

ON MINIMAL SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

M. ASAAD

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt
e-mail: moasmo45@hotmail.com

(Received 23 February 2008; revised 29 May 2008; accepted 20 November 2008)

Abstract. Let G be a finite group. A minimal subgroup of G is a subgroup of prime order. A subgroup of G is called S -quasinormal in G if it permutes with each Sylow subgroup of G . A group G is called an MS -group if each minimal subgroup of G is S -quasinormal in G . In this paper, we investigate the structure of minimal non- MS -groups (non- MS -groups all of whose proper subgroups are MS -groups).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D10, 20D20.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper G will denote a finite group. We write $\sigma(G)$ for the set of prime divisors of the order of G and $|\sigma(G)|$ for their number. A minimal subgroup of G is a subgroup of prime order. A group G is called a PN -group if each minimal subgroup of G is normal in G . Two subgroups H and K of a group G are said to permute if $HK = KH$. It is easily seen that H and K permute if and only if the set HK is a subgroup of G . We say, following Kegel [7], that a subgroup of G is S -quasinormal in G if it permutes with each Sylow subgroup of G . A group G is called an MS -group if each minimal subgroup of G is S -quasinormal in G .

Schmidt and others [9] determined the structure of minimal non-nilpotent groups (non-nilpotent groups all of whose proper subgroups are nilpotent), and Doerk [2] also determined the structure of minimal non-supersolvable groups (non-supersolvable groups all of whose proper subgroups are supersolvable). In [8], Sastry investigated the structure of minimal non- PN -groups (non- PN -groups all of whose proper subgroups are PN -groups) and proved that if G is not of prime power order and G is a minimal non- PN -group, then one of the following two statements is true:

- (1) $G = PQ$, where P is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G , $P = \langle x \rangle^G$; P is elementary abelian; and Q is a non-normal cyclic Sylow q -subgroup of G .
- (2) $G = P \langle x \rangle$, where P is a normal ultraspecial 2-subgroup of G of order 2^{3s} (a p -group P is called ultraspecial if $P' = \Omega_1(P) = \Phi(P) = Z(P)$) and $|x|$ is a prime dividing $2^s + 1$.

In this paper, we investigate the structure of minimal non- MS -groups (non- MS -groups all of whose proper subgroups are MS -groups). In Section 2, we prove that if G is not of prime power order and is a minimal non- PN -group, then it is a minimal non- MS -group. However, the converse statement is not true, as the following example shows:

EXAMPLE. Let P be an extraspecial group of order 3^7 and exponent 3 (a p -group P is called extraspecial if $P' = \Phi(P) = Z(P)$ and $|Z(P)| = p$). Then, by [3, Lemma 20.13, p. 83], $\text{Aut}(P)$ contains an element α of order 7 which acts irreducibly on $P/\Phi(P)$. Let G be the semi-direct product of P with $\langle \alpha \rangle$. Then it follows easily that

- (i) P contains a non-normal minimal subgroup $\langle x \rangle$;
- (ii) G is a minimal non-nilpotent group; and
- (iii) G is a minimal non- MS -group.

We prove the following theorem:

THEOREM . *If G is a minimal non- MS -group, then $G = PQ$, where P is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G and Q is a non-normal cyclic Sylow q -subgroup of G ($q \neq p$), and one of the following statements is true:*

- (a) G is supersolvable.
- (b) $P = \langle x \rangle^G$, where $|\langle x \rangle| = p$ and $\langle x \rangle$ is not S -quasinormal in G .
- (c) P is a non-abelian 2-group, $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(G)$ and $|Q| = q$.

2. Preliminaries. In this section we collect some of the results used later.

(2.1) If each minimal subgroup of G is normal in G , then G is solvable.

Proof. This is [5, Satz 5.7, p. 436]. □

- (2.2) (a) If $H \leq K \leq G$ and H is S -quasinormal in G , then H is S -quasinormal in K .
- (b) If H is S -quasinormal in G , then H is subnormal in G .
- (c) Let H be a p -subgroup for some prime p . If H is S -quasinormal in G , then $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H)$, where

$$O^p(G) = \langle Q|Q \text{ is a Sylow } q\text{-subgroup of } G, \text{ where } q \neq p \rangle.$$

(d) If H and K are S -quasinormal in G , then $\langle H, K \rangle$ is S -quasinormal in G .

Proof. (a), (b): see Kegel [7]. □

(c) Let Q be any Sylow q -subgroup of G , where $q \neq p$. Since H is S -quasinormal in G , it follows that HQ is a subgroup of G . By (a) and (b), H is subnormal in HQ , and since H is a p -subgroup of G , it follows that H is normal in HQ for each Sylow q -subgroup Q of G , where $q \neq p$. Hence $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H)$. □

(d) By the hypothesis, $HP = PH$ and $KP = PK$ for all Sylow subgroups P of G . Now it follows easily that $P\langle H, K \rangle = \langle H, K \rangle P$, and so $\langle H, K \rangle$ is S -quasinormal in G . □

We can now prove the following:

(2.3) If G is not of prime power order and G is a minimal non- PN -group, then G is a minimal non- MS -group.

Proof. Suppose that G is an MS -group. By the hypothesis, there exists a minimal subgroup H of order, say, p such that H is not normal in G . Then H is S -quasinormal in G . Hence $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H) < G$ by (2.2)(c). Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G such that $H \leq P$. By the hypothesis, G is not of prime power order and is a minimal non- PN -group, so H is normal in P . Since H is normal in P and $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H)$, we have that H is normal in G , a contradiction. □

(2.4) Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G for some odd prime p . If $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(G)$, then G is p -nilpotent.

Proof. This is [5, Satz 5.5(a), p. 435]. □

(2.5) If A is a p' -group of automorphisms of the abelian p -group P which acts trivially on $\Omega_1(P)$, then $A = 1$.

Proof. This is [4, Theorem 4.2, p. 178]. □

(2.6) Any non-abelian simple group, all of whose subgroups are solvable, is isomorphic to one of the following simple groups:

- (1) $PSL(2, p)$, where p is a prime with $p > 3$ and $5 \nmid p^2 - 1$;
- (2) $PSL(2, 2^q)$, where q is a prime;
- (3) The Suzuki group $Sz(2^q)$, where q is an odd prime;
- (4) $PSL(2, 3^q)$, where q is an odd prime;
- (5) $PSL(3, 3)$.

Proof. Thompson [10]; see also [5, Bemerkung 7.5, p. 190]. □

(2.7) If G is any one of the simple groups mentioned in (2.6) other than $PSL(3, 3)$, then G is a Zassenhaus group of degree $n + 1$, where $n = r$ or r^2 according to $G = PSL(2, r)$ or $G = Sz(r)$; and the stabilizer N of a point is a maximal subgroup of G . Further, N is a Frobenius group with kernel K of order n and a cyclic complement H . If $G = PSL(2, r)$, then $|H| = (r - 1)/d$, where $d = (r - 1, 2)$; and if $G = Sz(r)$, then $|H| = r - 1$. Also, $N' = K$ and H contains a Sylow ℓ -subgroup of G for any odd prime divisor ℓ of $|H|$, with $(\ell, r) = 1$.

Proof. See [4, Theorem 8.2, p. 41]; see also [6, pp. 182–189]. □

(2.8) Let H be a proper subgroup of G and suppose that H is subnormal in K whenever $H \leq K < G$ but is not subnormal in G . Then H is contained in a unique maximal subgroup of G .

Proof. This is [3, Lemma 14.9, p. 49]. □

(2.9) Let G be a solvable group. If each subgroup of $F(G)$ of prime order or order 4 is S -quasinormal in G , then G is supersolvable.

Proof. This is [1, Corollary 2]. □

3. Proofs. We first prove the following lemmas:

LEMMA 3.1. *If G is an MS-group, then G is solvable.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the order of G . If each minimal subgroup of G is normal in G , then G is solvable by (2.1). Therefore, we may assume that some minimal subgroup H , with $|H| = p$ say, is not normal in G . By the hypothesis, H is S -quasinormal in G . Then $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H) < G$ by (2.2)(c). By (2.2)(a), $O^p(G)$ is an MS-group, so $O^p(G)$ is solvable by induction on the order of G , and since $G/O^p(G)$ is a p -group, it follows that G is solvable. □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let G be a non-solvable minimal non-MS-group. Then $\Phi(G) \neq 1$, and each minimal subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in G .*

Proof. By the hypothesis, each proper subgroup of G is an MS-group. Then, by Lemma 3.1, each proper subgroup of G is solvable, and since G is non-solvable, it follows that each maximal subgroup of G is non-normal in G . Let M be a maximal subgroup of G . If $M \cap M^x = 1$ for each $x \in G \setminus M$, then G is a Frobenius group [4, Theorems 7.7 and 7.6(i), pp. 38–39], and so G is solvable, a contradiction. Therefore, $M \cap M^x \neq 1$ for some $x \in G \setminus M$. Let H be a minimal subgroup of $M \cap M^x$ of order, say, p . By the hypothesis, H is S -quasinormal in K whenever $H \leq K < G$. Then, by (2.2)(b), H is subnormal in K whenever $H \leq K < G$. If H is not subnormal in G , then H is contained in a unique

maximal subgroup of G by (2.8), a contradiction. Therefore, H is subnormal in G , and so $H \leq O_p(G)$ by [3, Lemma 8.6 (a)]. If $O_p(G)$ is not contained in $\Phi(G)$, then there exists a maximal subgroup M_1 of G such that $G = O_p(G)M_1$. Then G is solvable, a contradiction. Hence $H \leq O_p(G) \leq \Phi(G)$, and so $\Phi(G) \neq 1$.

Now we argue that each minimal subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in G . If not, then $\Phi(G)$ contains a minimal subgroup H with order, say, p such that H is not normal in G . Since $\Phi(G)$ is nilpotent, it follows that H is subnormal in G , and so $H \leq O_p(G)$. Clearly, $O_p(G)Q < G$ for each Sylow subgroup Q of G with $(p, |Q|) = 1$. By the hypothesis, H is S -quasinormal in $O_p(G)Q$, and so $HQ \leq O_p(G)Q < G$. By (2.2) (a), H is S -quasinormal in HQ , so H is subnormal in HQ by (2.2) (b). Since H is subnormal Hall in HQ , it follows that H is normal in HQ . Then $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H) < G$. Since $O^p(G)$ is solvable and $G/O^p(G)$ is a p -group, it follows that G is solvable, a contradiction. Therefore, each minimal subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in G . \square

LEMMA 3.3. *Let G be a non-solvable minimal non-MS-group. Let M be a subgroup of G and Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of M , where q is an odd prime. If $\Omega_1(Q) \leq \Phi(G) \leq M$, then M is q -nilpotent.*

Proof. Since G is non-solvable and each proper subgroup of G is solvable by Lemma 3.1, it follows that $G = G'$. Since $\Omega_1(Q) \leq \Phi(G)$, it follows that each minimal subgroup H of Q is normal in G by Lemma 3.2, and so $G/C_G(H)$ is abelian. Then $G = G' \leq C_G(H)$, and so $\Omega_1(Q) \leq Z(G)$; in particular $\Omega_1(Q) \leq Z(M)$. Hence M is q -nilpotent by (2.4). \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Let G be a non-solvable minimal non-MS-group. Then $G/\Phi(G)$ contains no subgroup isomorphic to S_4 .*

Proof. Suppose that $G/\Phi(G)$ contains a subgroup $M/\Phi(G)$ such that $M/\Phi(G) \cong S_4$. If $M = G$, then $G/\Phi(G) \cong S_4$, and since $\Phi(G)$ is nilpotent, it follows that G is solvable, a contradiction. Then we may assume that M is a proper subgroup of G . Let Q be a Sylow 3-subgroup of M . If $\Omega_1(Q) \leq \Phi(G) \leq M$, then M is 3-nilpotent by Lemma 3.3, a contradiction. Therefore, Q contains some minimal subgroup H such that H is not contained in $\Phi(G)$. By the hypothesis, H is S -quasinormal in M , and so $H\Phi(G)$ is S -quasinormal in M . Then $H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is S -quasinormal in $M/\Phi(G)$. By (2.2) (b) $H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is subnormal in $M/\Phi(G)$, and since $H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of $M/\Phi(G)$, it follows that $H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is normal in $M/\Phi(G) \cong S_4$, a contradiction. \square

LEMMA 3.5. *Let G be a non-solvable minimal non-MS-group. Then $G/\Phi(G)$ is not isomorphic to $A_5 \cong PSL(2, 5) \cong PSL(2, 4)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G/\Phi(G) \cong A_5$. Then $G/\Phi(G)$ contains a subgroup $M/\Phi(G)$ isomorphic to A_4 . Let Q be a Sylow 3-subgroup of M . Clearly, $Q \dots$, is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G , too. If $\Omega_1(Q) \leq \Phi(G)$, then G is 3-nilpotent by Lemma 3.3, and so G is solvable by Lemma 3.1, a contradiction. Therefore, Q contains some minimal subgroup H such that H is not contained in $\Phi(G)$. By the hypothesis, H is S -quasinormal in M , and so $H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is S -quasinormal in $M/\Phi(G)$. By (2.2) (b), $H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is subnormal in $M/\Phi(G)$, and since $H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of $M/\Phi(G)$, it follows that $H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is normal in $M/\Phi(G) \cong A_4$, a contradiction. \square

LEMMA 3.6. *Let G be a non-supersolvable minimal non-MS-group. Suppose that $G = PQ$, where P is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G and Q is a non-normal Sylow q -subgroup*

of G . Then $P = H^G$ for some non- S -quasinormal minimal subgroup H of G or $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(G)$; P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|Q| = q$.

Proof. Suppose that the result is not true. We treat with the following three cases:

Case 1. Each minimal subgroup of P is S -quasinormal in G and $p > 2$. Then each subgroup of $F(G)$ of prime order or order 4 is S -quasinormal in G . Hence G is supersolvable by (2.9), a contradiction.

Case 2. Each minimal subgroup of P is S -quasinormal in G and $p = 2$. Let H be any minimal subgroup of P . Then $H \neq P$, because Q is a non-normal Sylow q -subgroup of G . Since H is S -quasinormal in G , we have that HQ is a subgroup of G . By (2.2)(a), H is S -quasinormal in HQ , and so H is subnormal in HQ by (2.2) (b). Since H is a subnormal Sylow 2-subgroup of HQ , we have that H is normal in HQ . But Q is normal in HQ , because $|H| = 2$. Then $HQ = H \times Q$ for each minimal subgroup H of P . If $\Omega_1(P) = P$, then $G = PQ = P \times Q$, a contradiction. Thus $\Omega_1(P) < P$, and $\Omega_1(P)Q$ is a proper subgroup of G . Since G is a minimal non- MS -group and since each minimal subgroup of P is S -quasinormal in G , it follows that there exists a minimal subgroup L of Q such that L is not S -quasinormal in G , and so $G = PQ = PL$. By the hypothesis, $Q = L$ is S -quasinormal in $\Omega_1(P)Q$, so Q is a subnormal Sylow q -subgroup of $\Omega_1(P)Q$. Then Q is normal in $\Omega_1(P)Q$, and since $\Omega_1(P)$ is normal in $\Omega_1(P)Q$, we have $Q \leq C_G(\Omega_1(P))$. If $C_G(\Omega_1(P)) < G$, then Q is S -quasinormal in $C_G(\Omega_1(P))$ by the hypothesis. By (2.2)(b), Q is subnormal in $C_G(\Omega_1(P))$, and since $C_G(\Omega_1(P))$ is normal in G , we have that Q is subnormal in G , and so Q is normal in G , a contradiction. Therefore, $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(G)$, and since Q is not normal in G , it follows that P is a non-abelian 2-group by (2.5), a contradiction

Case 3. There exists some non- S -quasinormal minimal subgroup H of G with $H \leq P$.

Suppose $H^G \neq P$. Then $H^G Q$ is a proper subgroup of G , and so H is S -quasinormal in $H^G Q$. In particular, H permutes with Q . We can repeat this argument with any Sylow q -subgroup, and H permutes with P , so H is S -quasinormal in G , a contradiction. \square

We can now prove the main theorem.

Proof. For the sake of clarity, we break the proof into five parts.

(1) G is solvable.

Suppose that G is non-solvable. Then:

- (i) $G = G'$ and $G/\Phi(G)$ is a non-abelian simple group, because every proper subgroup of G is solvable by Lemma 3.1.
- (ii) $G/\Phi(G)$ is not isomorphic to $PSL(2, 4) \cong PSL(2, 5) \cong A_5$ by Lemma 3.5.
- (iii) $G/\Phi(G)$ is not isomorphic to $PSL(2, r)$ or $Sz(r)$, where $r = 2^q$ and q is an odd prime.

Suppose that $G/\Phi(G) \cong PSL(2, r)$ or $Sz(r)$, where $r = 2^q$ and q is an odd prime. Let ℓ be an odd prime dividing $r - 1$. By (2.7), $\bar{G} = G/\Phi(G)$ contains a proper Frobenius subgroup $\bar{M} = M/\Phi(G)$ with kernel $\bar{K} = K/\Phi(G)$ and cyclic complement $\bar{H} = H/\Phi(G)$ of order $r - 1$, and \bar{H} contains a Sylow ℓ -subgroup $L\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ of \bar{G} , where L is a sylow ℓ -subgroup of G . If $\Omega_1(L) \leq \Phi(G)$, then G is 3-nilpotent by Lemma 3.3, and so G is solvable by Lemma 3.1, a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that there exists a minimal subgroup A of L such that A is not contained in $\Phi(G)$. By the hypothesis, A is S -quasinormal in M , and so $\bar{A} = A\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is S -quasinormal in \bar{M} . Then \bar{A} is normal in $\bar{K}\bar{A}$, and this is a contradiction because \bar{M} is a Frobenius group.

(iv) $G/\Phi(G)$ is not isomorphic to $PSL(2, p)$ or $PSL(2, 3^q)$, where p is a prime with $p > 3$ and q is an odd prime.

The assertion in (iii) implies that there is no odd prime dividing $p - 1$ or $3^q - 1$. Then $p - 1 = 2^n$ for some natural number n . By (ii), $n \geq 4$. Also, $3^q - 1 = 2^m$ for some natural number $m \geq 4$. Since $p^2 - 1 \equiv 0(16)$ and $3^{2q} - 1 \equiv 0(16)$, it follows that $G/\Phi(G)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to S_4 , contradicting Lemma 3.4.

(v) $G/\Phi(G)$ is not isomorphic to $PSL(3, 3)$.

Suppose that $G/\Phi(G) \cong PSL(3, 3)$. Take $Y = PSL(3, 3)$. Let x be an involution in the centre of a Sylow 2-subgroup of Y . Then $C_Y(x) \cong GL(2, 3)$ by [6, Lemma 5.1, p. 341]. Let $M/\Phi(G)$ be a subgroup of $G/\Phi(G)$ such that $M/\Phi(G) \cong GL(2, 3)$. Let Q be a Sylow 3-subgroup of M . If $\Omega_1(Q) \leq \Phi(G) \leq M$, then M is 3-nilpotent by Lemma 3.3, a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that there exists a minimal subgroup L of Q such that L is not contained in $\Phi(G)$. By the hypothesis, L is S -quasinormal in M , and so $L\Phi(G)/\Phi(G) = Q\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is S -quasinormal in $M/\Phi(G)$. Hence $L\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is normal in $M/\Phi(G) \cong GL(2, 3)$, a contradiction.

Since none of the simple groups mentioned in (2.6) can be isomorphic to $G/\Phi(G)$, it follows that G is solvable.

(2) $|\sigma(G)| = 2$.

Clearly, G is not of prime power order, because nilpotent groups are MS -groups. Suppose that $|\sigma(G)| \geq 3$. By the hypothesis, there exists a minimal subgroup H of order, say, p such that H is not S -quasinormal in G . We argue that H is subnormal in G . By the hypothesis, H is S -quasinormal in K whenever $H \leq K < G$. Then H is subnormal in K whenever $H \leq K < G$. If H is not subnormal in G , then H is contained in a unique maximal subgroup of G by (2.8). Since $|\sigma(G)| \geq 3$ and G is solvable by (1), it follows that H is contained in more than one maximal subgroup of G , a contradiction. Therefore, H is subnormal in G , so $H \leq O_p(G)$. Clearly, $HP = PH = P$ for each Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Since $|\sigma(G)| \geq 3$, it follows that $O_p(G)Q < G$ for each Sylow subgroup Q of G with $(|Q|, p) = 1$. By the hypothesis, H is S -quasinormal in $O_p(G)Q$, so HQ is a subgroup of G . Then H is S -quasinormal in G , a contradiction. Therefore, $|\sigma(G)| = 2$.

(3) G has a normal Sylow subgroup.

Suppose that G has no normal Sylow subgroup. By (1), G is solvable, so G has a normal subgroup M of prime index, say, q . Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G , and let Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of G , where p and q are distinct primes. Clearly, $P \leq M$. By the hypothesis, M is an MS -group. Then by (2.2) (d), $\Omega_1(P)$ is S -quasinormal in M , and hence $\Omega_1(P)$ is subnormal in M , and since M is normal in G , it follows that $\Omega_1(P)$ is subnormal in G . If $\Omega_1(P) = P$, then P is normal in G , a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that $\Omega_1(P) < P$. Since $\Omega_1(P)$ is subnormal in G , we have $\Omega_1(P) \leq O_p(G) < P$. Then $\Omega_1(P) = \Omega_1(O_p(G))$ is normal in G , and so each minimal subgroup of G of order p is S -quasinormal in G and $C_G(\Omega_1(P))$ is normal in G . Hence, by the hypothesis, there exists a subgroup H of order q such that H is not S -quasinormal in G . Since $H\Omega_1(P) < G$ is an MS -group, it follows that $H\Omega_1(P) = H \times \Omega_1(P)$, so $H \leq C_G(\Omega_1(P))$. We treat with the following two cases:

Case 1. $C_G(\Omega_1(P)) < G$.

Then H is S -quasinormal in $C_G(\Omega_1(P))$, and hence H is subnormal in $C_G(\Omega_1(P))$, and since $C_G(\Omega_1(P))$ is normal in G , it follows that H is subnormal in G , so $H \leq O_q(G) < Q$. Now it follows easily that H is S -quasinormal in G , a contradiction.

Case 2. $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(G)$.

Since Q is not normal in G , it follows that $p = 2$ by (2.4). Since P is a non-normal Sylow 2-subgroup of G and $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(G)$, we have $\Omega_1(P) \leq O_2(G) < P$. By the hypothesis, $O_2(G)H$ is an MS -group, and so H is S -quasinormal in $O_2(G)H$. By (2.2)(b), H is subnormal in $O_2(G)H$, and since H is a Sylow q -subgroup of $O_2(G)H$, we have $H \leq C_G(O_2(G))$. If $C_G(O_2(G)) < G$, then H is subnormal in G . Hence $H \leq O_q(G) < Q$ for each Sylow q -subgroup Q of G . Clearly, $PO_q(G)$ is a proper subgroup of G for each Sylow p -subgroup P of G . By the hypothesis, H is S -quasinormal in $PO_q(G)$, so H permutes with P , and since H permutes with each q -subgroup Q of G we have that H is S -quasinormal in G , a contradiction. Therefore, $O_2(G) \leq Z(G)$, and so

$$\bar{1} < F(G/O_2(G)) = F(G)/O_2(G) = O_q(G)O_2(G)/O_2(G) \cong O_q(G).$$

Clearly, each minimal subgroup of $O_q(G)$ is S -quasinormal in G . Then each minimal subgroup of $F(G/O_2(G))$ is S -quasinormal in $G/O_2(G)$, and since $q > 2$, it follows that $G/O_2(G)$ is supersolvable by (2.9). Hence $G/O_2(G)$ possesses a Sylow tower of supersolvable type, and so $O_2(G)Q/O_2(G)$ is normal in $G/O_2(G)$. Then $O_2(G)Q$ is normal in G , and since $O_2(G) \leq Z(G)$, we have $O_2(G)Q = O_2(G) \times Q$. Hence Q is a characteristic in $O_2(G)Q$, and since $O_2(G)Q$ is normal in G , we have that Q is normal in G , a contradiction.

(4) G has a non-normal cyclic Sylow subgroup.

By (3), G has a normal Sylow subgroup P for some prime, say p . Let Q be any Sylow q -subgroup of G , where p and q are distinct primes. By (2), $G = PQ$. If Q is normal in G , then G is nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore, Q is not normal in G . Suppose that Q is non-cyclic. Let H be any minimal subgroup of Q . Then $PH < G$, and so $PH = P \times H$. If $\Omega_1(Q) = Q$, then $Q \leq C_G(P)$, and so G is nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that $\Omega_1(Q) < Q$. By (2.2)(d), $\Omega_1(Q)$ is S -quasinormal in $\Omega_1(Q)P$, so $\Omega_1(Q)P = \Omega_1(Q) \times P$. Then $\Omega_1(Q)$ is normal in G , and so each minimal subgroup of G of order q is S -quasinormal in G . Then, by the hypothesis, there exists a minimal subgroup L of P such that L is not S -quasinormal in G . Since Q is non-cyclic, it follows that Q contains two distinct maximal subgroups, say Q_1 and Q_2 . Then $PQ_i < G$ and L is normal in LQ_i , where $i = 1, 2$. Hence LQ is a subgroup of G for each Sylow q -subgroup Q of G , and since $LP = PL = P$, it follows that L is S -quasinormal in G , a contradiction.

(5) Finishing the proof.

By (2), (3) and (4), $G = PQ$, where P is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G and Q is a cyclic Sylow q -subgroup of G . Hence by Lemma 3.6 one of the statements (a),(b) and (c) of the theorem holds. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author is very grateful for the helpful suggestions of the referee.

REFERENCES

1. M. Asaad and P. Csörgö, The influence of minimal subgroups on the structure of finite groups, *Arch. Math.* **72** (1999), 401–404
2. K. Doerk, Minimal nicht überauflösbare, endliche Gruppen, *Math. Z.* **91** (1966), 198–205.
3. K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite solvable groups* (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1992).
4. D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups* (Harper Row, New York, 1968).

5. B. Huppert, *Endliche gruppen I* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1967).
6. B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite groups III* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1982).
7. O. H. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, *Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 205–221.
8. N. S. N. Sastry, On minimal non-PN-groups, *J. Algebra* **65** (1980), 104–109.
9. O. J. Schmidt, Über Gruppen, deren sämtliche Teiler spezielle Gruppen sind, *Mat. Sbornik* **31** (1924), 366–372.
10. J. G. Thompson, Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable I, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1968), 383–437.