbf{v} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 1)$$

and let \bar{V}' be the set of Aut (G) -orbits of V' .

From [11, Sec. 3.5] the elementary automorphisms of F_n generate Aut (F_n) , and

$$N = \langle L(i, k), R(i, k) \mid 1 \leq i, k \leq n, i \neq k \rangle$$

is a normal subgroup of Aut (F_n) . We claim Aut $(G) \times N$ acts transitively on V' .

Clearly $\mathbf{v} \sigma(n) = \mathbf{v}$, and further if i, j, n are distinct,

$$\mathbf{v} P(i, j) = \mathbf{v} R(n, i)R(i, n)^{-1}R(i, j)R(j, i)^{-1}R(j, n)R(n, j)^{-1},$$

while for $i \neq n$

$$\mathbf{v} P(i, n) = \mathbf{v} R(n, i)R(i, n)^{-1}.$$

As the transpositions $\{(i, n)\}$ generate the symmetric group on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, it follows that Aut $(F_n) = N C_{\text{Aut}(F_n)}(\mathbf{v})$. Thus our claim is valid.

We will show that N acts doubly transitively on \bar{V}' . Let

$$\mathbf{w} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

be an element of V' not in the Aut (G) -orbit of \mathbf{v} . It suffices to show that for a fixed $e \in G, e \neq 1, \mathbf{w}$ can be reduced to

$$\mathbf{y} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, e)$$

by applying elements of Aut (G) or elements of $C_N(\mathbf{v})$. Clearly $y \in V'$. We have $\mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{w} \delta, \alpha \in \text{Aut } (G), \delta \in N$. We may assume $\alpha = 1$. Express δ as a word in the $R(i, k)$'s and $L(i, k)$'s. The problem is that some of the $R(i, k)$'s and $L(i, k)$'s do not fix \mathbf{v} . Consider the $R(i, k)$'s; the $L(i, k)$'s are handled similarly. For $1 \leq i < n, R(i, n)$ fixes \mathbf{v} , and for $1 \leq i, k, < n, i \neq k,$

$$R(i, k) = R(n, k)^{-1}R(i, n)^{-1}R(n, k)R(i, n).$$

Thus we need only show that for any \mathbf{w} chosen as above and $i, 1 \leq i < n,$ we can find an element $\beta \in N$ such that $\mathbf{w} \beta = \mathbf{w} R(n, i)$ and β fixes \mathbf{v} . We can do this by Lemma 1 unless $b_i = \alpha(a_i), 1 \leq i \leq n - 1,$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut } (G)$. Thus we are reduced to dealing with the case

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{w} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b) \quad 1 \neq b \neq e.$$

At this point we assume the hypothesis of Theorem 2. In particular $n \geq 4$ and we may suppose $a_{n-1} = 1 = b_{n-1}$. We will reduce \mathbf{w} to \mathbf{y} . First of all $R(n - 1, n)R(n, n - 1)^{-1}$ fixes \mathbf{v} and moves \mathbf{w} to

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}P(n - 1, n) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, b, 1)$$

By Lemma 1 we can find $\beta \in C_N(\mathbf{v})$ such that

$$\mathbf{u}\beta = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, b, e)$$

and likewise we can find $\beta' \in C_N(\mathbf{v})$ for which $\mathbf{u}\beta\beta' = \mathbf{y}$.

Now we estimate the degree r and minimal degree s of the action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ on \bar{V}' . The vectors $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, e, f)e, f \in G$ lie in g^2 distinct $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits of V' where g is the order of G . Thus $r \geq g^2$.

By Lemma 1 some $\beta \in N$ fixes all elements of $V(G, n)$ except those in the $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits of $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, f), f \in G$, whence $s \leq g$. By the theorem of Bochert referred to above if $\text{Aut}(F)$ does not act as the alternating or symmetric group,

$$s \geq r/3 - 2\sqrt{r}/3.$$

As the righthand side is an increasing function of r for $r \geq 1$, we have

$$g \geq g^2/3 - 2g/3$$

whence $g \leq 5$ which is impossible. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

5. The proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. First we show that the theorem implies the corollary. It suffices to show that if $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(F_n), n \geq 3$, and α normalizes every $PSL(2, p)$ -defining subgroup of F_n for all primes $p > 3$, then α is inner. Let x be a primitive element of F_n , and let R be the normal closure of x in $F_n, F_n/R$ is free on $n - 1$ generators. In [13] it is shown that for $n \geq 2 F_n$ is residually $PSL(2, p), p$ a prime > 3 . Applying this result to F_n/R , we see that α must normalize R . By [11, Theorem 4.11] $\alpha(x)$ is conjugate in F_n to x or x^{-1} . Considering the action of α on the commutator quotient of F_n , we see that either $\alpha(x)$ is conjugate to x for every primitive element x or $\alpha(x)$ is conjugate to x^{-1} for every primitive x . In the first case α is inner by [9, Lemma 1]. In the second case the obvious extension of [9, Lemma 1] and its proof suffice to show α is inner.

The proof of Theorem 1 rests on explicit knowledge of the lattice of subgroups of $PSL(2, p)$ [4, Ch XII; 10, § 3]. As $PSL(2, p)$ is generated by two elements, Theorem 2 applies to the action of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ on $PSL(2, p)$ -defining subgroups of F_n when $n \geq 4$. We will show that the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds when $n = 3$.

Let a and b be the elements of G of order p represented by the matrices

$$(2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively. As $N_G(\langle a \rangle)$ is the unique maximal subgroup of G containing $\langle a \rangle, \langle a, b \rangle = G$. Let

$$\mathbf{v} = (a, b, 1), \quad \mathbf{y} = (a, b, ab),$$

and define V' and \bar{V}' as in the proof of Theorem 2. By the reduction to (1) in

the proof of Theorem 2 we need only show for

$$\mathbf{w} = (a, b, c) \quad 1 \neq c \neq ab$$

how to reduce \mathbf{w} to \mathbf{y} be elements of $C_N(\mathbf{v})$. If $c \notin N_G(\langle a \rangle) \cap N_G(\langle b \rangle)$, either $\langle a, c \rangle = G$ or $\langle b, c \rangle = G$. If, however $c \in N_G(\langle a \rangle) \cap N_G(\langle b \rangle)$, then c has matrix representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

whence $bc b^{-1} \notin N_G(\langle a \rangle)$; since $\mathbf{w} \sim (a, b, bc b^{-1})$, we may assume $\langle a, c \rangle = G$. By Lemma 1,

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}\beta = (a, ab, c)$$

for some $\beta \in C_N(\mathbf{v})$. Since 1 is not an eigenvalue of the product of the matrices in (2), no automorphism of G moves b to ab . By Lemma 1,

$$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u}\beta' = (a, ab, ab)$$

for some $\beta' \in C_N(\mathbf{v})$, and another application of Lemma 1 moves \mathbf{t} to \mathbf{y} .

We have shown that $\text{Aut}(F_3)$ acts doubly transitively on one of its $\bar{V}(G, 3)$ -orbits. As in the proof of Theorem 2, the minimal degree of this action is at most g . Once we show that $\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Aut}(F_3)$ acts transitively on $V(G, 3)$, the degree of the action will be the number of G -defining subgroups of F_3 . We can then calculate this number by the method of [9] and show as in the proof of Theorem 2 that $\text{Aut}(F_3)$ acts as the alternating or symmetric group on \bar{V}' .

We will show the required transitivity. Let

$$\mathbf{v} = (a, b, 1, \dots, 1)$$

where a and b are chosen as above, and let

$$\mathbf{w} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

be an arbitrary group vector in $V(G, n)$. Suppose first that a proper subset of $S = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ generates G . By permuting the entries of \mathbf{w} we may assume $G = \langle c_2, \dots, c_n \rangle$. Multiplying the first entry by the others we may assume $c_1 = a$. Now $\langle c_1, c_j \rangle = G$ for some $j, 2 \leq j \leq n$. We may assume $\langle c_1, c_n \rangle = G$. Now we can achieve $c_2 = b$ and then $c_3 = \dots = c_n = 1$. Thus in this case we can move \mathbf{w} to \mathbf{v} .

Assume $n \geq 4$ and let $H = \langle c_1, c_2, c_3 \rangle$. By the preceding paragraph we may assume that H is a proper subgroup of G . With the exception of A_5 , the proper subgroups of G are all solvable and generated by 2 elements. By [6], we see that with the exception of $H \cong A_5$, that $\mathbf{u} = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$ can be moved by an element of $\text{Aut}(F_3)$ to an H -vector with one entry equal to the identity. It follows that \mathbf{w} can be moved to \mathbf{v} as before. Once we have dealt with the case $n = 3$, then as $A_5 \cong PSL(2, 5)$, this argument will apply to $H \cong A_5$ as well.

Now we deal with the case $n = 3$. Assume there exists an $\text{Aut } (G) \times \text{Aut } (F_3)$ -orbit, W , of $V(G, 3)$ with $\mathbf{v} \notin W$. We will derive a contradiction. Let

$$\mathbf{w} = (c, d, e)$$

be an arbitrary element of W , and let H be the subgroup of G generated by two entries of \mathbf{w} . From the discussion above we know

$$(i) \ H \neq G.$$

We claim that H is noncyclic. Suppose $H = \langle c, d \rangle$ and H is cyclic; then $H = \langle cd^i \rangle$ for some integer i and

$$\mathbf{w} \sim \mathbf{u} = (cd^i, d, e) \in w$$

which is impossible by (i) as $G = \langle cd^i, e \rangle$. Thus we have established

$$(ii) \ H \text{ is noncyclic.}$$

Now assume that H normalizes a Sylow p -subgroup, P , of G . By (ii) and the structure of $N_G(P)$, $P \subseteq H$ and H/P is cyclic. We assume again that $H = \langle c, d \rangle$; H is a Frobenius group. For some $i, f = cd^i$ generates a complement to P in H and for some $j, g = df^j$ generates P . We have

$$\mathbf{w} \sim (f, d, e) \sim \mathbf{u} = (f, g, e) \in W.$$

$N_G(P)$ is the unique maximal subgroup of G containing P , and it follows from $G = \langle f, g, e \rangle$ that $e \notin N_G(P)$ and $G = \langle g, e \rangle$ contrary to (i). Hence

$$(iii) \ H \text{ does not normalize a Sylow } p\text{-subgroup of } G.$$

By (i)-(iii), $\langle c, d \rangle$ must be dihedral, elementary abelian of order 4 or isomorphic to A_4, S_4 , or A_5 . If $d^2 \neq 1$, we wish to move \mathbf{w} to (x, y, e) with $y^2 = 1$. In the dihedral case $x = c, y = cd$ suffices, while if $H \cong A_4$, either $c^2 = 1$ and we can interchange c and d or $|c| = |d| = 3$ and cd or c^2d is an involution. If $H \cong S_4$ and c and d are both not involutions, the orders of c and d are 3 or 4. If $|c| = |d| = 4$, then $|cd| = 2$ or 3, so we may assume $|c| = 3, |d| = 4$. Either $|cd| = 2$ or $|c^2d| = 2$. Finally in the case $H \cong A_5$, we appeal to [11, § 10] which says that for some automorphism $x_i \rightarrow w_i(x_1, x_2)$ of $F_2, w_2(c, d)$ will be an involution. As we may extend this automorphism to F_3 by $x_3 \rightarrow x_3$, we can move \mathbf{w} to (x, y, e) as desired. Applying the same argument to x and e , we have

$$(iv) \ \mathbf{w} \sim \mathbf{u} = (x, y, z) \text{ with } |x| = |y| = 2.$$

We let $\mathbf{u} = (x, y, z)$ stand for an arbitrary element of W whose first two entries have order 2. Suppose $[x, y] \neq 1$ so that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is dihedral of order at least 6 and $f = xy$ has order at least 3. As

$$\mathbf{u} \sim (x, f, z) \in W$$

(i)-(iii) imply that $K = \langle f, z \rangle$ is dihedral or isomorphic to A_4, S_4 or A_5 . With the exception of $K \cong A_4, f$ is inverted by some $g \in K$. Since g is equal to a word in f and z ,

$$(x, f, z) \sim (xg, f, z)$$

But x also inverts f so that $\langle xg, f \rangle$ is abelian. By (ii) $\langle xg, f \rangle$ must be elementary abelian of order 4 contrary to $|f| \geq 3$. We conclude that

$$(v) \langle xy, z \rangle \cong A_4 \text{ or } [x, y] = 1.$$

Since G is simple, the $\langle x, z \rangle$ -conjugates of y generate G , and likewise x does not commute with some $\langle x, z \rangle$ -conjugate, y_1 , of y . Thus

$$u \sim u_1 = (x, y_1, z)$$

with $|x| = |y_1| = 2$ and $[x, y_1] \neq 1$. Consequently $|xy_1| \geq 3$ and by (v) $\langle xy_1, z \rangle \cong A_4$. We must have $|xy_1| = 3$ and $|(xy_1)^j z| = 2$ for some j . Hence

$$u_1 \sim u_2 = (x, y_1, z_1)$$

with $|z_1| = 2$. By (v) G is a quotient of

$$G_1 = \langle x, y_1, z_1 | x^2, y_1^2, z_1^2, (xy_1)^3, (xz_1)^m, (y_1z_1)^n \rangle$$

with m and n each equal to 2 or 3. If $m = 2$ or $n = 2$, then G_1 has order 12 or 24 by [3, § 4.3]. But $|G| \geq 60$, so we must have $|xz_1| = |y_1z_1| = 3$ (in which case G_1 has infinite order). Now

$$u_2 \sim (x, y_1, y_1z_1) \in W$$

so (v) implies $\langle xy_1, y_1z_1 \rangle \cong A_4$. Further $|y_1z_1| = 3$ and $|xy_1y_1z_1| = |xz_1| = 3$. But then $|xy_1(y_1z_1)^{-1}| = 2$; and as $|y_1| = |z_1| = 2$, $(y_1z_1)^{-1} = z_1y_1$. We have $|xy_1z_1y_1| = 2$. In other words x commutes with

$$z_2 = y_1z_1y_1 = y_1z_1y_1^{-1}.$$

But

$$u_2 \sim (x, y_1, z_2) \in W$$

with $|x| = |y_1| = |z_2| = |xz_2| = 2$, $|xy_1| = 3$, $|y_1z_2| = |z_1y_1| = 3$ gives a contradiction as above.

Our results so far guarantee that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ acts as the alternating or symmetric group on $\bar{V}(G, n)$. By [11, Sec. 3.5] $\langle \sigma(1) \rangle$ covers the commutator quotient of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. By Dirichlet's theorem on primes, Theorem 3 will be proved once we show that the sign (as a permutation) of $\sigma = \sigma(1)$ is odd if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{80}$ and even if $p \equiv 17 \pmod{80}$. We will count the number of points of $\bar{V}(G, n)$ moved by σ and divide by 2. The $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit of $w = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ is fixed by σ exactly when there is an automorphism α of G with $\alpha(c_1) = c_1^{-1}$, $\alpha(c_i) = c_i$ $2 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle = G$, w determines α .

First we count the number $\psi(G)$ of generating G -vectors w which are not fixed by σ ; i.e., the number of w 's with $|c_1| > 2$. The number of H -vectors of this type for a group H is $f(H)|H|^{n-1}$ where $f(H)$ is the number of elements of H of order at least 3. To calculate $\psi(G)$ we use the Möbius inversion of P. Hall [9] and obtain a sum over the subgroups of G .

$$\psi(G) = \sum \mu(H) f(H) |H|^{n-1}$$

where μ is given in [10, § 3.9]. Combining terms corresponding to conjugate subgroups, we obtain

$$(3) \quad \psi(G) = \sum' a_H f(H) |H|^{n-1}$$

where the sum is carried out over conjugacy classes of subgroups as in [10, Theorem 3.9]. As it will suffice to determine $\psi(G)$ modulo $8g$, we may ignore terms in (3) which are divisible by $8g$. If we note that a_H is always divisible by $|G : H|$ (as it must be by [7, Corollary 2]), and $n \geq 3$, we may ignore any H for which 8 divides $f(H)|H|$. By inverting elements of H we see that $f(H)$ is even whence we may ignore terms corresponding to H 's of even order.

We obtain

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(G) &\equiv 4g \pmod{8g} && \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{80} \\ \psi(G) &\equiv 0 \pmod{8g} && \text{if } p \equiv 17 \pmod{80}. \end{aligned}$$

Among the $\psi(G)$ vectors not fixed by σ will be some which are in an $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit fixed by σ . Let $\theta(G)$ be the number of these vectors; $\theta(G)$ is the number of generating G -vectors

$$\mathbf{w} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$$

for which $|c_1| > 2$ and there is an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ inverting c_1 and centralizing $c_i, 2 \leq i \leq n$. The $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit of \mathbf{w} has size $2g = |\text{Aug}(G)|$, and all its vectors are moved by σ . Thus σ is a product of $(\psi(G) - \theta(G))/4g$ disjoint transpositions. We will show $\theta(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{8g}$ when $n \geq 4$. For $n = 3$, similar but harder computation gives the same result. We identify $\text{Aut}(G)$ with $PGL(2, p)$ and think of G as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$.

As we have noted, \mathbf{w} determines α uniquely and $\langle \alpha, c_1 \rangle = D$ is a dihedral subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$. For each choice of α and c_1 we obtain a group vector \mathbf{w} by choosing $c_i \in C_G(\alpha), 2 \leq i \leq n$. If \mathbf{w} is not a generating G -vector, then $\langle c_i | 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ lies in a maximal subgroup of G and $\langle \alpha, c_i | 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ lies in a maximal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$. To count the number of generating group vectors corresponding to the pair (α, c_1) we count the number of sequences c_2, \dots, c_n in $C_G(\alpha)$ such that $\langle c_i | 2 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ is not contained in $C_{H \cap G}(\alpha)$ for any maximal subgroup H of $\text{Aut}(G)$ containing D . We divide the enumeration into cases according to the value of $m = |c_1|$. Define $q = (p - 1)/2$ and $r = (p + 1)/2$ so that $g = 2pqr$.

Suppose $p \neq m > 5$. D lies in a unique maximal subgroup H of $\text{Aut}(G)$ and H is dihedral of order $4q$ if m divides q or dihedral of order $4r$ if m divides r . (The maximal subgroups of $\text{Aut}(G)$ are the normalizers of the maximal subgroups of G , and their structure is determined by knowledge of the subgroups of $PSL(2, p^2)$ and the fact that $PSL(2, p^2)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $PGL(2, p)$.) Suppose $|H| = 4q$. H contains $\varphi(m)$ elements of order m , where φ is Euler's function. There are pr choices for H (of order $4q$) and $2q$ involutions in $H - Z(H)$. These divide into 2 H -conjugacy classes each of order q . From the involutions in a single H -conjugacy class we obtain $q\varphi(m)$ pairs (α, c_1) . For each pair we may choose c_2, \dots, c_n in $|C_G(\alpha)|^{n-1} - |C_{H \cap G}(\alpha)|^{n-1}$ ways. As

$|H \cap G|$ is even and $n \geq 4$, the number of choices of c_2, \dots, c_n is divisible by 4, and the number of generating group vectors we obtain is congruent to 0 modulo $16q$. From the rp choices for H , then the total number of generating group vectors we obtain is congruent to zero modulo $16rpq = 8g$. The same conclusion holds if $m > 5$ and m divides r .

Suppose $p \neq m = 5$. D lies in a unique dihedral group H of order $4q$ or $4r$ and if $D \subseteq G$, D also lies in two icosahedral groups K_1 and K_2 . The argument of the previous paragraph gives $0 \pmod{8g}$ \mathbf{w} 's once we show that for a fixed α and c_1 , the number of choices of c_2, \dots, c_n is divisible by 8. If α is outer, the desired conclusion follows exactly as before. If α is inner, $\langle c_2, \dots, c_n \rangle$ must not lie in $C_{H \cap G}(\alpha)$ or $C_{K_i}(\alpha)$, $i = 1, 2$. We can calculate the number of choices for c_2, \dots, c_n by Möbius inversion on the lattice of subgroups consisting of $C_G(\alpha)$ and all intersections of $C_{H \cap G}(\alpha)$ and $C_{K_i}(\alpha)$, $i = 1, 2$. The answer will be a linear combination of the orders of the groups in the lattice raised to the power $n - 1$. As $\langle \alpha \rangle$ is the minimum element of this lattice and $n \geq 4$, our answer will be divisible by 8.

Next we suppose $m = 4$. D lies in a unique maximal dihedral subgroup H . If M is any maximal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ containing D , $Z(D) \subseteq C_{M \cap G}(\alpha)$ implies $|C_{M \cap G}(\alpha)|$ is even and the argument of the previous paragraph with $Z(D)$ in place of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ shows that the number of choices of c_2, \dots, c_n is divisible by 4. We again obtain $0 \pmod{4g}$ \mathbf{w} 's.

Consider $m = 3$. D lies in a unique H dihedral of order $4q$ or $4r$. If $D \not\subseteq G$ and $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, D lies in two octahedral groups. If $D \subseteq G$, D lies in two octahedral subgroups of G if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. When $D \subseteq G$, (that is when α lies in the H -conjugacy class of involutions in $H \cap G - Z(H)$) we obtain as in the case $p \neq m = 5$, $0 \pmod{8g}$ generating group vectors. Suppose $D \not\subseteq G$ and $|H| = 4q$. From the rp choices for H and q choices for $\alpha \in H - G$, we have $(rp)(q)\varphi(3) = g$ pairs (α, c_1) . If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, H is the only maximal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ containing D and we obtain $0 \pmod{8g}$ generating vectors as before. However if $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, D lies in two octahedral subgroups J_1, J_2 of $\text{Aut}(G)$. Let $E_i = C_{J_i \cap G}(\alpha)$, $i = 1, 2$. $|E_i| = 2$ and $J_i = \langle D, E_i \rangle$. We have $E_1 \neq E_2$ else $J_1 = J_2$ and $E_i \not\subseteq C_H(\alpha)$ else $J_i \subseteq H$. By Möbius inversion the number of choices for c_2, \dots, c_n is

$$|C_G(\alpha)|^{n-1} - |C_{H \cap M}(\alpha)|^{n-1} - 2 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 2$$

which is congruent to $2 \pmod{8}$. We obtain in this case $2g \pmod{8g}$ generating group vectors, and we obtain the same result if $|H| = 4r$.

In summary if $\theta_p(G)$ is the number of \mathbf{w} 's with $m = p$ and $\theta_{p'}(G)$ is the number with $m \neq p$, we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_p(G) &\equiv 0 \pmod{8g} && \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \text{ and } n \geq 4, \\ \theta_{p'}(G) &\equiv 2g \pmod{8g} && \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \text{ and } n \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to calculate $\theta_p(G)$. We have $m = p$, and D lies in a unique maximal subgroup H of $\text{Aut}(G)$. H is the normalizer of a Sylow p -subgroup

$\langle c_1 \rangle$ of G and is a Frobenius group with $H/\langle c_1 \rangle$ cyclic of order $2q$. H has one class of involutions, which has size p . From the $2r$ choices for H we have $2rp\varphi(p)$ choices of the pair (α, c_1) we may choose c_2, \dots, c_n in $|C_G(\alpha)|^{n-1} - |C_{H \cap G}(\alpha)|^{n-1}$ ways we have

$$\theta_p(G) = 2rp(p - 1)[(2q)^{n-1} - q^{n-1}]$$

whence

$$(6) \quad \theta_p(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{4g} \quad \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

By (4), (5), (6) the following table is correct for $p \equiv 1$ or $17 \pmod{80}$ and $n \geq 4$.

Sign of σ as a permutation on $\bar{V}(PSL(2, p), n), n \geq 3$				
Congruence of $p \pmod{8}$	1	3	5	7
Congruence of $p \pmod{5} \pm 1$	-1	1	$(-1)^{n-1}$	1
	± 2	1	-1	$(-1)^n$

For $p = 5$ the sign of σ is $(-1)^n$.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bochert, *Ueber die Classe der transitiven Substitutionengruppen*, Math. Ann. 49 (1897), 131-144.
2. F. Cappel, *Diplomarbeit* (Aachen, 1974).
3. H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, *Generators and relations for discrete groups* (Springer Verlag, New York, 1965).
4. L. E. Dickson, *Linear groups with an exposition of the Galois field theory* (Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1958).
5. M. J. Dunwoody, *On T-systems of groups*, J. Australian Math. Soc. 3 (1963), 172-179.
6. ——— *Nielsen transformations*, in Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra, J. Leech ed. (Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York, 1969).
7. R. Gilman, *A combinatorial identity with applications to representation theory*, Illinois J. Math. 17 (1972), 347-351.
8. D. Gorenstein ed., *Reviews on finite groups* (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974).
9. E. Grossman, *On the residual finiteness of certain mapping class groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 9 (1974), 160-164.
10. P. Hall, *The Eulerian functions of a group*, Quarterly J. Math. 7 (1936), 134-151.
11. W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory* (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1966).
12. B. H. Neumann and H. Neumann, *Zwei Klassen Charakterischer Untergruppen und ihre Faktorgruppen*, Math. Nachr. 4 (1950), 106-125.
13. A. Peluso, *A residual property of free groups*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 19 (1966), 435-437.
14. D. Stork, *Structure and applications of Schreier coset graphs*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 24 (1971), 797-805.
15. ——— *The action of the automorphism group of F_2 upon the A_6 and $PSL(2, 7)$ -defining subgroups of F_2* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 172 (1972), 111-117.

*Stevens Institute of Technology,
Castle Point Station,
Hoboken, New Jersey*