

# **Modelling of the fluid flow in a thin domain with injection through permeable boundary**

Eduard Marušić-Paloka and Igor Pažanin

Departmant of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, Zagreb, Croatia **Corresponding author:** Eduard Marušić-Paloka; Email: [emarusic@math.hr](mailto:emarusic@math.hr)

**Received:** 25 August 2022; **Revised:** 24 January 2024; **Accepted:** 10 March 2024; **First published online** 25 April 2024

**Keywords:** thin domain; permeable boundary; viscous fluid; boundary injection; asymptotic analysis

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35B27 (Primary); 35Q30, 76M50 (Secondary)

### **Abstract**

In this paper, we derive the effective model describing a thin-domain flow with permeable boundary through which the fluid is injected into the domain. We start with incompressible Stokes system and perform the rigorous asymptotic analysis. Choosing the appropriate scaling for the injection leads to a compressible effective model. In this paper, we derive the effective model describing a thin-domain flow with permeable boundary through which the fluid is injected into the domain. We start with incompressible Stokes system and perform the rigorous asymptotic analysis. Choosing the appropriate scaling for the injection leads to a compressible effective model.

## **1. Introduction**

Incompressible fluid flows through thin domains (i.e. domains whose size in some directions is much larger than the size in others) appear naturally in various applications. Typical examples of such domains are thin channels, pipes and fractures. Due to its two-scale geometry, numerical studies of partial differential equations in such domains are difficult. Typically, thin domains have impermeable, immobile upper and lower boundaries, in which case their flow is governed by the Hagen-Poiseuille flow [\[11\]](#page-19-0), [\[27\]](#page-20-0). Hagen-Poiseuille type approximations have been rigorously derived for steady flows through a single tube (see e.g. [\[8\]](#page-19-1), [\[9\]](#page-19-2), [\[13\]](#page-19-3), [\[23\]](#page-20-1), [\[18\]](#page-19-4)) and employed for analysing the flows in more complex thin structures (see e.g. [\[2\]](#page-19-5), [\[3\]](#page-19-6), [\[14\]](#page-19-7)) and in time-dependent regime as well (see e.g. [\[24\]](#page-20-2), [\[25\]](#page-20-3), [\[26\]](#page-20-4)). Introducing the boundary roughness leads to the Darcy-Weisbach law [\[29\]](#page-20-5) and its improvements (see e.g. [\[15\]](#page-19-8), [\[21\]](#page-20-6), [\[22\]](#page-20-7)). In the lubrication theory, upper and lower boundaries are in relative motion, leading to the non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition. However, the prescribed non-zero velocity on the boundary is tangential to the boundary leading to the Reynolds law  $[28]$  and its variants (see e.g. [\[1\]](#page-19-9), [\[4\]](#page-19-10), [\[10\]](#page-19-11)).

In the present paper, we study the case when the lower boundary is permeable (for example, porous) so that the prescribed velocity on the boundary is non-zero and perpendicular to the boundary. Even though the fluid was originally incompressible, as in [\[16\]](#page-19-12), the obtained model is compressible and that represents the main novelty of this paper. Due to the injection of fluid through the lower boundary, the weak rescaled limit of the boundary velocity appears as the source term in the mass conservation equation, see Theorem [2.](#page-5-0) It is important to emphasise that the velocity on the permeable boundary is given, and not described by the Darcy boundary law, Beavers-Joseph law or pressure boundary law like in [\[5\]](#page-19-13), [\[6\]](#page-19-14), [\[7\]](#page-19-15), [\[19\]](#page-19-16) and [\[20\]](#page-20-9).

To derive the effective model, we start from the stationary Stokes system and perform the rigorous asymptotic analysis, using the two-scale convergence for thin domains introduced in [\[12\]](#page-19-17) (see also [\[17\]](#page-19-18)).

-<sup>C</sup> The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike licence [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the same Creative Commons licence is used to distribute the re-used or adapted article and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.



<span id="page-1-0"></span>

*Figure 1. Domain*  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  *with permeable boundary*  $\Gamma$ *.* 

We neglect the inertial term, assuming that the Reynolds number is not large, which is, in most cases, reasonable for thin domain. The inertial term causes problem with existence and uniqueness of the solution, due to the pressure boundary condition, unless we assume that the Reynolds number is small.

## **2. Setting of the problem**

For simplicity, we assume that the domain  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  is the rectangle with thickness  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  that has impermeable upper and permeable lower boundary (see Figure [1\)](#page-1-0):

$$
\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \langle 0, L \rangle \times \langle 0, \varepsilon \rangle, \tag{2.1}
$$

$$
\Sigma^{\varepsilon} = \langle 0, L \rangle \times \{\varepsilon\},\tag{2.2}
$$

$$
\Gamma = \langle 0, L \rangle \times \{0\}.\tag{2.3}
$$

As indicated in the Introduction, the flow of incompressible viscous fluid in the domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is described by the Stokes system. We impose a no-slip condition on the upper boundary  $\Sigma^{\varepsilon}$ . The flow is governed by the pressure drop between the left and the right end of the domain, and the injection of the fluid through the lower boundary  $\Gamma$ , which is porous having periodically distributed holes (see Remark [1\)](#page-2-0). As the system is linear, without losing generality, we can choose the viscosity  $\mu = 1$ . The injection through the porous boundary  $\Gamma$  occurs with some given velocity and, thus, on each hole, we prescribe the injection velocity  $g^{\varepsilon}$ . In view of that, we study the following system:

$$
-\Delta \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} + \nabla p^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{,} \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\varepsilon} \,, \tag{2.4}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma,
$$
 (2.5)

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_{\varepsilon},\tag{2.6}
$$

$$
u_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \ p^{\varepsilon}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} P_x \text{ for } x = 0, L,
$$
 (2.7)

<span id="page-1-2"></span>where  $P_0, P_L \in \mathbf{R}$ .

#### **3. The effective model**

Since the domain is thin, we aim to find a simpler lower dimensional model approximating the solution  $(\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}, p^{\varepsilon})$  of the system [\(2.4\)](#page-1-1), via rigorous asymptotic analysis with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . Before we proceed, we announce the main result. At this point, we skip technical assumptions on  $g^{\epsilon}$ , concerning its asymptotic behaviour, regularity and boundary values. Roughly speaking, if the mean value of the boundary injection velocity asymptotically behaves like

$$
\overline{g}_{\varepsilon} = [g_0(x) + \varepsilon g_1(x)] \mathbf{j},
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

**Figure 2.** The domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  with perforated boundary.

then we find an approximation of the form

$$
\mathbf{v} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L t \, \overline{g}_\varepsilon(t) \, dt - \int_x^L \overline{g}_\varepsilon(t) \, dt \right] - \frac{1}{12} \frac{P_L - P_0}{L} \right\} \mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2} \, \overline{g}_\varepsilon \, \mathbf{j}
$$
\n
$$
p = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \left\{ 12 \left[ \int_x^L (x - t) \overline{g}_\varepsilon(t) dt + \left( 1 - \frac{x}{L} \right) \int_0^L t \overline{g}_\varepsilon(t) dt \right] \right\} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left\{ P_0 + (P_L - P_0) \frac{x}{L} \right\} .
$$
\n(3.1)

The above effective model is justified in the sequel through two steps.

#### **4. Injection of order** *ε*

In this section, we study the case of the weaker injection through the permeable boundary. More precisely, denoting the standard Cartesian basis by (**i**, **j**), we assume that  $\mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon} = g^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{j}$ , where  $g^{\varepsilon} \in H_0^1(0, L)$  is such that

$$
|g^{\varepsilon}|_{L^{\infty}(0,L)} \leq C, \left| \frac{dg^{\varepsilon}}{dx} \right|_{L^{2}(0,L)} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}, \tag{4.1}
$$

with constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Furthermore, we assume that

$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon} \to g \quad \text{weak}^* \text{ in } \mathcal{M}(0, L). \tag{4.2}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Remark 1.** Let us give three examples of such functions  $g^{\varepsilon}$ .

The simplest example is a single function, independent on  $\varepsilon$ , multiplied by  $\varepsilon$ , i.e.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
g^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon g,
$$

with  $g \in H_0^1(0, L)$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

The second example is given by  $g^{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon g(x, x/\varepsilon)$ , where  $t \mapsto g(x, t)$  is a smooth periodic function, with period 1, such that  $g(0, 0) = g(1, 1/\varepsilon) = 0$ . For example, the lower boundary could be porous, with periodically distributed holes, as in the Figure [2](#page-2-1) below.

The aim of this paper is to rigorously derive the effective model describing the fluid flow in  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ described by  $(2.4)$ – $(2.7)$ . To begin with, we introduce the modified pressure as

$$
q^{\varepsilon} = p^{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left( P^0 + \frac{x}{L} (P_L - P_0) \right). \tag{4.3}
$$

Now  $(\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}, q^{\varepsilon})$  satisfy the system:

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
-\Delta \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} + \nabla q^{\varepsilon} = \frac{P_0 - P_L}{\varepsilon^2 L} \mathbf{i} , \text{ div } \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon},
$$
 (4.4)

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma, \tag{4.5}
$$

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_{\varepsilon},\tag{4.6}
$$

$$
u_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \ q^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ for } x = 0, L. \tag{4.7}
$$

### <span id="page-3-1"></span>*4.1 A priori estimates*

Before we proceed, we recall that the constants in the Poincare, Sobolev and Nečas inequalities in thin domain depend on its thickness in the following way:

**Lemma 1.** *There exists a constant*  $C > 0$  *independent of*  $\varepsilon$ , *such that for any*  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$  *satisfying*  $\phi(1, y) = 0$  and any  $\varphi \in L_0^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$  the following estimate hold:

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
|\phi|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \le C \, \varepsilon \, |\nabla \phi|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \,, \tag{4.8}
$$

$$
|\phi|_{L^4(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \, |\nabla \phi|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})},\tag{4.9}
$$

$$
|\varphi|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq C \, \varepsilon^{-1} \, |\nabla \varphi|_{H^{-1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \,. \tag{4.10}
$$

<span id="page-3-5"></span><span id="page-3-3"></span>For the proofs, we refer the reader to [\[12\]](#page-19-17), Lemmas 8, 9 and 11. We continue by deriving the a priori estimates:

<span id="page-3-6"></span>**Theorem 1.** Let  $(\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}, q^{\varepsilon})$  be the solution to the Navier-Stokes system  $(4.4)$ – $(4.7)$ *. There exists a constant C* > 0*, independent of* ε*, such that*

$$
|\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}},\tag{4.11}
$$

$$
|\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon},\tag{4.12}
$$

$$
|\nabla q^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}},\tag{4.13}
$$

$$
|q^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon}}.\tag{4.14}
$$

<span id="page-3-4"></span>**Proof.** First, we need to lift the non-homogeneous boundary condition  $\mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon}$ . For that purpose, we construct the function

$$
\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}\left(x,\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) = \left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}z'\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)\int_0^x g^{\varepsilon}(s)\,ds\,,\,z\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)\,g^{\varepsilon}(x)\right),
$$

where the function  $\zeta$  is chosen as

$$
z(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \xi + \cos \pi \xi + \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \xi - \frac{1}{2} \sin \pi \xi \right)
$$

such that

$$
z(1) = z'(1) = z'(0) = 0, \ z(0) = 1.
$$

Furthermore,

$$
|z|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})} \leq \frac{6}{5}.
$$

We denote by  $\xi = \frac{y}{\varepsilon}$  the dilated variable. Now,

$$
\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}(0,\xi) = \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}(x,1) = 0, \ \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}(1,\xi) \times \mathbf{i} = 0, \ \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}(x,0) = g^{\varepsilon}(x)\mathbf{j}.
$$
 (4.15)

Obviously,

$$
\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon} \text{ on } \Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{I},\tag{4.16}
$$

$$
\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{N},\tag{4.17}
$$

$$
\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{i} = 0 \text{ for } x = 0, L,
$$
\n(4.18)

$$
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon} \tag{4.19}
$$

$$
|\mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq C,\tag{4.20}
$$

$$
|\nabla \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.
$$
\n(4.21)

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>Testing the Equation [\(4.4\)](#page-3-0) with  $\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}$  gives

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}|^2 = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon} + \frac{P_0 - P_L}{\varepsilon^2 L} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathbf{i}.
$$
 (4.22)

Using the estimates [\(4.20\)](#page-4-0), [\(4.21\)](#page-4-1) and the Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities [\(4.8\)](#page-3-2), [\(4.9\)](#page-3-3), we get

$$
\frac{P_0 - P_L}{\varepsilon^2 L} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})},
$$
  

$$
\frac{P_0 - P_L}{\varepsilon^2 L} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon},
$$
  

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})},
$$

implying

$$
|\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}},
$$
  

$$
|\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq C \sqrt{\varepsilon}.
$$

Next we take  $\mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^2$  and use  $\mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon}(x, y) = \mathbf{w}\left(x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)$  as a test function in [\(4.4\)](#page-3-0). Using [\(4.8\)](#page-3-2), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} p^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon} - \frac{P_0 - P_L}{\varepsilon^2 L} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{i} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{4.23}
$$

leading to [\(4.13\)](#page-3-4). Finally, the Nečas inequality [\(4.10\)](#page-3-5) implies

$$
|q^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon}}.
$$

### *4.2 Convergence*

We recall the definition of the two-scale convergence for thin domains from [\[12\]](#page-19-17):

We say that the sequence  $\{v^{\varepsilon}\}, v^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  converges two-scale in  $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  to some  $V \in L^2(\Omega)$  if for any  $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ 

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v^{\varepsilon}(x, y) \, \phi\left(x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \, dx dy = \int_{\Omega} V(x, \xi) \, \phi(x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi.
$$

We also need the two-scale convergence in  $W'(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ , the dual space of

$$
W(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) = \{ \psi \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \; ; \, \psi(x,0) = \psi(x,\varepsilon) = 0 \},
$$

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956792524000123>Published online by Cambridge University Press

for the pressure gradient. Denoting

$$
W(\Omega) = \{ \psi \in H^{1}(\Omega) ; \psi(x, 0) = \psi(x, 1) = 0 \}
$$

and by  $W'(\Omega)$  its dual, following again [\[12\]](#page-19-17), we say that the sequence  $\{\varphi^{\varepsilon}\}, \varphi^{\varepsilon} \in W'(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  converges two-scale in  $W'(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  to some  $\Phi \in W'(\Omega)$  if for any  $\psi \in W(\Omega)$ 

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\langle \varphi^{\varepsilon}(x, y) \middle| \psi \left( x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\rangle_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} = \langle \Phi(x, \xi) \mid \psi(x, \xi) \rangle_{\Omega}.
$$

Here, the brackets  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}$  and  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\Omega}$  denote the duality between  $W'(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  and  $W(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  i.e.  $W'(\Omega)$  and  $W(\Omega)$ , respectively.

The main result of this chapter can be formulated as follows:

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Theorem 2.** Let  $(\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}, p^{\varepsilon})$  be the solution to the problem  $(2.4)$ – $(2.7)$ *, then* 

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \to \mathbf{U} \text{ two-scale in } L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \tag{4.24}
$$

$$
\varepsilon^2 p^{\varepsilon} \to P \text{ two-scale in } L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,
$$
\n(4.25)

*where*  $U = U_1$  **i**, *P satisfy the Hagen-Poiseuille law* 

$$
U_1(x,\xi) = \frac{\xi}{2}(\xi - 1) P'(x).
$$
 (4.26)

*The mean velocity*

$$
\mathbf{v} = v \,\mathbf{i} \tag{4.27}
$$

$$
v(x) = \int_0^1 U_1(x, \xi) d\xi = -\frac{1}{12} P'(x)
$$
 (4.28)

*is not divergence free, but*

$$
\frac{d}{dx}\left(\int_0^1 U_1(x,\xi)\,d\xi\right) = g(x). \tag{4.29}
$$

*The effective pressure P is not linear, like in the standard Hagen-Poiseuille case, but satisfies the boundary-value problem*

$$
P'' = -12 g, \ P(0) = P_0, \ P(L) = P_L,
$$
\n(4.30)

*which has a unique solution of the form*

$$
P = P_0 + (P_L - P_0)\frac{x}{L} + 12\left[\int_x^L (x - t)g(t)dt + \left(1 - \frac{x}{L}\right)\int_0^L tg(t)dt\right].
$$
 (4.31)

**Proof.** Using the a priori estimates from Theorem [1,](#page-3-6) we deduce from the two-scale compactness the-orem (see [\[12\]](#page-19-17), Theorem [1\)](#page-3-6) that there exists  $\mathbf{U} \in (Y^2)^2$ ,  $Y^2 = \left\{ \phi \in L^2(\Omega) \, ; \, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}$  and  $Q \in L^2(\Omega)$ , such that (up to a subsequence)

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \to \mathbf{U} \text{ two-scale in } L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \tag{4.32}
$$

$$
\varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \to \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} \text{ two-scale in } L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \tag{4.33}
$$

$$
\varepsilon^2 q^{\varepsilon} \to Q \text{ two-scale in } L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \tag{4.34}
$$

$$
\varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial q^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} \to \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \quad \text{two-scale in } W'(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \,. \tag{4.35}
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>Our goal is to identify the limits (**U**, *Q*). For  $\mathbf{w} \in H^1(\Omega)$ , we put  $\mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon}(x, y) = \mathbf{w}(x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon})$  and then

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial y} \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon} = - \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial y} - \int_{\Gamma} g^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{w}(x, 0).
$$

For the left-hand side, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial y} \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial y} \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon} \to \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi) \mathbf{w}(x, \xi) dx d\xi.
$$

For the right-hand side, we deduce

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial y} \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \xi} \left( x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \to \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \xi} (x, \xi) \mathbf{U}(x, \xi) dx d\xi,
$$
  

$$
\int_{\Gamma} g^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{w}(x, 0) \to 0.
$$

Thus,

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \xi}(x,\xi) \, \mathbf{w}(x,\xi) \, dx d\xi = -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \xi}(x,\xi) \, \mathbf{U}(x,\xi) \, dx d\xi
$$

implying that

$$
U(x, 0) = U(x, 1) = 0.
$$
\n(4.36)

Next, since  $\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}$  is divergence free, we get for  $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\phi^{\varepsilon}(x, y) = \phi(x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon})$ 

$$
0=\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}\,\phi^{\varepsilon}.
$$

Now,

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \phi^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \phi^{\varepsilon} \to \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial \xi} \phi
$$

implying that  $\frac{\partial U_2}{\partial \xi} = 0$ . Combined with [\(4.36\)](#page-6-0), it leads to conclusion that  $U_2 = 0$ . Taking, instead, the test function  $\phi = \phi(x)$ , such that  $\phi(0) = \phi(L) = 0$ , gives

$$
0 = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon} \phi^{\varepsilon} = - \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{u}_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{d\phi}{dx} - \int_{\Gamma} g^{\varepsilon} \phi
$$

leading to

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} u_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{d\phi}{dx} = - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g^{\varepsilon} \phi \to \int_0^L g \phi.
$$

Since

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} u_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{d\phi}{dx} \to \int_{\Omega} U_1 \cdot \frac{d\phi}{dx} = \int_0^L \left( \int_0^1 U_1(x, \xi) \, d\xi \right) \phi'(x) \, dx,
$$

we conclude that

$$
\frac{d}{dx}\left(\int_0^1 U_1(x,\xi)\,d\xi\right) = g(x). \tag{4.37}
$$

So far, we did not use the momentum equation. Let  $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)^2$  and let  $\phi^{\varepsilon}$  be defined as above. Testing [\(4.4\)](#page-3-0) by  $\varepsilon^2 \phi^\varepsilon$ **j** gives

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{2}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \phi^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{2} q^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \left( x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) \to \int_{\Omega} Q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}
$$
(4.38)

At the same time,

$$
\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_2^{\varepsilon} \nabla \phi^{\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon \to 0.
$$

Therefore,  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi} = 0$  so that  $Q = Q(x)$ .

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956792524000123>Published online by Cambridge University Press

At this point, we use [\(4.35\)](#page-5-1) and take the test function  $\phi \in W(\Omega)$ . Then,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\left\langle \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \middle| \psi \right\rangle_{\Omega} \leftarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\langle \varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial q^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} \middle| \psi \right\rangle_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^2 q^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \to -\int_{\Omega} Q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
$$

implying that

$$
Q(0) = Q(1) = 0 \tag{4.39}
$$

in the weak sense. Testing  $(4.4)$  by  $\varepsilon \phi^{\varepsilon}$  **i** gives

$$
\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_1^{\varepsilon} \nabla \phi^{\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon q^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \phi^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} + \frac{P_0 - P_L}{\varepsilon L} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \phi^{\varepsilon} \to
$$

$$
\to \int_{\Omega} Q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \frac{P_0 - P_L}{L} \int_{\Omega} \phi
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_1^{\varepsilon} \nabla \phi^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_1^{\varepsilon}}{\partial y}(x, y) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \left( x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_1^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \to \to \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} .
$$

Combining the above and defining

$$
P(x) = Q(x) - \left(P_0 + \frac{P_L - P_0}{L}x\right)
$$

leads to

$$
\frac{\partial^2 U_1}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{dP}{dx}(x), \ \ U_1(x, 0) = U_1(x, 1) = 0.
$$

That is a boundary-value problem for  $\xi \mapsto U_1(x, \xi)$ , with x being just a parameter. Since the equation is linear, it has a unique solution

$$
U_1(x,\xi) = \frac{\xi}{2} (\xi - 1) P'(x).
$$
 (4.40)

Thus, the mean velocity

$$
v(x) = \int_0^1 U_1(x, \xi) d\xi = -\frac{1}{12} P'(x)
$$
\n(4.41)

and  $(4.37)$ , combined with  $(4.39)$ , gives

$$
P'' = -12 g, \ P(0) = P_0, \ P(L) = P_L.
$$
\n(4.42)

It has a unique solution of the form

$$
P = P_0 + (P_L - P_0)\frac{x}{L} + 12 \left[ \int_x^L (x - t)g(t)dt + \left(1 - \frac{x}{L}\right) \int_0^L tg(t)dt \right]
$$
(4.43)

concluding the proof.

#### **5. Injection of order 1**

If we assume that the boundary injection  $g^{\epsilon}$  is stronger, the weak and the two-scale convergence appear to be insufficient. We still get the effective model, but using the asymptotic expansions and the appropriate error estimates.

Now, we do not make any assumption on the weaker magnitude of  $g^{\varepsilon}$  as we did in the previous section, where the convergence [\(4.2\)](#page-2-2) was assumed. We start with the standard technique of changing the variable to have the domain independent on  $\varepsilon$  and deriving the asymptotic expansion for the solution (see e.g. [\[10\]](#page-19-11), [\[13\]](#page-19-3) or [\[18\]](#page-19-4) for an introduction to such approach). To start with, we assume that  $g^{\varepsilon}$  is continuous and that it verifies the compatibility condition

$$
g^{\varepsilon}(0) = g^{\varepsilon}(L) = 0.
$$
\n(5.1)

## *5.1 Formal asymptotic expansion*

By introducing the dilated variable  $\xi = \frac{y}{\varepsilon}$  and denoting

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon}(x,\xi) = \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}(x,y), \ \ P^{\varepsilon}(x,\xi) = p^{\varepsilon}(x,y), \tag{5.2}
$$

that are now defined on

$$
\Omega = \langle 0, L \rangle \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle,
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>we transform our problem to

<span id="page-8-4"></span>
$$
-\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi^2}\right) + \frac{\partial P^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial P^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$
 (5.3)

$$
\frac{\partial U_1^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial U_2^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,
$$
\n(5.4)

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma,
$$
\n<sup>(5.5)</sup>

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma = \langle 0, L \rangle \times \{1\}, \tag{5.6}
$$

$$
U_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \ P^{\varepsilon}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} P_x \text{ for } x = 0, L,
$$
 (5.7)

<span id="page-8-9"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>Since now, integrating  $(5.4)$  and using  $(5.5)$ , we get

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\frac{d}{dx}\int_0^1 U_1^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g^\varepsilon(x),
$$

we look for asymptotic expansions of the solution in the form

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon}(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} U^{-1}(x,\xi)\,\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{U}^{0}(x,\xi) + \varepsilon \mathbf{U}^{1}(x,\xi) + \cdots
$$
 (5.8)

$$
P^{\varepsilon}(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} P^{-1}(x) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} P^0(x) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P^1(x,\xi) + \cdots
$$
 (5.9)

<span id="page-8-3"></span>Plugging the expansion [\(5.8\)](#page-8-2), [\(5.9\)](#page-8-3) in [\(5.3\)](#page-8-4), [\(5.4\)](#page-8-0) and collecting equal powers of  $\varepsilon$ , we obtain the recursive equations

<span id="page-8-6"></span><span id="page-8-5"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} : \frac{\partial^2 U_1^{-1}}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^{-1}}{\partial x}, \ U_1^{-1}(x, 0) = U_1^{-1}(x, 1) = 0 \tag{5.10}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} : \frac{\partial^2 U_1^0}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^0}{\partial x};\tag{5.11}
$$

$$
U_1^0(x,0) = U_1^0(x,1) = 0
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} : \frac{\partial^2 U_2^0}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^1}{\partial \xi}, \ U_2^0(x, 0) = g^\varepsilon(x), \ U_2^0(x, 1) = 0 \tag{5.12}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} : \frac{\partial^2 U_1^1}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 U_1^{-1}}{\partial x^2}, \ U_1^1(x, 0) = U_1^1(x, 1) = 0 \tag{5.13}
$$

<span id="page-8-8"></span><span id="page-8-7"></span><https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956792524000123>Published online by Cambridge University Press

. .

<span id="page-9-6"></span><span id="page-9-5"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} : \frac{\partial^2 U_2^1}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^2}{\partial \xi}, \ U_2^1(x, 0) = U_2^1(x, 1) = 0 \tag{5.14}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} : \frac{\partial U_1^{-1}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_2^0}{\partial \xi} = 0 \tag{5.15}
$$

$$
1: \frac{\partial^2 U_1^2}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 U_1^0}{\partial x^2}, \ U_1^2(x, 0) = U_1^2(x, 1) = 0 \tag{5.16}
$$

$$
1: \frac{\partial^2 U_2^2}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^3}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial^2 U_2^0}{\partial x^2}, \ U_2^2(x, 0) = U_2^2(x, 1) = 0 \tag{5.17}
$$

$$
1: \frac{\partial U_1^0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_2^1}{\partial \xi} = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 U_1^0(x, \xi) d\xi = 0 \tag{5.18}
$$

$$
\varepsilon : \frac{\partial U_1^1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_2^2}{\partial \xi} = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 U_1^1(x, \xi) d\xi = 0 \tag{5.19}
$$

$$
E_{\rm{max}}(A_{\rm{max}}) = 1.00 \pm 0.000
$$

In general, for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ 

$$
\varepsilon^{k}: \quad \frac{\partial^{2} U_{1}^{k+2}}{\partial \xi^{2}} = \frac{\partial P^{k+2}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^{2} U_{1}^{k}}{\partial x^{2}}, \ U_{1}^{k+2}(x, 0) = U_{1}^{k+2}(x, 1) = 0 \tag{5.20}
$$

$$
\varepsilon^{k}: \quad \frac{\partial^{2} U_{2}^{k+2}}{\partial \xi^{2}} = \frac{\partial P^{k+3}}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial^{2} U_{2}^{k}}{\partial x^{2}}, \ U_{2}^{k+2}(x, 0) = U_{2}^{k+2}(x, 1) = 0 \tag{5.21}
$$

$$
\varepsilon^{k}: \frac{\partial U_{1}^{k}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_{2}^{k+1}}{\partial \xi} = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dx} \int_{0}^{1} U_{1}^{k}(x, \xi) d\xi = 0, k \ge 0.
$$
 (5.22)

From  $(5.10)$  and  $(5.11)$ , we deduce that

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
U_1^{-1}(x,\xi) = \frac{\xi}{2}(\xi - 1)\frac{dP^{-1}}{dx}(x).
$$
\n(5.23)

Integrating [\(5.15\)](#page-9-0), with respect to  $\xi$  from 0 to 1, gives

$$
\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 U_1^{-1}(x,\xi) \, d\xi = g^{\varepsilon}(x).
$$

Combining with [\(5.23\)](#page-9-1) gives

$$
\frac{1}{12}\frac{d^2P^{-1}}{dx^2}(x) = -g^{\varepsilon}(x), \ P^{-1}(0) = P^{-1}(L) = 0,
$$
\n(5.24)

<span id="page-9-7"></span>leading to

$$
P^{-1}(x) = 12 \left[ \frac{x}{L} \int_0^L (L - t) g^{\epsilon}(t) dt - \int_0^x (x - t) g^{\epsilon}(t) dt \right]
$$
 (5.25)

$$
U_1^{-1}(x,\xi) = 6\xi (\xi - 1) \left[ \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L (L - t) g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt - \int_0^x g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt \right].
$$
 (5.26)

<span id="page-9-8"></span>Going back to [\(5.15\)](#page-9-0) gives, by simple integration with respect to  $\xi$ ,

$$
U_2^0 = 6\left(\frac{\xi^3}{3} - \frac{\xi^2}{2}\right) g^{\epsilon}(x) + A(x).
$$

Using the boundary conditions,  $U_2^0(x, 0) = 0$ ,  $U_2^0(x, 1) = g^{\varepsilon}$  leads to  $A(x) = g^{\varepsilon}(x)$  and thus

$$
U_2^0(x,\xi) = (2\,\xi^3 - 3\,\xi^2 + 1) g^{\varepsilon}(x). \tag{5.27}
$$

Now that we have computed  $U_1^{-1}$  and  $U_2^0$ , we are in position to solve the Equation [\(5.11\)](#page-8-6) for  $U_1^0$ . We have

$$
\frac{\partial^2 U_1^0}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^0}{\partial x} \tag{5.28}
$$

$$
U_1^0(x,\xi) = \frac{\xi}{2}(\xi - 1)\frac{d P^0}{dx}(x).
$$
\n(5.29)

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Similarly, integrating  $(5.18)$ , with respect to  $\xi$  from 0 to 1, gives

$$
\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 U_1^0(x,\xi) \, d\xi = 0.
$$

Combining with [\(5.29\)](#page-10-0) gives

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\frac{d^2 P^0}{dx^2}(x) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
P^0(0) = P_0, \ P^0(L) = P_L.
$$
\n(5.30)

The problem [\(5.30\)](#page-10-1) has a unique solution

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
P^{0}(x) = P_{0} + \frac{x}{L} (P_{L} - P_{0})
$$
\n(5.31)

$$
U_1^0(x,\xi) = \frac{\xi}{2}(\xi - 1) \frac{P_L - P_0}{L}.
$$

It remains to satisfy  $(5.12)$  by picking an appropriate pressure  $P<sup>1</sup>$ . Since

$$
\frac{\partial^2 U_2^0}{\partial \xi^2} = 6(2\xi - 1)g^{\varepsilon}(x)
$$

the Equation  $(5.12)$  gives

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
P^{1}(x,\xi) = 6\left(\xi^{2} - \xi\right) g^{\varepsilon}(x) + b(x)_{1}.
$$
 (5.32)

At this point,  $b_1$  is an arbitrary function satisfying  $b_1(0) = b_1(L) = 0$  as we do not want is to spoil the boundary value of the pressure. If we want to determine it, we need to proceed with next recurrence equation for  $U^1$  and  $P^1$  [\(5.13\)](#page-8-8). It is easy to see that

$$
\frac{\partial^2 U_1^1}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\partial P^1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 U_1^{-1}}{\partial x^2} = b_1'(x). \tag{5.33}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 U_1^1(x, \xi) d\xi = 0.
$$
\n(5.34)

Thus  $b_1 = 0$  and  $U_1^1 = 0$ .

Furthermore, to satisfy  $(5.14)$ , it is sufficient to take

$$
U_2^1 = 0, \ P^2 = P^2(x). \tag{5.35}
$$

Next, [\(5.19\)](#page-9-4) implies that

 $U_2^2 = 0$ 

and then, from  $(5.17)$ ,

$$
\frac{\partial P^3}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial^2 U_2^0}{\partial x^2} = (2\xi^3 - 3\xi^2 + 1) \frac{d^2 g^{\epsilon}}{dx^2}.
$$

<span id="page-10-4"></span>Thus,

$$
P^3 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\xi^4 - \xi^3 + \xi\right) \frac{d^2g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^2} + b_3(x). \tag{5.36}
$$

On the other hand,  $(5.16)$  implies

$$
\frac{\partial^2 U_1^2}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{dP^2}{dx}.
$$

Due to  $(5.19)$ , we have

$$
P^2 = 0 \text{ and } U_1^2 = 0. \tag{5.37}
$$

Computation of higher-order terms is straightforward but tedious. It is easy to see by induction that  $(\mathbf{U}^k, P^k)$  have the form

$$
\mathbf{U}^{k}(x,\xi) = \mathbf{W}^{k}(\xi) \frac{d^{k} g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^{k}}(x), \quad P^{k}(x,\xi) = S_{k}(\xi) \frac{d^{k-1} g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^{k-1}}(x),
$$

but the expressions for  $S_k$  and  $\mathbf{W}^k$  are complex. So we decide to stop here and take  $b_3 = 0$ .

Also, we should remember that  $g^{\varepsilon}$  still depends on  $\varepsilon$  in some way that has not been precised yet. We will come back to that question later. At this point, we try to leave as much freedom in choice of  $g^{\varepsilon}$  as possible.

#### *5.2 Convergence*

Recalling that

$$
U^1 = U^2 = 0, \ P^2 = 0,
$$

our approximation now reads

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} U^{-1}(x,\xi)\,\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{U}^{0}(x,\xi) \tag{5.38}
$$

$$
a^{\varepsilon}(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}P^{-1}(x) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}P^0(x) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}P^1(x,\xi) + \varepsilon P^3(x,\xi). \tag{5.39}
$$

<span id="page-11-2"></span>The choice of  $\mathbf{U}^j$ ,  $j = -1, 0$  and  $P^k$ ,  $k = -1, 0, 1, 3$  leads to

$$
-\mu \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi^2}\right) + \frac{\partial a^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial a^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{R}^{\varepsilon} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$
 (5.40)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial A_1^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial A_2^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,
$$
 (5.41)

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma,
$$
\n(5.42)

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma, \tag{5.43}
$$

$$
A_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \ \ a^{\varepsilon}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} P_x \ \text{ for } x = 0, L,
$$
 (5.44)

The reminder  $\mathbf{R}^{\varepsilon}$  has the form

$$
\mathbf{R}^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial P^3}{\partial x} = \varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{2} \xi^4 - \xi^3 + \xi \right) \frac{d^3 g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^3}.
$$
 (5.45)

At this point, we need to impose some conditions on the dependence of  $g^{\varepsilon}$  on  $\varepsilon$ . We assume that  $g^{\varepsilon}$  is of the class  $C^2$  and that

$$
g^{\varepsilon}(0) = \frac{dg^{\varepsilon}}{dx}(0) = \frac{d^{2}g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^{2}}(0) = g^{\varepsilon}(L) = \frac{dg^{\varepsilon}}{dx}(L) = \frac{d^{2}g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^{2}}(0) = 0.
$$
 (5.46)

**Remark 2.** Such situation appears if, for example:

1. If  $g^{\varepsilon}$  is a single function, independent of  $\varepsilon$ , i.e.  $g^{\varepsilon}(x) = g(x)$  belonging to  $C_0^2(0, L)$ 

2. If  $g^{\varepsilon}$  is produced by function  $g = g(x, t)$ , periodic in the second variable by

$$
g^{\varepsilon}(x) = g(x, x/\varepsilon^{\alpha}), \ \alpha < 1,
$$

with

$$
g(0, 0) = g(L, L/\varepsilon^{\alpha}) = \nabla g(0, 0) = \nabla g(L, L/\varepsilon^{\alpha}) = 0.
$$

Case  $\alpha = 1$  is different. In that case, the reminder in [\(5.40\)](#page-11-0) satisfies  $|\mathbf{R}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega)} = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$  and our approximation is not good enough to get some convergence. That is not a surprise as for  $\alpha = 1$ , we have the classical homogenisation case that requires different asymptotic expansion depending on the dilated variable  $\xi = y/\varepsilon$  and the fast variable  $t = x/\varepsilon$ . We will get back to that case later.

The main result of this chapter can be formulated as follows:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon}, P^{\varepsilon})$  be the solution to the problem  $(5.3)$ - $(5.7)$ *. If*

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^2 \left| \frac{d^2 g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^2} \right|_{L^2(0,L)} = 0,
$$

*then*

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \to 0 \text{ in } L^{2}(\Omega),\tag{5.47}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \right) \to 0 \text{ in } L^{2}(\Omega), \tag{5.48}
$$

$$
\varepsilon^2 \left( P^\varepsilon - a^\varepsilon \right) \to 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,
$$
\n
$$
(5.49)
$$

*where* **A**<sup>ε</sup> , *a*<sup>ε</sup> *are given by [\(5.38\)](#page-11-1) and [\(5.39\)](#page-11-2). If, in addition*

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \left. \left| \frac{d^2 g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^2} \right|_{L^2(0,L)} = 0,
$$

*then*

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \to 0 \text{ in } H^{1}(\Omega). \tag{5.50}
$$

*Furthermore, if, for some*  $s \in \langle 1, +\infty \rangle$ ,

 $g^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup g$  *weakly in*  $L^{s}(0, L)$ ,

then, denoting  $\langle \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{\varepsilon} \phi(x, y) dy$ , the mean value of function  $\phi$  over the cross-section of the domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ , we have the following pointwise convergences on  $[0, L]$ 

$$
\left\langle U_1^{-1}\left(x,\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)\right\rangle \to -\left[\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L (L-t)g(t) dt - \int_0^x g(t) dt\right]
$$
\n(5.51)

$$
P^{-1}(x) \to 12\left[\frac{x}{L}\int_0^L (L-t)g(t) dt - \int_0^x (x-t)g(t) dt\right]
$$
 (5.52)

$$
P^0 \to P_0 + x \, \frac{P_L - P_0}{L}.\tag{5.53}
$$

Furthermore, we have the following weak convergences in  $L^s(0,L)$ 

$$
\left\langle U_1^0 \left( x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\rangle \rightharpoonup -\frac{1}{12} \frac{P_L - P_0}{L} \tag{5.54}
$$

$$
\left\langle U_2^0 \left( x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\rangle \rightharpoonup \frac{1}{2} g(x) \ . \tag{5.55}
$$

**Proof.** We start by subtracting the Equation [\(5.40\)](#page-11-0) from [\(5.3\)](#page-8-4) and testing it by  $U^{\varepsilon} - A^{\varepsilon}$ . Now,

$$
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left(\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} =
$$
\n
$$
= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} P^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + C \varepsilon^{2} \left|\frac{d^{2} g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^{2}}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}.
$$

Thus,

$$
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \right) \right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon \left| \frac{d^{2} g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^{2}} \right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{5.56}
$$

$$
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \right) \right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \, \varepsilon^{2} \left| \frac{d^{2} g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^{2}} \right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{5.57}
$$

$$
|\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon^{2} \left. \left| \frac{d^{2}g^{\varepsilon}}{dx^{2}} \right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right|.
$$
 (5.58)

The rest of the proof is straightforward.

**Remark 3** (*On the Navier-Stokes case*). If an inertial term is added to the Stokes system of the form

$$
Re\,(\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}+\nabla\,)\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}
$$

then inertial terms appear in the approximation. More precisely, *U*<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> and *P*<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> remain the same, but

$$
P^{0}(x) = \left[P_{0} + \frac{x}{L}(P_{L} - P_{0})\right] +
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{297}{35} \operatorname{Re} \left\{\int_{0}^{x} g^{\varepsilon}(s) \left[\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} (L - t)g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt - \int_{0}^{s} g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt\right] ds -
$$
  
- 
$$
\frac{x}{L} \int_{0}^{L} g^{\varepsilon}(s) \left[\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} (L - t)g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt - \int_{0}^{s} g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt\right] ds\right\}
$$
  

$$
U_{1}^{0}(x, \xi) = \left[\frac{\xi}{2}(\xi - 1) \frac{P_{L} - P_{0}}{L}\right] - \operatorname{Re} \left\{g^{\varepsilon}(x) \left[\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} (L - t)g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt -
$$
  
- 
$$
\int_{0}^{x} g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt\right] \left(\xi^{6} - 6\xi^{5} + \frac{9}{2}\xi^{4} + 2\xi^{3} - \frac{507\xi^{2}}{70} + \frac{131}{35}\xi\right) +
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{297}{70 L}\xi(\xi - 1) \int_{0}^{L} g^{\varepsilon}(s) \left[\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} (L - t)g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt - \int_{0}^{s} g^{\varepsilon}(t) dt\right] ds\right\}
$$

The rigorous justification of such asymptotic expansion is, however, another matter, and it seems that some assumptions on the magnitude of the Reynolds number *Re* are needed, just as they are for the existence and uniqueness of the solution for such Navier-Stokes system.

### **6. Homogenization case**

In the last part, we treat the case of the injection function  $g^{\varepsilon}$  of the form

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
g^{\varepsilon}(x) = g\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{6.1}
$$

.

where  $g(x, t)$  is a smooth  $C^2([0, L] \times [0, 1])$  function, such that

$$
g(0, t) = g(L, t) = 0
$$
\n(6.2)

$$
t \mapsto g(x, t) \text{ is 1-periodic} \tag{6.3}
$$

$$
\varepsilon = L/m, \ m \in \mathbb{N}.\tag{6.4}
$$

<span id="page-14-4"></span>To apply the classical homogenisation approach using the two-scale expansions, we need an injection with zero mean value, and, in our case

$$
\overline{g}(x) = \int_0^1 g(x, t) dt
$$

is not assumed to be zero. We can decompose  $g^{\varepsilon}$  as

$$
g^{\varepsilon}(x) = \overline{g}(x) + \left[ g\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) - \overline{g}(x) \right].
$$

The first part is independent on  $\varepsilon$ , and the results from the previous chapter apply. The second part has zero mean value.

Thus,

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon}=\mathbf{V}^{\varepsilon}+\mathbf{W}^{\varepsilon},\ \ P^{\varepsilon}=H^{\varepsilon}+M^{\varepsilon},
$$

<span id="page-14-0"></span>where

$$
-\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi^2}\right) + \frac{\partial H^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial H^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$
 (6.5)

$$
\frac{\partial V_1^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial V_2^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,
$$
\n(6.6)

$$
\mathbf{V}^{\varepsilon} = \overline{g} \mathbf{j} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma, \tag{6.7}
$$

$$
\mathbf{V}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma = \langle 0, L \rangle \times \{1\}, \tag{6.8}
$$

$$
V_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \ P^{\varepsilon}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} P_x \text{ for } x = 0, L,
$$
 (6.9)

<span id="page-14-2"></span><span id="page-14-1"></span>and

$$
-\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi^2}\right) + \frac{\partial M^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial M^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$
 (6.10)

$$
\frac{\partial W_1^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial W_2^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{6.11}
$$

$$
\mathbf{W}^{\varepsilon} = (g^{\varepsilon} - \overline{g}) \mathbf{j} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma,
$$
 (6.12)

 $W^{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ , (6.13)

$$
W_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad M^{\varepsilon}(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{for } x = 0, L,\tag{6.14}
$$

<span id="page-14-3"></span>The results from the previous chapter apply to  $(6.5)-(6.9)$  $(6.5)-(6.9)$  $(6.5)-(6.9)$  and we can conclude that

$$
\mathbf{V}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \to 0 \text{ in } H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{6.15}
$$

$$
\varepsilon^2 \left( H^\varepsilon - a^\varepsilon \right) \to 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,
$$
\n
$$
(6.16)
$$

where  $(A^{\varepsilon}, a^{\varepsilon})$  are given by ([5.38\)](#page-11-1) and [\(5.39\)](#page-11-2) and [\(5.25\)](#page-9-7), [\(5.26\)](#page-9-8), [\(5.31\)](#page-10-2), [\(5.32\)](#page-10-3) and [\(5.36\)](#page-10-4), with *g*<sup>*s*</sup> replaced by  $\overline{g}$ . It remains to study [\(6.10\)](#page-14-2)-[\(6.14\)](#page-14-3).

## *6.1 Two-scale expansion*

In this section, we study the asymptotic analysis of the problem  $(6.10)-(6.14)$  $(6.10)-(6.14)$  $(6.10)-(6.14)$  using the two-scale asymptotic expansion of the form

$$
\mathbf{W}^{\varepsilon} \approx \mathbf{W}^{0}\left(x, \xi, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}^{1}\left(x, \xi, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \cdots
$$
 (6.17)

$$
M^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} M^0 \left( x, \xi, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) + M^1 \left( x, \xi, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) + \cdots
$$
 (6.18)

<span id="page-15-3"></span>Denoting  $t = x/\varepsilon$ , substituting in [\(6.10\)](#page-14-2)-[\(6.14\)](#page-14-3) and collecting equal powers of  $\varepsilon$ , leads to a sequence of auxiliary boundary-value problems posed on a unit square  $Y = \langle 0, 1 \rangle^2$ :

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^0}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^0}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial M^0}{\partial t} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial M^0}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} = 0 \text{ in } Y \tag{6.19}
$$

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad \frac{\partial W_1^0}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial W_2^0}{\partial \xi} = 0 \text{ in } Y \tag{6.20}
$$

 $(\mathbf{W}^0, M^0)$  is 1-periodic in *t* (6.21)

$$
\mathbf{W}^0 = \left[g(x, t) - \overline{g}(x)\right] \mathbf{j} \text{ for } \xi = 0 \tag{6.22}
$$

$$
W^0 = 0 \text{ for } \xi = 1 \tag{6.23}
$$

<span id="page-15-1"></span>That is a Stokes system and, due to the fact that

$$
\int_0^1 \left[ g(x, t) - \overline{g}(x) \right] dt = 0, \ 0 < x < L \,,
$$

we have:

**Proposition 1.** *The problem [\(6.19\)](#page-15-0)–[\(6.23\)](#page-15-1) has a unique solution*

$$
(\mathbf{W}^0, M^0) \in V \times L^2(Y) \backslash \mathbf{R},
$$

*with*

$$
V = \{ \mathbf{Z} \in H^1(Y)^2 \; ; \mathbf{Z} \text{ is } 1\text{-periodic in } t \; , \; \mathbf{Z} = 0 \text{ for } \xi = 1 \}.
$$

*Furthermore,*

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
\int_0^1 W_1^0(x, t, \xi) dt = 0 \implies \int_Y W_1^0(x, t, \xi) dt d\xi = 0, x \in [0, L] .
$$
 (6.24)

<span id="page-15-4"></span>*and*

<span id="page-15-5"></span>
$$
\int_0^1 W_2^0(x, t, \xi) dt = 0 \implies \int_Y W_2^0(x, t, \xi) d\xi dt = 0, x \in [0, L] .
$$
 (6.25)

*Finally, assuming that*

$$
\int_{Y} M^0 dt d\xi = 0 \tag{6.26}
$$

<span id="page-15-6"></span>*implies*

$$
\int_{Y} M^{0}(x, t, \xi) dt = 0, x \in [0, L], \xi \in [0, 1].
$$
\n(6.27)

*Finally, for*  $x = 0, L$ *, the solution of*  $(6.19)$ – $(6.23)$  *is trivial, i.e.* 

$$
\mathbf{W}^0(0, t, \xi) = \mathbf{W}^0(L, t, \xi) = 0, \quad M^0(0, t, \xi) = M^0(L, t, \xi) = 0.
$$
\n(6.28)

**Proof.** It is a linear Stokes system, and its existence is straightforward consequence of the Lax and Milgram theorem. The solution is, in fact, smooth, i.e. classical, due to the standard regularity theory

for the Stokes system. The variables in the system are *t* and ξ , while *x* is just a parameter and the regularity with respect to  $x$  is the same as the smoothness imposed on  $g$ .

Integrating the first component of  $(6.19)$  with respect to *t* leads to

$$
\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \int_0^1 W_1^0(x, t, \xi) dt = 0 \implies \int_0^1 W_1^0(x, t, \xi) dt = A\xi + B,
$$

with *A* and *B* independent on  $\xi$ . For  $\xi = 0$  and  $\xi = 1$  we have  $W_1^0 = 0$  so that  $A = B = 0$ . Thus we have  $(6.24).$  $(6.24).$ 

Integrating [\(6.20\)](#page-15-3) with respect to *t* implies

$$
\frac{d}{d\xi} \int_0^1 W_2^0(x, t, \xi) dt = 0 \implies \int_0^1 W_2^0(x, t, \xi) dt = C.
$$

Again, *C* is independent on  $\xi$ . For  $\xi = 0, 1$ , the value of the above integral is zero, so that we have [\(6.25\)](#page-15-4). If we integrate the second component of  $(6.19)$  with respect to *t* we get

$$
\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \int_0^1 W_2^0(x, t, \xi) dt = \frac{d}{d\xi} \int_0^1 M^0(x, t, \xi) dt \implies \int_0^1 M^0(x, t, \xi) dt = C.
$$

Since the pressure  $M^0$  is determined up to a constant, the assumption  $(6.26)$  implies  $(6.27)$ .

For  $x = 0$ , *L*, the boundary values  $g(x, t)$  and  $\overline{g}(x)$  equal zero, and the solution  $(\mathbf{W}^0, M^0)$  is trivial.

We go one step forward and compute

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad -\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^1}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^1}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial M^1}{\partial t} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial M^1}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} = -2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^0}{\partial x \partial t} - \frac{\partial M^0}{\partial x} \mathbf{i}
$$
(6.29)

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
1 \quad \frac{\partial W_1^1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial W_2^1}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{\partial W_1^0}{\partial x} \text{ in } Y \tag{6.30}
$$

$$
(\mathbf{W}^1, M^1) \text{ is 1-periodic in } t \tag{6.31}
$$

$$
\mathbf{W}^1 = 0 \text{ for } \xi = 0, 1. \tag{6.32}
$$

**Proposition 2.** *Due to [\(6.24\)](#page-15-2), the problem is well posed and has a unique solution*

$$
(\mathbf{W}^1, M^1) \in V \times L^2(Y) \backslash \mathbf{R}.
$$

<span id="page-16-0"></span>*Furthermore,*

$$
\int_0^1 W_1^1(x, t, \xi) dt = 0, x \in [0, L], \xi \in [0, 1].
$$
 (6.33)

*Finally, for*  $x = 0, L$ 

$$
\mathbf{W}^1(0, t, \xi) = \mathbf{W}^1(L, t, \xi) = 0, \quad M^1(0, t, \xi) = M^1(L, t, \xi) = 0.
$$
\n(6.34)

**Proof.** We skip the existence and uniqueness proof due to its simplicity. To prove [\(6.33\)](#page-16-0), we integrate the first component of  $(6.29)$  with respect to *t*. It gives (using  $(6.27)$ )

$$
\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \int_0^1 W_1^1(x, t, \xi) dt = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^1 M^0 dt = 0.
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_0^1 W_1^1(x, t, \xi) dt = A\xi + B.
$$

Like for  $W_1^0$ , the choice  $\xi = 0$ , 1 implies  $A = B = 0$  and thus [\(6.33\)](#page-16-0).

For  $x = 0, L$ , the right-hand side of [\(6.29\)](#page-16-1) equal zero, and the solution ( $\mathbf{W}^1, M^1$ ) is trivial.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>To finish, we look at the problem for  $(\mathbf{W}^2, M^2)$  that reads

$$
1 - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial t} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} = \tag{6.35}
$$

$$
= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^0}{\partial x^2} - 2\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^1}{\partial x \partial t} - \frac{\partial M^1}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} \text{ in } Y \tag{6.36}
$$

$$
\varepsilon \quad \frac{\partial W_1^2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial W_2^2}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{\partial W_1^1}{\partial x} \text{ in } Y \tag{6.37}
$$

$$
(\mathbf{W}^2, M^2) \text{ is 1-periodic in } t \tag{6.38}
$$

$$
\mathbf{W}^2 = 0 \text{ for } \xi = 0, 1. \tag{6.39}
$$

We can now prove the error estimate. Let

$$
\mathbf{E}^{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{W}^{0} + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}^{1} + \varepsilon^{2} \mathbf{W}^{2}
$$

$$
e^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} M^{0} + M^{1} + \varepsilon M^{2}.
$$

Now,

$$
-\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}^s}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}^s}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial e^{\epsilon}}{\partial t} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial e^{\epsilon}}{\partial \xi} \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{R}^s
$$
 (6.40)

$$
\frac{\partial E_1^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial E_2^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi} = -\varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial W_1^2}{\partial x} \text{ in } Y \tag{6.41}
$$

$$
(\mathbf{E}^{\varepsilon}, e^{\varepsilon}) \text{ is 1-periodic in } t \tag{6.42}
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}^{\varepsilon} = g - \overline{g} \text{ for } \xi = 0 \tag{6.43}
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ for } \xi = 1,\tag{6.44}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{R}^{\varepsilon} = -\varepsilon \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^1}{\partial x^2} - 2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^2}{\partial x \partial t} - \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} \right) - \varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^2}{\partial x^2}.
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\mathbf{R}^{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \,\varepsilon. \tag{6.45}
$$

Standard a priori estimate for the Stokes system leads to

$$
|\mathbf{W}^{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{E}^{\varepsilon}|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \, \varepsilon \tag{6.46}
$$

$$
|M^{\varepsilon} - e^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C \varepsilon. \tag{6.47}
$$

If we put this all together, we end up with:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon}, P^{\varepsilon})$  be the solution to the problem  $(5.3)$ – $(5.7)$  with  $g^{\varepsilon}$  satisfying  $(6.1)$ – $(6.4)$ *. Let* 

$$
\overline{g}(x) = \int_0^1 g(x, t) dt
$$

*and let*

$$
\mathbf{V}^{-1} = 6\xi (\xi - 1) \left[ \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L (L - t) \overline{g}(t) dt - \int_0^x \overline{g}(t) dt \right] \mathbf{i}
$$
 (6.48)

$$
\mathbf{V}^0 = \frac{\xi}{2} (\xi - 1) \frac{P_L - P_0}{L} \mathbf{i} + (2 \xi^3 - 3 \xi^2 + 1) \overline{g}(x) \mathbf{j}
$$
(6.49)

$$
H^{-1} = 12 \left[ \frac{x}{L} \int_0^L (L - t) \overline{g}(t) dt - \int_0^x (x - t) \overline{g}(t) dt \right]
$$
 (6.50)

$$
H^0 = P_0 + \frac{x}{L} (P_L - P_0).
$$
 (6.51)

*For*  $(W^0, M^0)$ *, the solution to the auxiliary problem*  $(6.19)$ – $(6.23)$  and  $(W^1, M^1)$ *, the solution to the auxiliary problem [\(6.29\)](#page-16-1)–[\(6.36\)](#page-17-0), denoting*

$$
\mathbf{W}_{\varepsilon}^{k}(x,\xi) = \mathbf{W}^{k}(x,\xi,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}), \ k = 0, 1,
$$

*the following convergence holds*

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left| \mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{V}^{-1} + \mathbf{V}^{0} + \mathbf{W}_{\varepsilon}^{0} + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}_{\varepsilon}^{1} \right) \right|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} = 0 \tag{6.52}
$$

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^2 \left| P^{\varepsilon} - \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} H^{-1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} H^0 \right) \right|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0. \tag{6.53}
$$

*Furthermore,*

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon} - \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{V}^{-1} + \mathbf{V}^{0}\right) \to 0 \text{ weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega).
$$

## **7. Conclusion**

We have studied the asymptotic behaviour of the viscous fluid flow through a thin domain, with thickness  $\varepsilon$ , governed by the pressure drop between the ends of the domain and the injection of the fluid through the permeable bottom of the domain. The effective mean velocity and pressure of the fluid do not obey the standard Hagen-Poiseuille law. There is an additional term coming from the boundary injection and the mean flow is not incompressible, due to the boundary source.

As in the case of the classical Hagen-Poiseuille flow, we have assumed that the pressures on the sides of the domain are of the order  $\varepsilon^{-2}$  and are given by two constants  $P_0$  and  $P_L$ .

In the first chapter of the paper, we have assumed that the injection velocity through the permeable boundary has the magnitude of order  $\varepsilon$ . Denoting by *g* the mean boundary injection velocity, we have obtained an effective velocity of the form

$$
\mathbf{v} = \left[ -\frac{1}{12} \frac{P_L - P_0}{L} + \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L t \, g(t) \, dt - \int_x^L g(t) \, dt \right] \, \mathbf{i} \, ,
$$

while the effective pressure is of the form

$$
p = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left\{ P_0 + (P_L - P_0) \frac{x}{L} + 12 \left[ \int_x^L (x - t) g(t) dt + \left( 1 - \frac{x}{L} \right) \int_0^L t g(t) dt \right] \right\}.
$$

In the second part of the paper, the boundary injection velocity is of order 1 and the effective velocity has the form

$$
\mathbf{v} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L t \, g(t) \, dt - \int_x^L g(t) \, dt \right] - \frac{1}{12} \, \frac{P_L - P_0}{L} \right\} \mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2} \, g \, \mathbf{j}.
$$

If the inertial effects are taken into account, we get in addition

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\text{inert}} = \frac{297}{420} \text{ Re } g(x) \left[ \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L (L - t) g(t) dt - \int_0^x g(t) dt \right] \bigg\} \mathbf{i}.
$$

The effective pressure is

$$
p = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \left\{ 12 \left[ \int_x^L (x - t)g(t)dt + \left( 1 - \frac{x}{L} \right) \int_0^L t g(t)dt \right] \right\} +
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left\{ P_0 + (P_L - P_0) \frac{x}{L} \right\}.
$$

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956792524000123>Published online by Cambridge University Press

Again, if the inertial term is taken into account (significant Reynolds number), then the pressure correction appears of the form

$$
p_{\text{inert}} = \frac{297}{35} \text{ Re } \left\{ \frac{1-x}{L} \int_0^L (L-t)g(t)dt - \int_0^x g(t)dt + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \int_0^x g(t)dt \right)^2 - \frac{x}{L} \left( \int_0^L g(t)dt \right)^2 \right] \right\}
$$

Thus, in the second case, the boundary injection dominates the flow. The Hagen-Poiseuille part remains there, but it has smaller magnitude. Apart from the standard flow along the pipe, a transversal flow appears and equals half of the mean boundary injection velocity.

Finally, if the boundary injection is oscillating with the period having the same order as the domain thickness,  $g^{\varepsilon}(x) = g(x, x/\varepsilon)$ , we are in the homogenisation case. Basically, the result is the same as in the previous case, with correctors for those small oscillations of the flow. However, the mean values of those correctors are zero and they disappear in the weak limit.

**Acknowledgements.** The first author has been supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project AsyAn (IP-2022- 10-1091). The second author has been supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project MultiFM (IP-2019-04- 1140).

**Competing interests.** There is no competing interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-19-9"></span>[1] Bayada, G. & Chambat, M. (1986) The transition between the Stokes equations and the Reynolds equation: A mathematical proof. *Appl. Math. Opt.* **14**(1), 73–93.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>[2] Beneš, M. & Pažanin, I. (2016) Effective flow of incompressible micropolar fluid through a system of thin pipes. *Acta Appl. Math.* **143**(1), 29–43.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>[3] Blanc, F., Gipouloux, O., Panasenko, G. & Zine, A. M.(1999) Asymptotic analysis and partial asymptotic decomposition of the domain for Stokes equation in tube structure. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **9**(9), 1351–1378.
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>[4] Bresch, D., Choquet, C., Chupin, L., Colin, T. & Gisclon, M. (2010) Roughness-induced effect at main order on the Reynolds approximation. *SIAM Multiscale Model. Simul*. **8**(3), 997–1017.
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>[5] Carraro, T., Goll, C., Marciniak-Czochra, A. & Mikelić, A. (2015) Effective interface conditions for the forced infiltration of a viscous fluid into a porous medium using homogenization. *Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng.* **292**, 195–220.
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>[6] Carraro, T., Goll, C., Marciniak-Czochra, A. & Mikelić, A. (2013) Pressure jump interface law for the Stokes-Darcy coupling: Confirmation by direct numerical simulations. *J. Fluid Mech.* **732**, 510–536.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>[7] Marciniak-Czochra, A. & Mikelić, A. (2012) Effective pressure interface law for transport phenomena between an unconfined fluid and a porous medium using homogenization. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* 10(2), 285–305.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[8] Dupuy, D., Panasenko, G. & Stavre, R. (2004) Asymptotic methods for micropolar fluids in a tube structure. *Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci*. **5**(14), 735–758.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[9] Dupuy, D., Panasenko, G. & Stavre, R. (2008) Asymptotic solution for a micropolar flow in a curvilinear channel. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **88**(10), 793–807.
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>[10] Duvnjak, A. & Marušić-Paloka, E.(2000) Derivation of the Reynolds equation for lubrication of a rotating shaft. *Arch. Math*. **36**(4), 239–253.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[11] Hagen, G. (1839) Uber die Bewegung des Wassers in engen cylindrischen Rohren. *Ann. Phys.* **122**(3), 423–442.
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>[12] Marušić, S. & Marušić-Paloka, E.(2000) Two-scale convergence for thin domains and its applications to some lowerdimensional models in fluid mechanics. *Asymp. Anal.* **23**, 23–57.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[13] Marušić-Paloka, E. (2001) The effects of flexion and torsion on a fluid flow through a curved pipe. *Appl. Math. Opt.* **44**(3), 245–272.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>[14] Marušić-Paloka, E. (2003) Rigorous justification of the Kirchhoff law for junction of thin pipes filled with viscous fluid. *Asymp. Anal.* **33**(1), 51–66.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>[15] Marušić-Paloka, E. (2019) Effective fluid behavior in domain with rough boundary and the Darcy-Weisbach law. *SIAM J. Appl. Math*. **79**(4), 1244–1270.
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>[16] Marušić-Paloka, E. (2021) Application of very weak formulation on homogenization of boundary value problems in porous media. *Czech. Math. J.* **71**(4), 975–989.
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>[17] Marušić-Paloka, E. (2019) Mathematical modeling of junctions in fluid mechanics via two-scale convergence. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **480**(1), 1–32.
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>[18] Marušić-Paloka, E. & Pažanin, I. (2007) Fluid flow through a helical pipe. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **58**(1), 81–99.
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>[19] Marušić-Paloka, E. & Pažanin, I. (2021) I.Pažanin, Rigorous justification of the effective boundary condition on a porous wall via homogenization. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **72**, 1–22.
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[20] Marušić-Paloka, E. & Pažanin, I. (2022) The effective boundary condition on a porous wall. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* **173**, 1–12.
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[21] Marušić-Paloka, E. & Pažanin, I.(2020) Effects of boundary roughness and inertia on the fluid flow through a corrugated pipe and the formula for the Darcy-Weisbach friction coefficient. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* **152**, 103293, 1–13.
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>[22] Marušić-Paloka, E. & Starčević, M.(2015) High-order approximations for an incompressible viscous flow on a rough boundary. *Appl. Anal.* **95**(7), 1305–1333.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[23] Nazarov, S. A. & Pileckas, K. (1991) The Reynolds flow in a thin three-dimensional channel. *Lith. Math. J.* **30**, 366–375.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[24] Panasenko, G. & Pileckas, K.(2012) Asymptotic analysis of the nonsteady viscous fow with a give flow rate in a thin pipe. *Appl. Anal.* **91**, 559–574.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[25] Panasenko, G. & Pileckas, K.(2015) Asymptotic analysis of the non-steady Navier-Stokes equations in a tube structure I. The case without boundary-layer-in-time. *Nonlinear Anal*. **122**, 125–168.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[26] Panasenko, G. & Pileckas, K.(2015) Asymptotic analysis of the non-steady Navier-Stokes equations in a tube structure II. General case. *Nonlinear Anal*. **125**, 582–607.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[27] Poiseuille, J. L. M.(1840) Recherches experiméntales sur Ie mouvement des liquides dans les tubes de très petits diamètres. *Comptes. rendus des séances de l'Académie des Sci*. *t.* **11**, 1041–1048.
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>[28] Reynolds, O. (1886) On the theory of lubrication and its application to Mr. Beauchamp tower's experiments, including an experimental determination of the viscosity of olive oil. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* **177**, 157–234.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[29] Rose, H. & Ince, S. (1963). History of Hydraulics. Dover.

**Cite this article:** Marušić-Paloka E. and Pažanin I. (2024). Modelling of the fluid flow in a thin domain with injection through permeable boundary. *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **35**, 776–796. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956792524000123>