## AN $L^p$ SATURATION THEOREM FOR SPLINES

G. J. BUTLER AND F. B. RICHARDS

**1.** Let  $\Delta_n: 0 = x_0^{(n)} < x_1^{(n)} < \ldots < x_n^{(n)} = 1$  be a subdivision of [0, 1], and let  $\mathscr{S}_k(\Delta_n)$  denote the class of functions whose restriction to each sub-interval  $[x_{i-1}^{(n)}, x_i^{(n)})$  is a polynomial of degree at most k. Gaier [1] has shown that for uniform subdivisions  $\Delta_n$  (that is, subdivisions for which  $x_i^{(n)} = i/n$ )

$$||f - \mathcal{S}_k(\Delta_n)||_n = o(n^{-k-1})$$

if and only if f is a polynomial of degree at most k. Here, and subsequently,  $||\cdot||_p$  denotes the usual norm in  $L^p[0, 1]$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , and we should emphasize that functions differing only on a set of Lebesgue measure zero are identified.

One of the authors [4] has recently characterized those functions f for which

$$||f - \mathcal{S}_k(\Delta_n)||_{\infty} = O(n^{-k-1}).$$

In this paper we solve the corresponding problem for the  $L^p$  norms,  $1 \le p < \infty$  . Let

$$Lip(1, L^{p}) = \left\{ f : \left( \int_{0}^{1} |f(x + \delta) - f(x)|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} = O(\delta) \right\}$$

(f assumed to be identically zero outside [0, 1]) and define

$$\mathcal{L}_{p}^{k} = \{f : f \in C^{k-1}[0, 1], f^{(k-1)} \text{ is absolutely continuous, } f^{(k)} \in \text{Lip}(1, L^{p})\}.$$

Our main result is the following

THEOREM. Let f be a real-valued function on [0, 1] and let  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be uniform subdivisions. Then

$$(1) ||f - \mathcal{S}_k(\Delta_n)||_p = O(n^{-k-1}),$$

if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{p}^{k}$ .

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank Professor Z. Ditzian for some helpful comments.

**2.** In this section we shall demonstrate the sufficiency part of (1); in fact we shall establish the following more general result, namely,

Lemma 3. Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}_p^k$ . Then, given any sequence of arbitrary subdivisions  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , there exists a sequence of spline functions  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of degree k with knots at the points of  $\Delta_n$  (i.e.,  $S_n \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Delta_n) \cap C^{k-1}[0, 1]$ ) satisfying

(2) 
$$||f - S_n||_p = O(||\Delta_n||^{k+1}),$$

where  $||\Delta_n|| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i^{(n)} - x_{i-1}^{(n)}).$ 

Received September 28, 1971 and in revised form, February 29, 1972.

We must first prove two other lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If  $f \in \text{Lip}(1, L^p)$ , then

$$(3) ||f - \mathcal{S}_0(\Delta_n)||_p = O(||\Delta_n||)$$

*Proof.* We first remark that  $f \in \text{Lip}(1, L^p)$  implies via the Hölder inequality that  $f \in \text{Lip}(1, L^1)$ , so that f is of bounded variation [2] and hence  $f \in L^p[0, 1]$ . Let us define

$$D_n = \int_0^{||\Delta_n||} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{x_{i+1}-x_i} |f(t+x_i) - f(x+x_i)|^p dx dt.$$

With the change of variables

$$u = x + x_i, \qquad v = t - x,$$

and since  $f \in \text{Lip}(1, L^p)$ , we have

$$D_{n} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{-||\Delta_{n}||}^{||\Delta_{n}||} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} |f(u+v) - f(u)|^{p} du dv$$
$$\leq K||\Delta_{n}||^{p+1},$$

where K is independent of n. Thus there exists  $\tau_n$ ,  $0 \le \tau_n \le ||\Delta_n||$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{0}^{x_{i+1}-x_{i}} |f(\tau_{n}+x_{i})-f(x+x_{i})|^{p} dx \leq K||\Delta_{n}||^{p}.$$

Choosing  $\sigma_n$  to be the step function taking the value  $f(\tau_n + x_i)$  on  $[x_i, x_{i+1})$ , it follows that

$$||f - \sigma_n||_n = O(||\Delta_n||).$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $f \in L^p[0, 1]$  and  $\nu$  be a natural number. If  $s_n \in \mathscr{S}_{\nu-1}(\Delta_n) \cap C^{\nu-2}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and  $\phi$  is a positive function on the natural numbers such that

(4) 
$$||f - s_n||_p = O(\phi(n)),$$

then there exists a sequence  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $S_n \in \mathscr{S}_{\nu}(\Delta_n) \cap C^{\nu-1}$ , satisfying

(5) 
$$||F - S_n||_p = O(||\Delta_n||\phi(n))$$

where

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt.$$

( $C^{-1}$  is interpreted to be the space of all real-valued functions.)

*Proof.* Consider the *B*-spline  $M_i(x)$  of degree  $\nu - 1$  for the subdivision  $\Delta_n$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - \nu$ , defined in [6]. It is known [6] that  $M_i(x)$  is a non-negative function having support in  $[x_i, x_{i+\nu}]$ ,  $M_i \in \mathscr{S}_{\nu-1} \cap C^{\nu-2}$  and

$$\int_0^1 M_i(x) dx = 1.$$

Following [3], define

$$A_{i} = \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} (f(t) - s_{n}(t))dt, \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\tilde{A}_{i} = \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} |f(t) - s_{n}(t)|dt, \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$S_n(x) = \int_0^x s_n(t)dt + \sum_{i=0}^{n-\nu} A_i \int_0^x M_i(t)dt.$$

Suppose that  $x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1}$ . Then

$$F(x) - S_n(x) = \int_0^x (f(t) - s_n(t))dt - \sum_{j=0}^{n-\nu} A_j \int_0^x M_j(t)dt$$
$$= \int_{x_i}^x (f(t) - s_n(t))dt + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} A_j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-\nu} A_j \int_0^x M_j(t)dt.$$

By (6),

$$\int_0^x M_j(t)dt = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad j \le i - \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^x M_j(t)dt = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \ge i + 1.$$

Thus

$$|F(x) - S_n(x)| \le \left| \int_{x_i}^x (f(t) - s_n(t))dt \right| + \left| \sum_{j=i-\nu+1}^{i-1} A_j \left( 1 - \int_0^x M_j(t)dt \right) \right| + \left| A_i \int_0^x M_i(t)dt \right|$$

$$\leq \tilde{A}_i + \sum_{j=i-\nu+1}^{i} |A_j| \leq K \left[ \sum_{j=i-\nu+1}^{i} \tilde{A}_j^p \right]^{1/p}$$

for some constant  $K = K(\nu)$ . Hence

(7) 
$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |F(x) - S_n(x)|^p dx \le K^p \sum_{j=i-p+1}^i \widetilde{A}_j^p (x_{i+1} - x_i).$$

But by Hölder's inequality,

$$\widetilde{A}_{j}^{p} \leq (x_{j+1} - x_{j})^{p-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} |f(t) - s_{n}(t)|^{p} dt 
\leq ||\Delta_{n}||^{p-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} |f(t) - s_{n}(t)|^{p} dt;$$

thus

$$\int_{0}^{1} |F(x) - S_{n}(x)|^{p} dx$$

$$\leq K^{p} ||\Delta_{n}||^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i-r+1}^{i} (x_{i+1} - x_{i}) \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} |f(t) - s_{n}(t)|^{p} dt$$

and by reversing the order of summation, we obtain

(8) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} |F(x) - S_{n}(x)|^{p} dx \leq K^{p} ||\Delta_{n}||^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (x_{j+\nu} - x_{j}) \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} |f(t) - s_{n}(t)|^{p} dt$$
$$\leq K_{1}^{p} ||\Delta_{n}||^{p} \int_{0}^{1} |f(t) - s_{n}(t)|^{p} dt$$

for some constant  $K_1 = K_1(\nu)$ . The lemma follows on applying (4) in (8). Lemma 3 is an easy consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2.

3. We now seek to establish the necessity part of (1). In this section, all subdivisions  $\Delta_n$  are assumed to be uniform.

It will be convenient to state at this point the  $L^p$  version of Markoff's inequality and of two inequalities due to Gaier.

Lemma 4 (Markoff [1]). Let P be a polynomial of degree k on [a, b] and  $0 \le j \le k$ . Then there exists a constant K = K(p, k, j) such that

(9) 
$$\left[ \int_a^b |P^{(j)}(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p} \le K(b-a)^{-j} \left[ \int_a^b |P(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p}.$$

Lemma 5 (Gaier [1]). Suppose P is a function on [-a, b] which reduces to a polynomial of degree k on each of [-a, 0] and (0, b], and define h = P(0+) - P(0-). Then there exists a constant K = K(p, k) such that

(10) 
$$|h| \le K(\min(a,b))^{-1/p} \left[ \int_{-a}^{b} |P(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p}.$$

LEMMA 6 (Gaier [1]). Let  $T_j \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Delta_j)$ , j=n, n+1, and let  $h_i^{(r)}$  denote the jumps of  $T_n^{(r)}$  at  $x_i=i/n, i=1,\ldots,n-1$ , i.e.,  $h_i^{(r)}=T_n^{(r)}(x_i+)-T_n^{(r)}(x_i-)$ . Let  $0<\epsilon<1/2$ . Then there exists a constant  $C=C(\epsilon)$  such that

$$|h_i^{(\nu)}| \le C n^{\nu+1/p} \left[ \int_{i/(n+1)}^{(i+1)/(n+1)} |T_{n+1} - T_n|^p dx \right]^{1/p}$$

if  $\epsilon \leq i/n \leq (1 - \epsilon)$ .

Next we prove

Lemma 7. Let

$$||f - S_n||_p = O(n^{-k-1})$$

for some sequence  $S_n \in \mathscr{S}_k(\Delta_n)$ , n = 1, 2, ...Then

(13) 
$$f \in C^{k-1}[0, 1], f^{(k-1)}$$
 is absolutely continuous

and

(14) 
$$||f^{(k)} - S_{2^n}^{(k)}||_p = O(2^{-n}).$$

*Proof.* Since  $S_{2^n} - S_{2^{n+1}} \in \mathscr{S}_k(\Delta_{2^{n+1}})$ , we may apply (9) to obtain

(15) 
$$||S_{2^{n}}^{(\nu)} - S_{2^{n+1}}^{(\nu)}||_{p} \le K(2^{n+1})^{\nu}||S_{2^{n}} - S_{2^{n+1}}||_{p}$$
$$\le K_{1}2^{-n(k+1-\nu)}, \qquad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

where  $K = K(p, k, \nu)$ ,  $K_1 = K_1(p, k, \nu)$  are constants. Hence there are functions  $f_{\nu} \in L^p[0, 1]$  satisfying

(16) 
$$||S_{2^n}^{(\nu)} - f_{\nu}||_{p} \le K_2 2^{-n(k+1-\nu)}$$

for some constant  $K_2 = K_2(p, k, \nu)$ .

Therefore  $S_{2^{n(\nu)}} \to f_{\nu}$  a.e. on [0, 1] and so for almost all  $\epsilon$  in [0, 1], we have

(17) 
$$S_{2^n}^{(\nu-1)}(\epsilon) \to f_{\nu-1}(\epsilon).$$

Let  $h_i^{(\nu)}$  denote the jump of  $S_{2n}^{(\nu)}$  at  $x_i = i2^{-n}$ , and let

$$x_{+}^{0} = \begin{cases} 1, x \geq 0, \\ 0, x < 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $x \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$ , we define

(18) 
$$P_{2^{n}}(x) = \int_{\epsilon}^{x} S_{2^{n}}^{(\nu)}(t)dt$$
$$= S_{2^{n}}^{(\nu-1)}(x) - S_{2^{n}}^{(\nu-1)}(\epsilon) - \sum_{i}' h_{i}^{(\nu-1)}[x - i/2^{n}]_{+}^{0},$$

where  $\sum_{i}'$  means that we sum over those i for which  $\epsilon \leq i2^{-n} \leq 1 - \epsilon$ . By (11),

(19) 
$$\left[\sum_{i}'|h_{i}^{(\nu-1)}|^{p}\right]^{1/p} \leq C(\epsilon)2^{n(\nu-1+1/p)}||S_{2n}-S_{2n+1}||_{p}$$
$$\leq C_{1}(\epsilon)2^{-n(k+2-\nu-1/p)}.$$

Let

(20) 
$$\tilde{f}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{\nu}(t)dt + f_{\nu-1}(\epsilon).$$

Then,

$$(21) \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} |f_{\nu-1}(x) - \bar{f}(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p}$$

$$\leq ||f_{\nu-1} - S_{2n}^{(\nu-1)}||_p + \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} |S_{2n}^{(\nu-1)}(x) - P_{2n}(x) - f_{\nu-1}(\epsilon)|^p dx \right]^{1/p}$$

$$+ \left[ \int_{0}^{1} |P_{2n}(x) + f_{\nu-1}(\epsilon) - \bar{f}(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p}$$

$$= \alpha_1^n + \alpha_2^n + \alpha_3^n, \text{ say.}$$

From (17)–(19),

$$(22) \quad \alpha_2^n \leqq \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \left| S_{2^n}^{(\nu-1)}(\epsilon) - f_{\nu-1}(\epsilon) \right|^p dx \right]^{1/p} + \left[ \sum_{i}' \left| h_i^{(\nu-1)} \right|^p \right]^{1/p} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Using (16), (18) and (20), we have

(23) 
$$\alpha_3^n \leq \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{\epsilon}^x |S_{2n}^{(\nu)}(t) - f_{\nu}(t)|^p dt \, dx \right]^{1/p}$$
$$\leq ||S_{2n}^{(\nu)} - f_{\nu}||_p \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Hence, letting  $n \to \infty$  in (21) and using (16), (22) and (23), we obtain

$$f_{\nu-1}(x) = \bar{f}(x) = \int_{\epsilon}^{x} f_{\nu}(t)dt + f_{\nu-1}(\epsilon)$$

a.e. on  $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , for almost all  $\epsilon$  in [0, 1]. Since  $f_0 = f$ , it follows that  $f_{\nu}(x) = f^{(\nu)}(x)$  a.e. on [0, 1], and this together with (16) establishes (14). If k = 0, (13) is redundant. If k = 1, we have

$$f(x) = \int_{\epsilon}^{x} f_1(t)dt + f(\epsilon)$$
 a.e. on  $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$ 

for almost all  $\epsilon$  in  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , and from (16),  $f_1 \in L^p[0, 1]$ , and hence  $f_1 \in L^1[0, 1]$ . Thus we may find  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  and a sequence  $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2 > \ldots \to 0$  such that

$$(x_0) = \int_{\epsilon_i}^{x_0} f_1(t)dt + f(\epsilon_i).$$

It follows that  $f(\epsilon_i) \to \phi_0$ , say, as  $i \to \infty$  and defining

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^x f_1(t)dt + \phi_0,$$

we have  $f(x) = \phi(x)$  a.e. on [0, 1], and so f is equivalent to an absolutely continuous function on [0, 1], which is (13).

Essentially similar arguments enable us to establish (13) for general values of k. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 8. Let  $\xi_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ , be rational numbers with a common denominator q such that  $\min(\xi_i, 1-\xi_i) \leq 1/4, i=1,2,\ldots,m$ . Let  $h_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ , be real numbers such that

(24) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |h_i|^p q^{p-1} \ge N > 0,$$

and let n be any integral multiple of q. Then there exists an integer l with  $n+1 \le l \le 2n$ , such that

(25) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |h_i|^p [\rho(\xi_i, \Delta_l)]^{kp+1} \ge cN l^{-p(k+1)}$$

for some absolute constant c, where  $\rho(\xi_i, \Delta_l) = \inf_{0 \le j \le l} |\xi_i - j/l|$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $1/4 \ge \xi_1 > \xi_2 > \ldots > \xi_m \ge 1/q$ . Define  $t_i$  to be  $n\xi_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ . Then  $t_i$  is a natural number no greater than n/4. Fix i and let r be a natural number with  $1 \le r \le n$ . Then either we have (i)  $h/\xi_i \le r < (h + \frac{1}{2})/\xi_i$  for some natural number h, or we have (ii)  $(h - \frac{1}{2})/\xi_i \le r < h/\xi_i$  for some natural number h.

If case (i) applies, then, since  $\xi_i = t_i/n$ , we have  $hn/t_i \le r < (h + \frac{1}{2})n/t_i$ , and so

$$0 \leq \frac{t_i}{n} - \frac{t_i + h}{n+r} = \frac{t_i r - hn}{n(n+r)} \leq \frac{1}{2(n+r)}.$$

Thus

(26) 
$$\rho(\xi_i, \Delta_{n+r}) = (t_i r - hn)/(n(n+r)) = \xi_i s/(n+r)$$
, where  $s = r - hn/t_i$  If case (ii) applies, we have

$$(h - \frac{1}{2})\frac{n}{t_i} \le r < \frac{hn}{t_i}, \text{ and so}$$

$$0 < \frac{t_i + h}{n+r} - \frac{t_i}{n} = \frac{hn - t_i r}{n(n+r)} \le \frac{1}{2(n+r)}.$$

Hence

(27) 
$$\rho(\xi_i, \Delta_{n+r}) = \frac{hn - t_i r}{n(n+r)} = \frac{\xi_i s}{n+r},$$

where  $s = hn/t_i - r$ . Therefore we have

$$\sum_{r=n+1}^{2n} \left[ \rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{r}) \right]^{kp+1} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left[ \rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{n+r}) \right]^{kp+1}$$

$$= \sum_{h=0}^{t_{i}-1} \sum_{hn/t_{i} \leq r < (h+\frac{1}{2})n/t_{i}} \left[ \rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{n+r}) \right]^{kp+1}$$

$$+ \sum_{h=1}^{t_{i}} \sum_{(h-\frac{1}{2})n/t_{i} \leq r < hn/t_{i}} \left[ \rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{n+r}) \right]^{kp+1}$$

$$\geq 2 \sum_{h=0}^{t_{i}-1} \sum_{s=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{2}n/t_{i}\right]} \left( \frac{\xi_{i}s}{2n} \right)^{kp+1}$$

where we have used (26) and (27) to estimate the sums over r.

Using the integral test to estimate the inner sum above, we obtain

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{n} \left[ \rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{n+\tau}) \right]^{kp+1} \ge \frac{2t_{i}}{kp+2} \left( \frac{\xi_{i}}{2n} \right)^{kp+1} \left( \frac{n}{2t_{i}} - 1 \right)^{kp+2}.$$

Since  $n/2t_i = 1/2\xi_i \ge 2$ , we have  $n/2t_i - 1 \ge n/4t_i$ . Hence

(28) 
$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{n} \left[ \rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{n+\tau}) \right]^{kp+1} \ge (kp+2)^{-1} 2^{-3kp-4} t_{i} \left( \frac{\xi_{i}}{n} \right)^{kp+1} \left( \frac{n}{t_{i}} \right)^{kp+2} = C_{1} n^{-kp}.$$

Thus from (24) and (28),

$$\sum_{r=n+1}^{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |h_{i}|^{p} [\rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{r})]^{kp+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{r=n+1}^{2n} |h_{i}|^{p} [\rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{r})]^{kp+1}$$

$$\geq C_{1} N n^{-kp} q^{1-p}.$$

Hence there exists l with  $n + 1 \le l \le 2n$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |h_{i}|^{p} [\rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{l})]^{kp+1} \geq c_{1} N n^{-1-kp} q^{1-p}$$
$$\geq c N l^{-p(k+1)}$$

for some constant c, since  $q < l \le 2n$ . It is obvious that the proof may easily be modified for the slightly more general statement of the lemma.

LEMMA 9. Suppose

(29) 
$$\left[ \int_{0}^{1} |f - S_{n}(t)|^{p} dt \right]^{1/p} \leq K n^{-k-1}$$

for some sequence  $S_n \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Delta_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Then there is a constant C such that for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |h_{i}^{(k)}|^{p} n^{p-1} \leq C,$$

where  $h_i^{(k)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  are the jumps of  $S_n^{(k)}$ .

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 6 with  $T_j = S_j$ , j = n, n + 1, and with  $\nu = k$ ,  $\epsilon = 1/4$ , we deduce that

$$\sum_{i}' |h_{i}^{(k)}|^{p} n^{-1-pk} \leq K \int_{0}^{1} |S_{n+1} - S_{n}|^{p} \leq K_{1} n^{-p(k+1)}$$

for some constants K,  $K_1$ . If Lemma 9 is to be false, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that given N > 0, there exists a natural number q such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |h_i^{(k)}| q^{p-1} \ge N,$$

where  $1/4 \ge \xi_m > \xi_{m-1} > \ldots > \xi_1 = 1/q$  are the points of  $[0, 1/4] \cap \Delta_q$  and  $h_i^{(k)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , are the corresponding jumps of  $S_q^{(k)}$ . By Lemma 8 therefore, we can find l with  $2q + 1 \le l \le 4q$  satisfying

(30) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |h_i^{(k)}|^p [\rho(\xi_i, \Delta_l)]^{kp+1} \ge cN l^{-p(k+1)}.$$

Let  $\xi_i \in [r_i/l, (r_i+1)/l] = I_{r_i}$ , where  $r_i$  is an integer. Applying Lemmas 4 and 5 to the function  $S_q(x) - S_l(x)$  on  $I_{r_i}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h_i^{(k)}| &\leq K_2[\rho(\xi_i, \Delta_l)]^{-1/p} \bigg[ \int_{I_{r_i}} |S_q^{(k)} - S_l^{(k)}|^p dt \bigg]^{1/p} \\ &\leq K_3[\rho(\xi_i, \Delta_l)]^{-k-1/p} \bigg[ \int_{I_{r_i}} |S_q - S_l|^p dt \bigg]^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

so that, since the intervals  $I_{r_i}$  are pairwise disjoint,

$$\int_{0}^{1} |S_{q} - S_{l}|^{p} dt \ge K_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |h_{i}^{(k)}|^{p} [\rho(\xi_{i}, \Delta_{l})]^{kp+1}$$

$$\ge K_{5} N l^{-p(k+1)}$$

by (30). Thus

$$||f - S_l||_p \ge ||S_q - S_l||_p - ||f - S_q||_p$$

$$\ge K_5 N l^{-k-1} - K q^{-k-1}$$

$$\ge (K_5 N - 4^{k+1} K) l^{-k-1}$$

which contradicts (29) if N is sufficiently large. This proves the lemma.

We are now in a position to prove the necessity part of the theorem which we state as

Lemma 10. If 
$$||f - \mathcal{S}_k||_p = O(n^{-k-1})$$
, then  $f \in \mathcal{L}_k^p$ .

*Proof.* Suppose K>0 and  $S_n\in\mathscr{S}_k(\Delta_n)$  satisfy

(31) 
$$\left[ \int_0^1 |f - S_n|^p dt \right]^{1/p} \leq K n^{-k-1}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

By Lemma 7,  $f \in C^{k-1}[0, 1]$ ,  $f^{(k-1)}$  is absolutely continuous on [0, 1], and

(32) 
$$\left[ \int_0^1 |f^{(k)} - \sigma_{2n}|^p dt \right]^{1/p} \leq K' 2^{-n}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $\sigma_n = S_n^{(k)}$ . Let  $0 < \delta < 1$  and choose n such that  $2^{-n-1} \le \delta < 2^{-n}$ . Let  $h_i^{(\nu)}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^n - 1$  be the jumps of  $S_{2^n}^{(\nu)}, \nu = 0, 1, \ldots, k$ . If  $0 \le i \le 2^n - 2$ , we have

(33) 
$$\int_{i^{2-n}}^{(i+1)^{2-n}} |\sigma_{2^n}(t+\delta) - \sigma_{2^n}(t)|^p dt \leq 2^{-n} |h_{i+1}^{(k)}|^p,$$

and

(34) 
$$\int_{1-2^{-n}}^{1-\delta} |\sigma_{2^n}(t+\delta) - \sigma_{2^n}(t)|^p dt = 0.$$

Hence

(35) 
$$\left[\int_{0}^{1-\delta} |f^{(k)}(t+\delta) - f^{(k)}(t)|^{p} dt\right]^{1/p} \leq 2||f^{(k)}(t) - \sigma_{2^{n}}(t)||_{p}$$

$$+ \left[\int_{0}^{1-\delta} |\sigma_{2^{n}}(t+\delta) - \sigma_{2^{n}}(t)|^{p} dt\right]^{1/p} \leq K' 2^{1-n} + 2^{-n/p} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}-1} |h_{i}^{(k)}|^{p}\right]^{1/p}$$

by (32)–(34). We now apply lemma 9 to (35) and find a constant C such that

$$\left[\int_{0}^{1-\delta} |f^{(k)}(t+\delta) - f^{(k)}(t)|^{p} dt\right]^{1/p} \leq (2K' + C^{1/p})2^{-n}$$

$$\leq (4K' + 2C^{1/p})\delta.$$

Hence

$$\left[\int_{0}^{1} |f^{(k)}(t+\delta) - f^{(k)}(t)|dt\right]^{1/p} = O(\delta)$$

and so  $f^{(k)} \in \mathcal{L}_k^p$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

*Remark.* Other characterizations are possible, using the result [2] that  $f \in \mathcal{L}_p^k$  if and only if  $f^{(k)}$  is of *p-bounded variation* on [0, 1], i.e., the supremum over all subdivisions  $\delta_n : 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_n = 1$  of the sum

$$\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |f^{(k)}(x_{i+1}) - f^{(k)}(x_i)|^p (x_{i+1} - x_i)^{1-p}\right]^{1/p}$$

if finite, and, for p > 1, the result [5] that f is of p-bounded variation on [0, 1] if and only if f is absolutely continuous and  $f' \in L^p[0, 1]$ .

## REFERENCES

- D. Gaier, Saturation bei Spline-Approximation und Quadratur, Numer. Math. 16 (1970), 129-140.
- G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals, Math. Z. 27 (1928), 565-606.
- 3. V. Popov and Bl. Sendov, Classes characterized by best possible approximations by spline functions, Math. Notes No. 2, 18 (1970), 550-557.
- F. Richards, On the saturation class for spline functions (to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., May 1972).
- 5. F. Riesz, Systeme integrierbarer Funktionen, Math. Ann. 69 (1910), 449-497.
- I. J. Schoenberg, On interpolation by spline functions and its minimal properties, On Approximation Theory (Intern. Ser. Numerical Math. (ISNM) 5 (1964), 109–129, Birkhauser, Basel/Stuttgart).

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta