



# **Spanning subdivisions in Dirac graphs**

Matías Pavez-Signé

Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK Email: [matias.pavez-signe@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:matias.pavez-signe@warwick.ac.uk)

(Received 12 June 2023; revised 12 September 2023; accepted 14 September 2023; first published online 20 October 2023)

## **Abstract**

We show that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\log n \leq d < n$ , if a graph *G* has  $N = \Theta(dn)$  vertices and minimum degree  $(1 + o(1))\frac{N}{2}$ , then it contains a spanning subdivision of every *n*-vertex *d*-regular graph.

**Keywords:** Subdivisions; degree conditions; spanning subgraphs

**2020 MSC Codes:** Primary: 05C35; Secondary: 05D40

# **1. Introduction**

One of the central questions in extremal graph theory consists of determining degree conditions forcing the containment of rich substructures. One of the most notable examples here is Dirac's theorem [\[5\]](#page-7-0) from 1952, which states that every graph on  $n \geq 3$  vertices and minimum degree at least *<sup>n</sup>* <sup>2</sup> contains a Hamilton cycle. This result has been highly influential in extremal graph theory for more than 70 years, leading to a large collection of results usually referred to as Dirac-type results. Roughly speaking, the Dirac problem for a family of graphs *F* asks for the *minimum degree threshold* which forces the containment of all members of *F*.

**Definition 1.1.** *For an n-vertex host graph G and a family <sup>F</sup> of graphs on at most n vertices, the minimum degree threshold for containing F is*

 $\delta(n, \mathcal{F}) = \min\{m : \delta(G) \geq m \text{ implies } F \subseteq G \text{ for every } F \in \mathcal{F}\}.$ 

Due to the emergence of sophisticated embedding techniques in the last few decades, the Dirac problem is nowadays well-understood for a large class of graphs. For instance, the family of *n*-vertex trees with maximum degree  $o(n/\log n)$  has minimum degree threshold  $(1 + o(1))\frac{n}{2}$ (see [\[14,](#page-7-1) [17\]](#page-7-2)), as conjectured by Bollobás [\[2\]](#page-7-3) in the late 70s. For *n* divisible by *d*, the threshold for containing a  $K_d$ -factor is  $(1 - 1/d)n$  as shown by Hajnal and Szemerédi [\[11\]](#page-7-4). For a general graph *H*, the minimum degree threshold for the containment of a *perfect H*-*tiling* was determined (up to a constant additive term) by Kühn and Osthus [\[22\]](#page-7-5) (see [\[16,](#page-7-6) [30\]](#page-7-7) for the threshold of *almost perfect tilings* and [\[12\]](#page-7-8) for a recent result on *mixed tilings*). The threshold for powers of Hamilton cycles was determined by Komlós et al. [\[18,](#page-7-9) [19\]](#page-7-10), proving the celebrated Pósa–Seymour conjecture for large graphs (see [\[29\]](#page-7-11) for example). A breakthrough in the area was the proof of the Bollobás– Komlós bandwidth conjecture [\[15\]](#page-7-12) by Böttcher et al. [\[4\]](#page-7-13), which asymptotically establishes the minimum degree threshold for the class of bounded degree *n*-vertex graphs with bounded chromatic number and sublinear bandwidth. For a more extensive revision of Dirac-type problems, we recommend looking at the excellent surveys [\[21,](#page-7-14) [31\]](#page-7-15).



<sup>C</sup> The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press.

In this paper, we investigate Dirac-type problems for subdivisions of graphs. Given a graph *H*, say a graph *H* is an *H*-*subdivision* if *H* is obtained from *H* by replacing one or more edges from *H* with vertex-disjoint paths. Very recently, Lee [\[23\]](#page-7-16) determined the minimum degree threshold for the containment of a *perfect H*-*subdivision tiling*, that is, a collection of vertex-disjoint subdivisions of *H* covering every vertex in the host graph. In this article, we will continue this study by investigating the minimum degree threshold of certain spanning graph subdivisions.

Problems regarding degree conditions forcing the containment of subdivisions have been extensively studied since the 60s, when Mader [\[27\]](#page-7-17) proved that graphs with large average degree contain clique subdivisions. Independently, Mader  $[27]$  and Erdős and Hajnal  $[7]$  $[7]$  conjectured that graphs with average degree  $\Omega(k^2)$  contain a subdivision of the clique on *k* vertices, which is optimal as the complete bipartite graph with parts of size  $\frac{k^2}{16}$  cannot contain a subdivision of  $K_k$ , the complete graph on *k* vertices. This conjecture was solved in the 90s by Bollobás and Thomason [\[3\]](#page-7-19) and by Komlós and Szemerédi [\[20\]](#page-7-20). Two variations of this conjecture have been proposed:

- Thomassen's conjecture [\[32\]](#page-7-21): For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some  $d = d(k)$  such that every graph with average degree at least *d* contains a *balanced*<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup> subdivision of  $K_k$ .
- Verstraëte's conjecture [\[33\]](#page-7-22): If *G* is a graph with average degree at least  $\Omega(k^2)$ , then *G* contains a pair of disjoint isomorphic subdivisions of *Kk*.

These two conjectures have been recently solved. Firstly, Thomassen's conjecture was solved by Liu and Montgomery  $[25]$  in their recent solution of the Erdős–Hajnal odd cycle problem  $[8]$  $[8]$ . Secondly, Luan et al. [\[26\]](#page-7-25) and Gil Fernández et al. [\[9\]](#page-7-26) showed that graphs with average degree  $\Omega(k^2)$  contain two pairwise disjoint isomorphic balanced subdivisions of  $K_k$ , settling Verstraëte's conjecture and also giving optimal bounds for Thomassen's conjecture. Note that for dense graphs, the above-mentioned results imply that every *n*-vertex graph with  $\Omega(n^2)$  edges contains graphs, the above-mentioned results imply that every *n*-vertex graph with  $\Omega(n^2)$  edges contains<br>a balanced subdivision of a clique on  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  vertices. Answering an old question of Erdős [[6\]](#page-7-27), Alon et al. [\[1\]](#page-7-28) (see also [\[10\]](#page-7-29)) showed that every *n*-vertex graph with at least ε*n*<sup>2</sup> edges contains a Alon et al. [1] (see also [10]) showed that every *n*-vertex graph with at least ε*n*− edges contains a<br>1-*subdivision<sup>[2](#page-1-1)</sup>* of the clique on ε√*π*/4 vertices. In particular, if an *n*-vertex graph G has minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ , then it has at least  $\frac{1}{4}n^2$  edges and thus contains a 1-subdivision of the clique of size  $\sqrt{n}/16$ .

Our first result is that we can embed a spanning subdivision of a clique in graphs with minimum degree slightly above Dirac's condition.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_0$  such that for all  $C \geq C_0$  and *n*  $\ge$  2 *the following holds. Let G be a graph on*  $N = C(n - 1)n$  *vertices and minimum degree*  $\delta(G)$   $\ge$  $(1 + \varepsilon) \frac{N}{2}$ *. Then, G contains a spanning subdivision of*  $K_n$ *.* 

The constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the minimum degree condition in Theorem [1.2](#page-1-2) is essentially best possible, as shown by considering the graph consisting of two disjoint cliques of size  $C_2^{(n)}$  $\binom{n}{2}$ . Moreover, Theorem [1.2](#page-1-2) is a direct consequence of the following more general result about subdivisions of *d*-regular graphs.

<span id="page-1-3"></span>**Theorem 1.3.** *For every*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, there exists a positive constant*  $C_0$  *such that for all*  $C \ge C_0$  *and*  $n \ge 2$ *the following holds. Let* log *n* ≤ *d* < *n and let G be a graph on N* = *Cdn vertices and minimum degree*  $\delta(G)$   $\geq$   $(1+\varepsilon)\frac{N}{2}.$  *Then, G contains a spanning subdivision of every n-vertex d-regular graph.* 

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>1</sup>A *balanced* subdivision of a graph *H* is an *H*-subdivision where all the edges of *H* are replaced with paths of the same length.

<span id="page-1-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , an  $\ell$ -subdivision of a graph *H* is an *H*-subdivision where each edge of *H* is replaced with a path with exactly  $\ell$ interior vertices.

The proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-3) is probabilistic in nature and avoids any use of the *regularity lemma*. In particular, we can pick the constant  $C_0$  in Theorem [1.3](#page-1-3) to be of order  $C_0 = O(\varepsilon^{-4})$  instead of being of tower-type. Also, from the proof of Theorem [1.3,](#page-1-3) we can embed a balanced subdivision covering all but a linear proportion of the vertices of the host graph. Thus, by randomly partitioning the vertex set into  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  subsets of roughly the same size, one can find  $k$  vertex-disjoint isomorphic balanced subdivisions covering all but a linear proportion of the vertices.

## **2. Proof**

## *2.1. Notation*

For a graph *G*, we let *V*(*G*) and *E*(*G*) denote its vertex set and edge set, respectively, and we write  $|G| = |V(G)|$ . The neighbourhood of a vertex *x*, denoted  $N(x)$ , is the set of vertices that are adjacent to *x*, and we write  $d(x) = |N(x)|$  for the degree of *x*. The minimum degree of *G* is denoted  $\delta(G)$ . Also, for a vertex  $x \in V(G)$  and a subset  $U \subset V(G)$ , we write  $N(x, U)$  for the set of neighbours of *x* in *U* and let  $d(x, U) = |N(x, U)|$  denote the degree of *x* into *U*. Given a set  $A \subset V(G)$ , we denote by  $G[A]$  the graph induced by A, and, for disjoint subsets  $A, B \subset V(G)$ , we let  $G[A, B]$  denote the bipartite graph induced by *A* and *B*. When working with more than one graph, we use subscripts to explicit which graph are we working with, for instance,  $d<sub>H</sub>(x)$  denotes the degree of a vertex *x* in the graph *H*.

A path *P* is an ordered sequence of distinct vertices  $P = u_1 \dots u_{t+1}$  such that  $u_i u_{i+1}$  is an edge for each  $i \in [t]$ , in which case we say that *P* has length *t*, endpoints  $u_1$  and  $u_{t+1}$ , and interior  $u_2, \ldots, u_t$ . For vertices *x* and *y*, an *x*, *y*-path is a path with endpoints *x* and *y*. For a path  $P = v_1 \dots v_t$ , we let  $P^d = v_t \dots v_1$  denote the path  $P$  traversed in reverse order. For an *H*subdivision  $H'$ , we say that a vertex  $v \in V(H')$  is a *branch vertex* if it is the copy of some vertex from *H*.

As usual, [*n*] denotes the set of the first *n* positive integers. Also, we use standard hierarchy notation, that is, we write  $a \ll b$  to denote that given b one can choose a sufficiently small so that all relevant statements hold.

#### *2.2. Probabilistic tools*

Let *N*, *m*,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy *m*,  $n \leq N$ , let *J* be a set of size *N* and let  $I \subset J$  be a subset of size *m*. If a subset *I* ⊂ *J* is chosen uniformly at random amongst all subsets of size *n*, then the random variable  $X = |I \cap I'|$  is said to have a *hypergeometric distribution* with parameters N, n, and m. For example, for a graph *G* and a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , if we pick a random subset  $U \subset V(G)$  of size  $\ell$ , then  $d(v, U)$  is a hypergeometric random variable with parameters  $N' = |G|$ ,  $n' = \ell$  and  $m' = d(v)$ . We will use the following standard concentration result for hypergeometric random variables.

**Lemma 2.1** (Theorem 2.10 in [\[13\]](#page-7-30))*. Let X be a hypergeometric random variable with parameters n*, *m*, and *N*. Then, for every  $t > 0$ ,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|X-\mathbb{E}[X]\right|\geq t\right)\leq 2e^{-2t^2/n}.
$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let H be a graph with vertex set  $V(H) = [t]$ . An  $(H, \alpha)$ -good partition of a graph G *is a vertex partition*  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_t$  *which satisfies the following properties.* 

- (i)  $m = |V_1| = \cdots = |V_t|$ .
- (ii)  $\delta(G[V_i]) \ge \alpha m$  for all  $i \in [t]$ .
- <span id="page-2-1"></span>(iii)  $\delta(G[V_i, V_j]) \ge \alpha m$  for all  $i, j \in [t]$  such that  $ij \in E(H)$ .

We now show that a randomly chosen partition is likely to be good when the host graph has linear minimum degree.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $1/C \ll \delta < \alpha$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose  $\log n \leq d < n$  and that G is a graph on  $N = Cdn$ *vertices and minimum degree* δ(*G*) ≥ α*N. Then, for every d-regular graph H on n vertices, G admits an*  $(H, \alpha - \delta)$ -good partition.

**Proof.** Let  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_n$  be a random partition of  $V(G)$  into sets of size *Cd*. Then, for any vertex  $v \in V(G)$  and index  $i \in [n]$ ,  $d(v, V_i)$  follows a hypergeometric distribution with parameters  $N' = N$ ,  $n' = |V_i|$  and  $m' = d(v)$ , and has expectation

$$
\mathbb{E}[d(v, V_i)] = d(v) \cdot \frac{|V_i|}{N} \ge \alpha C d.
$$

Then, from Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0) we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(d(\nu,V_i)\leq (\alpha-\delta)Cd)\leq 2e^{-2\delta^2Cd}.
$$

Therefore, using the union bound and that *H* is *d*-regular, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}((V_1,\ldots,V_n) \text{ is not } (H,\alpha-\delta)\text{-good}) \leq 2|G|\cdot d\cdot 4e^{-2\delta^2Cd} \leq 2Cn^3e^{-2\delta^2C\log n} < 1.
$$

<span id="page-3-5"></span>**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $1/C \ll \delta < \alpha$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and suppose G is a graph on  $N = Cd$  vertices and min*imum degree*  $\delta(G) \ge \alpha N$ . Then, for every set of distinct vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d \in V(G)$ , there is a *partition*  $V(G) \setminus \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d\} = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_d$  such that

- <span id="page-3-2"></span>(i)  $|V_1| = \cdots = |V_{d-1}| = C - 1$  and  $|V_d| = C - 2$ ,
- (ii)  $d(v_0, V_i) \geq (\alpha \delta) |V_i|$  *and*  $d(v_i, V_i) \geq (\alpha \delta) |V_i|$  for all  $i \in [n]$ , and
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>(iii)  $\delta(G[V_i]) \geq (\alpha - \delta)C$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .

**Proof.** Set  $m_1 = \cdots = m_{d-1} = C - 1$  and  $m_d = C - 2$ . We start by dividing [*d*] into a collection of subintervals that we will use as a guide for constructing our partition. Let  $I_{0,1} = [d]$  and let  $s \ge 0$ be minimal integer such that  $2^{s-1} < d \le 2^s$ . Iteratively, for 0≤ *i* < *s* and 1≤ *j* ≤ 2<sup>*i*</sup>, we partition *I<sub>ij</sub>* into intervals  $I_{i+1,2j-1}$  and  $I_{i+1,2j}$  such that  $\left|I_{i+1,2j-1}\right| - \left|I_{i+1,2j}\right| \leq 1$ . Note that at each step  $I_{i,j}$  is divided into two subintervals of length roughly  $|I_{i,j}|/2$  and thus, after *i* steps, [*d*] is divided into  $2^i$  subintervals of length approximately  $d/2^i$ . Furthermore, as  $||I_{i+1,2j-1}|-|I_{i+1,2j}|| \le 1$  for all  $0 \le i < s$  and  $1 \le j \le 2^i$ , by definition of *s* each *I<sub>s−1,* $\ell$ , for  $\ell \in [2^{s-1}]$ , is either a singleton or</sub> contains exactly 2 elements.

Set  $V_{0,1} = V(G) \setminus \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$ . Iteratively, for  $0 \le i < s$  and  $1 \le j \le 2^i$ , partition  $V_{i,j}$  uniformly at random into sets  $V_{i+1,2j-1}$  and  $V_{i+1,2j}$  such that

$$
|V_{i+1,2j-1}| = \sum_{\ell \in I_{i+1,2j-1}} m_{\ell} \text{ and } |V_{i+1,2j}| = \sum_{\ell' \in I_{i+1,2j}} m_{\ell'}.
$$

This is possible as  $I_{i,j} = I_{i+1,2j-1} \cup I_{i+1,2j}$  and  $|V_{i,j}| = \sum_{\ell \in I_{i,j}} m_{\ell}$ . Let  $J \subset [2^{s-1}]$  be the set of those indices  $j \in [2^{s-1}]$  such that  $I_{s-1,j}$  is a singleton. Let  $t = |J|$  and note that  $0 \le t < 2^{s-1}$ , as  $2^{s-1} < d \leq 2^s$ . After relabelling the indices, we may assume that  $[2^{s-1}] \setminus J = [2^{s-1} - t]$ . At step *s*, for each  $1 \leq j \leq 2^{s-1} - t$  we partition  $V_{s-1,j}$  uniformly at random into sets  $V_{s,2j-1}$  and  $V_{s,2j}$ such that  $|V_{s,2j-1}| - |V_{s,2j}| \le 1$ , and, noting that  $d = 2^s - t$ , for each  $2^{s-1} - t < j' \le 2^{s-1}$  we set *V<sub>s*</sub>,2<sup>*s*−1</sup>−*t*+*j*</sub> = *V<sub><i>s*−1</sub>*j*<sup>*i*</sup>. For  $0 \le i < s$  and  $1 \le j \le 2^i$ , let  $Y_{i,j}$  denote the event where the following three properties hold:

<span id="page-3-4"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>A1  $\delta(G[V_{i,j}]) \geq (\alpha - 2|V_{i,j}|^{-1/4})|V_{i,j}|$ . A2  $d(v_0, V_{i,j}) \geq (\alpha - 2|V_{i,j}|^{-1/4})|V_{i,j}|.$ A3  $d(\nu_{\ell}, V_{i,j}) \geq (\alpha - 2|V_{i,j}|^{-1/4})|V_{i,j}|$  for all  $\ell \in I_{i,j}$ .

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>For  $j \in [d]$ , let  $Y_{s,j}$  denote the event where [A1,](#page-3-0) [A2](#page-3-1) and the following hold:

A4 
$$
d(v_j, V_{s,j}) \geq (\alpha - 2|V_{s,j}|^{-1/4})|V_{s,j}|
$$
.

For  $0 \le i \le s$ , let  $Y_i$  be the event where  $Y_{i,j}$  holds for all  $1 \le j \le \min\{2^i, d\}$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Claim 2.5.** *For all*  $1 \le i \le s$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Y}_i | \mathbf{Y}_{i-1}) \ge \exp(-2^{i-1})$ .

Before proving Claim [2.5,](#page-4-0) let us show how to conclude the proof. We observe that if **Y***<sup>s</sup>* holds, then we would have found the required partition. Indeed, after a relabelling of the indices, each set *V*<sub>s,*j*</sub> has size exactly  $m_j$  and [A1–](#page-3-0)[A4](#page-4-1) imply that [\(i\)](#page-3-2)–[\(iii\)](#page-3-3) hold, as  $1/m_j \ll \delta$ . Therefore, it is enough to show that **Y**<sub>*s*</sub> occurs with positive probability. Noting that **Y**<sub>0</sub> holds with probability 1, as  $\delta(G) \ge$  $\alpha N$ , from Claim [2.5](#page-4-0) we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Y}_s) \geq \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Y}_i \mid \mathbf{Y}_{i-1}) \geq \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^s 2^{i-1}\right) \geq e^{-2d},
$$

which finishes the proof. It is left only to prove Claim [2.5.](#page-4-0)

**Proof of Claim [2.5](#page-4-0)**. Let  $1 \leq i < s$  and suppose that we have chosen our partition  $V(G)$  =  $\bigcup_{j\in[2^{i-1}]} V_{i-1,j}$  for which  $Y_{i-1}$  holds. In what follows, all probabilities and expectations are conditioned on **Y**<sub>*i*</sub>−1</sub>. Let  $1 \leq j \leq 2^{i-1}$ . For a vertex  $v \in V_{i-1,j}$ , observe that  $d(v, V_{i,2j})$  follows a hypergeometric distribution with parameters  $N' = |V_{i-1,j}|$ ,  $n' = |V_{i,2j}|$  and  $m' = d(v, V_{i-1,j})$ . Then, assuming  $Y_{i-1}$  and hence  $Y_{i-1,j}$ , from [A1](#page-3-0) we deduce that

<span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[d(v, V_{i,2j})] \geq (\alpha - 2|V_{i-1,j}|^{-1/4})|V_{i,2j}|
$$

and thus, from Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0)

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(d(\nu,V_{i,2j})\leq (\alpha-2|V_{i-1,j}|^{-1/4})|V_{i,2j}|-|V_{i,2j}|^{2/3}\right)\leq 2\exp\left(-2|V_{i,2j}|^{1/3}\right).
$$
 (1)

On the other hand, using that  $|V_{i,2j}| \leq \frac{11}{20} |V_{i-1,j}|$ , we have

$$
2|V_{i-1,j}|^{-1/4} \cdot |V_{i,2j}| + |V_{i,2j}|^{2/3} \le 2 \cdot \left(\frac{11}{20}\right)^{1/4} |V_{i,2j}|^{3/4} + \frac{1}{10}|V_{i,2j}|^{3/4} \le 2|V_{i,2j}|^{3/4},\tag{2}
$$

where we have used that  $|V_{i,2j}| \geq C - 2$  and  $1/C \ll 1$ . Combining [\(1\)](#page-4-2) and [\(2\)](#page-4-3), we finally have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(d(\nu,V_{i,2j})\leq (\alpha-2|V_{i,2j}|^{-1/4})|V_{i,2j}|\right)\leq 2\exp(-2|V_{i,2j}|^{1/3}).
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>Using the union bound over all  $v \in V_{i-1,j}$ , it follows that [A1](#page-3-0) holds for *i* and 2*j* with probability at least

$$
1 - 2|V_{i-1,j}| \cdot \exp(-2|V_{i,2j}|^{1/3}) \ge 1 - 2|V_{i-1,j}| \cdot \exp(-|V_{i-1,j}|^{1/3}), \tag{3}
$$

where we have used that  $2|V_{i,2j}|^{1/3}$  ≥  $2 \cdot \left(\frac{9}{20}\right)^{1/3} |V_{i-1,j}|^{1/3}$  ≥  $|V_{i-1,j}|^{1/3}$ . Similar calculations show that [A2](#page-3-1) and [A3](#page-3-4) hold with probability at least [\(3\)](#page-4-4), and clearly the same conclusions hold for  $2j - 1$ . Therefore, the probability that  $Y_{i,2j-1}$  and  $Y_{i,2j}$  hold, given a partition  $V(G) = \bigcup_{j \in [2^{i-1}]} V_{i-1,j}$  for which **Y***i*−1,*<sup>j</sup>* holds, is at least

$$
1 - 12|V_{i-1,j}| \cdot \exp(-|V_{i-1,j}|^{1/3}). \tag{4}
$$

Finally, using that the events  $(Y_{i,2j-1} \wedge Y_{i,2j})_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{i-1}}$  are mutually independent given a partition *V*(*G*) = *V<sub>i−1,1</sub>* ∪ ... ∪ *V*<sub>*i*−1,2*i*<sup>−1</sup> for which  $Y$ <sub>*i*−1</sub> holds, we can compute</sub>

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Y}_{i} | \mathbf{Y}_{i-1}) \stackrel{(2.4)}{\geq} \prod_{j=1}^{2^{i-1}} (1 - 12|V_{i-1,j}| \cdot \exp(-|V_{i-1,j}|^{1/3})
$$
  

$$
\geq \prod_{j=1}^{2^{i-1}} \exp(-24|V_{i-1,j}| \cdot \exp(-|V_{i-1,j}|^{1/3})) \geq \exp(-2^{i-1}),
$$

where we have used that  $e^{-2x} \le 1 - x$  holds for all  $0 < x \le \frac{1}{2}$  and that  $|V_{i-1,j}| \cdot \exp(-\frac{1}{2})$  $(- |V_{i-1,j}|^{1/3}) \ll 1$  as  $|V_{i-1,j}| \ge C - 2$  and  $1/C \ll 1$ . Similar arguments show that  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Y}_s | \mathbf{Y}_{s-1}) \ge$  $\exp(-2^{s-1})$ .

### *2.3. Proof of Theorem* **[1.3](#page-1-3)**

We say that a graph *G* is *Hamiltonian path-connected* if for every pair of distinct vertices  $x, y \in$ *V*(*G*) there is a Hamiltonian *x*, *y*-path in *G*. The last ingredient that we need is the following classical result due to Ore [\[28\]](#page-7-31).

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Lemma 2.6.** *Every graph G on n*  $\geq$  4 *vertices and minimum degree*  $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$  *is Hamiltonian path-connected.*

**Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-3)**. We start by picking a constant  $1/C_0 \ll \varepsilon$  and let  $C \geq C_0$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\log n \leq d < n$  and let *H* be a *d*-regular graph with vertex set  $V(H) = [n]$ . Given a graph *G* with  $N = Cdn$  vertices and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq (1 + \varepsilon)N/2$ , we now find a partition of  $V(G)$  which will be used as a template to embed the *H*-subdivision.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Claim 2.7.** There are distinct vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  and pairs  $(V_{i,j}, v_{i,j}), i \in [n]$  and  $j \in N_H(i)$ , where *V*<sub>*i*</sub>,*j* ⊂ *V*(*G*) *is a subset and*  $v_i$ *,*  $v_{i,j}$  *∈*  $V_{i,j}$ *, <i>such that the following properties hold.* 

- <span id="page-5-6"></span>B1  $V(G) = \bigcup_{i \in [n], j \in N_H(i)} V_{i,j}$ .
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>B2 *V<sub>i,j</sub>* and *V<sub>k,* $\ell$ *</sub>* are disjoint for all  $i \neq k$  and  $j \in N_H(i)$ ,  $\ell \in N_H(k)$ .
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>B3  $V_{i,j} \cap V_{i,k} = \{v_i\}$  for every  $i \in [n]$  and distinct  $j, k \in N_H(i)$ .
- B4  $|V_{i,j}|$  ∈ {*C*, *C* + 1} for all *i* ∈ [*n*] and *j* ∈ *N<sub>H</sub>*(*i*).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>B5  $\delta(G[V_{i,j}]) \ge \frac{|V_{i,j}|+1}{2}$  for all  $i \in [n]$  and  $j \in N_H(i)$ .
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>B6 For every  $i \in [n]$  and  $j \in N_H(i)$ ,  $v_{ii}v_{ii} \in E(G)$ .

For now, let us assume Claim [2.7](#page-5-0) in order to show Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-3) Firstly, we use the vertices  $(v_i)_{i \in [n]}$  as the branch vertices of the *H*-subdivision. Secondly, for each  $i \in [n]$  and  $j \in N_H(i)$ , find a Hamilton  $v_i$ ,  $v_{i,j}$ -path  $P_{i,j}$  inside  $V_{i,j}$ . This is possible since [B5](#page-5-1) and Lemma [2.6](#page-5-2) imply that  $G[V_{i,j}]$ is Hamiltonian path-connected. We claim that this gives the spanning *H*-subdivision. Indeed, for all  $ij \in E(H)$ , [B6](#page-5-3) implies that  $Q_{ij} = P_{i,j}P_{j,i}^d$  is a  $v_iv_j$ -path (see Fig. [1\)](#page-6-0) which, because of [B2](#page-5-4) and [B3,](#page-5-5) is internally disjoint from every other possible path  $Q_{k\ell}$ . This gives an *H*-subdivision  $F \subset G$ . Finally, [B1](#page-5-6) clearly implies that *F* uses all vertices from *G*.

**Proof of Claim [2.7](#page-4-0).** Use Lemma [2.3,](#page-2-1) with parameter  $\delta = \varepsilon/2$ , to find an  $(H, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ -good partition  $V(G) = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} V_i$  such that

- (i)  $|V_i| = Cd$  for all  $i \in [n]$ ,
- (ii)  $\delta(G[V_i]) \geq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\frac{Cd}{2}$ , and
- (iii)  $\delta(G[V_i, V_j]) \geq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \frac{Cd}{2}$  for all  $ij \in E(H)$ .



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Creating the *vi*, *vj*-path *Qij*.

Then, for each *ij* ∈ *E*(*H*) pick vertices  $v_{i,j} \in V_i$  and  $v_{j,i} \in V_j$  such that  $v_{i,j}v_{j,i} \in E(G)$ , and, for  $i \in [n]$ , pick an arbitrary vertex  $v_i \in V_i$ , choosing new vertices at each time. For each  $i \in [n]$ , use Lemma [2.4,](#page-3-5) with parameter  $\delta = \varepsilon/4$ , to find a partition  $V_i = \{v_i\} \cup \{v_{i,j}: j \in N_H(i)\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in N_H(i)} V'_{i,j}$ such that

- $|V'_{i,j}|$  ∈ {*C* − 2, *C* − 1} for all *j* ∈ *N<sub>H</sub>*(*i*),
- $d(v_{i,j}, V'_{i,j}), d(v_i, V'_{i,j}) \geq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}) \frac{|V'_{i,j}|}{2}$ , and •  $\delta(G[V'_{i,j}]) \geq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4})\frac{|V'_{i,j}|}{2}.$

Finally, for each  $i \in [n]$  and  $j \in N_H(i)$ , we set  $V_{i,j} = V'_{i,j} \cup \{v_i, v_{i,j}\}$ , which clearly satisfies  $B1-B6.$  $B1-B6.$ 

## **3. Concluding remarks**

Let us observe that having a host graph on  $N = Cdn$  vertices in Theorem [1.3](#page-1-3) is just an artificial setup to make the subdivision as balanced as possible. However, by adjusting the length of the connecting paths, the same arguments work if we put  $N = \Theta(dn)$  or if we drop the condition of being *d*-regular, that is, one can show that if the host graph *G* has  $N = \Theta(m)$  vertices, where  $m \leq {n \choose 2}$  $\binom{n}{2}$ , and *H* is an *n*-vertex graph with *m* edges and minimum degree at least log *n*, then *G* contains a spanning *H*-subdivision. Having said this, it is tentative to conjecture that the condition  $d \geq \log n$  in Theorem [1.3](#page-1-3) could be totally dropped.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Conjecture 3.1.** *For every*  $\varepsilon > 0$ , *there exists a positive constant*  $C_0$  *such that for all*  $C \ge C_0$  *and*  $m \in$  $\mathbb N$  *the following holds. Let G be a graph on*  $N=$  *Cm vertices and minimum degree*  $\delta(G)\geq (1+\varepsilon)\frac{N}{2}.$ *Then, G contains a spanning H-subdivision of every graph H with m edges and no isolated vertices.*

After this article was submitted, Conjecture [3.1](#page-6-1) was solved by Lee [\[24\]](#page-7-32) using the *absorption method*. The only drawback in Lee's proof is that it requires one of the paths in the subdivision to be very long compared with the other paths. So, it would be still interesting to find a spanning subdivision where all the paths in the subdivision have nearly the same length.

**Question 3.2.** *Is it possible to find a spanning H-subdivision in the context of Conjecture* [3.1](#page-6-1) *where all the paths in the subdivision have similar lengths?*

Let us finish by mentioning that similar arguments as those in the proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-3) can be used to find a perfect *H*-subdivision tiling, where *H* is a graph whose size could even grow depending on the size of the host graph. However, a more precise result was shown by Lee [\[23\]](#page-7-16) in this context. Indeed, the leading constant in the threshold for containing a perfect *H*-subdivision tiling can be smaller than  $\frac{1}{2}$  in certain cases.

# **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 947978).

# **References**

- <span id="page-7-28"></span>[1] Alon, N., Krivelevich, M. and Sudakov, B. (2003) Turán numbers of bipartite graphs and related Ramsey-type questions. *Comb. Probab. Comput.* **12**(5-6) 477–494.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[2] Bollobás, B. (1978) *Extremal Graph Theory*. London: Academic Press.
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>[3] Bollobás, B. and Thomason, A. (1998) Proof of a conjecture of Mader, Erdős and Hajnal on topological complete subgraphs. *Eur. J. Comb.* **19**(8) 883–887.
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[4] Böttcher, J., Schacht, M. and Taraz, A. (2009) Proof of the bandwidth conjecture of Bollobás and Komlós. *Math. Ann.* **343**(1) 175–205.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[5] Dirac, G. A. (1952) Some theorems on abstract graphs. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **2** 69–81.
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>[6] Erdős, P. (1984) On some problems in graph theory, combinatorial analysis and combinatorial number theory. In Graph *Theory and Combinatorics, (Cambridge, 1983)*. London: Academic Press, pp.1–17.
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>[7] Erdős, P. and Hajnal, A. (1964) On complete topological subgraphs of certain graphs. Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sect. *Math.* **7** 143–149.
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>[8] Erdos, P. and Hajnal, A. (1966) On chromatic number of graphs and set-systems. ˝ *Acta Math. Hungar.* **17**(1-2) 61–99.
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>[9] Fernández, I. G., Hyde, J., Liu, H., Pikhurko, O. and Wu, Z. (2023) Disjoint isomorphic balanced clique subdivisions. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* **161** 417–436.
- <span id="page-7-29"></span>[10] Fox, J. and Sudakov, B. (2011) Dependent random choice. *Random Struct. Algorithms* **38**(1-2) 68–99.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[11] Hajnal, A. and Szemerédi, E. (1970) Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős. In *Combinatorial Theory and its Applications*, II *(Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969)*, pp. 601–623.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[12] Hurley, E., Joos, F. and Lang, R. (2022) Sufficient conditions for perfect mixed tilings. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2201.03944.
- <span id="page-7-30"></span>[13] Janson, S., Łuczak, T. and Rucinski, A. (2000) Random graphs. In ´ *Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization*, Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[14] Kathapurkar, A. and Montgomery, R. (2022) Spanning trees in dense directed graphs. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* **156** 223–249.
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[15] Komlós, J. (1999) The blow-up lemma. *Comb. Probab. Comput.* **8**(1-2) 161–176.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[16] Komlós, J. (2000) Tiling turán theorems. *Combinatorica* **20**(2) 203–218.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[17] Komlós, J., Sárközy, G. N. and Szemerédi, E. (1995) Proof of a packing conjecture of Bollobás. *Comb. Probab. Comput.* **4**(3) 241–255.
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[18] Komlós, J., Sárközy, G. N. and Szemerédi, E. (1998) On the Pósa-Seymour conjecture. *J. Graph Theory* **29**(3) 167–176.
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[19] Komlós, J., Sárközy, G. N. and Szemerédi, E. (1998) Proof of the Seymour conjecture for large graphs. *Ann. Comb.* **2**(1) 43–60.
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>[20] Komlós, J. and Szemerédi, E. (1996) Topological cliques in graphs II. *Comb. Probab. Comput.* **5**(1) 79–90.
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[21] Kühn, D. and Osthus, D. (2009) Embedding large subgraphs into dense graphs. In *London Mathematical Society*, Vol. **365** of Lecture Notes, Cambridge University Press.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[22] Kühn, D. and Osthus, D. (2009) The minimum degree threshold for perfect graph packings. *Combinatorica* **29**(1) 65–107.
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>[23] Lee, H. (2023) On perfect subdivision tilings. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2302.09393.
- <span id="page-7-32"></span>[24] Lee, H. (2023) Spanning subdivisions in dense digraphs. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2306.06627.
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>[25] Liu, H. and Montgomery, R. (2023) A solution to Erdos and Hajnal's odd cycle problem. ˝ *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **36** 1191–1234.
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>[26] Luan, B., Tang, Y., Wang, G. and Yang, D. (in press) Balanced subdivisions of cliques in graphs. *Combinatorica*, 1–23.
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>[27] Mader, W. (1967) Homomorphieeigenschaften und mittlere kantendichte von graphen. *Math. Ann.* **174**(4) 265–268.
- <span id="page-7-31"></span>[28] Ore, O. (1963) Hamilton connected graphs. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **42**(2127) 70.
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[29] Seymour, P. D. (1974) Problem section, problem 3, combinatorics. In *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. (Proc. British Combinatorial Conf., Univ. Coll. Wales, Aberystwyth, 1973)*, Vol. **13**, pp. 201–202.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[30] Shokoufandeh, A. and Zhao, Y. (2003) Proof of a tiling conjecture of Komlós. *Random Struct. Algorithms* **23**(2) 180–205.
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>[31] Simonovits, M. and Szemerédi, E. (2019) Embedding graphs into larger graphs: results, methods, and problems. In *Building Bridges II: Mathematics of László Lovász*, pp. 445–592.
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>[32] Thomassen, C. (1984) Subdivisions of graphs with large minimum degree. *J. Graph Theory* **8**(1) 23–28.
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>[33] Verstraëte, J. (2002) A note on vertex-disjoint cycles. *Comb. Probab. Comput.* **11**(1) 97–102.

**Cite this article:** Pavez-Signé M (2024). Spanning subdivisions in Dirac graphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **33**, 121–128. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963548323000342>