

VOLUME 19
NUMBER 2
JUNE 1996

An International Journal of Current Research
and Theory with Open Peer Commentary

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Appearing in this issue, with Commentary . . .

**Memory metaphors and the real-life/laboratory controversy:
Correspondence versus storehouse conceptions of memory** Asher Koriat &
Morris Goldsmith

Sexual selection and sex differences in mathematical abilities
David C. Geary

**Dynamics of the brain at global and microscopic scales:
Neural networks and the EEG** James J. Wright & David T. J. Liley

Also, Continuing Commentary on
"Nonconsequentialist decisions" (Baron)
"From simple associations to systematic reasoning: A connectionist
representation of rules, variables, and dynamic bindings using temporal
synchrony" (Shastri & Aijjanagadde)

CAMBRIDGE

ISSN 0140-525X

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editor

Stevan Harnad

E-mail: bbs@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Behavioral and Brain Sciences
Department of Psychology
University of Southampton
Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ
United Kingdom

Managing Editor

Henriette Korthals Altes

Production Coordinator

Edward D. Miller

Chief Copy Editor

Erika Kors

Associate Editors

Behavioral Biology

Jack P. Hailman/U. Wisconsin
Hubert Markl/U. Konstanz

Biosocial Behavior

Glendon Schubert/U. Hawaii, Manoa

Cognition and Artificial Intelligence

Zenon Pylyshyn/U. Western Ontario

Cognitive Development

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/MRC, London

Computational Neuroscience

Stephen Grossberg/Boston U.

Evolutionary Biology

Michael T. Ghiselin/California Academy of Sciences

Experimental Analysis of Behavior

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

History and Systems

Julian Jaynes/Princeton

Language and Cognition

Philip Johnson-Laird/Princeton

Language and Language Disorders

Max Coltheart/Macquarie U.

Linguistics

Robert Freidin/Princeton

Neurobiology

Irving Kupfermann/Columbia

Neurobehavioral Genetics

Wim E. Crusio/Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

Neuropsychology

Jeffrey A. Gray/Inst. Psychiatry, London

John C. Marshall/Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford

Neurophysiology

Sten Grillner/Karolinska Institutet

Paleoneurology

Stephen Jay Gould/Harvard

Perception

Bruce Bridgeman/U. California

Richard Gregory/U. Bristol

Philosophy

Daniel C. Dennett/Tufts

Gilbert Harman/Princeton

Philosophy of Science

Adolf Grünbaum/U. Pittsburgh

Massimo Piatelli-Palmarini/MIT

Primateology

Horst D. Steklis/Rutgers

Psychobiology

Victor H. Denenberg/U. Connecticut

Vision and Artificial Intelligence

Stuart Sutherland/U. Sussex

Editorial Policy *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary* to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See *Instructions for Authors and Commentators*, inside back cover.) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project.

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to write the editor.

Copying This journal is registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (222 Rosewood Dr., Danvers, MA 01923). Organizations in the U.S.A. who are also registered with the CCC may therefore copy material (beyond the limits permitted by sections 107 and 108 of U.S. Copyright Law) subject to payment to the CCC of the per-copy fee indicated in the code on the first page of the article. This consent does not extend to multiple copying for promotional or commercial purposes. ISI Genuine Article Service, 3501 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, is authorized to supply single copies of separate articles for private use only. For all other use, permission should be sought from the Cambridge or New York offices of the Press.

Subscriptions *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (ISSN 0140-525X) is published quarterly in March, June, September, and December. The subscription price for institutions of Volume 19 (1996) is US \$230.00 net in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; UK £156.00 in the rest of the world; for individuals US \$92.00 net (£60.00); for BBS Associates and for students (in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico only) with proof of eligibility with order US \$51.00 net (£38.00). Subscription price includes surface postage.

Single parts cost US \$60.00 net (£40.00) plus postage. Institutional orders may be sent to a bookseller, or, in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico direct to: Cambridge University Press, 40 West 20 Street, New York, NY 10011-4211; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, England. Individuals must order direct from the Press. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y., and at additional mailing offices. **Postmaster:** Send address changes in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, Journals Dept., 110 Midland Ave., Port Chester, NY 10573.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Advertising Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

*Modelled on the 'CA Comment' service of the journal *Current Anthropology*.

Contents Volume 19:2 June 1996

Koriat, A. & Goldsmith, M. Memory metaphors and the real-life/ laboratory controversy: Correspondence versus storehouse conceptions of memory		167
Open Peer Commentary		
Algom, D. Correspondence conception of memory: A good match is hard to find	188	
Alterman, R. Everyday memory and activity	189	
Anderson, N. H. Functional memory requires a quite different value metaphor	190	
Bahrick, H. P. The relation between reproductive and reconstructive processing of memory content	191	
Begg, I. M. On correspondence, accuracy, and truth	191	
Ben-Ze'ev, A. The alternative to the storehouse metaphor	192	
Bjork, R. A. & Wickens, T. D. Memory, metamemory, and conditional statistics	193	
Bruce, D. The correspondence metaphor: Prescriptive or descriptive?	194	
Conway, M. A. What do memories correspond to?	195	
Eichenbaum, H. The real-life/laboratory controversy from the cognitive neurobiology of animal learning and memory	196	
Fisher, R. P. Implications of output-bound measures for laboratory and field research in memory	197	
Karn, K. S. & Zelinsky, G. J. Driving and dish- washing: Failure of the correspondence metaphor for memory	198	
Kruglanski, A. W. The storehouse/correspondence partition in memory research: Promises and perils	198	
Kvavilashvili, L. & Ellis, J. Let's forget the everyday/laboratory controversy	199	
Larsen, S. F. Correspondence to the past: The essence of the archaeology metaphor	200	
Mayes, A. R., van Eijk, R. & Gooding, P. L. Accuracy and quantity are poor measures of recall and recognition	201	
Mazzoni, G. The phenomenal object of memory and control processes	202	
McNamara, T. P. False dichotomies and dead metaphors	203	
Neisser, U. Remembering as doing	203	
Nelson, T. O. Metacognition, metaphors, and the measurement of human memory	204	
Newby, I. R. & Ross, M. Beyond the correspondence metaphor: When accuracy cannot be assessed	205	
Palmer, D. C. Operationalizing "correspondence"	206	
Schwartz, B. L. Amnesia and metamemory demonstrate the importance of both metaphors	207	
Small, J. P. Classical antecedents for modern metaphors for memory	208	
White, K. G. Direct remembering and the correspondence metaphor	208	
Winograd, E. Contexts and functions of retrieval	209	
Wright, D. B. Hypothesis testing in experimental and naturalistic memory research	210	
Authors' Response		
Koriat, A. & Goldsmith, M. The correspondence metaphor of memory: Right, wrong, or useful?	211	
Geary, D. C. Sexual selection and sex differences in mathematical abilities		229
Open Peer Commentary		
Casey, M. B. Do gender differences in spatial skills mediate gender differences in mathematics among high-ability students?	247	
Chipman, S. F. Still far too sexy a topic	248	
Crow, T. J. All sex differences in cognitive ability may be explained by an X-Y homologous gene determining degrees of cerebral asymmetry	249	
Davis, H. Is there a comparative psychology of implicit mathematical knowledge?	250	
Dowker, A. How important is spatial ability to mathematics?	251	
Epstein, H. T. Omissions relevant to gender-linked mathematical abilities	251	
Feingold, A. On an evolutionary model of sex differences in mathematics: Do the data support the theory?	252	
Foss, J. Arithmetic and old lace	252	
Frith, U. & Happé, F. Mary has more: Sex differences, autism, coherence, and theory of mind	253	
Chiselin, M. T. Differences in male and female cognitive abilities: Sexual selection or division of labor?	254	
Gilger, J. W. Sex differences in mathematical ability: Genes, environment, and evolution	255	
Halpern, D. F. Mating, math achievement, and other multiple relationships	256	
Hammer, C. & Dusek, R. V. Brain differences, anthropological stories, and educational implications	257	
Humphreys, L. G. A critic with a different perspective	257	
Kimball, M. M. Some problematic links between hunting and geometry	258	
Kornbrot, D. E. Resources, dimorphism, sexual selection, and mathematics achievement	259	
Newcombe, N. & Baenninger, M. Sexual-selection accounts of human characteristics: Just So Stories or scientific hypotheses?	259	
Proudfoot, D. The logic of the sociobiological model, Geary-style	261	
Rowe, D. C. The twain shall meet: Uniting the analysis of sex differences and within-sex variation	262	
Sherman, J. A. Spatial visualization and sex-related differences in mathematical problem solving	262	
Stanley, J. C. & Stumpf, H. Able youths and achievement tests	263	
Tan, Ü. We are far from understanding sex-related differences in spatial-mathematical abilities despite the theory of sexual selection	264	
Thomas, H. Between-sex differences are often averaging artifacts	265	
Wynn, T., Tierson, F. & Palmer, C. Sex differences and evolutionary by-products	265	
Zohar, A. H. Genetic influences on sex differences in outstanding mathematical reasoning ability	266	
Author's Response		
Geary, D. C. On the biology and politics of cognitive sex differences		267

Open Peer Commentary

Amit, D. J. Is the time ripe for integration of scales?	295	Molnár, M. Chaos in induced rhythms of the brain – the value of ERP studies	305
Bullock, T. H. Is the distribution of coherence a test of the model?	296	Nunez, P. L. Multiscale neocortical dynamics, experimental EEG measures, and global facilitation of local cell assemblies	305
Érdi, P. Levels, models, and brain activities: Neurodynamics is pluralistic	296	Oliver, A. Dynamics of the brain – from the statistical properties of neural signals to the development of representations	306
Erwin, H. R. Multiscale modeling of the brain should be validated in more detail against the biological data	297	Preissl, H., Lutzenberger, W. & Pulvermüller, F. Is there chaos in the brain?	307
Freeman, W. J. Neural system stability	298	Pritchard, W. S. The EEG <i>data</i> indicate stochastic nonlinearity	308
Hardcastle, V. G. Modeling for modeling's sake?	299	Tsuda, I. The form of chaos in the noisy brain can manifest function	309
Ingber, L. Nonlinear nonequilibrium nonquantum nonchaotic statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions	300	Zhadin, M. N. Rhythmicity in the EEG and global stabilization of the average level of excitation in the cerebral cortex	309
Koerner, E. Comparative reduction of theories – or oversimplification?	301		
Kowalik, Z. J., Wrobel, A. & Ryzd, A. Why does the human brain need to be a nonlinear system?	302	Authors' Response	
Liljenström, H. Neuromodulation can significantly change the dynamical state of cortical networks	303	Wright, J. J. & Liley, D. T. J. Multiscale modeling of brain dynamics depends upon approximations at each scale	310
Miller, R. Empirical data base for simulation: Firing rates and axonal conduction velocity for cortical neurons	304		

Continuing Commentary

On Baron, J. (1994) Nonconsequentialist decisions. BBS 17:1–42.			321
Beyth-Marom, R. On the description of the prescription	321	Stanovich, K. E. Decentered thought and consequentialist decision making	323
Cabanac, M. The evolutionary point of view: Rationality is elsewhere	322	Author's Response	
Lindsay, R. O. & Gorayska, B. Consequences of basing ethical judgments on heuristics	322	Baron, J. Situated cognition, prescriptive theory, evolution, and something	324
On Shastri, L. & Ajjanagadde, V. (1993) From simple associations to systematic reasoning: A connectionist representation of rules, variables, and dynamic bindings using temporal synchrony BBS 16:417–494.			326
Bonatti, L. SHRUTI's ontology is representational	326	Author's Response	
Lange, T. E. & Dyer, M. G. Parallel reasoning in structured connectionist networks: Signatures versus temporal synchrony	328	Shastri, L. Temporal synchrony, dynamic bindings, and SHRUTI: A representational but nonclassical model of reflexive reasoning	331