non-central nervous system infections were excluded. Cases comprised patients who had an FA/ME panel performed, while controls included patients without the panel. Outcomes were defined as the empiric duration of antimicrobial therapy (days) and total days of antibiotic therapy (DOT). Wilcoxon Rank Sum test and multiple linear regression models were applied to assess the relationship between the use of the FA/ME panel and study outcomes. Independent variables comprised demographics, institution type, acuity, clinical presentation, CSF values, and FA/ME panel use. Imputation was performed using multiple imputation by chained equations. Results: A total of 193 patients were included in our study. Seventy-one patients received the FA/ME panel (along with the CSF culture), while 122 patients received the CSF culture alone (controls). The median empiric duration of antibiotic therapy in the cases and controls were 1.71 days and 1.18 days, respectively (p = .160). The median DOT in the cases and controls were eight days and six days, respectively (p = .045). After adjusting for confounders, the FA/ME panel was not significantly associated with changes in the empiric duration of antibiotic therapy (B= 0.18, p = .669, Figure 1) or DOT (B= 1.28, p = .170, Figure 2). Conclusion: Providing FA/ME panel testing without active antimicrobial stewardship interventions did not result in a change in antimicrobial prescribing patterns. The difference from prior literature could be explained by the smaller sample size, limiting the power of the study. Further prospective antimicrobial stewardship efforts should focus on training providers on interpreting FA/ME panel results and providing prospective audits and feedback.

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2024;4(Suppl. S1):s33-s34 doi:10.1017/ash.2024.142

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation Subject Category: Antibiotic Stewardship

Cefadroxil is an option for Gram-positive bone and joint infections in adults

Kennedy Kluthe, Student; Rachael Johnson, Nebraska Medicine and Nicolas Cortes-Penfield, University of Nebraska Medical Center

Background: Oral antimicrobial therapy for osteoarticular infections in adults is increasingly adopted; however, the preponderance of published data and experience with oral antibiotics involves agents such as fluoroquinolones, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and clindamycin. Data supporting the use of narrower-spectrum, stewardship-friendly oral antibiotics with favorable toxicities profiles (e.g. cefadroxil) are needed. Methods: We report a case series of adults who underwent surgery for monomicrobial Gram-positive osteomyelitis, native joint septic arthritis (NJSA), prosthetic joint infection (PJI), or other orthopedic hardware infection at our institution from January 2019 through January 2022 and who subsequently received at least two weeks of oral cefadroxil. We excluded patients who had polymicrobial infection or curative amputation, or who received cefadroxil only as suppressive antibiotic therapy (SAT). Our primary outcome of interest was treatment success during followup, defined as freedom from death, unplanned surgery for infection, or readmission for worsening infection. Results: We identified 22 patients who received cefadroxil for primary treatment of osteoarticular infection. The mean age was 57.6 years, mean weight 98.6 kg, 68% were male, and none had peripheral vascular disease. Infections included PJI in 12, NJSA in 8, osteomyelitis in 7, and other hardware infections in 5; some patients had multiple infectious syndromes. Methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) was the most common pathogen (73%), followed by streptococci (18%), other methicillin-susceptible staphylococci (14%), and Cutibacterium acnes (5%). Half of patients received 120kg who had device infections with MSSA, two of which were managed with implant retention, suggesting pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic factors and biofilm burden could influence treatment outcome. Limitations of this study include its small sample size, noncomparative nature, lengthy initial intravenous antibiotic

Demographics	
Age in years, mean (SD)	57.6 (16.8)
Male gender, no. (%)	15 (68)
Weight in kg, mean (SD)	98.6 (24.4)
Creatinine clearance (mL/min), mean (SD)	151.3 (55.2)
Comorbidities	
Peripheral vascular disease, no. (%)	0 (0)
Diabetes, no. (%)	0 (0)
Infection characteristics	
Anatomic location, no. (%)	
Knee	8 (36)
Hip	3 (14)
Foot/Hand	5 (23)
Other	6 (27)
Infectious syndrome, no. (%)*	
Osteomyelitis	7 (32)
Native joint septic arthritis	8 (36)
Prosthetic joint infection	12 (55)
Other orthopedic hardware infection	5 (23)
Patients with hardware infection managed with hardware retention, no. (%)	7 (32)
Causative pathogen, no. (%)*	
Methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA)	16 (73)
Other methicillin-susceptible staphylococci	3 (14)
Streptococci	4 (18)
Cutibacterium acnes	1 (5)
Treatment characteristics	
Days of preceding antimicrobial therapy, mean (SD)	19.5 (17.5)
Patients who received <2wk antibiotics preceding switch to cefadroxil, no. (%)	11 (50)
Days of cefadroxil treatment in patients not given suppressive antibiotic therapy,	36 (11)
mean (SD)	
Patients who received suppressive antibiotic therapy, no. (%)	4 (18)
Outcomes	
Days of recorded followup after index surgery, mean (SD)	220 (158.4)
Patients who had followup >6mo, no. (%)	10 (45)
Deaths during followup, no. (%)	1 (5)
Unplanned surgery for infection during followup, no. (%)	3 (14)
Readmission for relapsed infection during followup, no. (%)	1 (5)
Discontinuation of cefadroxil due to adverse events, no. (%)	1 (5)

*Total is >100% as some patients had multiple concurrent diagnoses

durations, and limited durations of followup. These data suggest cefadroxil merits investigation for adult osteoarticular infection in larger comparative (and ideally, prospective randomized) studies.

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2024;4(Suppl. S1):s34 doi:10.1017/ash.2024.143

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation Subject Category: Antibiotic Stewardship

Fluoroquinolones in Focus: Unraveling Prescription Trends among Clinician Specialties in Washington State

David Evans, Emory University, Rollins School of Public Health; Jessica Zering, University of Nebraska Medical Center; Erica Stohs, University of Nebraska Medical Center; Marisa D'Angeli, Washington State Department of Health and Kamenar Katarina, Washington State Department of Health

Background: An overall decrease of prescriptions for outpatient fluoroquinolones (FQs) following the FDA's release of boxed warnings in 2016 was shown in a previous analysis1. An additional study found that this decline held true when further broken down by specialty 2. We sought to determine which different clinician specialties in Washington State (WA) continue to prescribe FQs and if these rates are congruent with previously conducted national analyses. Methods: We conducted a comprehensive analysis of Medicare Part D data from 2021. We identified specialty types contributing the highest proportions of FQ claims from the total volume of claims in 2021. Subsequently, we calculated the average FQ claims per 1,000 Medicare Part D beneficiaries for each specialty. The analysis excluded providers with missing beneficiary data. All dosage formulations were included (i.e. topical & oral). We repeated this process for each year from 2021 to 2013 to assess changes in the average FQ prescription rate for each specialty over time. Results: Our analysis encompassed 99,250 FQ prescriptions involving 976,209 Medicare Part D beneficiaries from January 1 to December 30, 2021. Among the specialties, urologists emerged