

## ERRATUM

# An archaeological look at ‘international custom as evidence of a general practice accepted as law’ and Article 38 of the World Court’s Statute – ERRATUM

Peter Haggenmacher

doi:[10.1017/S092215652100011X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S092215652100011X), Published by Cambridge University Press, 5 May 2021.

**Keywords:** Advisory Committee of Jurists; Article 38 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice; customary international law; sources of international law; erratum

During the production process the following errors were introduced;

Location	published	correction
Page 805, line 23.	of the law	of law
Page 806, line 19.	Paris	The Hague
Page 809, line 13.	less so, for,	less so for
Page 809, line 41.	Rechtsbewusstsein	<i>Rechtsbewusstsein</i>
Page 811, line 39.	praetor	praetor
Page 817, line 44.	Section 3	at fn. 27
Page 818, line 46.	note 84	note 85
Page 821, line 46.	note 7)	note 7

The publisher apologies for the errors.

## Reference

**Haggenmacher P** (2021). An archaeological look at ‘international custom as evidence of a general practice accepted as law’ and Article 38 of the World Court’s Statute. *Leiden Journal of International Law* 34, 801–827. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S092215652100011X>

---

**Cite this article:** Haggenmacher P (2021). An archaeological look at ‘international custom as evidence of a general practice accepted as law’ and Article 38 of the World Court’s Statute – ERRATUM. *Leiden Journal of International Law* 34, 829. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0922156521000480>

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press