

ON THE LOCATION OF CRITICAL POINTS OF POLYNOMIALS

ABDUL AZIZ

(Received 9 August 1982)

Communicated by W. Moran

Abstract

Let all the zeros of a polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n lie in $|z| \leq 1$ and a be a given complex number. In this paper we study the location of the zeros of higher derivatives of the polynomial $(z - z)P(z)$ and obtain certain generalizations of some results of Rahman and Rubinstein. We shall also extend a result of Goodman, Rahman and Ratti for the zeros of the polar derivative of the polynomial $P(z)$ given $P(1) = 0$.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.):* 30 A 04, 30 A 06.

Let all the zeros of a polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n lie in the closed unit disk $|z| \leq 1$. It was asked by Rahman [3], given a complex number a what is the radius of the smallest disk centred at a containing at least one zero of the polynomial $((z - a)P(z))'$? He has answered the question by showing that one and only one zero of $((z - a)P(z))'$ lies in

$$(1) \quad |z - a| \leq \frac{|a| + 1}{n + 1}$$

provided $|a| > (n + 2)/n$. The remaining $(n - 1)$ zeros of $((z - a)P(z))'$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

Here we first obtain an extension of this result for the zeros of the higher derivatives of the polynomial $(z - a)P(z)$ and thereby give an independent proof

of (1) as well. We prove

THEOREM 1. *If all the zeros of a polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n lie in $|z| \leq 1$ and $F(z) = (z - a)P(z)$, then $F^{(k)}(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, has one and only one zero in*

$$(2) \quad |z - a| \leq \frac{k(|a| + 1)}{n + 1}$$

provided $|a| > (n + k + 1)/(n - k + 1)$. The remaining $n - k$ zeros of $F^{(k)}(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. The example $P(z) = (z + e^{i\theta})^n$ where $\theta = \arg a$ shows that the result is best possible.

For the proof of this theorem we need the following lemma, which is the Coincidence Theorem of Walsh [2, page 62].

LEMMA. *Let $G(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ be a symmetric n -linear form of total degree n in z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n and let C be a circle containing the n points w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n . Then there exists at least one point α belonging to C such that*

$$G(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha) = G(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We have $F(z) = (z - a)P(z)$, so that

$$(3) \quad F^{(k)}(z) = (z - a)P^{(k)}(z) + kP^{(k-1)}(z), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Clearly $F^{(k)}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n - k + 1$. Since all the zeros of the polynomial $P(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$, it follows by the Gauss-Lucas Theorem that all the zeros of the polynomial $P^{(k-1)}(z)$ of degree $n - k + 1$ also lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Therefore, if $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-k+1}$ are the zeros of $P^{(k-1)}(z)$, then $|w_j| \leq 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - k + 1$. If now w is any zero of $F^{(k)}(z)$, then from (3) we have

$$(4) \quad (w - a)P^{(k)}(w) + kP^{(k-1)}(w) = 0.$$

This is an equation which is linear and symmetric in the zeros of $P^{(k-1)}(z)$, that is in $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-k+1}$. Hence an application of the lemma above shows that w will also satisfy the equation obtained by substituting into equation (4)

$$P^{(k-1)}(z) = (z - \alpha)^{n-k+1},$$

where α is a suitably chosen point in $|z| \leq 1$. That is, w satisfies the equation

$$(n - k + 1)(w - a)(w - \alpha)^{n-k} + k(w - \alpha)^{n-k+1} = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$(w - \alpha)^{n-k} \{(n - k + 1)(w - a) + k(w - \alpha)\} = 0.$$

Thus w has the values

$$w = \alpha \quad \text{or} \quad w = \frac{(n - k + 1)a + k\alpha}{n + 1}.$$

Since $|\alpha| \leq 1$, it follows that all the zeros of $F^{(k)}(z)$ lies in the union of the two circles

$$(5) \quad |z| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| z - \frac{(n - k + 1)a}{n + 1} \right| \leq \frac{k}{n + 1},$$

and hence also lie in the union of the two circles

$$(6) \quad |z| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |z - a| \leq \frac{k(|a| + 1)}{n + 1}.$$

Since $|a| > (n + k + 1)/(n - k + 1)$, it follows that the closed interiors of the two circles defined by (6) have no point in common and we show that $F^{(k)}(z)$ has one and only one zero in $|z - a| \leq k(|a| + 1)/(n + 1)$. Since

$$\frac{zP^{(k)}(z)}{P^{(k-1)}(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1} \frac{z}{z - w_j}$$

and $|w_j| \leq 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n - k + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} P^{(k)}(e^{i\theta})}{P^{(k-1)}(e^{i\theta})} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - w_j} \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{n - k + 1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for points $e^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, other than the zeros of $P^{(k-1)}(z)$. This implies

$$|e^{i\theta} P^{(k)}(e^{i\theta}) - (n - k + 1)P^{(k-1)}(e^{i\theta})| \leq |P^{(k)}(e^{i\theta})|$$

for points $e^{i\theta}$ other than the zeros of $P^{(k-1)}(z)$. Since this inequality is trivially true for points $e^{i\theta}$ which are the zeros of $P^{(k-1)}(z)$, it follows that

$$(7) \quad |zP^{(k)}(z) - (n - k + 1)P^{(k-1)}(z)| \leq |P^{(k)}(z)| \quad \text{for } |z| = 1.$$

Now the degree of the polynomial $zP^{(k)}(z) - (n - k + 1)P^{(k-1)}(z)$ is at most equal to the degree of the polynomial $P^{(k)}(z)$ and $P^{(k)}(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| > 1$, we conclude with the help of the Maximum Modulus Principle that the inequality (7) holds for $|z| > 1$ also. Thus

$$\left| z - \frac{(n - k + 1)P^{(k-1)}(z)}{P^{(k)}(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } |z| > 1.$$

We write

$$\delta(z) = z - \frac{(n - k + 1)P^{(k-1)}(z)}{P^{(k)}(z)},$$

then $\delta(z)$ is an analytic function defined for all $|z| > 1$ and $|\delta(z)| \leq 1$ for $|z| > 1$. If $|a| > 1$, then

$$\frac{P^{(k)}(z)}{P^{(k-1)}(z)} = \frac{(n - k + 1)\beta(z)}{(z - a)\beta(z) - 1}$$

where $\beta(z) = 1/(\delta(z) - a)$ is analytic in $|z| > 1$ and

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{|a| + 1} \leq |\beta(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|a| - 1}.$$

Since for $|z| > 1$

$$\frac{(z - a)P^{(k)}(z) + kP^{(k-1)}(z)}{P^{(k-1)}(z)} = \frac{(n + 1)(z - a)\beta(z) - k}{(z - a)\beta(z) - 1},$$

the zeros of $F^{(k)}(z) = (z - a)P^{(k)}(z) + kP^{(k-1)}(z)$ in $|z| > 1$ are the same as the zeros of $(n + 1)(z - a)\beta(z) - k$. Now if

$$|a| > \frac{n + k + 1}{n - k + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k(|a| + 1)}{n + 1} < |z - a| < |a| - 1,$$

then from (8) we have

$$k < |(n + 1)(z - a)\beta(z)|.$$

Applying Rouché's theorem we conclude that $(n + 1)(z - a)\beta(z) - k$ and $(n + 1)(z - a)\beta(z)$ have the same number of zeros in $|z - a| \leq k(|a| + 1)/(n + 1)$, namely one. Now it easily follows from (6) that the remaining $n - k$ zeros of $F^{(k)}(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. Since $|a| > (n + k + 1)/(n - k + 1)$, it can be easily seen that the two circles defined by (5) have no point in common. Hence it follows by the similar reasoning as above that, in fact, $F^{(k)}(z)$ has one and only one zero in the circle

$$\left| z - \frac{(n - k + 1)a}{n + 1} \right| \leq \frac{k}{n + 1}.$$

Next we prove the following result which is valid for $|a| \geq 1$. For simplicity we assume that a is real and $a \geq 1$.

THEOREM 2. *If all the zeros of a polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n lie in $|z| \leq 1$ and $F(z) = (z - a)^r P(z)$, $a \geq 1$, then $F^{(k)}(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq n + r - 1$, has at least one zero in both the circles*

$$(9) \quad \left| z - a + \left(\frac{a+1}{2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{r}{k+1} \right) \right| \leq \left(\frac{a+1}{2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{r}{k+1} \right)$$

and

$$(10) \quad |z - a| \leq (a+1) \left(1 - \frac{r}{k+1} \right).$$

PROOF. First we observe that the circle defined by (9) is contained in the circle defined by (10). So to prove the theorem it suffices to show that $F^{(k)}(z)$ has a zero in the circle defined by (9).

We assume $k \geq r$. Since $F(z) = (z - a)^r P(z)$, it is easy to see that

$$(11) \quad \frac{F^{(k+1)}(a)}{F^{(k)}(a)} = \frac{k+1}{k-r+1} \frac{P^{(k-r+1)}(a)}{P^{(k-r)}(a)}.$$

Now $F^{(k)}(z)$ and $P^{(k-r)}(z)$ are polynomials of degree $n + r - k$ and therefore, if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+r-k}$ are the zeros of $F^{(k)}(z)$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+r-k}$ are those of $P^{(k-r)}(z)$, then from (11) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+r-k} \frac{1}{a - \alpha_j} = \frac{k+1}{k-r+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+r-k} \frac{1}{a - \beta_j}.$$

Since by the Gauss-Lucas theorem all the zeros of $P^{(k-r)}(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$, therefore $|\beta_j| \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n + r - k$ and thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{a - \beta_j} \geq \frac{1}{a+1} \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, n + r - k.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{n+r-k} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{a - \alpha_j} &= \frac{k+1}{k-r+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+r-k} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{a - \beta_j} \\ &\geq \frac{(k+1)(n+r-k)}{(k-r+1)(a+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{a - \alpha} &\equiv \operatorname{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq n+r-k} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{a - \alpha_j} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n+r-k} \sum_{j=1}^{n+r-k} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{a - \alpha_j} \\ &\geq \frac{(k+1)}{(a+1)(k-r+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\left| \alpha - a + \left(\frac{a+1}{2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{r}{k+1} \right) \right| \leq \left(\frac{a+1}{2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{r}{k+1} \right),$$

which is equivalent to (9) and the theorem is established.

The following corollary is obtained from Theorem 2 by taking $r = k$.

COROLLARY 1. *If all the zeros of a polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n lie in $|z| \leq 1$ and $F(z) = (z - a)^k P(z)$, $a \geq 1$, then at least one zero of $F^{(k)}(z)$ lies in both the circles*

$$\left| z - a + \frac{a+1}{2(k+1)} \right| \leq \frac{a+1}{2(k+1)},$$

and

$$|z - a| \leq \frac{a+1}{k+1}.$$

In particular when $k = 1$ and $a = 1$, then the Corollary 1 states that if all the zeros of a polynomial $F(z) = (z - 1)P(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$, then $F'(z)$ has at least one zero in both the circles

$$(12) \quad \left| z - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$(13) \quad |z - a| \leq 1.$$

The result (12) is due to Goodman, Rahman and Ratti [1] and (13) was proved by Rubinstein [4].

Finally we prove the following result which extends (12) for the zeros of the polar derivative with respect to $a \geq 1$ of the polynomial $P(z)$ having all its zeros in the closed unit disk.

THEOREM 3. *If all the zeros of a polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n lie in $|z| \leq 1$ and $P(1) = 0$, then the polynomial $nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z)$ has at least one zero in the circle*

$$(14) \quad \left| z - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \quad \text{where } \alpha \geq 1.$$

PROOF. We write

$$G(z) = nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z) \quad \text{and} \quad P(z) = (z - 1)Q(z),$$

then

$$(15) \quad \frac{G'(z)}{G(z)} = \frac{(n - 1)P'(z) + (\alpha - z)P''(z)}{nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z)}.$$

The case $\alpha = 1$ is trivial, so we assume $\alpha > 1$. If $z = 1$ is a multiple zero of $P(z)$ then $z = 1$ is also a zero of $P'(z)$ and therefore $z = 1$ is a zero of $G(z)$. Since 1 lies in (14), the assertion is true in this case. Hence we assume that $z = 1$ is a simple zero of $P(z)$. Now from (15) we have

$$(16) \quad \frac{G'(1)}{G(1)} = \frac{n - 1}{\alpha - 1} + \frac{P''(1)}{P'(1)} = \frac{n - 1}{\alpha - 1} + \frac{2Q'(1)}{Q(1)}.$$

If w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1} are the zeros of $G(z)$ and z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} are those of $Q(z)$, then from (16) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - w_j} = \frac{n - 1}{\alpha - 1} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - z_j}.$$

Since $|z_j| \leq 1$, so that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - z_j} \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - w_j} &= \frac{n - 1}{\alpha - 1} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - z_j} \\ &\geq \frac{n - 1}{\alpha - 1} + n - 1 = \frac{(n - 1)\alpha}{\alpha - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - w} &\equiv \operatorname{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - w_j} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - w_j} \geq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\left| w - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha},$$

which is equivalent to (14) and the theorem is proved.

References

- [1] A. W. Goodman, Q. I. Rahman and J. S. Ratti, 'On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (1969), 273–274.
- [2] M. Marden, 'Geometry of polynomials', 2nd ed., *Mathematical Surveys* 3 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1966).
- [3] Q. I. Rahman, 'On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative', *Pacific J. Math.* **41** (1972), 525–528.
- [4] Z. Rubinstein, 'On a problem of Ilyeff', *Pacific J. Math.* **26** (1968), 158–161.

Post-graduate Department of Mathematics
University of Kashmir
Hazratbal Srinagar-190006
Kashmir, India