

# SOME REMARKS ON GROUPS ADMITTING A FIXED-POINT-FREE AUTOMORPHISM

FLETCHER GROSS

**1. Introduction.** A finite group  $G$  is said to be a fixed-point-free-group (an FPF-group) if there exists an automorphism  $\sigma$  which fixes only the identity element of  $G$ . The principal open question in connection with these groups is whether non-solvable FPF-groups exist. One of the results of the present paper is that if a Sylow  $p$ -group of the FPF-group  $G$  is the direct product of any number of mutually non-isomorphic cyclic groups, then  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -complement. As a consequence of this, the conjecture that all FPF-groups are solvable would be true if it were true that every finite simple group has a non-trivial Sylow subgroup of the kind just described. Here it should be noted that all the known simple groups satisfy this property.

In §§ 4 and 5, conditions for abelian groups and regular  $p$ -groups to be FPF-groups are considered. Typical of the results obtained are the following. (1) A finite abelian group  $G$  is not an FPF-group if, and only if, there are fully invariant subgroups  $H$  and  $K$  in  $G$  such that  $H > K$  and  $|H/K| = 2$ . (2) If  $P$  is a finite group of exponent  $p$ , where  $p$  is a prime  $> 3$ , and of class 2, then  $P$  is an FPF-group.

If the order,  $N$ , of  $\sigma$  is specified, various necessary conditions for  $G$  to be an FPF-group are known. A well-known result of Thompson (7) states that  $G$  must be nilpotent if  $N$  is prime. For more general  $N$  and under the added hypothesis that  $G$  is solvable, various conditions that must be satisfied by the nilpotent length and  $p$ -length of  $G$  are derived in (5), (6), and (2). (The results in (6) hold for any  $N$ , while in the other two papers it is assumed that  $N$  is a power of a prime.)

**2. Preliminaries.** The notation is the same as in (1) with the addition that  $A(G)$  and  $O(G)$  denote the automorphism group and outer automorphism group, respectively, of the group  $G$ . All groups are assumed to be finite. The following propositions are all well known and will be assumed without proof.

2.1. *If  $G$  is abelian of odd order, then  $G$  is an FPF-group.*

2.2 *If  $G$  is an elementary abelian 2-group, then  $G$  is an FPF-group if, and only if,  $|G| \geq 4$ .*

---

Received January 13, 1967.

2.3 If  $\sigma \in A(G)$ ,  $N \triangleleft G$ , and  $N$  admits  $\sigma$ , then  $\sigma$  is fixed-point-free on  $G$  if, and only if, the automorphisms of  $N$  and  $G/N$  induced by  $\sigma$  are both fixed-point-free.

2.4. If  $H$  and  $K$  are both FPF-groups, then  $H \times K$  is an FPF-group. Conversely, if  $H \times K$  is an FPF-group and either  $H$  or  $K$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $H \times K$ , then  $H$  and  $K$  are both FPF-groups.

2.5. If  $\sigma$  is a fixed-point-free automorphism of  $G$  and  $p \mid |G|$ , then there is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  which admits  $\sigma$ .

2.6. If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group,  $|G| > 1$ , and  $\sigma$  is in a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A(G)$ , then  $\sigma$  is not fixed-point-free on  $G$ .

An immediate consequence of 2.6 is the following.

2.7. If  $G$  is an FPF  $p$ -group, then there exists a fixed-point-free automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$  such that  $p$  does not divide the order of  $\sigma$ .

**3. Normal  $p$ -complements of FPF-groups.**

3.1. LEMMA. Let  $G$  be a nilpotent group,  $H$  a non-trivial subgroup of  $G$ , and  $g$  an element of  $G$  which normalizes  $H$ . Then the automorphism of  $H$  induced by conjugation by  $g$  is not fixed-point-free.

*Proof.*  $G$  is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups,  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $g = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i$ , where  $g_i \in S_i$  and let  $H_i = S_i \cap H$ . For some  $i$ ,  $i = 1$  say,  $|H_i| > 1$ . But  $[g_j, H_1] = 1$  if  $j \neq 1$  since  $G$  is nilpotent. Thus, the automorphism of  $H_1$  induced by  $g$  is just conjugation by  $g_1$ . Since  $g_1 \in S_1$ , it follows from 2.6 that conjugation by  $g_1$  is not fixed-point-free on  $H_1$ .

3.2. LEMMA. Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a fixed-point-free automorphism of  $G$  and that  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  which admits  $\sigma$ . Assume further that  $O(H)$  is nilpotent. Then  $G = HC_G(H)$ . If, in addition,  $A(H)$  is nilpotent, then  $H \leq Z(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{G}$  be the normal product of  $G$  by  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  and let  $C = C_{\bar{G}}(H)$ . Clearly,  $\bar{G}/C$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $A(H)$ .  $HC/C$  is a normal subgroup of  $\bar{G}/C$  and  $\bar{G}/HC$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(H)$ . Since  $GC/C$  is certainly normal in  $\bar{G}/C$ , it follows from the lemma that the automorphism of  $G/C_G(H)H$  induced by  $\sigma$  cannot be fixed-point-free unless  $|G/C_G(H)H| = 1$ . This proves the first part of the theorem, and if  $A(H)$  is nilpotent, the same reasoning yields that the automorphism of  $G/C_G(H)$  induced by  $\sigma$  is not fixed-point-free unless  $|G/C_G(H)| = 1$ .

3.3. COROLLARY. Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a fixed-point-free automorphism of  $G$ , and  $H$  is a normal cyclic subgroup of  $G$  which admits  $\sigma$ . Then  $H \leq Z(G)$ .

*Proof.* If  $H$  is cyclic, then  $A(H)$  is abelian.

3.4. COROLLARY. *Let  $G$  be an FPF-group and suppose that  $P$ , a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , has a chain*

$$1 = H_0 < H_1 < H_2 < \dots < H_m = P$$

*such that  $H_i \text{ char } P$  and  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is cyclic for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then*

$$N_G(P) = PC_G(P).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a fixed-point-free automorphism of  $G$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $P$  admits  $\sigma$ . Then  $N_G(P)$  certainly admits  $\sigma$ . From 3.3, it follows that  $H_i/H_{i-1} \cong Z(N_G(P)/H_{i-1})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Thus, if  $g$  is an element of  $N_G(P)$  whose order is not divisible by  $p$ , then  $[g, H_i] \leq H_{i-1}$  for all  $i$ . Since  $g$  is a  $p'$ -element, this implies that  $[g, P] = 1$ . Thus,  $N_G(P)/C_G(P)$  must be a  $p$ -group, which proves the corollary.

3.5. THEOREM. *Let  $G$  be an FPF-group and suppose that  $P$ , a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , is of the form*

$$P = P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_m,$$

*where  $P_i$  is cyclic of order  $p^{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_m$ . Then  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -complement.*

*Proof.* We shall show that the hypothesis of 3.4 is satisfied. Since  $P$  is abelian, this will imply that  $P \leq Z(N_G(P))$ . As is well known, this implies that  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -complement.

Now,  $P/\Omega_1(P)$  is isomorphic to

$$P_1/\Omega_1(P) \times P_2/\Omega_1(P_2) \times \dots \times P_m/\Omega_1(P_m)$$

and  $P_i/\Omega_i(P)$  is cyclic of order  $p^{n_i-1}$ . Thus, using induction on  $|P|$ , we may assume that there is a series

$$\Omega_1(P) = H_0 < H_1 < \dots < H_r = P$$

such that  $H_j \text{ char } P$  and  $H_j/H_{j-1}$  is cyclic for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Now let  $K_i = \mathfrak{U}^{n_i-1}(P) \cap \Omega_1(P)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and let  $K_{m+1} = 1$ . Clearly,  $K_i \text{ char } P$ , and it is easy to verify that

$$1 = K_{m+1} < K_m < K_{m-1} < \dots < K_1 = \Omega_1(P)$$

and  $K_i/K_{i+1}$  is cyclic of order  $p$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Thus, the hypothesis of 3.4 is satisfied and therefore the theorem is proved.

3.6. Conjecture. If  $G$  is a simple group, then there is a prime  $p$  dividing  $|G|$  such that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  has the structure described in the hypothesis of 3.5.

All of the known simple groups satisfy this conjecture. For example, if  $G = A_n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , then let  $p$  be a prime such that  $n/2 < p \leq n$ . It follows immediately that the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $A_n$  are of order  $p$  and thus cyclic.

The verification of the conjecture for the other known simple groups is straightforward but somewhat long, and therefore is omitted.

**3.7. THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be an FPF-group such that every factor in a composition series of  $G$  satisfies 3.6. Then  $G$  is solvable.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a minimal counter-example and let  $\sigma$  be a fixed-point-free automorphism of  $G$ . Suppose that there is a non-trivial normal subgroup  $N$  in  $G$  which admits  $\sigma$ . Then both  $N$  and  $G/N$  are FPF-groups. By induction on  $|G|$ , this implies that  $N$  and  $G/N$  are solvable, and thus  $G$  is solvable.

Now, suppose that  $G$  and 1 are the only normal subgroups which admit  $\sigma$ . Then  $G$  must be the direct product

$$G = H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_n$$

of isomorphic simple groups  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ . If the  $H_i$  are abelian, then the proof is complete. If the  $H_i$  are not abelian, then  $\sigma$  must permute the  $H_i$  transitively. It follows from this that  $H_1$  admits  $\sigma^n$  and  $\sigma^n$  must be fixed-point-free on  $H_1$ . Since  $H_1 \triangleleft G$ ,  $H_1$  satisfies 3.6. But then 3.5 would imply that either  $H_1$  is a  $p$ -group or  $H_1$  is not simple. Thus the theorem is proved.

**4. Abelian FPF-groups.** Because of 2.4, a nilpotent group is an FPF-group if, and only if, the Sylow subgroups are FPF-groups. Then, using 2.1, we see that the problem of characterizing abelian FPF-groups is equivalent to characterizing abelian FPF 2-groups.

**4.1. LEMMA.** *Let  $P$  be an abelian  $p$ -group whose invariants are*

$$\overbrace{(m, m, \dots, m)}^n.$$

*If  $\tau \in A(P/D(P))$ , then there exists  $\sigma \in A(P)$  such that the automorphism of  $P/D(P)$  induced by  $\sigma$  is identical with  $\tau$ . Furthermore,  $\sigma$  is fixed-point-free on  $P$  if, and only if,  $\tau$  is fixed-point-free on  $P/D(P)$ .*

The proof of this is easy and is left to the reader.

**4.2. THEOREM.** *Let  $P$  be an abelian 2-group whose invariants are*

$$\overbrace{(m_1, \dots, m_1)}^{n_1}, \overbrace{(m_2, \dots, m_2)}^{n_2}, \dots, \overbrace{(m_r, \dots, m_r)}^{n_r},$$

*where  $0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$  and  $n_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Then  $P$  is an FPF-group if, and only if,  $n_i > 1$  for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* The “if” part follows from 2.2, 2.4, and 4.1. Now let  $H_i = \Omega_{m_i}(P)D(P)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and let  $H_0 = D(P)$ . Now  $D(P) = \mathfrak{U}^1(P)$ . Thus,  $H_i$  is generated by  $D(P)$  together with those elements of a basis whose orders are at most  $2^{m_i}$  (here  $m_0 = 0$ ). It follows from this that  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  (obviously  $H_i \cong H_{i-1}$ ) is elementary abelian of order  $2^{n_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Since a group of order 2 cannot be an FPF-group, the “only if” part is proved.

Since  $\mathfrak{U}^k(P)$  and  $\Omega_k(P)$  are fully invariant subgroups of  $P$  for all  $k$ , we have also proved the following result.

4.3. COROLLARY. *Let  $G$  be an abelian group. Then  $G$  is not an FPF-group if, and only if, there exist fully invariant subgroups  $H$  and  $K$  in  $G$  such that  $H > K$  and  $|H/K| = 2$ .*

**5. Regular FPF  $p$ -groups.** We now wish to consider non-abelian  $p$ -groups, but we shall restrict ourselves to regular  $p$ -groups in the sense of (4). Since a regular 2-group must be abelian, we shall assume that  $p$  is odd. In particular, if  $p$  is odd, then any  $p$ -group of class 2 is regular. A simple result for such groups is the following theorem.

5.1. THEOREM. *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group of class 2 for  $p > 3$ . Let  $N$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  elements of  $G$  such that*

- (a)  $Z(G) \cong N \cong G'$ ,
- (b)  $\{Nx_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a basis for the abelian group  $G/N$ ,
- (c)  $\langle x_i \rangle \cap N = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

*Then  $G$  is an FPF-group.*

*Proof.* First we remark that without (c) this theorem would be false. As will be seen later, there are  $p$ -groups of class 2 which are not FPF-groups.

To prove the theorem, note that the hypothesis implies that any element  $y$  in  $G$  can be written uniquely in the form  $y = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n u$ , where  $y_i \in \langle x_i \rangle$  and  $u \in N$ . Now let  $a$  be any integer such that

$$0 \not\equiv a \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$$

(for example,  $a = 2$  will suffice). Then define  $\sigma$  on  $G$  by

$$y^\sigma = y_1^a y_2^a \dots y_n^a u^{a^2}.$$

To prove that this is a homomorphism, suppose that  $z = z_1 z_2 \dots z_n v$ , where  $z_i \in \langle x_i \rangle$  and  $v \in N$ . Now  $y_i z_j = z_j y_i [y_i, z_j]$ . Thus, using the fact that  $Z(G) \cong N \cong G'$ , we obtain

$$yz = y_1 \dots y_n z_1 \dots z_n uv = (y_1 z_1) y_2 \dots y_n z_2 \dots z_n uv \prod_{j=2}^n [y_j, z_1] = (y_1 z_1) (y_2 z_2) \dots (y_n z_n) \left( uv \prod_{n \geq j > i \geq 1} [y_j, z_i] \right).$$

Thus,

$$(yz)^\sigma = (y_1^a z_1^a) (y_2^a z_2^a) \dots (y_n^a z_n^a) \left( u^{a^2} v^{a^2} \prod_{n \geq j > i \geq 1} [y_j, z_i]^{a^2} \right).$$

Now  $y^\sigma z^\sigma = y_1^a \dots y_n^a z_1^a \dots z_n^a u^{a^2} v^{a^2}$ , and a similar calculation leads to

$$y^\sigma z^\sigma = (y_1^a z_1^a) \dots (y_n^a z_n^a) \left( u^{a^2} v^{a^2} \prod_{n \geq j > i \geq 1} [y_j^a, z_i^a] \right).$$

But since  $G$  is of class 2, it is easily proved that  $[y_j^a, z_i^a] = [y_j, z_i]^{a^2}$ . Thus  $y^\sigma z^\sigma = (yz)^\sigma$ , and therefore  $\sigma$  is at least an endomorphism of  $G$ . But from the conditions imposed on  $a$ , it is now easy to see that  $\sigma$  is a fixed-point-free automorphism of  $G$ .

5.2. COROLLARY. *Let  $G$  be of class 2 and exponent  $p$ , where  $p > 3$ . Then  $G$  is an FPF-group.*

*Proof.* Simply let  $N = G'$ .

It is not known whether 5.1 or 5.2 are true for  $p = 3$ .

We now wish to prove a result that will provide some examples of regular  $p$ -groups which are not FPF-groups. First, however, we need a lemma.

5.3. LEMMA. *Let  $P$  be a regular  $p$ -group such that  $x^{p^n} = 1$  for all  $x$  in  $P$  but  $P$  does contain elements of order  $p^n$  and  $n > 1$ . Assume that  $\sigma$  is a  $p'$ -element of  $A(P)$  and that  $T$  is a normal cyclic subgroup of order  $p^{n-1}$  in  $P$  such that  $T \cong D(P)$ . Then there is a cyclic subgroup of order  $p^n$  in  $P$  which admits  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g$  is of order  $p^n$  in  $P$ , then  $\langle g^p \rangle = T$  since  $P/T$  is elementary abelian. But  $g^p \in D(P)$ . Thus  $T = D(P)$ , and therefore  $T$  certainly admits  $\sigma$ . Now, if  $g$  and  $h$  are both of order  $p^n$  in  $P$ , then we must have  $\langle g^p \rangle = \langle h^p \rangle = T$ . Thus,  $h^p = g^{ap}$  for some  $a$  prime to  $p$ . It follows from this that  $(g^a h^{-1})^p = 1$  since  $P$  is regular. Thus,  $P/\Omega_{n-1}(P)$  is cyclic of order  $p$ .  $\Omega_{n-1}(P)$  certainly admits  $\sigma$  and  $\Omega_{n-1}(P)/T$  is of index  $p$  in  $P/T$ . Now, considering  $P/T$  as a vector space over  $\text{GF}(p)$  on which  $\sigma$  operates, we can use the theorem of complete reducibility to conclude that there is a  $\sigma$ -admissible complement to  $\Omega_{n-1}(P)/T$  in  $P/T$ . Thus, there is a subgroup  $S$  in  $P$  such that  $S\Omega_{n-1}(P) = P$ ,  $S \cap \Omega_{n-1}(P) = T$ , and  $S$  admits  $\sigma$ . Since  $S \not\cong \Omega_{n-1}$  and  $|S/T| = p$ , then  $S$  must be cyclic of order  $p^n$ .

5.4. COROLLARY. *Let  $P$  be an abelian  $p$ -group with invariants  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$  where  $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_{n-1} < m_n$ , and let  $\sigma$  be a  $p'$ -element of  $A(P)$ . Then there is a cyclic subgroup of order  $p^{m_n}$  in  $P$  which admits  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* If  $m_n = 1$ , then there is nothing to prove. Thus, we assume that  $m_n > 1$  and use induction on  $m_n$ . Now  $\mathfrak{U}^1(P)$  has invariants  $\{m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1, \dots, m_n - 1\}$  and  $\mathfrak{U}^1(P)$  certainly admits  $\sigma$ . Thus, by induction, there is a cyclic subgroup  $T$  of order  $p^{m_n-1}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{U}^1(P)$  such that  $T$  admits  $\sigma$ . Now let  $S = \Omega_1(P \text{ mod } T)$ .  $S$  admits  $\sigma$ ,  $S/T$  is elementary abelian, and, since  $T \leq \mathfrak{U}^1(P)$ ,  $S$  contains elements of order  $p^{m_n}$ . Applying the lemma to  $S$  completes the proof.

5.5. THEOREM. *Let  $P$  be a regular  $p$ -group,  $p > 2$ , such that*

- (a)  $P = RS$ ,  $R \cap S = 1$ , where  $R$  and  $S$  are subgroups;
- (b)  $S$  is cyclic of order  $p^n$ ,  $R$  is of exponent  $p^m$ , and  $n > m$ ;
- (c)  $S \triangleleft P$ .

*Then  $P$  is an FPF-group if, and only if,  $S \leq Z(P)$  and  $R$  is an FPF-group.*

*Proof.* If  $S \leq Z(P)$ , then  $P = R \times S$  and the “if” part of the theorem follows from 2.1 and 2.4. Now suppose that  $\sigma$  is a fixed-point-free automorphism whose order is prime to  $p$ .

First suppose that  $S \leq Z(P)$ . Then  $P = R \times S$  and  $Z(P) = Z(R) \times S$ . From 5.4, there is a cyclic subgroup  $S^*$  of order  $p^n$  in  $Z(P)$  such that  $S^*$  admits  $\sigma$ . Now  $\mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(P) = \mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(R) \times \mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(S) = \mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(S)$  since  $m \leq n - 1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(S^*) \neq 1$ , this implies that

$$\mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(S^*) \cap Z(R) = \mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(S^*) \cap Z(R) = 1.$$

Thus  $P = S^* \times R$ , and therefore  $P/S^* \cong R$ . Since  $S^*$  admits  $\sigma$ , this implies that  $R$  is an FPF-group.

It now remains to prove that  $S \leq Z(P)$ . If  $S$  admitted  $\sigma$ , this would follow from 3.3. Unfortunately,  $S$  need not admit  $\sigma$ . We shall prove that  $S \leq Z(P)$  by induction on  $|P|$ .

First, suppose that  $(xy)^p = 1$  for  $x \in R, y \in S$ . Since  $P$  is regular, we must have  $x^p = y^p$ . Since  $R \cap S = 1$ , this implies that  $x^p = y^p = 1$ . Thus,  $\Omega_1(P) = \Omega_1(R)\Omega_1(S)$ .  $\Omega_1(P)$  admits  $\sigma$  and therefore  $P/\Omega_1(P)$  is an FPF-group. Now, if  $P = \Omega_1(P)$ , then  $n = 1, m = 0$ , and the result is obvious. If  $P \neq \Omega_1(P)$ , then  $P/\Omega_1(P)$ , which equals  $(R\Omega_1(S)/\Omega_1(R)\Omega_1(S))(S\Omega_1(R)/\Omega_1(R)\Omega_1(S))$ , satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Thus, by induction we obtain  $[P, S] \leq \Omega_1(R)\Omega_1(S)$ . But  $S \triangleleft P$ . Thus,  $[P, S] \leq \Omega_1(S)$ . Hence, if  $x \in P, g \in S$ , then  $1 = [x, g]^p = [x, g^p]$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{U}^1(S) \leq Z(P)$ .

Now from  $S \triangleleft P$  we can easily prove that  $\mathfrak{U}^1(P) = \mathfrak{U}^1(R)\mathfrak{U}^1(S)$ . From this, it follows that

$$\mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(P) = \mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(R)\mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(S) = \mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(S) = \Omega_1(S).$$

Thus,  $\Omega_1(S)$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $P$  and therefore it certainly admits  $\sigma$ . Now let  $M = Z(P \text{ mod } \Omega_1(S))$ .  $M$  admits  $\sigma$  and  $S \leq M$  since  $[P, S] \leq \Omega_1(S)$ . It now follows that  $M = Z(R)S$ .

Suppose that there is a cyclic subgroup  $S^*$  of order  $p^n$  contained in  $M$  such that  $S^*$  admits  $\sigma$ .  $\mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(P) = \Omega_1(S)$  implies that  $S^* > \Omega_1(S^*) = \Omega_1(S)$ . Thus,  $S^* \triangleleft P$  since  $[P, M] \leq \Omega_1(S)$ . 3.3 now implies that  $S^* \leq Z(P)$ . But  $S^* \cap Z(R) = 1$  since  $\Omega_1(S^*) \cap Z(R) = \Omega_1(S) \cap Z(R) = 1$ . Thus,  $M = S^*Z(R)$  which implies that  $[M, R] = 1$ . This certainly implies that  $S \leq Z(P)$ .

We now complete the proof by showing the existence of such an  $S^*$ .  $\mathfrak{U}^1(M) = \mathfrak{U}^1(S)\mathfrak{U}^1(Z(R))$  is an abelian group satisfying the hypothesis of 5.4. Thus, there is a cyclic subgroup  $T$  of order  $p^{n-1}$  in  $\mathfrak{U}^1(M)$  such that  $T$  admits  $\sigma$ . But  $\mathfrak{U}^{n-1}(P) = \Omega_1(S)$  and  $T \leq \mathfrak{U}^1(P)$ . Thus  $T \cong \mathfrak{U}^{n-2}(T) = \Omega_1(S)$ . Now let  $N = \Omega_1(M \text{ mod } T)$ .  $N$  admits  $\sigma$  and  $N/T$  is elementary abelian.  $N$  contains elements of order  $p^n$  since  $T \leq \mathfrak{U}^1(M)$ . Thus, from 5.3, there is a cyclic subgroup  $S^*$  of order  $p^n$  contained in  $N$  which admits  $\sigma$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

*Example.* Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $n > 1$ , and let  $P$  be the group with generators  $x, y$  and relations

$$x^{p^n} = y^p = 1, \quad y^{-1}xy = x^{1+p^{n-1}}.$$

Then  $P$  is a regular  $p$ -group since it is of class 2 but  $P$  is not an FPF-group since  $\langle x \rangle \not\cong Z(P)$ .

It seems difficult to formulate conditions sufficient for non-abelian 2-groups to be FPF-groups. Since a simple non-abelian group must be of even order, theorems of this type would be of interest with respect to the conjecture that all FPF-groups are solvable. There is some evidence, however, to suggest that there are not too many non-abelian FPF 2-groups. For example, of the 311 non-abelian 2-groups of order at most 64 listed in (3), there are only three which are FPF-groups. These three, in the notation of (3), are  $64\Gamma_{9e}$ ,  $64\Gamma_{13a_1}$ , and  $64\Gamma_{13a_5}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. Feit and J. Thompson, *Solvability of groups of odd order*, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 775–1029.
2. F. Gross, *Solvable groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of prime power order*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 1440–1446.
3. M. Hall, Jr. and J. Senior, *The groups of order  $2^n$  ( $n \leq 6$ )* (Macmillan, New York, 1964).
4. P. Hall, *A contribution to the theory of groups of prime-power order*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 36 (1933), 29–95.
5. F. Hoffman, *Nilpotent height of finite groups admitting fixed-point-free automorphisms*, Math. Z. 85 (1964), 260–267.
6. E. Shult, *On groups admitting fixed-point-free abelian groups*, Illinois J. Math. 9 (1965), 701–720.
7. J. Thompson, *Finite groups with fixed-point-free automorphisms of prime order*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 45 (1959), 578–581.

*University of Alberta,  
Edmonton, Alberta;  
University of Utah,  
Salt Lake City, Utah*