Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **107** (2023), 22–30 doi:10.1017/S0004972722000478

# A NEW q-ANALOGUE OF VAN HAMME'S (A.2) SUPERCONGRUENCE

### VICTOR J. W. GUO

(Received 31 March 2022; accepted 9 April 2022; first published online 20 May 2022)

#### Abstract

We give a new q-analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme. Our proof employs Andrews' multiseries generalisation of Watson's  $_{8}\phi_{7}$  transformation, Andrews' terminating q-analogue of Watson's  $_{3}F_{2}$  summation, a q-Watson-type summation due to Wei–Gong–Li and the creative microscoping method, developed by the author and Zudilin ['A q-microscope for supercongruences', Adv. Math. **346** (2019), 329–358]. As a conclusion, we confirm a weaker form of Conjecture 4.5 by the author ['Some generalizations of a supercongruence of van Hamme', Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **28** (2017), 888–899].

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 11B65; secondary 11A07, 33D15.

Keywords and phrases: basic hypergeometric series, q-congruence, supercongruence, creative microscoping.

### **1. Introduction**

India's great mathematician Ramanujan mentioned the formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (4k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})^4}$$
(1.1)

in his second letter to Hardy on February 27, 1913. Here  $\Gamma(x)$  stands for the Gamma function and  $(a)_k = a(a + 1) \cdots (a + k - 1)$  is the rising factorial. In 1997, Van Hamme [15] observed that thirteen Ramanujan-type formulae possess neat *p*-adic analogues. For instance, the formula (1.1) corresponds to the supercongruence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^k (4k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv \begin{cases} -\frac{p}{\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})^4} \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

(tagged as (A.2) in [15]). Here and in what follows, *p* always denotes an odd prime and  $\Gamma_p(x)$  is Morita's *p*-adic Gamma function (see, for example, [12, Ch. 7]). The

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

#### A q-supercongruence

supercongruence (1.2) was first confirmed by McCarthy and Osburn [11]. Swisher [13] further proved that (1.2) is true modulo  $p^5$  for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and p > 5. Liu [10] extended (1.2) for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  to a congruence modulo  $p^4$ . Recently, among other things, Wei [18] gave the following generalisation of the second case of (1.2):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1)/2} (-1)^k (4k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv p^2 \frac{(\frac{3}{4})_{(p-1)/2}}{(\frac{5}{4})_{(p-1)/2}} \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$

During the past few years, there has been considerable interest in *q*-analogues of supercongruences. In particular, using the creative microscoping method introduced by the author and Zudilin [7], Wang and Yue [16], together with the author [5], gave a *q*-analogue of (1.2): modulo  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^2$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M} (-1)^{k} [4k+1] \frac{(q;q^{2})_{k}^{4}(q^{2};q^{4})_{k}}{(q^{2};q^{2})_{k}^{4}(q^{4};q^{4})_{k}} q^{k} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{(q^{2};q^{4})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}}{(q^{4};q^{4})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}} [n] & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where M = (n-1)/2 or n-1. Wei [17, 18] further generalised (1.3) to the moduli  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^3$  and  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^4$ .

We now need to familiarise ourselves with the standard q-notation. The q-shifted factorial is defined by  $(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$  for  $n \ge 1$  and  $(a; q)_0 = 1$ . For simplicity, we also use the abbreviated notation  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$  for  $n \ge 0$ . The q-integer is  $[n] = [n]_q = (1 - q^n)/(1 - q^n)$ . The nth cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_n(q)$  is given by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where  $\zeta$  is a primitive *n*th root of unity.

Letting  $n = p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and taking  $q \rightarrow 1$  in (1.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^k (4k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_{(p-1)/4}^2}{(1)_{(p-1)/4}^2} p = {\binom{-1/2}{(p-1)/4}}^2 p \pmod{p^3}.$$
(1.4)

From [14, Theorem 3], we know that

$$\binom{-1/2}{(p-1)/4} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})^2}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Since  $\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2 = -1$  for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , by the identity  $\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})^4 \Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})^4 = 1$ , we see that the supercongruence (1.4) is just (1.2) for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . This implies that (1.3) for M = (n-1)/2 really is a *q*-analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme.

Note that supercongruences may have different q-analogues. See [8] for such examples. In this note, we shall give the following new q-analogue of (1.2).

THEOREM 1.1. Let n > 1 be an odd integer. Then, modulo  $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M} (-1)^{k} [4k+1]_{q^{2}} [4k+1]^{2} \frac{(q^{2};q^{4})_{k}^{4}(q^{4};q^{8})_{k}}{(q^{4};q^{4})_{k}^{4}(q^{8};q^{8})_{k}} q^{-2k}$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} -\frac{2q(q^{4};q^{8})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}}{(1+q^{2})(q^{8};q^{8})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}} [n]_{q^{2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{(1+q)^{2}(q^{4},q^{12};q^{8})_{(n-3)/4}}{(1+q^{2})(1+q^{4})(q^{8},q^{16};q^{8})_{(n-3)/4}} [n]_{q^{2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.5)$$

where M = (n - 1)/2 or n - 1.

For *n* prime, letting  $q \rightarrow -1$  in Theorem 1.1, we get (1.2). However, for *n* prime and  $q \rightarrow 1$  in Theorem 1.1, we arrive at

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^k (4k+1)^3 \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{p}{\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})^4} \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_{(p-3)/4}(\frac{3}{2})_{(p-3)/4}p}{(\frac{p-3}{4})! (\frac{p+1}{4})!} \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.6)$$

Thus, Theorem 1.1 may be considered as a common q-analogue of (1.2) and (1.6).

Letting *n* be an odd prime power and  $q \rightarrow 1$  in (1.3) and (1.5), we are led to the following results. If  $p^r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/d} (-1)^k (4k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv \binom{(p^r-1)/2}{(p^r-1)/4}^2 \frac{p^r}{2^{p^r-1}} \pmod{p^{r+2}},\tag{1.7}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/d} (-1)^k (4k+1)^3 \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv -\binom{(p^r-1)/2}{(p^r-1)/4}^2 \frac{p^r}{2^{p^r-1}} \pmod{p^{r+2}},$$
 (1.8)

where d = 1 or 2. Since  $4 + 1 + (4k + 1)^3 = 2(4k + 1)(8k^2 + 4k + 1)$ , combining (1.7) and (1.8), we obtain the following conclusion.

COROLLARY 1.2. If  $p^r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/d} (-1)^k (4k+1)(8k^2+4k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r+2}},\tag{1.9}$$

where d = 1 or 2.

Note that the author [4, Conjecture 4.5] conjectured that (1.9) is true modulo  $p^{3r}$  for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in the next section. In Section 3, we raise two related conjectures on supercongruences.

### A q-supercongruence

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first give the following q-congruence. See [6, Lemma 3.1] for a short proof.

**LEMMA 2.1.** Let *n* be a positive odd integer. Then, for  $0 \le k \le (n-1)/2$ ,

$$\frac{(aq;q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}}{(q^2/a;q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}} \equiv (-a)^{(n-1)/2-2k} \frac{(aq;q^2)_k}{(q^2/a;q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

We will use a powerful transformation of Andrews (see [1, Theorem 4]), which can be stated as follows:

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, b_{1}, c_{1}, \dots, b_{m}, c_{m}, q^{-N}; q)_{k}}{(q, \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/b_{1}, aq/c_{1}, \dots, aq/b_{m}, aq/c_{m}, aq^{N+1}; q)_{k}} \left(\frac{a^{m}q^{m+N}}{b_{1}c_{1}\cdots b_{m}c_{m}}\right)^{k}$$

$$= \frac{(aq, aq/b_{m}c_{m}; q)_{N}}{(aq/b_{m}, aq/c_{m}; q)_{N}} \sum_{j_{1},\dots,j_{m-1}\ge 0} \frac{(aq/b_{1}c_{1}; q)_{j_{1}}\cdots (aq/b_{m-1}c_{m-1}; q)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q; q)_{j_{1}}\cdots (q; q)_{j_{m-1}}}$$

$$\times \frac{(b_{2}, c_{2}; q)_{j_{1}}\cdots (b_{m}, c_{m}; q)_{j_{1}+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(aq/b_{1}, aq/c_{1}; q)_{j_{1}}\cdots (aq/b_{m-1}, aq/c_{m-1}; q)_{j_{1}+\dots+j_{m-1}}}$$

$$\times \frac{(q^{-N}; q)_{j_{1}+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(b_{m}c_{m}q^{-N}/a; q)_{j_{1}+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \frac{(aq)^{j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_{1}}q^{j_{1}+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(b_{2}c_{2})^{j_{1}}\cdots (b_{m-1}c_{m-1})^{j_{1}+\dots+j_{m-2}}}.$$
(2.1)

It should be pointed out that Andrews' transformation is a multiseries generalisation of Watson's  $_{8}\phi_{7}$  transformation:

$${}_{8}\phi_{7} \begin{bmatrix} a, & qa^{1/2}, & -qa^{1/2}, & b, & c, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ a^{1/2}, & -a^{1/2}, & aq/b, & aq/c, & aq/d, & aq/e, & aq^{n+1}; q, & \frac{a^{2}q^{n+2}}{bcde} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{(aq, aq/de; q)_{n}}{(aq/d, aq/e; q)_{n}} {}_{4}\phi_{3} \begin{bmatrix} aq/bc, d, e, q^{-n} \\ aq/b, aq/c, deq^{-n}/a; q, q \end{bmatrix}$$

(see [3, Appendix (III.18)]), where the *basic hypergeometric series*  $_{r+1}\phi_r$  is defined as

$${}_{r+1}\phi_r \begin{bmatrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q)_k}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_r; q)_k} z^k.$$

We shall also use Andrews' terminating *q*-analogue of Watson's  $_{3}F_{2}$  summation (see [2] or [3, (II.17)]):

$${}_{4}\phi_{3}\begin{bmatrix}q^{-n}, a^{2}q^{n+1}, c, -c \\ aq, -aq, c^{2}\end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{c^{n}(q, a^{2}q^{2}/c^{2}; q^{2})_{n/2}}{(a^{2}q^{2}, c^{2}q; q^{2})_{n/2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

and the following q-Watson-type summation due to Wei et al. [19, Corollary 5]:

$${}_{4}\phi_{3} \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, a^{2}q^{n+1}, c, -cq \\ aq, -aq, c^{2}q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \frac{c^{n}(q; q^{2})_{(n+1)/2}(a^{2}q^{2}/c^{2}; q^{2})_{(n-1)/2}}{(a^{2}q^{2}; q^{2})_{(n-1)/2}(c^{2}q; q^{2})_{(n+1)/2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{c^{n}(q, a^{2}q^{2}/c^{2}; q^{2})_{n/2}}{(a^{2}q^{2}, c^{2}q; q^{2})_{n/2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.3)$$

We first prove the following parametric version of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 2.2. Let n > 1 be an odd integer. Then, modulo  $\Phi_n(q^2)(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^{k} [4k+1]_{q^{2}} [4k+1]^{2} \frac{(aq^{2},q^{2}/a;q^{4})_{k}(q^{2};q^{4})_{k}^{2}(q^{4};q^{8})_{k}}{(aq^{4},q^{4}/a;q^{4})_{k}(q^{4};q^{4})_{k}^{2}(q^{8};q^{8})_{k}} q^{-2k}$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{(1+q)(1-aq^{2})(1-q^{2}/a)}{(1-q)(1-q^{4})}\right) \frac{(q^{4};q^{8})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}}{(q^{8};q^{8})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}} [n]_{q^{2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{(1+q)(1-aq^{2})(1-q^{2}/a)(q^{4},q^{12};q^{8})_{(n-3)/4}}{(1-q)(1-q^{8})(q^{8},q^{16};q^{8})_{(n-3)/4}} [n]_{q^{2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.4)$$

**PROOF.** For  $a = q^{-2n}$  or  $a = q^{2n}$ , the left-hand side of (2.4) may be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^{k} [4k+1]_{q^{2}} [4k+1]^{2} \frac{(q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}; q^{4})_{k} (q^{2}; q^{4})_{k}^{2} (q^{4}; q^{8})_{k}}{(q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^{4})_{k} (q^{4}; q^{4})_{k}^{2} (q^{8}; q^{8})_{k}} q^{-2k}.$$

Letting m = 3,  $q \mapsto q^4$ ,  $a = q^2$ ,  $b_1 = c_1 = q^5$ ,  $b_2 = c_2 = q^2$ ,  $b_3 = -q^2$ ,  $c_3 = q^{2+2n}$  and N = (n-1)/2 in (2.1), we see that the above summation is equal to

$$\frac{(q^{6}, -q^{2-2n}; q^{4})_{(n-1)/2}}{(-q^{4}, q^{4-2n}; q^{4})_{(n-1)/2}} \times \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2} \ge 0} \frac{(q^{-4}; q^{4})_{j_{1}}(q^{2}; q^{4})_{j_{2}}(q^{2}, q^{2}; q^{4})_{j_{1}}(-q^{2}, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^{4})_{j_{1}+j_{2}}}{(q^{4}; q^{4})_{j_{1}}(q^{4}; q^{4})_{j_{2}}(q, q; q^{4})_{j_{1}}(q^{4}, q^{4}, -q^{4}; q^{4})_{j_{1}+j_{2}}}q^{6j_{1}+4j_{2}} = (-1)^{(n-1)/2}q^{1-n}[n]_{q^{2}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^{2}, -q^{2}, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^{4})_{j_{2}}}{(q^{4}, q^{4}, -q^{4}; q^{4})_{j_{2}}}q^{4j_{2}} + (-1)^{(n+1)/2}q^{3-n}[n]_{q^{2}}(1+q)^{2} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{(n-3)/2} \frac{(q^{2}; q^{4})_{j_{2}}(-q^{2}, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^{4})_{j_{2}+1}}{(q^{4}; q^{4})_{j_{2}}(q^{4}, q^{4}, -q^{4}; q^{4})_{j_{2}}}q^{4j_{2}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where we have used the fact that  $(q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_1} = 0$  for  $j_1 > 1$ .

Taking  $q \mapsto q^4$ , a = 1,  $c = q^2$  and  $n \mapsto (n-1)/2$  in (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{j_2=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^2, -q^2, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_{j_2}}{(q^4, q^4, q^4, -q^4; q^4)_{j_2}} q^{4j_2} = \begin{cases} q^{n-1} \frac{(q^4; q^8)_{(n-1)/4}^2}{(q^8; q^8)_{(n-1)/4}^2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, taking  $q \mapsto q^4$ ,  $a = q^4$ ,  $c = q^2$  and  $n \mapsto (n-3)/2$  in (2.3), we get

$$\sum_{j_{2}=0}^{(n-3)/2} \frac{(q^{2};q^{4})_{j_{2}}(-q^{2},q^{2+2n},q^{2-2n};q^{4})_{j_{2}+1}}{(q^{4};q^{4})_{j_{2}}(q^{4},q^{4},-q^{4};q^{4})_{j_{2}+1}} q^{4j_{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(1+q^{2})(1-q^{2+2n})(1-q^{2-2n})}{(1-q^{4})^{2}(1+q^{4})} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{(n-3)/2} \frac{(q^{2},-q^{6},q^{6+2n},q^{6-2n};q^{4})_{j_{2}}}{(q^{4},q^{8},q^{8},-q^{8};q^{4})_{j_{2}}} q^{4j_{2}}$$

$$= \begin{cases} q^{n-3} \frac{(1+q^{2})(1-q^{2+2n})(1-q^{2-2n})(q^{4};q^{8})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}}{(1-q^{4})^{2}(q^{8};q^{8})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ q^{n-3} \frac{(1+q^{2})(1-q^{2+2n})(1-q^{2-2n})(q^{4},q^{12};q^{8})_{(n-3)/4}}{(1-q^{4})^{2}(1+q^{4})(q^{8},q^{16};q^{8})_{(n-3)/4}} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Substituting the above two identities into (2.5), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^{k} [4k+1]_{q^{2}} [4k+1]^{2} \frac{(q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}; q^{4})_{k} (q^{2}; q^{4})_{k}^{2} (q^{4}; q^{8})_{k}}{(q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^{4})_{k} (q^{4}; q^{4})_{k}^{2} (q^{8}; q^{8})_{k}} q^{-2k}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{(1+q)(1-q^{2+2n})(1-q^{2-2n})}{(1-q)(1-q^{4})}\right) \frac{(q^{4}; q^{8})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}}{(q^{8}; q^{8})_{(n-1)/4}^{2}} [n]_{q^{2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{(1+q)(1-q^{2+2n})(1-q^{2-2n})(q^{4}, q^{12}; q^{8})_{(n-3)/4}}{(1-q)(1-q^{8})(q^{8}, q^{16}; q^{8})_{(n-3)/4}} [n]_{q^{2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

This proves that both sides of (2.4) are equal when  $a = q^{\pm 2n}$ . Namely, the *q*-congruence (2.4) holds modulo  $1 - aq^{2n}$  or  $a - q^{2n}$ .

Moreover, in view of Lemma 2.1, we can verify that the *k*th and ((n - 1)/2 - k)th summands cancel each other modulo  $\Phi_n(q^2)$  for any positive odd integer *n*. It follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^{k} [4k+1]_{q^{2}} [4k+1]^{2} \frac{(aq^{2},q^{2}/a;q^{4})_{k}(q^{2};q^{4})_{k}^{2}(q^{4};q^{8})_{k}}{(aq^{4},q^{4}/a;q^{4})_{k}(q^{4};q^{4})_{k}^{2}(q^{8};q^{8})_{k}} q^{-2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_{n}(q^{2})}.$$
(2.6)

Noticing that  $[n]_{q^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)}$  for n > 1, we conclude that the *q*-congruence (2.4) also holds modulo  $\Phi_n(q)$ .

Since  $1 - aq^{2n}$ ,  $a - q^{2n}$  and  $\Phi_n(q^2)$  are pairwise relatively prime polynomials in q, we complete the proof of the theorem.

[6]

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** It is easy to see that the denominators on both sides of (2.4) when a = 1 are relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q^2)$ . However, when a = 1, the polynomial  $(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$  contains the factor  $\Phi_n(q^2)^2$ . Therefore, the a = 1 case of (2.4) implies that (1.5) is true modulo  $\Phi_n(q^2)^3$  for M = (n - 1)/2. Furthermore, since  $(q^2; q^4)_k^4(q^4; q^8)_k/((q^4; q^4)_k^4(q^8; q^8)_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)^5}$  for  $(n - 1)/2 < k \le n - 1$ , we see that (1.5) is also true modulo  $\Phi_n(q^2)^3$  for M = n - 1.

It remains to prove the following two q-congruences:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^2 \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^4 (q^4; q^8)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4 (q^8; q^8)_k} q^{-2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]_{q^2}}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^2 \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^4 (q^4; q^8)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4 (q^8; q^8)_k} q^{-2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]_{q^2}}.$$
 (2.8)

For n > 1, let  $\zeta \neq 1$  be an *n*th root of unity, possibly not primitive. Suppose  $\zeta$  is a primitive root of unity of odd degree *d* satisfying  $d \mid n$ . Let  $c_q(k)$  be the *k*th term on the left-hand side of the congruences (2.7) and (2.8). Then

$$c_q(k) = (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^2 \frac{(q^2;q^4)_k^4 (q^4;q^8)_k}{(q^4;q^4)_k^4 (q^8;q^8)_k} q^{-2k}.$$

Observe that (2.6) is true for any odd n > 1. Thus, letting a = 1 and n = d in (2.6), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/2} c_{\zeta}(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_{\zeta}(k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/2} c_{-\zeta}(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_{-\zeta}(k) = 0.$$

Noticing that

$$\frac{c_{\zeta}(\ell d+k)}{c_{\zeta}(\ell d)} = \lim_{q \to \zeta} \frac{c_q(\ell d+k)}{c_q(\ell d)} = c_{\zeta}(k),$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{\zeta}(k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n/d-1} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_{\zeta}(\ell d + k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n/d-1} c_{\zeta}(\ell d) \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_{\zeta}(k) = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} c_{\zeta}(k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{(n/d-3)/2} c_{\zeta}(\ell d) \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_{\zeta}(k) + \sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/2} c_{\zeta}((n-d)/2 + k) = 0.$$

This means that both the sums  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_q(k)$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} c_q(k)$  are divisible by  $\Phi_d(q)$ . Similarly, we can show that they are also divisible by  $\Phi_d(-q)$ . Since *d* can be any divisor of n larger than 1, we deduce that each of them is congruent to 0 modulo

$$\prod_{d|n,d>1} \Phi_d(q) \Phi_d(-q) = [n]_{q^2},$$

thus establishing (2.7) and (2.8).

### 3. Two open problems

Swisher [13] proposed many interesting conjectures on generalisations of Van Hamme's supercongruences (A.2)–(L.2). Recently, the author and Zudilin [9] have proved some conjectures of Swisher by establishing their q-analogues. Here we would like to propose a similar conjecture.

CONJECTURE 3.1. Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and let  $r, s \ge 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^{r}-1)/d} (-1)^{k} (4k+1)^{2s+1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_{k}^{5}}{k!^{5}} \equiv -p\Gamma_{p}(\frac{1}{4})^{4} \sum_{k=0}^{(p^{r}-1-1)/d} (-1)^{k} (4k+1)^{2s+1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_{k}^{5}}{k!^{5}} \pmod{p^{3r-2}},$$
(3.1)

where d = 1 or 2.

For s = 0, Swisher [13, (A.3)] and the author [5, Conjecture 4.1] conjectured that (3.1) holds modulo  $p^{5r}$  for p > 5. From (1.8), we can easily see that (3.1) is true modulo  $p^r$  for s = 1.

Finally, motivated by [4, Conjecture 4.5], we believe that the following generalisation of Corollary 1.2 for p of the form 4k + 3 should be true.

CONJECTURE 3.2. Let  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and let  $r \ge 2$  be even. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/d} (-1)^k (4k+1)(8k^2+4k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2r}},$$

where d = 1 or 2.

### References

- G. E. Andrews, 'Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions', in *Theory and Application for Basic Hypergeometric Functions*, Mathematics Research Center, the University of Wisconsin–Madison, 35 (ed. R. A. Askey) (Academic Press, New York, 1975), 191–224.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, 'On q-analogues of the Watson and Whipple summations', SIAM J. Math. Anal. 7 (1976), 332–336.
- [3] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, 2nd edn, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, 96 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [4] V. J. W. Guo, 'Some generalizations of a supercongruence of Van Hamme', *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 28 (2017), 888–899.
- [5] V. J. W. Guo, 'A q-analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for primes p ≡ 1 (mod 4)', Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 114 (2020), Article no. 123.

- [6] V. J. W. Guo and M. J. Schlosser, 'Some q-supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series', *Constr. Approx.* 53 (2021), 155–200.
- [7] V. J. W. Guo and W. Zudilin, 'A q-microscope for supercongruences', Adv. Math. 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [8] V. J. W. Guo and W. Zudilin, 'A common q-analogue of two supercongruences', *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Article no. 46.
- [9] V. J. W. Guo and W. Zudilin, 'A q-microscope for supercongruences', Adv. Math. 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [10] J.-C. Liu, 'On Van Hamme's (A.2) and (H.2) supercongruences', J. Math. Anal. Appl. 471 (2019), 613–622.
- D. McCarthy and R. Osburn, 'A *p*-adic analogue of a formula of Ramanujan', *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 91 (2008), 492–504.
- [12] A. M. Robert, A Course in p-Adic Analysis, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 198 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000).
- [13] H. Swisher, 'On the supercongruence conjectures of Van Hamme', *Res. Math. Sci.* 2 (2015), Article no. 18.
- [14] L. Van Hamme, 'Proof of a conjecture of Beukers on Apéry numbers', in *Proceedings of the Conference on p-Adic Analysis, Houthalen, 1987* (eds. N. De Grande-De Kimpe and L. Van Hamme) (Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, 1986), 189–195.
- [15] L. Van Hamme, 'Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series', in *p-Adic Functional Analysis, Nijmegen, 1996*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 192 (eds. W. H. Schikhof, C. Perez-Garcia and J. Kakol) (Dekker, New York, 1997), 223–236.
- [16] X. Wang and M. Yue, 'A *q*-analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for any prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , *Int. J. Number Theory* **16** (2020), 1325–1335.
- [17] C. Wei, 'Some q-supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomia', J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 182 (2021), Article no. 105469.
- [18] C. Wei, 'Some q-supercongruences modulo the fifth and sixth powers of a cyclotomic polynomial', Preprint, 2021, arXiv:2104.07025.
- [19] C. Wei, D. Gong and J. Li, 'Summation formulae for q-Watson type  $_4\phi_3$ -series', *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013), 1589–1593.

VICTOR J. W. GUO, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu, PR China e-mail: jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn