

## A NOTE ON GORENSTEIN RINGS OF EMBEDDING CODIMENSION THREE

JUNZO WATANABE

1. Let  $A = R/\alpha$ , where  $R$  is a regular local ring of arbitrary dimension and  $\alpha$  is an ideal of  $R$ . If  $A$  is a Gorenstein ring and if height  $\alpha = 2$ , it is easily proved that  $A$  is a complete intersection, i.e.,  $\alpha$  is generated by two elements (Serre [5], Proposition 3). Hence Gorenstein rings which are not complete intersections are of embedding codimension at least three. An example of these rings is found in Bass' paper [1] (p. 29). This is obtained as a quotient of a three dimensional regular local ring by an ideal which is generated by five elements, i.e., generated by a regular sequence plus two more elements. In this paper, suggested by this example, we prove that if  $A$  is a Gorenstein ring and if height  $\alpha = 3$ , then  $\alpha$  is minimally generated by an odd number of elements. If  $A$  has a greater codimension, presumably there is no such restriction on the minimal number of generators for  $\alpha$ , as will be conceived from the proof.

In the following the basic results of the two famous papers Bass [2] and Matlis [4] are taken for granted.

2. In this paper we shall consider only Noetherian local rings. If  $R$  is a local ring with the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we sometimes say that the pair  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring. Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $x, y, \dots, z$  are elements of  $R$ ,  $(x, y, \dots, z)$  denotes the ideal they generate. For an  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $\text{hd } M$  denotes the homological dimension of  $M$  over  $R$ . If  $R$  is a regular local ring,  $\text{hd } M < \infty$  for any finite  $R$ -module  $M$  and it holds that  $\text{hd } M + \text{depth } M = \dim R$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $R$  be a regular local ring and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a primary ideal belonging to the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{q} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$  is an irredundant decomposition of  $\mathfrak{q}$  by  $n$  irreducible ideals  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ . Let*

---

Received September 25, 1972.

$0 \rightarrow F_d \rightarrow F_{d-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow 0$  be a minimal free resolution of  $R/\mathfrak{q}$ . Then the rank of  $F_d$  is equal to  $n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{depth } R/\mathfrak{q} = 0, \dim R = d$ . Therefore we have an isomorphism  $\text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{q}, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{q}, E)$ , where  $E$  denotes the injective envelope of the residue class field. (See [2] Theorem 4.1) Thus the rank of  $F_d$  is equal to the minimal number of generators for  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{q}, E)$ . On the other hand, the injective envelope of the module  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{q}, E), E) \cong R/\mathfrak{q}$  is an  $n$  copies of  $E$ , and in general these two numbers are identical, because a minimal surjection  $F \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{q}, E) \rightarrow 0$  with  $F$  free gives an essential injection  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{q}, E), E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, E)$ . (cf. [4] Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 4.2)

**COROLLARY.** Let  $R$  be a Gorenstein ring and  $\mathfrak{q}$  a perfect ideal of grade  $d$ . Let  $0 \rightarrow F_d \rightarrow F_{d-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow 0$  be as in the Lemma. Then the rank of  $F_d$  is the “type” of the Cohen-Macaulay ring  $R/\mathfrak{q}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  be a maximal regular sequence for both  $R$  and  $R/\mathfrak{q}$ . Then it is well known that the complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_d \otimes R/\mathfrak{x} \longrightarrow F_{d-1} \otimes R/\mathfrak{x} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_0 \otimes R/\mathfrak{x} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a minimal free resolution of  $R/\mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{x}$ , over  $R/\mathfrak{x}$ , where  $\mathfrak{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . (To prove this we only have to show the acyclicity, and this can be done by induction on  $r$ .) Since the isomorphisms used in the proof of Lemma 1 hold for a Gorenstein ring  $R/\mathfrak{x}$ , the assertion follows.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $A$  be an Artin Gorenstein local ring and  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be two ideals of  $A$ . If  $0 : \mathfrak{a} = 0 : \mathfrak{b}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$ .

*Proof.* Since for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $A$ , we have  $0 : [0 : \mathfrak{a}] = \mathfrak{a}$ , the assertion is clear. (cf. [3] Satz 1.44)

**LEMMA 3.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $\mathfrak{q}$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary irreducible ideal, and let  $y$  be an element of  $R$  which is not in  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{q} : y = \mathfrak{q} + (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ . Then we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n [\mathfrak{q} : f_i] = \mathfrak{q} : (f_1, \dots, f_n) = \mathfrak{q} + (y)$ . Moreover the following two conditions are equivalent to each other:

- i) the intersection of ideals  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n [\mathfrak{q} : f_i]$  is irredundant.
- ii)  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$  is (a set of representatives of) a minimal generators for the ideal  $\mathfrak{q} + (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$  modulo  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

*Proof.* The equality  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n [q : f_i] = q : (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is easily verified (without the assumption that  $q$  is irreducible and  $m$ -primary). We prove the second equality. It is obvious that  $q + (y) \subset q : (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Assume  $z \in q : (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Then  $zf_i \in q$  for each  $i$ , which implies that  $q : z \supset q : y$ . Therefore  $q : (y) = q : (y, z)$ , and considering everything modulo  $q$ , we conclude by Lemma 2 that  $q + (y) = q + (y, z)$ , which proves  $q : (f_1, \dots, f_n) \subset q + (y)$ . (Recall that  $R/q$  is an Artin Gorenstein ring if and only if  $q$  is  $m$ -primary and irreducible.)

To prove the second assertion assume  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n [q : f_i] = \bigcap_{i=2}^n [q : f_i]$  for instance. Then  $q : (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) = q : (f_2, \dots, f_n)$ , and again by Lemma 2,  $q + (f_1, \dots, f_n) = q + (f_2, \dots, f_n)$ . This shows that ii) implies i). The other implication is immediate.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an irreducible  $m$ -primary ideal of a local ring  $(R, m)$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is another irreducible ideal which contains  $\alpha$ , then there is an element  $y$  such that  $\mathfrak{b} = \alpha : y$ . Conversely for any element  $y$  of  $R$  which is not in  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha : y$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the injective envelope of  $R/m$ . From the canonical epimorphism  $R/\alpha \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow 0$  we obtain a monomorphism  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\alpha, E)$ . Since  $R/\alpha$  and  $R/\mathfrak{b}$  are both self-injective,  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\alpha, E) \cong R/\alpha$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, E) \cong R/\mathfrak{b}$ . Therefore the above monomorphism shows the existence of  $y$  satisfying  $\mathfrak{b} = \alpha : y$ . An  $m$ -primary ideal  $q$  is irreducible if and only if  $\dim_k \text{Hom}_R(k, R/q) = 1$ , where  $k = R/m$ . Consequently the irreducibility of  $\alpha : y$  follows immediately from the fact that we can define a monomorphism  $R/[\alpha : y] \rightarrow R/\alpha$  by  $1 \bmod [\alpha : y] \mapsto y \bmod \alpha$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(R, m)$  be a regular local ring and  $\alpha$  be an ideal of height three, such that  $R/\alpha$  is a Gorenstein ring. Then  $\alpha$  is minimally generated by an odd number of elements.*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\mu(I)$  the number of minimal generators of an ideal  $I$  of a local ring. With this notation it is easy to see that if  $x \in m$  is a regular element on  $R/\alpha$ , then  $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\alpha + (x)/(x))$ , where  $\alpha + (x)/(x)$  is an ideal of  $R/(x)$ . Note also that, in this case,  $\text{height } \alpha = \text{height } \alpha + (x)/(x)$  and  $R/\alpha + (x) = R/(x)/\alpha + (x)/(x)$  is a Gorenstein ring. Thus we may assume  $\text{depth } R/\alpha = 0$ , because whenever  $\text{depth } R/\alpha > 0$ , there is a regular element on  $R/\alpha$  in  $m - m^2$ . This amounts to assuming that

$\alpha$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary and dimension  $R = 3$ , since  $R/\alpha$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Let  $\mu(\alpha) = N = n + 3$ . If  $n = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. Let  $n > 0$ , and let  $\alpha = (x_1, x_2, x_3, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ , where we may assume that  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \mathfrak{z}$  is already  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary. Since both  $\alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{z}$  are irreducible, by Lemma 4, there is  $y$  such that  $\alpha = \mathfrak{z} : y$ .

This  $y$  can be chosen in such a way that  $x_2, x_3, y$  is a regular sequence. For suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  are the associated primes of  $(x_2, x_3)$  and that

$$\begin{aligned} y \notin \mathfrak{p}_i & \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s \\ y \in \mathfrak{p}_i & \quad i = s + 1, \dots, t. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_2, x_3$  is a regular sequence, height  $\mathfrak{p}_i = 2$  for every  $i$ . If  $s = t$ , the sequence  $x_2, x_3, y$  is a regular sequence. Let  $0 \leq s < t$  and  $D = \mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_s$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}_{s+1} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{p}_t \not\supseteq D$ . For any element  $z \in D$  such that  $z \notin \mathfrak{p}_{s+1} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{p}_t$ ,  $x_2, x_3, y + z$  is a regular sequence and obviously  $\mathfrak{z} : y + z = \alpha$ . From now on  $y$  is assumed to be chosen in this way.

We are interested in the ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  generated by  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  and  $y$ . We assert first that these four elements are a minimal generating set for  $\mathfrak{q}$ . For if  $x_1 \in (x_2, x_3, y)$ ,  $x_1 = a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 + by$  with suitable elements  $a_2, a_3, b$ . This  $b$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{z} : y$ , so that  $b$  is a linear combination of  $x_1$  and  $f_i$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $x_i$  and  $f_i$  form a minimal basis for  $\alpha$ . The same is true with  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ . Since it is clear that  $y$  cannot be omitted, the above assertion is proved. On the other hand, by Lemma 3,  $\mathfrak{q} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n [\mathfrak{z} : f_i]$ , and therefore, by Lemma 1 and Lemma 4,  $\dim_k \text{Tor}_3^R(k, R/\mathfrak{q}) = n$ , where  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

Let  $0 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{q} \rightarrow 0$  be a minimal free resolution of  $R/\mathfrak{q}$ . So far we have proved that  $\text{rank } F_1 = 4$  and  $\text{rank } F_3 = n$ . Since  $\text{rank } F_0 = 1$ ,  $\text{rank } F_2 = N$ . We may assume that the homomorphism  $F_1 \rightarrow F_0$  is defined by the column vector  $\varphi$  such that  ${}^t\varphi = [x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ y]$  (where  ${}^t\varphi$  denotes the transposed matrix of  $\varphi$ ); if elements of  $F_1$  are represented by row vectors, their images by  $\varphi$  are obtained by the usual matrix product. Let  $M$  be a matrix that defines  $F_2 \rightarrow F_1$ , and let  $I_i$  be the ideal generated by those elements that appear in the  $i$ -th column of  $M$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). It is easy to see that these  $I_i$  depend only on the vector  $\varphi$  and not on the choice of  $M$ . In fact  $I_1$  is nothing but  $(x_2, x_3, y) : x_1$ , for example. Note  $I_4 = \alpha$ . By Lemma 4 and by the choice of  $y$ ,  $I_1$  is irreducible. We are going to prove that  $\mu(I_1) = N - 2$ , which completes

the proof of the theorem by induction on  $\mu$  of irreducible  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals, because the least  $\mu$  is three.

Consider the following  $N \times 4$  matrix  $M_1$ :

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -y & 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & -y & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -y & x_3 \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & f_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & f_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $a_{ij}$  are elements satisfying  $a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + a_{i3}x_3 + f_i y = 0$ , their existence being a consequence of the assumption that  $f_i \in \mathfrak{z} : y$ . Since each row of  $M_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } \varphi$ , there is an  $N \times N$  matrix  $T$  such that  $TM = M_1$ . This  $T$  can be regarded as an  $R$ -endomorphism of each  $I_i$ . Then since  $T$  must be an  $R$ -automorphism of  $I_i$ ,  $T$  is invertible and it follows that  $I_1 = (y, a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{n1})$ . We want to show that these elements are precisely a minimal basis for  $I_1$ . Let  $v$  denote the first and  $v_j$  the  $(3 + j)$ -th row of  $M_1$ , where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Assume for instance  $a_{11} \in (y, a_{21}, \dots, a_{n1})$ . Then there are elements  $b$  and  $c_j$  such that the first component of  $u = bv + \sum_{j=2}^n c_j v_j$  is  $a_{11}$ . Set  $u' = v_1 - u$ . Then the first component of  $u'$  is 0, and the fourth component of  $u'$  has the form  $f_1 - \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is an element of  $(x_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ . Let  $d_2$  and  $d_3$  be the 2nd and the 3rd component of  $u'$  respectively. Since  $u' \in \text{Ker } \varphi$ ,  $d_2, d_3$  and  $f_1 - \alpha$  give a relation of  $x_2, x_3, y$ , i.e.,  $d_2 x_2 + d_3 x_3 + (f_1 - \alpha)y = 0$ . Since  $x_2, x_3, y$  is a regular sequence, it follows that  $f_1 - \alpha \in (x_2, x_3)$ , whence  $f_1 \in (x_1, x_2, x_3, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ , which is impossible. That  $y$  is not superfluous is similarly proved. Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $R$  be a Gorenstein ring and  $\alpha$  be an ideal of homological dimension two. If  $R/\alpha$  is a Gorenstein ring, then  $\mu(\alpha)$  is odd.*

*Proof.* By the first part of the proof of Corollary to Lemma 1, we may assume that  $R/\alpha$  is Artinian.

Let  $\mathfrak{x}, y, \mathfrak{q}$  etc. be as in the proof of the theorem. In order to repeat the same argument as before we only have to show that  $\text{hd } R/\mathfrak{q}$  is finite. But we have an exact sequence:  $0 \rightarrow R/\alpha \xrightarrow{\varphi} R/\mathfrak{x} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{q} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\varphi$  is defined by  $\varphi(1 \bmod \alpha) = y \bmod \mathfrak{x}$ . Since  $\text{hd } R/\mathfrak{x} = \text{hd } R/\alpha = 3$ , it follows that  $\text{hd } R/\mathfrak{q} \leq 3$ . (In fact  $\text{hd } R/\mathfrak{q} = 3$ , since  $R/\mathfrak{q}$  is Artinian.) Q.E.D.

*Remark.* It can be proved that over a local ring  $R$  the existence of an ideal  $\alpha$  of finite homological dimension such that  $R/\alpha$  is a Gorenstein ring implies that  $R$  itself is a Gorenstein ring. Therefore in the above corollary the condition that  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring is unnecessary.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [ 1 ] Bass, H., Injective dimension in Noetherian rings, *Trans. A.M.S.*, **102** (1962).
- [ 2 ] Bass, H., On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, *Math. Zeit.*, **82** (1963).
- [ 3 ] Herzog, H. and E. Kunz, Der kanonische Modul eines Cohen-Macaulay-Rings, *Lecture notes in Math.*, **238**, Springer (1971).
- [ 4 ] Matlis, E., Injective modules over Noetherian rings, *Pacific J. of Math.*, **8** (1958).
- [ 5 ] Serre, J.-P., Sur les modules projectifs, *Seminaire Dubreil*, 1960/61.

*Nagoya University*