

ON SPREADS IN $PG(3, 2^s)$ THAT ADMIT PROJECTIVE GROUPS OF ORDER 2^s

by V. JHA and N. L. JOHNSON*

(Received 23rd May 1984)

Let Γ be a spread in $\mathcal{P} = PG(3, q)$; thus Γ consists of a set of $q^2 + 1$ mutually skew lines that partition the points of \mathcal{P} . Also let Λ be the group of projectivities of \mathcal{P} that leave Γ invariant: so Λ is the “linear translation complement” of Γ , modulo the kern homologies. Recently, inspired by a theorem of Bartalone [1], a number of results have been obtained, in an attempt to describe (Γ, Λ) when q^2 divides $|\Lambda|$. A good example of such a result is the following theorem of Biliotti and Menichetti [3], which ultimately depends on Ganley’s characterization of likeable functions of even characteristic [5].

Theorem A (Biliotti, Menichetti, Ganley [3, 5]). *Suppose q is even and Λ contains a 2-group G such that*

- (i) *G fixes one component of Γ and acts regularly (and transitively) on the other q^2 components; and*
- (ii) *the elations in G form a subgroup of order q .*

Then Γ is a spread of a semifield plane, a Lüneburg plane [11], a Betten plane [2], or the Biliotti–Menichetti “elusive” plane of order 8^2 ; in this case $|\Lambda| = 8^2$ [3, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2].

The object of this note is to derive the following consequence of Theorem A.

Theorem B. *Let Γ be a spread in $PG(3, q)$ with q even and let Λ be the group of projectivities leaving Γ invariant. Assume u is a 2-primitive divisor of $q - 1$. Then $uq^2 \mid |\Lambda|$ only if Γ is a semifield spread, a Betten spread or a Lüneburg spread.*

Some background

To prove Theorem B we shall require in addition to Theorem A, the following recent results.

Result 1 (Jha, Johnson and Wilkie [8, Theorem 1.1])). *A spread of even order n admitting a shears group of order $n/2$ is a semifield spread.*

Result 2 (Dempwolff [4], Johnson and Wilkie [10])). *Let Π^l be an affine translation plane of even order q^2 . Suppose $\text{Aut } \Pi^l$ contains a group B of order q such that B fixes*

*This work was done when the first author was visiting the University of Iowa during 1983–84.

elementwise a Baer subplane Π_0 of order q and assume B centralizes a group of kern homologies of order $q-1$. Then B cannot normalize an elation group of order q unless $q=2$.

Proof. Let χ be the axis of an affine elation group E , of order q , that is normalized by B . Thus χ is in Π_0 and by Dempwolff [4, 2.7] E centralizes B . Now apply Johnson and Wilkie [10, Lemma 2.7].

Result 3 (Jha, Johnson and Wilkie [8, Theorem 1.2]). *Let G be in the linear translation complement of an affine translation plane Π^l of even order q^2 , with \mathbb{F}_q in its kern. Suppose G is nonsolvable and contains no elations. Then if G is reducible*

- (i) every involution in G fixes Δ , a derivable slope set; and
- (ii) every affine elation with axis through Δ leaves Δ invariant.

Proof of Theorem B

We begin by restating the hypothesis of Theorem B in the following convenient form.

Hypothesis (H). Π^l is an affine translation plane of even order q^2 with \mathbb{F}_q in its kern and Λ denotes the linear translation complement of Π^l based at an affine point 0 . Λ satisfies both the following conditions.

- (i) $q^2 \mid |\Lambda|$; and
- (ii) $\exists \theta \in \Lambda$ such that $\theta \upharpoonright l \neq \text{identity}$ and θ is a u -element, where u is a 2-primitive (=“primitive” from now on) divisor of $q-1$.

A Baer subplane of Π cannot be centralized by a group of order q^2 . So hypothesis (H) implies that every Sylow 2-subgroup of Λ fixes exactly one line of Π^l . Hence the following conventions are justified.

Notation (N). G is a Sylow 2-subgroup of Λ and χ is the unique component of the spread associated with Π^l that is invariant under G . Let E denote the group of elations in G with axis χ and let $\chi_G = \text{Fix}(G) \cap \chi$.

Now hypothesis (H)(i) immediately implies the following.

Lemma 1. *If Π^l is not a semifield plane then χ_G is a one-dimension \mathbb{F}_q subspace of χ and $|E| \geq (|G|/q) \geq q$.*

Lemma 2. *χ is left invariant by a u -element $\phi \in \Lambda$ such that $\phi \upharpoonright l \neq \text{identity}$.*

Proof. Let μ be the set of lines through 0 that are fixed by at least one Sylow 2-subgroup of Λ . Also let Σ be the group generated by all the shears in Λ . If $|\mu|=1$ we are done (hypothesis (H)(ii)), so assume $|\mu|>1$. Now the Hering–Ostrom theorem [11, Theorem 35.10] and Lemma 1 show that for some $h \geq 0$ we have either

- (i) $\Sigma \cong \text{SZ}(2^h q)$ and $|\mu| = (2^h q)^2 + 1$, or
- (ii) $\Sigma \cong \text{SL}(2, 2^h q)$ and $|\mu| = 2^h q + 1$.

As Π has order q^2 , case (i) only occurs when $|\mu| = q^2 + 1$, $\Sigma \cong SZ(q)$ and so by Liebler [11, Theorem 31.1], Π is a Lüneburg plane and the lemma holds. It remains to consider the case $\Sigma \cong SL(2, 2^h q)$. Now $\Sigma \cong SL(2, q)$ or $SL(2, q^2)$, e.g., use the fact that $\log_2 2^h q$ divides $\log_2 q^2$ (Johnson and Ostrom [9, Theorem 2.12]). Hence by Schaeffer's theorem (see [11, Theorem 49.6]), Π is Desarguesian. Hence the lemma is valid.

We now require some information about the action of $GL(2, q)$ on its standard module χ .

Lemma 3. *Let V be a 2-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q and let $\Gamma = GL(V, \mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose G_1 and G_2 are 2-groups in Γ such that $\text{Fix}(G_1) \neq \text{Fix}(G_2)$ but $G_1 = G_2^v$ for a u -element $v \in \Gamma$. Assume $|G_1| > 2$. Then H , the full group of unimodular elements in $\langle G_1, v \rangle$, is isomorphic to $SL(2, q^\alpha)$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ or 1. Moreover, the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\langle G_1, v \rangle$ are in H .*

Proof. As q is even, $\Gamma = \Sigma \oplus C$, where $\Sigma = SL(2, q)$ and C is the scalar group in Γ . Thus $v = v_1 \oplus \gamma$ where $v_1 \in \Sigma$ is a v -element and $\gamma \in C$; also $v_1 \neq 1$ because otherwise $G_2 = G_1^v = G_1$. Now $H \ni \langle G_1, G_2, v_1 \rangle$ and H is unimodular. Hence by Dickson's list of subgroups of $PSL(2, q)$ [7, Hauptsatz 8.27], we must have $H \cong SL(2, 2^s)$ for some s dividing $r = \log_2 q$. Since $u | 2^{2s} - 1$ and u is a primitive divisor of $2^r - 1$, we now have $r | 2s$. The lemma follows.

Lemma 4. *Suppose Π is not a semifield plane. Then there is a u -element $v \in \Lambda$ such that*

- (i) v leaves χ invariant;
- (ii) $v|l \neq \text{identity}$; and
- (iii) $v(\chi_G) = \chi_G$.

Proof. Let U be a maximal u -group in Λ that leaves χ invariant. By Lemma 2, $U|l \neq \text{identity}$. So it is sufficient to verify that χ_G is invariant under U . Assume this is false. Now there is a v in U such that $\text{Fix}(G^v) \cap \chi \neq \chi_G$. Next consider $T = \langle v, G \rangle$ and let $\bar{T} = T|\chi$. Observe that $4 | |\bar{T}|$ because otherwise by Result 1, Π is a semifield plane. So Lemma 3 applies to \bar{T} and hence \bar{H} , its unimodular subgroup, satisfies

$$\bar{H} \cong SL(2, q^\alpha) \text{ for } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } 1.$$

Now let H be the preimage of the restriction map $T \rightarrow T|\chi$. We now proceed in a series of steps.

Step A. $|E| \geq q^{2-\alpha}$ and Ω , the set of nontrivial E -orbits on l , has cardinality $\leq q^\alpha$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, $\bar{H} \supset \bar{G}$ and so $H \supset G$. Thus $\bar{G} = G|\chi$ has order precisely q^α . Since E is the kernel of the restriction map $G \rightarrow G|\chi$ we now have $|E| \geq q^2/q^\alpha$ and the step follows.

Step B. H fixes some member of Ω .

Proof. Suppose first that a nontrivial homology in H has χ as its axis. Now by Andre’s theorem [6, Theorem 4.25] the set \mathcal{C} of centres of all the nontrivial homologies in H with axis χ form an E -orbit that is clearly H invariant. So we may assume H contains no homologies with axis χ . Now $\bar{H} = H/E$ is a permutation group of Ω . But $|\Omega| \leq q^\alpha$ (Step A) and $\bar{H} \cong SL(2, q^\alpha)$ and so by Galois [7, Satz 8.28], \bar{H} acts trivially on Ω . Hence Step B is valid.

Step C. $H = EH_t$, where t is a point of $l - (l \cap \chi)$.

Proof. By Step B we may choose t to be in an E -orbit that is H invariant. Now $|H| = |E| |H_t| \Rightarrow H = EH_t$.

Step D. H_t fixes elementwise $q + 1$ distinct slopes and H contains no homologies with affine axis.

Proof. By Step C, $H_t \cong H/E$ is certainly nonsolvable and contains no elations. So by Result 3, H_t fixes elementwise $q + 1$ slopes. Thus H_t contains no homologies. Hence H does not contain any homology because any prime order homology in H would fix some slope in the E -orbit of t . This could imply that H_t contains a homology. Hence the step is valid.

Since H contains no homologies the restriction map $H \rightarrow H|_\chi$ has kernel E and now $H_t \cong H/E \cong \bar{H} \cong SL(2, q^\alpha)$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ or 1. Now by Schäffer’s theorem (see [11, Theorem 49.6]), Π is a Hall plane or a Desarguesian plane. Only the latter plane is consistent with our hypothesis and so the lemma is proved.

Lemma 5. If Π is not a semifield plane then Λ contains a subgroup H such that

- (i) $H \supset G$;
- (ii) $|H| = u^\alpha |G|$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$; and
- (iii) $H|_{\chi_G} = \text{identity}$.

Proof. Choose v to satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) of Lemma 4 and let U be the Sylow u -subgroup of the kern homologies in Λ ; thus U is the biggest subgroup of Λ fixing l elementwise. Now if $u^\beta = |U|$ then $u^\beta |q - 1|$ (or Π is Desarguesian and the lemma holds). Now $v \notin U$ and so the u -group $U_1 = \langle v, U \rangle$ leaves χ_G invariant and clearly cannot be faithful on it. So we may choose $v_1 \neq 1$ in the kern of $U_1 \rightarrow U_1|_{\chi_G}$ and let $L = \langle v_1, G \rangle$. Since L fixes χ_G and χ it is readily seen to be solvable. Thus a Hall $\{u, 2\}$ subgroup of L can be written as H .

We now use the following lemma on vector spaces to study the action of H on the elation group E .

Lemma 6. Let V be a vector space of order $n = 2^s < q^2$. Suppose \mathcal{O} is a u -element in $GL(V, +)$. Then either $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{O}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ or $|V| = q$.

Proof. Suppose W is an irreducible $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ submodule of V and that $\mathcal{O}|_W \neq \text{identity}$. Hence \mathcal{O} is clearly semiregular on the nonzero points of W and so u divides $|W| - 1$. But now as u is a primitive divisor of $q - 1$ we get $|W| = q^m$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. But

$|V| < q^2$ and so every irreducible module W , not in $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{O})$, has order q . However, by Maschke's theorem, V is a direct sum of irreducible $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ -module and so either $V = W$ or $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{O}) \neq \mathbf{0}$. The lemma follows.

From now on H will always be as in Lemma 5, and we shall tacitly assume that Π is not a semifield.

Lemma 7. *H has no homologies with axis χ .*

Proof. If false then by Andre's theorem (cf. Step B of Lemma 4) we have

$$H = H_x E$$

for some homology centre $x \in l - (l \cap \alpha)$.

Now if $h \in H_x$ is a nontrivial homology then h normalizes E but cannot centralize any element of $E - \{1\}$. But we also have $|E| < q^2$ since Π is not a semifield plane. Hence Lemma 6 implies that $|E| = q$ and now $q \mid |H_x|$, contrary to Result 2.

Lemma 8. *$G \triangleleft H$.*

Proof. We must verify that H is 2-closed. So let σ_1 and σ_2 be distinct 2-elements in H . Since $\text{Fix}(H) = \chi_G$, $\sigma_1 \mid \chi_G$ and $\sigma_2 \mid \chi_G$ are both involutions fixing χ_G elementwise and so $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \mid \chi_G$ is also an involution. Thus $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^2$ is a central collineation with axis χ . Now by Lemma 7, $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^2$ is at most an elation and so $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^4 = 1$. Hence H is 2-closed and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 9. *Suppose $\mathcal{O} \neq 1$ is a u -element in H and let $g \in G - E$. Then $\mathcal{O}g \neq g\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Assume false and let \mathcal{M} be the set of all Maschke complements of χ_G in χ , relative to $\mathcal{O} \mid \chi$. Now g leaves \mathcal{M} globally invariant and yet cannot fix any $M \in \mathcal{M}$ since then g would become an elation: recall g already fixes χ_G elementwise. Thus $|\mathcal{M}| \geq 2$ and so $\mathcal{O} \mid \chi$ is a scalar map. But since $\mathcal{O} \mid \chi_G = 1$, \mathcal{O} must now be a homology, contrary to Lemma 7.

Lemma 10. *$|G_x| = 1$ for some $x \in l - (l \cap \chi)$.*

(N.B. This lemma fails in some semifield planes.)

Proof. Let U be a Sylow u -subgroup of H . So U fixes some $x \in l - (l \cap \chi)$. Suppose if possible that $G_x \neq 1$. Now by Lemma 8, H , and therefore H_x , are 2-closed. Thus G_x is normalized by U as $U \subseteq H_x$. Now by Lemma 9, U is semiregular on G_x and so $u \mid |G_x| - 1$. Hence the primitivity of u implies that $|G_x| \geq q$; now Lemma 1 contradicts Result 2. Hence the lemma is valid.

We can now verify the conditions (i) and (ii) of Biliotti and Menichetti (Theorem A).

Proposition 11. *Assume Π^l is a translation plane satisfying hypothesis (H) but that Π^l is not a semifield plane. Let G be a Sylow 2-sub-group of Λ , the linear translation complement of Π , and E the elation subgroup of G . Then*

(i) $|E|=q$; and

(ii) G fixes exactly one point $x \in l$ and is regular on $l - \{x\}$; in particular $|G|=q^2$.

Proof. Part (ii) is Lemma 10. If part (i) fails then by Lemma 1, $|E|=2^e q$ for some $e \geq 1$. Now Lemmas 8 and 9 imply that $u \mid |G| - |E|$ and so

$$u \mid \frac{q}{2^e} - 1.$$

Hence we contradict the primitivity of u if $|E| \neq q$. Hence the proposition is valid.

Now Theorem B immediately follows from Proposition 11 and Theorem A.

REFERENCES

1. C. BARTALONE, On some translation planes admitting a Frobenius group of collineations, *Combinatorics '81, Annals Discr. Math.* **18** (1983), 37–54.
2. D. BETTEN, 4-dimensional Translationsebenen mit 8-dimensionaler Kollineations-gruppe, *Geom. Ded.* **2** (1973), 327–339.
3. M. BILIOTTI and G. MENICETTI, On a generalization of Kantor's likeable planes. *Geom. Ded.* **17** (1985), 253–277.
4. U. DEMPFWOLFF, Grosse Baer-Untergruppen auf translationsebenen gerader Ordnung, *J. Geometry* **19** (1982), 101–114.
5. M. J. GANLEY, On likeable translation planes of even order, *Arch. Math.* **41** (1983), 478–480.
6. D. R. HUGHES and F. C. PIPER, *Projective Planes* (Springer-Verlag, New York/Heidelberg/Berlin, 1973).
7. B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen I* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1967).
8. V. JHA, N. L. JOHNSON and F. W. WILKIE, On translation planes of order q^2 that admit a group of order $q^2(q-1)$; Bartalone's theorem, *Rendiconti Circolo Mat. Palermo*, **33** (1984), 407–424.
9. N. L. JOHNSON and T. G. OSTROM, Translation planes of characteristic two in which all involutions are Baer, *J. Algebra* **54** (1978), 447–458.
10. N. L. JOHNSON and F. W. WILKIE, Translation planes of order q^2 that admit a collineation group of order q^2 , *Geom. Dedicata* **3** (1984), 293–312.
11. H. LÜNEBURG, *Translation Planes* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1980).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
IOWA CITY, IOWA 52242
U.S.A.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
GLASGOW COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
COWCADDENS ROAD
GLASGOW G4 0BA
SCOTLAND