

Arch Intern Med. 2000;160(14):2101.
doi:10.1001/archinte.160.14.2101.

2.Srivastava A, Brewer AK, Mauser-Bunschoten EP, et al. Guidelines for the management of hemophilia. *Haemophilia.* 2013;19(1).
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2516.2012.02909.x.

3.O'Hara J, Hughes D, Camp C, et al. The cost of severe haemophilia in Europe: the CHESS study. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* 2017;12(1):1-8. doi:10.1186/s13023-017-0660-y.

PP148 Development And Evaluation Of A Tool Supporting Prescription Behavior

AUTHORS:

Valentina Lorenzoni (v.lorenzoni@sssup.it), Vincenzo Ferrari, Cinzia Freschi, Giuseppe Turchetti

INTRODUCTION:

The increasing complexity of decision-making in clinical practice and the financial pressure requires clinicians to develop some background about the economic consequences of their decisions and to become more and more managers of pre-defined budgets. The present work aims at describing a simple technology solution that could support prescription decisions and illustrates the results of a preliminary assessment of the tool in a sample of professionals. The solution has been developed to allow informed decision-making in the prescription of oral anti-diabetic drugs (OADs) in type II diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients by supporting prescriptive appropriateness.

METHODS:

The tool developed is compatible with many kinds of hardware architectures and the most diffused web browsers. The system allows real-time reproduction of economic evaluation of the different therapeutic options for the management of T2DM patients. Assessment of "ease to use" and "usefulness" of the tool

was performed in a convenience sample of clinicians and pharmacists through a specific questionnaire.

RESULTS:

The tool was developed to compare dipeptidyl-peptidase inhibitors (DPP4i) with sulfonylureas, as second line therapy, for T2DM patients. The tool has a user-friendly Graphical User Interface allowing users to quickly and easily select the therapeutic options to compare, choosing geographical context, perspective of analysis, and changing some model parameters. Feedbacks obtained from thirty-three different professionals were generally positive for the "ease to understand information offered", "ease of introduction of the tool to support usual working activity", "usefulness within the usual working activity".

CONCLUSIONS:

The study showed that the introduction of the tool as a support for clinicians in optimizing their practice could satisfy unmet needs of professionals by supporting informed prescriptive appropriateness in the choice of OADs as it allows to consider diabetes drug related costs in a comprehensive way. The routinely use of the tool developed could become a solution helping clinicians in the management of several diseases.

PP149 Assessment Of New Medical Devices With Administrative Databases

AUTHORS:

Giuditta Callea (giuditta.callea@unibocconi.it), Maria Caterina Cavallo, Rosanna Tarricone

INTRODUCTION:

Administrative data (for example, hospital discharge databases, HDDs) can be used as a real world source of clinical and economic evidence for assessing new medical devices (MDs), provided that their use can be identified in the data. In absence of updated

classification systems for procedures and diagnoses, which allow to identify the use of new technologies in the data, traceability can still be achieved thanks to authorities coding guidelines (that is, indication on how to combine the existing codes for procedures and/or diagnoses when new technologies are used).

In 2009 Italy adopted version 2007 of the International Classification System of Diseases (ICD-9-CM) and version 24 of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs), which are still in use. The aim of this work was to investigate the capacity of the classification system currently used in Italy, which is at high risk of obsolescence, to identify innovative MDs.

METHODS:

To achieve our goal, we performed a systematic search of all the national and regional coding guidelines published from 2009 (that is, the year of introduction of the new classification systems) to 2015. We extracted from each document the list of technologies for which the Ministry of Health and/or the Regional Authorities provided with coding indications.

RESULTS:

Our results show that only a few recent technological innovations can be identified in the Italian HDDs. This reduces the possibility for decision makers to measure new technologies outcomes and costs in the real world clinical practice.

CONCLUSIONS:

The traceability of new MDs' can support Health Technology Assessment (HTA). Indeed, HTA programs should use real world evidence to re-assess MDs 2–3 years after their introduction in clinical practice. The use of routinely collected data, such as HDD, would allow to measure new technologies' "real" effectiveness in "real" world, on "real" patients in "real" hospitals to complement the evidence from Randomized Controlled Trials.

PP150 Rapid Analgesia For Prehospital Hip Disruption: A Feasibility Study

AUTHORS:

Jenna Bulger (j.k.bulger@swansea.ac.uk), Alan Brown, Bridie Evans, Greg Fegan, Simon Ford, Katy Guy, Sian Jones, Leigh Keen, Ashrafunnesa Khanom, Ian Pallister, Nigel Rees, Ian Russell, Anne Seagrove, Helen Snooks

INTRODUCTION:

Adequate pain relief at the scene of injury and during transport to hospital is a major challenge in all acute traumas, especially for those with hip fractures, whose injuries are difficult to immobilize and long-term outcomes may be adversely affected by administration of opiate analgesics. Fascia Iliaca Compartment Block (FICB) is a procedure routinely undertaken by clinicians in emergency departments for hip fracture patients, but use by paramedics at the scene of emergency calls, is not yet evaluated (1).

METHODS:

We undertook a randomized controlled feasibility trial using novel audited scratchcard randomization to allocate eligible patients to FICB or usual care. Paramedics are recruited and trained to assess patients for hip fracture and carry out FICB. We will follow up patients to assess accuracy of paramedic diagnosis, acceptability to patients and paramedics, compliance of paramedics and also measures of pain, side effects, time in hospital and quality of life in order to plan a full trial if appropriate. The primary outcome measure is health related quality of life, measured using Short Form (SF)-12 at 1 and 6 months. Interviews and focus groups will be used to understand acceptability of FICB to patients and paramedics. This study was funded by Health and Care Research Wales (1003).

RESULTS:

We have developed:

- paramedic pathway to assess patients for hip fracture and FICB