

## A NEW HIGHER ORDER YANG–MILLS–HIGGS FLOW ON RIEMANNIAN 4-MANIFOLDS

HEMANTH SARATCHANDRAN, JIAOGEN ZHANG and PAN ZHANG<sup>✉</sup>

(Received 1 June 2022; accepted 29 September 2022; first published online 29 November 2022)

### Abstract

Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed Riemannian 4-manifold and let  $E$  be a vector bundle over  $M$  with structure group  $G$ , where  $G$  is a compact Lie group. We consider a new higher order Yang–Mills–Higgs functional, in which the Higgs field is a section of  $\Omega^0(\text{ad}E)$ . We show that, under suitable conditions, solutions to the gradient flow do not hit any finite time singularities. In the case that  $E$  is a line bundle, we are able to use a different blow-up procedure and obtain an improvement of the long-time result of Zhang [‘Gradient flows of higher order Yang–Mills–Higgs functionals’, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **113** (2022), 257–287]. The proof relies on properties of the Green function, which is very different from the previous techniques.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 58E15; secondary 58C99, 81T13.

Keywords and phrases: higher order Yang–Mills–Higgs flow, line bundle, long-time existence.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold of real dimension 4 and let  $E$  be a vector bundle over  $M$  with structure group  $G$ , where  $G$  is a compact Lie group. The Yang–Mills functional, defined on the space of connections of  $E$ , is given by

$$\mathcal{YM}(\nabla) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |F_\nabla|^2 d\text{vol}_g,$$

where  $\nabla$  is a metric compatible connection,  $F_\nabla$  denotes the curvature, and the pointwise norm  $|\cdot|$  is given by  $g$  and the Killing form of  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . The connection  $\nabla$  is called a Yang–Mills connection of  $E$  if it satisfies the Yang–Mills equation:

$$D_\nabla^* F_\nabla = 0.$$

---

The second and third authors are partially supported by the National Key R and D Program of China 2020YFA0713100 and the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Numbers 12141104 and 11721101). The first author is supported by the Australian Research Council via grant FL170100020. The second author is funded by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant Number 2022M713057). The third author is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Number 12201001), the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (Grant Number 2108085QA17) and the Natural Science Foundation of Universities of Anhui Province (Grant Number KJ2020A0009).

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

A solution of the Yang–Mills flow is given by a family of connections  $\nabla_t := \nabla(x, t)$  such that

$$\frac{\partial \nabla_t}{\partial t} = -D_{\nabla_t}^* F_{\nabla_t} \quad \text{in } M \times [0, T].$$

The Yang–Mills flow was initially studied by Atiyah–Bott [2] to understand the topology of the space of connections by infinite dimensional Morse theory.

We consider the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -functional (or Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -energy):

$$\mathcal{YM}H_k(\nabla, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M [|\nabla^{(k)} F_{\nabla}|^2 + |\nabla^{(k+1)} u|^2] d\text{vol}_g, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\nabla$  is a connection on  $E$  and  $u$  is a section of  $\Omega^0(\text{ad}E)$ . In [13], we considered the case when  $u$  is a section of  $\Omega^0(E)$ . When  $k = 0$ , (1.1) is the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional studied in [4, 5]. In [4], Hassell proved the global existence of the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow in 3-dimensional Euclidean space. In [5], Hong–Tian studied the global existence of the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow in 3-dimensional hyperbolic space. Their results yield non-self-dual Yang–Mills connections on  $S^4$ . The Yang–Mills–Higgs flow has aroused much attention. For example, Li–Zhang [8] and Song–Wang [10] studied the asymptotic behaviour at time infinity of some Yang–Mills–Higgs flows.

The Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -system, that is, the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations of (1.1), is

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^k D_{\nabla}^* \Delta_{\nabla}^{(k)} F_{\nabla} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{2k-1} P_1^{(\nu)} [F_{\nabla}] + P_2^{(2k-1)} [F_{\nabla}] + \sum_{i=0}^k \nabla^{*(i)} (\nabla^{(k+1)} u * \nabla^{(k-i)} u) = 0, \\ \nabla^{*(k+1)} \nabla^{(k+1)} u = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta_{\nabla}^{(k)}$  denotes  $k$  iterations of the Bochner Laplacian  $-\nabla^* \nabla$  and the notation  $P$  is defined in (2.1). A solution of the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow is given by a family of pairs  $(\nabla(x, t), u(x, t)) := (\nabla_t, u_t)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \nabla_t}{\partial t} = (-1)^{(k+1)} D_{\nabla_t}^* \Delta_{\nabla_t}^{(k)} F_{\nabla_t} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{2k-1} P_1^{(\nu)} [F_{\nabla_t}] \\ \quad + P_2^{(2k-1)} [F_{\nabla_t}] + \sum_{i=0}^k \nabla_t^{*(i)} (\nabla_t^{(k+1)} u_t * \nabla_t^{(k-i)} u_t), \\ \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} = -\nabla_t^{*(k+1)} \nabla_t^{(k+1)} u_t, \quad \text{in } M \times [0, T]. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

When  $k = 0$ , the flow (1.2) is a Yang–Mills–Higgs flow [5].

From an analytic point of view, the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) admits similar properties to the case in which the Higgs field takes values in  $\Omega^0(E)$ . In fact, by the approach in [13], we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over a closed Riemannian 4-manifold  $(M, g)$  and  $k$  be an integer with  $k > 1$ . For every smooth initial data  $(\nabla_0, u_0)$ , there exists a unique smooth solution  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) in  $M \times [0, +\infty)$ .*

Our motivation for considering such flows comes from recent work of Waldron who proved long-time existence for the Yang–Mills flow [12], thereby settling a long standing conjecture. In the context of the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow, it is still unknown whether the flow exists for all times on a Riemannian 4-manifold. The above theorem shows that provided  $k > 1$ , the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$  flow does obey long time existence on a 4-manifold. A question that arises at this point is to understand what is the optimum value for  $k$ . By assuming our bundle  $E$  is a line bundle, we are able to make progress on this question and show that long-time existence holds for all positive  $k$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $E$  be a line bundle over a closed Riemannian 4-manifold  $(M, g)$  and  $k$  be an integer with  $k > 0$ . For every smooth initial data  $(\nabla_0, u_0)$ , there exists a unique smooth solution  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) in  $M \times [0, +\infty)$ .*

At present, we do not know if this theorem is optimal, meaning that we cannot rule out long-time existence occurring for  $k = 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 involves local  $L^2$  derivative estimates, energy estimates and blow-up analysis. An interesting aspect of this work is that by using a different blow-up procedure, we are able to obtain a proof of Theorem 1.2, which may be of independent interest. Another interesting aspect is that the proof of long-time existence obstruction (see Theorem 3.7) relies on properties of the Green function, which is very different from the previous techniques in [6, 9, 13].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the basic setup and notation that will be used throughout the paper. We follow the notation of [6, 9, 13].

Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over a smooth closed manifold  $(M, g)$  of real dimension  $n$ . The set of all smooth unitary connections on  $E$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_E$ . A given connection  $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_E$  can be extended to other tensor bundles by coupling with the corresponding Levi–Civita connection  $\nabla_M$  on  $(M, g)$ .

Let  $D_\nabla$  be the exterior derivative, or skew symmetrisation of  $\nabla$ . The curvature tensor of  $E$  is denoted by

$$F_\nabla = D_\nabla \circ D_\nabla.$$

We set  $\nabla^*, D_\nabla^*$  to be the formal  $L^2$ -adjoints of  $\nabla, D_\nabla$ , respectively. The Bochner and Hodge Laplacians are given respectively by

$$\Delta_\nabla = -\nabla^* \nabla, \quad \Delta_{D_\nabla} = D_\nabla D_\nabla^* + D_\nabla^* D_\nabla.$$

Let  $\xi, \eta$  be  $p$ -forms valued in  $E$  or  $\text{End}(E)$ . Let  $\xi * \eta$  denote any multilinear form obtained from a tensor product  $\xi \otimes \eta$  in a universal way. That is to say,  $\xi * \eta$  is obtained by starting with  $\xi \otimes \eta$ , taking any linear combination of this tensor, taking any number

of metric contractions and switching any number of factors in the product. We then have

$$|\xi * \eta| \leq C|\xi||\eta|.$$

Denote

$$\nabla^{(i)} = \underbrace{\nabla \cdots \nabla}_{i \text{ times}}.$$

We will also use the  $P$  notation, as introduced in [7]. Given a tensor  $\xi$ , we denote

$$P_v^{(k)}[\xi] := \sum_{w_1 + \cdots + w_i = k} (\nabla^{(w_1)}\xi) * \cdots * (\nabla^{(w_i)}\xi) * T, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $k, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T$  is a generic background tensor dependent only on  $g$ .

### 3. Long-time existence obstruction

We can use De Turck’s trick to establish the local existence of the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow. We refer to [6, 9, 13] for more details. As the proof is standard, we will omit the details.

**THEOREM 3.1 (Local existence).** *Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over a closed Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ . There exists a unique smooth solution  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) in  $M \times [0, \epsilon)$  with smooth initial value  $(\nabla_0, u_0)$ .*

Following [6, 9], we can derive estimates of Bernstein–Bando–Shi type, similar to [13, Proposition 4.10].

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(M)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ) and  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  be a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M \times I$ . Suppose  $Q = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in I} |F_{\nabla_t}|\}$ ,  $K = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in I} |u_t|\}$  and  $s \geq (k + 1)(q + 1)$ . For  $t \in [0, T) \subset I$  with  $T < 1/(QK)^4$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_q := C_q(\dim(M), \text{rk}(E), G, q, k, s, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that*

$$\|\gamma^s \nabla_t^{(q)} F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\gamma^s \nabla_t^{(q)} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_q t^{-q/(k+1)} \sup_{t \in [0, T)} (\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2).$$

The following corollary is a direct consequence of the above proposition and will be used in the blow-up analysis. The proof relies on the Sobolev embedding,  $W^{p,2} \subset C^0$  provided  $p > n/2$ , and Kato’s inequality  $|d|u_t|| \leq |\nabla_t u_t|$ . More details can be found in Kelleher’s paper [6, Corollary 3.14].

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  solves the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M \times [0, \tau]$ . Set  $\bar{\tau} := \min\{\tau, 1\}$ . Suppose  $Q = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in [0, \bar{\tau}]} |F_{\nabla_t}|\}$ ,  $K = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in [0, \bar{\tau}]} |u_t|\}$ . Assume  $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(M)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ). For  $s, l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $s \geq (k + 1)(l + 1)$ , there exists  $C_l := C_l(\dim(M), \text{rk}(E), K, Q, G, s, k, l, \tau, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that*

$$\sup_M (|\gamma^s \nabla_{\bar{\tau}}^{(l)} F_{\nabla_{\bar{\tau}}}|^2 + |\gamma^s \nabla_{\bar{\tau}}^{(l)} u_{\bar{\tau}}|^2) \leq C_l \sup_{M \times [0, \bar{\tau}]} (\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2).$$

From Corollary 3.3, we deduce the following corollary, which can be used for finding obstructions to long-time existence.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  solves the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$  for  $T \in [0, +\infty)$ . Suppose*

$$Q = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in [0, T)} |F_{\nabla_t}|, \sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}\}$$

and

$$K = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in [0, T)} |u_t|, \sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|u_t\|_{L^2}\}$$

are finite. Assume  $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(M)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ). Then, for  $t \in [0, T)$  and  $s, l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $s \geq (k + 1)(l + 1)$ , there exists  $C_l := C_l(\nabla_0, u_0, \dim(M), \text{rk}(E), K, Q, G, s, k, l, g, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} (|\gamma^s \nabla_t^{(l)} F_{\nabla_t}|^2 + |\gamma^s \nabla_t^{(l)} u_t|^2) \leq C_l.$$

We will use Corollary 3.4 to show that the only obstruction to long-time existence of the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) is a lack of a supremal bound on  $|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|$ . Before doing so, we need the following proposition, which is similar to [13, Proposition 4.15].

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$  for  $T \in [0, +\infty)$ . Suppose that for all  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , there exists  $C_l \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that*

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{M \times [0, T)} \left| \nabla_t^{(l)} \left[ \frac{\partial \nabla_t}{\partial t} \right] \right|, \sup_{M \times [0, T)} \left| \nabla_t^{(l)} \left[ \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right] \right| \right\} \leq C_l.$$

Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (\nabla_t, u_t) = (\nabla_T, u_T)$  exists and is smooth.

The following proposition is straightforward.

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$ . We have*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|u_t\|_{L^2} < +\infty.$$

Using Propositions 3.5 and 3.6, we are ready to prove the main result in this section.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Assume  $E$  is a line bundle. Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) for some maximal  $T < +\infty$ . Then,*

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} (|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|) = +\infty.$$

**PROOF.** Suppose to the contrary that

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} (|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|) < +\infty,$$

which means that

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T]} |F_{\nabla_t}| < +\infty, \quad \sup_{M \times [0, T]} |\nabla_t u_t| < +\infty.$$

Denote by  $G_t(x, y)$  the Green function associated to the operator  $\Delta_{\nabla_t}$ . Then for any fixed  $x \in M$ ,  $\|\nabla_0 G_t(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C_G$  for a constant  $C_G$  from [1, Appendix A]. Note that  $\nabla_t G_t - \nabla_0 G_t = [\nabla_t - \nabla_0, G_t] = 0$ . We conclude that  $\|\nabla_t G_t\|_{L^\infty(M)}$  is also uniformly bounded. Therefore, using the properties of the Green function in [1, Appendix A],

$$\begin{aligned} \left| u_t(x) - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M u_t(y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_M \Delta_{\nabla_t} G_t(x, y) u_t(y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_M \nabla_t G_t(x, y) \nabla_t u_t(y) dy \right| \\ &< +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which together with Proposition 3.6 implies

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T]} |u_t| < +\infty.$$

For all  $t \in [0, T)$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , by Corollary 3.4,  $\sup_M (|\nabla_t^{(l)} F_{\nabla_t}|^2 + |\nabla_t^{(l)} u_t|^2)$  is uniformly bounded and so by Proposition 3.5,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (\nabla_t, u_t) = (\nabla_T, u_T)$  exists and is smooth. However, by local existence (Theorem 3.1), there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  exists over the extended domain  $[0, T + \epsilon)$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $T$  is maximal. Thus, we prove the theorem.  $\square$

### 4. Blow-up analysis

In this section, we will address the possibility that the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow admits a singularity given no bound on  $|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|$ . To begin with, we first establish some preliminary scaling laws for the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$ . Define the 1-parameter family  $\nabla_t^\rho$  with local coefficient matrices given by*

$$\Gamma_t^\rho(x) := \rho \Gamma_{\rho^{2(k+1)}t}(\rho x),$$

where  $\Gamma_t(x)$  is the local coefficient matrix of  $\nabla_t$ . Define the  $\rho$ -scaled Higgs field  $u_t^\rho$  by

$$u_t^\rho(x) := \rho u_{\rho^{2(k+1)}t}(\rho x).$$

Then  $(\nabla_t^\rho, u_t^\rho)$  is also a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $[0, T/\rho^{2(k+1)})$ .

Next we will show that if the curvature coupled with a Higgs field is blowing up as one approaches the maximal time, then one can extract a blow-up limit. The proof will closely follow the arguments in [6, Proposition 3.25] and [13, Theorem 5.2].

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Assume  $E$  is a line bundle. Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on some maximal time interval  $[0, T)$  with  $T < +\infty$ . Then there exists a blow-up sequence  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$  which converges pointwise to a smooth solution  $(\nabla_t^\infty, u_t^\infty)$  to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ .*

**PROOF.** From Theorem 3.7,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \sup_M (|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|) = +\infty.$$

Therefore, we can choose a sequence of times  $t_i \nearrow T$  within  $[0, T)$  and a sequence of points  $x_i$ , such that

$$|F_{\nabla_{t_i}}(x_i)| + |\nabla_{t_i} u_{t_i}(x_i)| = \sup_{M \times [0, t_i]} (|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|).$$

Let  $\{\rho_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be constants to be determined. Define  $\nabla_t^i(x)$  by

$$\Gamma_t^i(x) = \rho_i^{1/2(k+1)} \Gamma_{\rho_i t + t_i}(\rho_i^{1/2(k+1)} x + x_i)$$

and

$$u_t^i(x) = \rho_i^{1/2(k+1)} u_{\rho_i t + t_i}(\rho_i^{1/2(k+1)} x + x_i).$$

By Proposition 4.1,  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$  are also solutions to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) and the domain for each  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$  is  $B_0(\rho_i^{-1/2(k+1)}) \times [-t_i/\rho_i, (T - t_i)/\rho_i]$ . We observe that

$$F_t^i(x) := F_{\nabla_t^i}(x) = \rho_i^{1/(k+1)} F_{\nabla_{\rho_i t + t_i}}(\rho_i^{1/2(k+1)} x + x_i),$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [-t_i/\rho_i, T-t_i/\rho_i]} (|F_t^i(x)| + |\nabla_t^i u_t^i(x)|) \\ &= \rho_i^{1/(k+1)} \sup_{t \in [-t_i/\rho_i, T-t_i/\rho_i]} (|F_{\nabla_{\rho_i t + t_i}}(\rho_i^{1/2(k+1)} x + x_i)| + |\nabla_{\rho_i t + t_i} u_{\rho_i t + t_i}(\rho_i^{1/2(k+1)} x + x_i)|) \\ &= \rho_i^{1/(k+1)} \sup_{t \in [0, t_i]} (|F_{\nabla_t}(x)| + |\nabla_t u_t(x)|) \\ &= \rho_i^{1/(k+1)} (|F_{\nabla_{t_i}}(x_i)| + |\nabla_{t_i} u_{t_i}(x_i)|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting

$$\rho_i = (|F_{\nabla_{t_i}}(x_i)| + |\nabla_{t_i} u_{t_i}(x_i)|)^{-(k+1)}$$

gives

$$1 = |F_0^i(0)| + |\nabla_0^i u_0^i(0)| = \sup_{t \in [-t_i/\rho_i, 0]} (|F_t^i(x)| + |\nabla_t^i u_t^i(x)|). \tag{4.1}$$

Now, we are ready to construct smoothing estimates for the sequence  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ . For any  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{t \in [\tau-1, \tau]} (|\gamma_y^s F_t^i(x)| + |\gamma_y^s \nabla_t^i u_t^i(x)|) \leq 1.$$

Note that  $E$  is a line bundle and, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.7, it suffices to use Corollary 3.3. Then for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , one may choose  $s \geq (k + 1)(q + 1)$  so that there exists a positive constant  $C_q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in B_y(1/2)} (|(\nabla_\tau^i)^{(q)} F_\tau^i(x)| + |(\nabla_\tau^i)^{(q)} u_\tau^i(x)|) \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in B_y(1)} (|\gamma_y^s (\nabla_\tau^i)^{(q)} F_\tau^i(x)| + |\gamma_y^s (\nabla_\tau^i)^{(q)} u_\tau^i(x)|) \leq C_q. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the Coulomb gauge theorem of Uhlenbeck [11, Theorem 1.3] (see also [5]) and the gauge patching theorem [3, Corollary 4.4.8], passing to a subsequence (without changing notation) and in an appropriate gauge,  $(\nabla_\tau^i, u_\tau^i) \rightarrow (\nabla_\tau^\infty, u_\tau^\infty)$  in  $C^\infty$ .  $\square$

### 5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The following energy estimates are similar to the ones in [13, Section 6].

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_\tau, u_\tau)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$ . Then the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -energy (1.1) is decreasing along the flow (1.2).*

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_\tau, u_\tau)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M^4 \times [0, T)$  with  $T < +\infty$ . Then the Yang–Mills–Higgs energy*

$$\mathcal{YMH}(\nabla_\tau, u_\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M [|F_{\nabla_\tau}|^2 + |\nabla_\tau u_\tau|^2] d\text{vol}_g$$

*is bounded along the flow (1.2).*

Next, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. To accomplish this, we first show that the  $L^p$ -norm controls the  $L^\infty$ -norm by blow-up analysis.

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *Assume  $E$  is a line bundle. Suppose  $(\nabla_\tau, u_\tau)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.2) defined on  $M^4 \times [0, T)$  and*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} (\|F_{\nabla_\tau}\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla_\tau u_\tau\|_{L^p}) < +\infty.$$

*If  $p > 2$ , then*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} (\|F_{\nabla_\tau}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla_\tau u_\tau\|_{L^\infty}) < +\infty.$$

**PROOF.** To obtain a contradiction, assume

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} (\|F_{\nabla_\tau}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla_\tau u_\tau\|_{L^\infty}) = +\infty.$$



As we did in Theorem 4.2, we can construct a blow-up sequence  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$ , with blow-up limit  $(\nabla_t^\infty, u_t^\infty)$ . Noting (4.1), by Fatou’s lemma and the natural scaling law,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\nabla_t^i}\|_{L^p}^p + \|\nabla_t^\infty u_t^\infty\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (\|F_{\nabla_t^i}\|_{L^p}^p + \|\nabla_t^i u_t^i\|_{L^p}^p) \\ &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_i^{(2p-4)/(2k+2)} (\|F_{\nabla_t^i}\|_{L^p}^p + \|\nabla_t u_t\|_{L^p}^p). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_i^{(2p-4)/(2k+2)} = 0$  when  $p > 2$ , the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero, which is a contradiction since the blow-up limit has nonvanishing curvature. □

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** By the Sobolev embedding theorem, we can solve for  $p$  such that  $W^{k,2} \subset L^p$ , when  $k > 0$ . Using the interpolation inequalities [7, Corollary 5.5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla_t u_t\|_{L^p} &\leq CS_{k,p} \sum_{j=0}^k (\|\nabla_t^{(j)} F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_t^{(j)} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq CS_{k,p} (\|\nabla_t^{(k)} F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_t^{(k+1)} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq CS_{k,p} (\mathcal{YMH}_k(\nabla_t, u_t) + \mathcal{YMH}(\nabla_t, u_t) + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

then using Propositions 5.1, 3.6 and 5.2, we conclude that the flow exists smoothly for all time. □

### References

- [1] S. Alesker and E. Shelukhin, ‘On a uniform estimate for the quaternionic Calabi problem’, *Israel J. Math.* **197** (2013), 309–327.
- [2] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, ‘The Yang–Mills equations over Riemann surfaces’, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* **308** (1982), 523–615.
- [3] S. K. Donaldson and P. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990).
- [4] A. Hassell, ‘The Yang–Mills–Higgs heat flow on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ’, *J. Funct. Anal.* **111** (1993), 431–448.
- [5] M. C. Hong and G. Tian, ‘Global existence of the  $m$ -equivariant Yang–Mills flow in four dimensional spaces’, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **12** (2004), 183–211.
- [6] C. Kelleher, ‘Higher order Yang–Mills flow’, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **100** (2019), Article no. 100.
- [7] E. Kuwert and R. Schätzle, ‘Gradient flow for the Willmore functional’, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **10** (2002), 307–339.
- [8] J. Li and X. Zhang, ‘The limit of the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow on Higgs bundles’, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2017** (2017), 232–276.
- [9] H. Saratchandran, ‘Higher order Seiberg–Witten functionals and their associated gradient flows’, *Manuscripta Math.* **160** (2019), 411–481.
- [10] C. Song and C. Wang, ‘Heat flow of Yang–Mills–Higgs functionals in dimension two’, *J. Funct. Anal.* **272** (2017), 4709–4751.
- [11] K. K. Uhlenbeck, ‘Connections with  $L^p$ -bounds on curvature’, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **83** (1982), 31–42.

- [12] A. Waldron, ‘Long-time existence for Yang–Mills flow’, *Invent. Math.* **217** (2019), 1069–1147.
- [13] P. Zhang, ‘Gradient flows of higher order Yang–Mills–Higgs functionals’, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **113** (2022), 257–287.

HEMANTH SARATCHANDRAN, School of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia  
e-mail: [hemanth.saratchandran@adelaide.edu.au](mailto:hemanth.saratchandran@adelaide.edu.au)

JIAOGEN ZHANG, School of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR China  
e-mail: [zjgmath@ustc.edu.cn](mailto:zjgmath@ustc.edu.cn)

PAN ZHANG, School of Mathematical Sciences,  
Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui 230601, PR China  
e-mail: [panzhang20100@ahu.edu.cn](mailto:panzhang20100@ahu.edu.cn)