

## EDITORIAL POLICY & GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

The *European Constitutional Law Review* (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of European states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

### *Submitting an article, case note or book review*

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Please submit your manuscript through the ScholarOne Manuscripts system by clicking the 'Submit your article' button on our website or by going to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/euconst>.

EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality to enter the review process, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker. Accepted contributions will be further corrected on language before publication, subject to authors' approval. Please prepare your manuscript in a way that ensures your anonymity. All author information can be included on a separate title page. A short abstract in EuConst house style will also be required. At submission, formatting of the main manuscript should conform to the usual standards of European law scholarship in the English language and be globally in line with the EuConst house style. Upon acceptance, authors will be asked to bring their manuscript fully into line with the house style. A style sheet is available on our website.

Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). For case notes, please aim at a length of 8,000 words maximum. Review essays should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

To contact the editors before or during your article or case note submission, please send an email to [euconst@uva.nl](mailto:euconst@uva.nl). Questions about book review essays can be put to our book review editors Nik de Boer and Vestert Borger at [books-euconst@uva.nl](mailto:books-euconst@uva.nl). For more information on the EuConst book review section, see our website.

### *Special sections*

EuConst is happy to host a special section of articles stemming from a conference or research project in one of its issues each year. We are especially interested in sets of articles that form a coherent whole of excellent research and fit well into the scope of our journal. Please see our journal homepage <[cambridge.org/euconst](http://cambridge.org/euconst)> for any active call for proposals.



The *European Constitutional Law Review* is edited at the G.K. VAN HOGENDORP CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL STUDIES, a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam.

# EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW

2023 VOLUME 19 ISSUE 4

## CONTENTS

### *Articles*

#### *Special Section: Memory Laws and the Rule of Law*

Anna WÓJCIK and Paula RHEIN-FISCHER – Introduction to the Special Section ‘Memory Laws and the Rule of Law’ – 591

Gábor HALMAI – Rule of Law Backsliding and Memory Politics in Hungary – 602

Grażyna BARANOWSKA – Protecting the Good Name of the Nation as Memory Law – 623

Adam BODNAR and Aleksandra GLISZCZYŃSKA-GRABIAS – Strategic Lawsuits against Public Participation (SLAPPs), the Governance of Historical Memory in the Rule of Law Crisis, and the EU Anti-SLAPP Directive – 642

Paula RHEIN-FISCHER and Anna WÓJCIK – The Politicisation of Constitutional Review of Memory Laws – 664

### *Case Notes*

Georgios ANAGNOSTARAS and Alexandros TSADIRAS – Resisting Surrender on Grounds of Health: Moving beyond the Systemic Deficiencies Requirement in the Area of the European Arrest Warrant? Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) 18 April 2023, Case C-699/21, *E.D.L.* – 690

Oran DOYLE – Constitutional Identity, Legal Autonomy, and Sovereignty *Costello v Government of Ireland* [2022] IESC 44 – 715

### *Review Essays*

Francesco BIAGI – Memory, Past Evils and Constitutional Justice. Lessons from the United States, Germany and South Africa – 738

Astrid IVERSEN – The European Central Bank and the European Macroeconomic Constitution – 752

Paul DERMINE – What the European Court of Justice is for – Making Sense of the ECJ’s Procedural and Organisational Law – 768

**Cambridge Core**

For further information about this journal please  
go to the journal website at:

[cambridge.org/euconst](https://www.cambridge.org/euconst)



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS