

Dietary and age influences on bone health indices in pre-menopausal Brazilian women: preliminary results of the D-SOL Study

M.M. Mendes¹, K. Hart¹, P.B. Botelho², L. Tripkovic¹, S.L. Wilson-Barnes¹, P.S. Gibson¹, R.J. Manders¹, J. Wainwright¹ and S.A. Lanham-New¹

¹Department of Nutritional Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, UK, GU2 7XH and

²Faculty of Nutrition, Federal University of Goiás, GO, Brazil

To date, there are still limited data available for pre-menopausal women on the effect of aging and diet on bone health.⁽¹⁾ It is unclear whether younger women are at risk of poor bone health and to what extent diet and ethnicity should be considered as predictors. This preliminary analysis assessed habitual dietary intake and associations to bone health indices. This was a cross-sectional analysis of 50 Brazilian women recruited for the D-SOL study. Radial bone geometry and density were measured using peripheral quantitative computed tomography at diaphyseal (66 %) and distal (4 %) sites. Weight and body fat were measured using a Tanita Body Composition Analyser. Nutrient intakes were assessed by 4d diet diaries.

The average age, weight, BMI and body fat of the participants were 34.1 ± 8.1 years, 70.1 ± 14.1 kg, 26.1 ± 5.2 kg/m² and 30.8 ± 5.8 % respectively; no significant differences in weight, BMI and body fat were found between younger (Y: n = 19, 20–33 years) and older women (O: n = 27, 35–59 years). For the cohort analysis as whole, weight was positively associated with mass at the 4 % site ($p = 0.30$) and total area at the 66 % site ($p = 0.006$) and negatively for total bone density ($p = 0.013$) and cortical density at the 66 % site ($p = 0.028$). When comparing age groups, the older group (41.3 ± 6.7 years, BMI 26.9 ± 5.2 kg/m²) showed significant higher trabecular ($p = 0.009$) and total ($p = 0.003$) area at the 4 % site, but significantly lower total density ($p = 0.15$) at the same site, than the younger group (28.5 ± 3.2 years, BMI 25.3 ± 5.2 kg/m²). Older women also showed a significant positive association between age and mass ($p = 0.015$), total area ($p = 0.037$) and cortical area ($p = 0.013$) at the 66 % site, whereas for the younger women there was a negative association between age and total density at 4 % site ($p = 0.034$). A trend towards higher vitamin D intakes was observed within the older women (O: 3.4 ± 2.4 µg/day; Y: 2.7 ± 1.4 µg/day) whilst calcium intakes were fairly similar between the groups (O: 738.7 ± 234.5 mg/day; Y: 738.76 ± 372.1 mg/day). At the 4 % site, energy-adjusted vitamin D intakes were positively correlated to mass ($p = 0.046$); iron and vitamin K intakes were positively correlated to trabecular density ($p = 0.000$). At the 66 % site, energy-adjusted potassium ($p = 0.043$) and sodium ($p = 0.040$) intakes were positively correlated to total bone density. However, when divided by age, correlations were observed only for iron and trabecular density in both groups (O: $p = 0.031$; Y: $p = 0.007$), and for vitamin K and trabecular density only for younger women.

This indicates that age and diet can be of great influence on bone health for pre-menopause women and may be under-appreciated in younger populations as an important predictor or even preventive factor for osteoporosis. Cultural trends in diet, especially for ethnic groups living abroad where cultural dietary adaptation is required, may not reflect previous findings on native population. Taken together with intra-ethnic body composition variations, other non-dietary influences upon bone metabolism have an important role in bone health. In addition, vitamin D intake within these women was extremely low considering the new UK recommendations (RNI of 10 µg/d for the general population).⁽²⁾ A more thorough investigation to determine other influential factors on bone health is urgently required. The D-SOL Study will provide valuable insights from a public health perspective into the specific predictors and requirements for optimum bone health for this population.

MM is recipient of a Science Without Borders PhD Scholarship.

1. Hardcastle AC, Aucott L, Fraser WD *et al.* (2011) *Eur J Clin Nutr* **65**, 378–385.
2. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (2016) *Vitamin D and Health*. London: The Stationary Office.