J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (2025), 1–2 doi:10.1017/S1474748024000434

ERRATUM TO THE PAPER 'BREUIL-KISIN-FARGUES MODULES WITH COMPLEX MULTIPLICATION'

JOHANNES ANSCHÜTZ

Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms Universitat Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Endenicher Allee 60, Bonn, 53115, Germany (ja@math.uni-bonn.de)

(Received 29 December 2023; revised 17 September 2024; accepted 17 September 2024)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748019000719 Published online by Cambridge University Press: 21 January 2020

As noted in [2, Remark 1.2.2] the statement of [1, Lemma 3.25] is false. A counterexample is presented in [2, Example 4.3.4]. In this erratum we present this counterexample, discuss the failure of [1, Lemma 3.25] and its effects on the results of [1]. We thank Sean Howe for informing us about the error in [1, Lemma 3.25].

We use the notation from [1, Section 3], that is, C/\mathbb{Q}_p is a non-Archimedean, algebraically closed field, A_{inf} Fontaine's period ring for \mathcal{O}_C and $\epsilon = (1, \zeta_p, \ldots) \in C^{\flat}$, $\neq 1$

$$\mu = [\epsilon] - 1, \, \tilde{\xi} := \frac{\varphi(\mu)}{\mu}, \, t = \log([\epsilon]).$$

Example 0.1 [1, Example 3.3]. For $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, the pair $A_{\inf}\{d\} := \mu^{-d}A_{\inf} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p(d)$ with Frobenius $\varphi_{A_{\inf}\{d\}} = \tilde{\xi}^d \varphi_{A_{\inf}}$ is a Breuil–Kisin–Fargues module, and in fact each Breuil– Kisin–Fargues module of rank 1 is isomorphic to some $A_{\inf}\{d\}$ ([1, Lemma 3.12]). The corresponding B_{dR}^+ -latticed \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space (in the terminology of [2, Definition 4.2.1]) is $(\mathbb{Q}_p, t^{-d}B_{dR}^+)$. Each $A_{\inf}\{d\}$ admits a canonical rigidification because $\tilde{x} = u \cdot p$ in A_{crys} for some unit (alternatively one can use [1, Lemma 4.3]).

According to [1, Lemma 3.28]

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{BKF}^{\circ}_{\operatorname{rig}}}(A_{\operatorname{inf}}, A_{\operatorname{inf}}\{d\}) \cong B_{\operatorname{dR}}/t^{d}B_{\operatorname{dR}}^{+}$$

Now, a counterexample to [1, Lemma 3.25] will be provided by the case d = 0 with extension corresponding to 1/t. Explicitly the corresponding extension of B_{dR}^+ -latticed \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces is given by

$$0 \to (\mathbb{Q}_p \cdot e_1, B^+_{\mathrm{dR}} \cdot e_1) \to (\mathbb{Q}_p \cdot e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p \cdot e_2, B^+_{\mathrm{dR}} \cdot e_1 \oplus B^+_{\mathrm{dR}}(\frac{1}{t} \cdot e_1 + e_2)) \to (\mathbb{Q}_p \cdot e_2, B^+_{\mathrm{dR}} \cdot e_2) \to 0$$

as presented in [2, Example 3.1.4]. Now, the fiber functor $\omega_{\acute{e}t} \otimes C$ in [1, Lemma 3.25] from rigidifed Breuil–Kisin–Fargues modules to C-vector spaces factors over the functor

(C) The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press.

1

J. Anschütz

to B_{dR}^+ -latticed \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces, and this functor is not exact as a *filtered* functor as noted in [2, Example 3.1.4]: The above exact sequence maps in gr^0 to

$$0 \to C \to 0 \to C \to 0.$$

Indeed, the lattice $B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ e_1 \oplus B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ (\frac{1}{t} \cdot e_1 + e_2)$ induces on $V_C := C \cdot e_1 \oplus C \cdot e_2$ the filtration

$$0 \subseteq \operatorname{Fil}^{1} = C \cdot e_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{Fil}^{0} = V_{C}$$

This example shows that the mistake in the 'proof' of [1, 3.25] lies in the last five lines: Even though the element $v \otimes 1$ is part of some basis (e.g., $v \otimes 1 = e_1$ in the above example), it need not be part of an adapted basis. As far as I can tell, this is the only mistake made.

We now discuss the effect of this mistake to the rest of the paper.

- (1) In [1, Section 2], we fix a filtered fiber functor ω₀ ⊗ C: T → Vec_C stating that later we can apply the discussion to rigidified Breuil-Kisin-Fargues modules. This is not true, however, restricting to CM rigidified Breuil-Kisin-Fargues modules the fiber functor ω_{ét} with its functorial filtration over C is a filtered fiber functor. Indeed, any fiber functor on a semisimple Tannakian category, which is equipped with a functorial filtration compatible with tensor products is necessary a filtered fiber functor can be applied on the full Tannakian subcategory of CM-objects. We note that the type of a CM-object ([1, Definition 2.9]) only requires a functorial filtration on a fiber functor compatible with tensor products (and in characteristic 0 these data will automatically yield a filtered fiber functor on the CM-objects as explained above).
- (2) The proof of [1, Lemma 3.27] cites [1, Lemma 3.25]; however, the claimed exactness is not used in the argument. Indeed, the claimed triviality of the filtration follows by the correct compatibility of the filtration with tensor products. A similar argument occurs in [2, Theorem 4.3.5].
- (3) With the above adjustments, the results in [1, Section 4, Section 5] are not affected.

References

- ANSCHÜTZ J (2021) Breuil-Kisin-Fargues modules with complex multiplication. Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu 20(6), 1855–1904.
- [2] HOWE S AND KLEVDAL C (2023) Admissible pairs and p-adic hodge structures i: Transcendence of the de rham lattice.