Tables

1.1 Typology of farming system functions in SURE-Farm *page* 4
1.2 Resilience attributes in the SURE-Farm framework and short explanation of each attribute 6
1.3 Methods employed in the SURE-Farm assessments, number of farming systems (FS) considered and steps of the framework covered 11
3.1 Scenarios of alternative availabilities of farm successors 52
4.1 How CAP instruments affect the resilience capacities of farming systems 67
4.2 The main challenges of the farming systems as identified by respondents 72
5.1 Challenges and lock-ins to the three CS systems 98
8.1 Overview of applied methods in the Altmark case study 141
11.1 Perceived performance expectations of the main functions and the presence of resilience attributes in future configurations of the FS 193
13.1 Current and future strategies for different FSs in the case study area 228
15.1 Summary of challenges identified with FoPIA, ReSAT, and risk management focus groups, across four sustainability dimensions: environmental (ENVM), economic (ECON), social (SOC), and institutional (INST) at the farming system level 252
16.1 Frequency of adoption of different types of risk management and coping strategies of EE farmers 268
16.2 Farmers' perceived resilience of their farm 270

Published online by Cambridge University Press

16.3 Farmers' self-assessment of networking and learning 274
17.1 Overview of the main challenges in the SURE-Farm case studies and their closeness to critical thresholds according to stakeholders' perception 285
19.1 Topics in the current resilience and resilience in the future assessed by stakeholders in the physical meetings and the digital co-creation platform 323

Published online by Cambridge University Press