



Fredholm index of Toeplitz pairs with H^∞ symbols

Penghui Wang and Zeyou Zhu

Abstract. In the present paper, we characterize the Fredholmness of Toeplitz pairs on Hardy space over the bidisk with the bounded holomorphic symbols, and hence, we obtain the index formula for such Toeplitz pairs. The key to obtain the Fredholmness of such Toeplitz pairs is the L^p solution of Corona Problem over \mathbb{D}^2 .

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ be the unit polydisc, $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ be the Hardy space over \mathbb{D}^2 , and $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$ be the space of bounded holomorphic functions. We will study the Fredholmness and Fredholm index of Toeplitz pair (T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ with $f_i \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

The Fredholmness of Toeplitz pair (T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) with bounded holomorphic symbols on the Bergman space $L_a^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ over the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n was studied by Putinar [10], which was generalized to the case of strongly pseudoconvex domains with C^3 boundary by Andersson and Sandberg [1]. For Toeplitz tuple $(T_{\varphi_1}, \dots, T_{\varphi_m})$ on the Bergman space $L_a^2(\Omega)$ on a strongly pseudoconvex domains $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ with symbols $\varphi_i \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, the Fredholm index was studied by Guo [5], in which the essential commutativity shown in [12] of the Toeplitz algebra played the key role.

In [6], Guo and the first author studied the Fredholm index of Toeplitz pairs on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ with rational inner symbols, in which the Fredholmness can be deduced by Spectral Mapping Theorem directly. However, for Toeplitz pair (T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) with bounded holomorphic symbols, the Spectral Mapping Theorem does not work. In the present note, the Fredholmness will be obtained by using the idea in the proof for existence of L^p solution of Corona Problem over \mathbb{D}^2 [8, 9]. In [3], Douglas and Sarkar highlighted the relationship between the Corona Theorem and Fredholmness of Toeplitz tuples over the ball and the disk, and this connection was also emphasized by [1]. To state the result, for $0 < r < 1$, set

$$\mathbb{D}_r = \{z, |z| < r\}, \quad \mathbb{D}_r^n = \mathbb{D}_r \times \dots \times \mathbb{D}_r, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{U}_r^n = \mathbb{D}^n \setminus \mathbb{D}_r^n,$$

and we have the following result.

Received by the editors September 23, 2024; revised October 10, 2024; accepted October 13, 2024.

This work is supported by NSFC: (12271298 and 11871308).

AMS Subject Classification: 47A13, 46H25.

Keywords: Toeplitz pair, Fredholm index, Hardy space over the polydisc.



Theorem 1.1 For $f_1, f_2 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$, the Toeplitz pair (T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is Fredholm if and only if there is $0 < r < 1$ and $c > 0$ such that $|f_1(z)|^2 + |f_2(z)|^2 \geq c$ on \mathbb{U}_r^2 , and in this case, the Fredholm index of the Toeplitz pair is given by

$$\text{Ind}(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) = -\dim H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) / (f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)).$$

Such a result can be seen as a polydisc analogue to the result of Putinar [10]. The difficulty to prove the above result is to characterize when $f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is of finite codimension, which was solved by using the characteristic space theory of polynomials in [6] for the case that f_1 and f_2 are rational inner functions.

For Toeplitz tuple $(T_{p_1}, \dots, T_{p_n})$ with symbols in polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, the Fredholm index was given in Kaad and Nest [7, Corollary 9.4]. If $\partial\mathbb{D}^n \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n Z(p_i)) = \emptyset$, then $(T_{p_1}, \dots, T_{p_n})$ is Fredholm, and

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Ind}(T_{p_1}, \dots, T_{p_n}) = -\text{deg}(0, P_n, \mathbb{D}^n),$$

where $P_n = (p_1, \dots, p_n) : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is the polynomial map.

Remark 1.2 Let $f_i \in A(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Suppose that $Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \emptyset$. Then, (T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) is Fredholm. Moreover, for $i = 1, 2$ we can find a sequence of polynomials $p_{1,n}$ and $p_{2,n}$, such that $p_{i,n}$ converge to f_i uniformly. Hence, for n large enough,

$$Z(p_{1,n}) \cap Z(p_{2,n}) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \emptyset.$$

Set the map $P_n = (p_{1,n}, p_{2,n})$ and $F = (f_1, f_2)$. Since both the Fredholm index and $\text{deg}(0, F, \mathbb{D}^2)$ are topological invariants, combining with (1.1), we have

$$\text{Ind}(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) = -\text{deg}(0, F, \mathbb{D}^2).$$

The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we will characterize the Fredholmness of Toeplitz pairs with bounded holomorphic symbols. In Section 3, the case that the symbols are in L^∞ will be considered.

2 The Fredholm index of Toeplitz pairs with bounded holomorphic symbols

The present section is devoted to the Fredholmness and the Fredholm index of Toeplitz pairs on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ with bounded holomorphic symbols. First, we recall the notation of the Koszul complex for a commuting pair. Let (T_1, T_2) be a commuting pair of operators on H ; the Koszul complex associated with (T_1, T_2) was introduced in [13],

$$0 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{d_1} H \oplus H \xrightarrow{d_2} H \rightarrow 0,$$

where the boundary operators d_1, d_2 are given by

$$d_1(\xi) = (-T_2\xi, T_1\xi), d_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = T_1\xi_1 + T_2\xi_2, \quad \text{for } \xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in H.$$

Obviously, $d_2 d_1 = 0$. The commuting pair (T_1, T_2) is called Fredholm [2] if

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \ker(d_1), \mathcal{H}_1 = \ker(d_2)/\text{Ran}(d_1) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_2 = H/\text{Ran}(d_2)$$

are all of finite dimension, and in this case, the Fredholm index of T is defined by

$$\text{Ind } T = -\dim \mathcal{H}_0 + \dim \mathcal{H}_1 - \dim \mathcal{H}_2.$$

The following easy lemma may be well-known before, and the proof is left as an exercise for the readers.

Lemma 2.1 *Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n be bounded operators on a Hilbert space H . Then, $T_1 H + \dots + T_n H$ is closed if and only if the operator $T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^*$ has the closed range, and in this case,*

$$\text{Ran}(T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^*) = T_1 H + \dots + T_n H.$$

To continue, we need the following lemma; the proof is inspired by the proof of the L^p -solution of the corona problem [8, 9].

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose $f_1, f_2 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then,*

$$\dim H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) / (f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)) < \infty$$

if and only if there is $0 < r < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 > \delta$ on \mathbb{U}_r^2 .

Proof *Necessity.* Since $f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is of finite codimension, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\text{Ran}(T_{f_1} T_{f_1}^* + T_{f_2} T_{f_2}^*) = f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2).$$

Then, $T_{f_1} T_{f_1}^* + T_{f_2} T_{f_2}^*$ is a positive Fredholm operator. Hence, there exists a positive invertible operator X and a compact operator K such that

$$T_{f_1} T_{f_1}^* + T_{f_2} T_{f_2}^* = X + K.$$

For $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{D}^2$, let $k_\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda^{(1)}|^2} \sqrt{1-|\lambda^{(2)}|^2}}{(1-\lambda^{(1)} z_1)(1-\lambda^{(2)} z_2)}$ be the normalized reproducing kernel of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ which converges to 0 weakly as $\lambda \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{D}^2$. It follows that there is positive constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{D}^2} \langle K k_\lambda, k_\lambda \rangle \rightarrow 0, \text{ and } \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{D}^2} \langle X k_\lambda, k_\lambda \rangle = c.$$

Therefore, for $\lambda_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} |f_1(\lambda)|^2 + |f_2(\lambda)|^2 &= \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \|T_{f_1}^* k_\lambda\|^2 + \|T_{f_2}^* k_\lambda\|^2 \\ &= \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} (\langle X k_\lambda, k_\lambda \rangle + \langle K k_\lambda, k_\lambda \rangle) \geq c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is $0 < r < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $|f_1(\lambda)|^2 + |f_2(\lambda)|^2 \geq \delta$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{U}_r^2$.

Sufficiency. Notice that $V = Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2)$ is a compact zero subvariety of \mathbb{D}^2 . Then, by [11, Theorem 14.3.1], it is a finite set. Let \mathcal{O} be the sheaf of germs of

analytic functions in \mathbb{D}^2 and $(f_1, f_2)\mathcal{O}$ be the ideal generated by $\{f_1, f_2\}$. Since the support of the analytic sheaf $\mathcal{O}/(f_1, f_2)\mathcal{O}$ coincides with the set V , the space $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2)/(f_1, f_2)\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is finite-dimensional, where $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is the space of holomorphic functions over \mathbb{D}^2 . Let

$$M = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) : f = f_1h_1 + f_2h_2 \text{ for some } h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2)\}.$$

To show that the subspace $f_1H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is closed and of finite codimension, it suffices to show that

$$(2.2) \quad M \subset f_1H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2H^2(\mathbb{D}^2).$$

In fact, (2.2) implies that

$$A : H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \Big/ \sum_{i=1}^2 f_iH^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2) / (f_1, f_2)\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2)$$

is injective. Fix $g \in M$. Then, $g = f_1h_1 + f_2h_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Let $\phi : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function with

$$\phi(t_1, t_2) = 1 \text{ for } (t_1, t_2) \in [0, r + \frac{1-r}{3}] \times [0, r + \frac{1-r}{3}],$$

and

$$\phi(t_1, t_2) = 0 \text{ for } t_1 \geq r + \frac{2(1-r)}{3} \text{ or } t_2 \geq r + \frac{2(1-r)}{3}.$$

Set

$$\chi(z_1, z_2) = \phi(|z_1|, |z_2|),$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi_j = \begin{cases} \frac{g\bar{f}_j}{|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2}, & |f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 \neq 0, \\ 0, & |f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the functions $l_j = \chi h_j + (1 - \chi)\varphi_j$ are smooth and satisfy the identity

$$(2.4) \quad f_1l_1 + f_2l_2 = g.$$

In general, l_1 and l_2 are not holomorphic. As in [8], we will use the technique on normal family of holomorphic functions. Set

$$G_i = \frac{1}{g} \left[l_1 \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial \bar{z}_i} - l_2 \frac{\partial l_1}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right],$$

$i = 1, 2$. By straightforward calculations, $G_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1}$$

in \mathbb{D}^2 , and

$$G_1 = \frac{1}{g} \left[\varphi_1 \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \varphi_2 \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \right] = \frac{g \left(\bar{f}_1 \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_2 \frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \right)}{(|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2)^2},$$

$$G_2 = \frac{1}{g} \left[\varphi_1 \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2} - \varphi_2 \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \right] = \frac{g \left(\bar{f}_1 \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_2 \frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \right)}{(|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2)^2}$$

in $\mathbb{U}^2_{r+\frac{2(1-r)}{3}}$. Notice that

$$\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1}$$

implies that the system

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{z}_1} = G_1, \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = G_2 \end{cases}$$

is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Then, by the proof in [8], the equations (2.5) admit a solution $b \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Put

$$(2.6) \quad g_1 = l_1 + b f_2, \quad g_2 = l_2 - b f_1.$$

Then, $g_i \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ and

$$f_1 g_1 + f_2 g_2 = g.$$

It follows that $M \subset f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. ■

For $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \subset H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, using the same reasoning as the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$\dim H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \Big/ \sum_{i=1}^m f_i H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) < \infty$$

if and only if there is a constant $\delta > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(z)|^2 \geq \delta \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{U}_r^n.$$

For example, for $m = 2, n = 3$, we only prove the sufficiency. Similarly, $V = Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2)$ is compact and hence is a finite set. Then, the space $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^3) / (f_1, f_2) \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^3)$ is finite-dimensional. Let

$$M = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^3) : f = f_1 h_1 + f_2 h_2 \text{ for some } h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^3)\}.$$

Fix $g \in M$. Then, $g = f_1 h_1 + f_2 h_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^3)$. Let $\phi : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function with

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = 1 \text{ for } (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in [0, r + \frac{1-r}{3}] \times [0, r + \frac{1-r}{3}] \times [0, r + \frac{1-r}{3}],$$

and

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = 0 \text{ for } t_1 \geq r + \frac{2(1-r)}{3} \text{ or } t_2 \geq r + \frac{2(1-r)}{3} \text{ or } t_3 \geq r + \frac{2(1-r)}{3}.$$

Set

$$\chi(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \phi(|z_1|, |z_2|, |z_3|),$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \varphi_j = \begin{cases} \frac{g_j}{|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2}, & |f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 \neq 0, \\ 0, & |f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the functions $l_j = \chi h_j + (1 - \chi)\varphi_j$ are smooth and satisfy the identity

$$f_1 l_1 + f_2 l_2 = g.$$

Set

$$G_i = \frac{1}{g} \left[l_1 \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial \bar{z}_i} - l_2 \frac{\partial l_1}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right],$$

$i = 1, 2, 3$. Considering the equation

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{z}_1} = G_1, \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = G_2, \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{z}_3} = G_3, \end{cases}$$

by [9, Section 3], there exists a solution $b \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$. Put

$$(2.9) \quad g_1 = l_1 + b f_2, \quad g_2 = l_2 - b f_1.$$

Then, $g_i \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^3)$ and

$$f_1 g_1 + f_2 g_2 = g.$$

It follows that $M \subset f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^3) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^3)$.

Now, we can prove the main result Theorem 1.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 *The necessity is an easy application of Lemma 2.2. In fact, suppose that (T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) is Fredholm. By the definition, the subspace $f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is closed and of finite codimension. By Lemma 2.2, there is $0 < r < 1$ and $c > 0$ such that*

$$|f_1(z)|^2 + |f_2(z)|^2 \geq c, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{U}_r^2.$$

For the other direction, let $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ be defined as in (2.1) for (T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) , and suppose there is $0 < r < 1$ and $c > 0$ such that $|f_1(z)|^2 + |f_2(z)|^2 \geq c$ on \mathbb{U}_r^2 . Then, by Lemma 2.2, the subspace $f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is closed and

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_2 = \dim H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) / (f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)) < \infty.$$

Next, for any $\zeta \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_1 \zeta\|^2 &= \|-T_{f_2} \zeta\|^2 + \|T_{f_1} \zeta\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f_2 \zeta(r\xi)|^2 dm + \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f_1 \zeta(r\xi)|^2 dm \\ &\geq \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (|f_2(r\xi)|^2 + |f_1(r\xi)|^2) |\zeta(r\xi)|^2 dm \\ &\geq c \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\zeta(r\xi)|^2 dm = c \|\zeta\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the boundary operator d_1 is injective and has closed range, and hence, \mathcal{H}_0 is trivial. Moreover, it is easy to see that $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathcal{H}_1$ if and only if (ζ_1, ζ_2) solves the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} T_{f_1} \zeta_1 + T_{f_2} \zeta_2 = 0, \\ -T_{f_2}^* \zeta_1 + T_{f_1}^* \zeta_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $T_{f_1} \zeta_1 + T_{f_2} \zeta_2 = 0$, then $\frac{-\zeta_2}{f_1} = \frac{\zeta_1}{f_2}$ on $\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus (Z(f_1) \cup Z(f_2))$. Set

$$\phi = \frac{-\zeta_2}{f_1} = \frac{\zeta_1}{f_2},$$

which ϕ can be holomorphically extended to $\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus (Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2))$ naturally. Notice that $Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2) \cap \mathbb{D}^2$ is a compact holomorphic subvariety of \mathbb{D}^2 , and hence, $Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2) \cap \mathbb{D}^2$ is a finite set. By Hartogs' Theorem, ϕ can be holomorphically extended to \mathbb{D}^2 . Furthermore, it is easy to see that there exists $0 < s < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $1 > r > s$,

$$|f_1(r\xi)|^2 + |f_2(r\xi)|^2 > \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{T}^2.$$

Therefore, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^2$,

$$|\phi(r\xi)|^2 = \frac{|-\zeta_2(r\xi)|^2}{|f_1(r\xi)|^2} = \frac{|\zeta_1(r\xi)|^2}{|f_2(r\xi)|^2} = \frac{|\zeta_2(r\xi)|^2 + |\zeta_1(r\xi)|^2}{|f_1(r\xi)|^2 + |f_2(r\xi)|^2} < \frac{|\zeta_2(r\xi)|^2 + |\zeta_1(r\xi)|^2}{\varepsilon}.$$

It follows that $\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, and hence,

$$\zeta_2 = -f_1 \phi, \quad \zeta_1 = f_2 \phi.$$

Combining with that $-T_{f_2}^* \zeta_1 + T_{f_1}^* \zeta_2 = 0$, we have $(T_{f_1}^* T_{f_1} + T_{f_2}^* T_{f_2}) \phi = 0$; thus,

$$\|\zeta_1\|^2 + \|\zeta_2\|^2 = \|f_1 \phi\|^2 + \|f_2 \phi\|^2 = \langle (T_{f_1}^* T_{f_1} + T_{f_2}^* T_{f_2}) \phi, \phi \rangle = 0,$$

and hence, $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{H}_1 = 0$. Thus, (T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) is Fredholm. It follows that

$$\text{Ind}(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) = -\dim \mathcal{H}_2 = -\text{codim}(f_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + f_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)).$$

As an easy application, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3 Let $f_i \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $F = (f_1, f_2) : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be the corresponding map. Then, the essential spectrum

$$\sigma_e(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}) = \bigcap_{0 < r < 1} \overline{F(\mathbb{U}_r^2)}.$$

Proof It follows from Theorem 1.1 and the definition of σ_e that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin \sigma_e(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2})$ if and only if there is a $\delta > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that

$$|\lambda_1 - f_1(z)| + |\lambda_2 - f_2(z)| > \delta, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{U}_r^2,$$

which is equivalent to saying that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin \overline{F(\mathbb{U}_r^2)}$ for some $0 < r < 1$. ■

3 Fredholmness of Toeplitz tuples with symbols in L^∞

In the present section, we will consider the Toeplitz tuple $(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n})$ with symbols in L^∞ . It is easy to see that, in general, $(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n})$ is not essentially commuting. To get the commutativity of the Toeplitz tuple, let $L_{z_i}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ be the space of essentially bounded functions over \mathbb{T} on the variable z_i , and the symbols $f_i \in L_{z_i}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. To avoid the confusion, denote by $T_{f_i}^{(i)}$ (resp. T_{f_i}) the Toeplitz operator on $H_{z_i}^2(\mathbb{T})$ (resp. $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$). We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.1 For $f_i \in L_{z_i}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, assume that all $T_{f_i}^{(i)}$ are not invertible. Then, the Toeplitz tuple $(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n})$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is Fredholm if and only if all $T_{f_i}^{(i)}$ are Fredholm, and in this case,

$$\text{Ind}(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n}) = (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Ind } T_{f_i}^{(i)}.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 comes from the following lemma easily, which is a generalization of [2, Proposition 15.4]. The sufficient part was proved by [7, Lemma 7.3], by using cohomology, and we will give an elementary proof.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a set of Hilbert spaces of infinite dimension and set

$$H = H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n.$$

Suppose all $T_i \in L(H_i)$ are not invertible. Set

$$\widetilde{T}_i = I_{H_1} \otimes \dots \otimes I_{H_{i-1}} \otimes T_i \otimes I_{H_{i+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes I_{H_n}, \quad i \leq n.$$

Then, the tuple $\mathcal{T} = (\widetilde{T}_1, \dots, \widetilde{T}_n)$ is a Fredholm tuple on H if and only if all T_i are Fredholm, and in this case,

$$\text{Ind } \mathcal{T} = (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Ind } T_i.$$

Proof At first, suppose that \mathcal{T} is Fredholm. Notice that all T_j are not invertible. Then,

$$H_j / \text{ran } T_j \neq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \ker T_j \neq 0.$$

Let $\Lambda_1 = \{i, \ker T_i \neq 0\}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \{j, \ker T_j = 0\}$, and set $S_i = T_i^*$ for $i \in \Lambda_1$ and $S_i = T_i$ for $i \in \Lambda_2$. Since \mathcal{T} is doubly commutative, that is,

$$[\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j] = [\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j^*] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

By [2, Corollary 3.7], the tuple $(\tilde{S}_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_n)$ is Fredholm, where \tilde{S}_i is defined as same as \tilde{T}_i for every i . It follows that as a linear space,

$$H \Big/ \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{S}_j H = (H_1 / \text{ran } S_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (H_n / \text{ran } S_n),$$

which is of finite dimension. Since all $H_i / \text{ran } S_i$ are not trivial,

$$\dim H_i / \text{ran } S_i < \infty.$$

It follows that all $\text{ran } S_i$ are closed. Next, we will show that all $\ker S_j$ are of finite dimension. It suffices to show that $\dim \ker S_j < \infty$ for $j \in \Lambda_1$. For $i \in \Lambda_2$, since S_i is not invertible, we have that $\ker S_i^* \neq 0$, and hence, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\ker S_i^* \neq 0$. For $i \in \Lambda_1$, by [2, Proposition 3.7] again, the tuple $(\tilde{S}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{S}_{i-1}^*, \tilde{S}_i, \tilde{S}_{i+1}^*, \dots, \tilde{S}_n^*)$ is Fredholm. It can be verified that

$$\left(\bigcap_{j \neq i} \ker \tilde{S}_j^* \right) \cap \ker \tilde{S}_i = \ker S_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes \ker S_{i-1}^* \otimes \ker S_i \otimes \ker S_{i+1}^* \otimes \dots \otimes \ker S_n^*$$

is of finite dimension. It follows that all $\ker S_i$ are of finite dimension. Therefore, all S_i are Fredholm, and hence, all T_i are also Fredholm.

Next, assume that all T_i are Fredholm. We will prove that the tuple \mathcal{T} is Fredholm. By [2, Corollary 3.7], the tuple $(\tilde{T}_1, \dots, \tilde{T}_n)$ is Fredholm if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n f \tilde{T}_i$ is Fredholm for every function $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, where

$$f \tilde{T}_i = \begin{cases} \tilde{T}_i^* \tilde{T}_i, & f(i) = 0, \\ \tilde{T}_i \tilde{T}_i^*, & f(i) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, we will show that $\tilde{T}_1 \tilde{T}_1^* + \dots + \tilde{T}_n \tilde{T}_n^*$ is Fredholm. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_1 H + \dots + \tilde{T}_n H &= T_1 H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n \\ &\quad + H_1 \otimes T_2 H_2 \otimes H_3 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n + \dots + H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_{n-1} \otimes T_n H_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_i H$ is closed, $\tilde{T}_1 H + \dots + \tilde{T}_n H$ is closed. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\text{ran} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{T}_i \tilde{T}_i^* \right) = \tilde{T}_1 H + \dots + \tilde{T}_n H$$

is closed. Moreover,

$$\ker \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{T}_i \tilde{T}_i^* \right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker \tilde{T}_i^* = \ker T_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \ker T_n^*$$

is of finite dimension. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{T}_i \tilde{T}_i^*$ is Fredholm. The same discussions as above show that for every function $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n f \tilde{T}_i$ is Fredholm.

By [7, Lemma 7.3], if $\mathcal{T} = (\tilde{T}_1, \dots, \tilde{T}_n)$ is Fredholm, then

$$\text{Ind } \mathcal{T} = (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Ind } T_i. \quad \blacksquare$$

For the remainder of the section, we will consider the Toeplitz tuple $(T_{f_1}, \dots, T_{f_n})$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. By Andersson and Sandberg [1], for $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, $(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n})$, acting on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, is Fredholm if and only if there exists $0 < s < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f_1(z)|^2 + |f_2(z)|^2 + \cdots + |f_n(z)|^2 > \delta, \quad \text{for } |z| > s.$$

Proposition 3.3 *If $(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n})$ is Fredholm, then*

$$\text{Ind}(T_{f_1}, \dots, T_{f_n}) = 0.$$

Before giving the proof of Proposition 3.3, we should point out that in Yang [14], it was proved that if $[T_\phi^*, T_\psi]$ is compact, then $\text{Ind}(T_\phi, T_\psi) = 0$.

The proof of Proposition 3.3 *Since $(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n})$ is Fredholm, there are $\delta > 0$ and $0 < s < 1$ such that*

$$|f_1(z)|^2 + |f_2(z)|^2 + \cdots + |f_n(z)|^2 \geq \delta, \quad \text{for } |z| > s.$$

It follows that there is a finite Blaschke product B such that

$$f_i = B \tilde{f}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{f}_i|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{|B|^2} \geq \delta \text{ on } \mathbb{D} \setminus Z(B).$$

Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{f}_i|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{|B|^2} \geq \delta \text{ on } \mathbb{D}.$$

By Corona Theorem, we have $(T_{\tilde{f}_1}, \dots, T_{\tilde{f}_n})$ is invertible, and hence,

$$\text{Ind}(T_{\tilde{f}_1}, \dots, T_{\tilde{f}_n}) = 0.$$

Moreover, since T_B is Fredholm, by [2, Proposition 11.1] for any bounded analytic function φ_i , the tuple of Toeplitz operators $(T_{\varphi_1}, \dots, T_{\varphi_i}, T_B, T_{\varphi_{i+1}}, \dots, T_{\varphi_n})$ is Fredholm and

$$\text{Ind}(T_{\varphi_1}, \dots, T_{\varphi_i}, T_B, T_{\varphi_{i+1}}, \dots, T_{\varphi_n}) = 0.$$

By [4, Proposition 1],

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ind}(T_{f_1}, \dots, T_{f_n}) &= \operatorname{Ind}(T_B, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n}) + \operatorname{Ind}(T_{\tilde{f}_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n}) \\ &= \operatorname{Ind}(T_{\tilde{f}_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n}).\end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\operatorname{Ind}(T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}, \dots, T_{f_n}) = \operatorname{Ind}(T_{\tilde{f}_1}, T_{\tilde{f}_2}, \dots, T_{\tilde{f}_n}) = 0.$$

Acknowledgements We would like to thank K. Guo (Fudan University) for valuable discussions on this topic. The authors thank the referee for helpful suggestions, which make this paper more readable.

References

- [1] M. Andersson and S. Sandberg, *The essential spectrum of holomorphic Toeplitz operators on H^p spaces*. Studia Math. 154(2003), no. 3, 223–231.
- [2] R. Curto, *Fredholm and invertible n -tuples of operators. The deformation problem*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266(1981), no. 1, 129–159.
- [3] R. G. Douglas and J. Sarkar, *A note on semi-Fredholm Hilbert modules*. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 202, 143–150.
- [4] X. Fang, *The Fredholm index of a pair of commuting operators*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 16(2006), no. 2, 367–402.
- [5] K. Guo, *Indices of Toeplitz tuples on pseudoregular domains*. Sci. China Ser. A. 43(2000), no. 12, 1258–1268.
- [6] K. Guo and P. Wang, *Defect operators and Fredholmness for Toeplitz pairs with inner symbols*. J. Operator Theory. 58(2007), no. 2, 251–268.
- [7] J. Kaad and R. Nest, *A transformation rule for the index of commuting operators*. J. Noncommut. Geom. 9(2015), no. 1, 83–119.
- [8] K. Lin, *The H^p -corona theorem for the polydisc*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 341(1994), no. 1, 371–375.
- [9] K. Lin, *H^p -solutions for the corona problem on the polydisc in \mathbb{C}^n* . Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 110(1986), no. 1, 69–84.
- [10] M. Putinar, *On joint spectra of pairs of analytic Toeplitz operators*. Studia Math. 115(1995), no. 2, 129–134.
- [11] W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [12] N. Salinas, A. Sheu and H. Upmeyer, *Toeplitz operators on pseudoconvex domains and foliation C^* -algebras*. Ann. of Math. (2) 130(1989), no. 3, 531–565.
- [13] J. Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*. J. Funct. Anal. 6(1970), 172–191.
- [14] R. Yang, *A trace formula for isometric pairs*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131(2003), no. 2, 533–541.

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, Shandong, P. R. China
e-mail: phwang@sdu.edu.cn 201911795@mail.sdu.edu.cn