

# SOME CYCLIC INEQUALITIES

by V. J. BASTON

(Received 25th January 1973)

In this note we prove some cyclic inequalities which are generalisations of known results. We shall assume throughout that  $a_{i+n} = a_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ , that no denominator in the statement of a result vanishes and finally that  $p, m$  and  $q$  are positive integers. We shall also use  $A(i, m)$  to denote  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{i+j}$  with the convention that  $A(i, 0) = 0$ . The most interesting of our results is probably Theorem 2 since, in the special case  $p = 1, m = 2, r = 0$ , it gives a lower bound of  $\frac{1}{3}n$  for the Shapiro sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{A(i,2)}$ . Although it is by no means best possible, see (2), our method implicitly gives a really simple way of obtaining this lower bound which, incidentally, is an improvement on Rankin's original result (5).

In (1) Boarder and Daykin established the following results:

$$\inf_n \inf \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{i+1} + a_{i+4}}{A(i, 4)} \leq \frac{1}{3}, \tag{1}$$

$$\inf_n \inf \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{i+1} + a_{i+2} + a_{i+4}}{A(i, 4)} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2}$$

$$\inf_n \inf \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{i+1} + a_{i+2} + a_{i+4}}{a_{i+1} + a_{i+3} + a_{i+4}} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3}$$

where the second infs are evaluated over all choices of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . It follows from Theorem 1 below that equality holds in all three cases; in the inequality for the upper bound, take  $p = q = 1, m = 2$  for (1) and  $p = 2, m = 1 = q$  for (2) and (3).

**Theorem 1.**

$$\frac{m}{\left[ \frac{p+q+m-1}{n} \right] + 1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i+p, m)}{A(i, p+m+q)} \leq \frac{m}{m + \min(p, q)} n$$

where  $\left[ \frac{p+q+m-1}{n} \right]$  denotes the integral part of  $\frac{p+q+m-1}{n}$ .

**Proof.** For the lower bound let  $w = \left[ \frac{p+q+m-1}{n} \right]$  and  $s = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  then

$A(i, p+m+q) \leq (w+1)s$  and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i+p, m)}{A(i, p+m+q)} \geq \frac{1}{(w+1)s} \sum_{i=1}^n A(i+p, m) = \frac{m}{w+1}.$$

Equality clearly holds when  $p+m+q$  is a multiple of  $n$ . By considering, for large  $x$ ,  $a_i = x^i$  when  $1 \leq i \leq n$  the lower bound is also seen to be best possible when  $p+m+q < n$ .

We now prove the upper bound result. Let  $k = \min(p, q)$ . If for  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  there is an  $i$  such that  $A(i, m+k) = 0$  then, by omitting an appropriate number of zeros, we can obtain a subsequence  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i+p, m)}{A(i, p+m+q)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{b_{i+p+1} + \dots + b_{i+p+m}}{b_{i+p-k+1} + \dots + b_{i+p+m+k}}$$

where  $b_{i+r} = b_i$  and  $b_{i+1} + \dots + b_{i+m+k} > 0$  for all  $i$ . Hence we need only consider those  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  for which  $A(i, m+k) > 0$  for all  $i$ . Furthermore, since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i+p, m)}{A(i, p+m+q)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i+k, m)}{A(i, m+2k)}$$

it is now sufficient to prove the result for the case when  $p = q$ .

Let  $p+m = em+f$  where  $0 \leq f < m$ ,

$f_r$  be the integral part of  $\frac{rf}{m}$ ,

$$u_0 = 1 \text{ and } u_r = \frac{rf}{m} - f_r \text{ for } r \geq 1,$$

$$e_0 = 0 \text{ and } e_r = e - 1 + u_1 - u_r + u_{r-1} \text{ for } r \geq 1,$$

$$v_r = \sum_{s=0}^r e_s.$$

We then have  $1 - u_{r-1} + e_r + u_r = \frac{p+m}{m}$  for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$e_r$  is a non-negative integer for  $r \geq 0$ ,

$1 \leq v_r \leq p$  for  $1 \leq r < m$  and  $v_m = p+1$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(i+p, m)}{A(i, m+2p)} &= \frac{m}{p+m} \sum_{r=1}^m a_{i+p+r} \frac{1-u_{r-1}+e_r+u_r}{A(i, 2p+m)} \\ &\leq \frac{m}{+m} \sum_{r=1}^m \left\{ \frac{(1-u_{r-1})a_{i+p+r}}{A(i+p+1-v_{r-1}, p+m)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{j=0}^{e_r-1} \frac{a_{i+p+r}}{A(i+p-j-v_{r-1}, p+m)} + \frac{u_r a_{i+p+r}}{A(i+p-v_r, p+m)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{i+t}}{A(i-j, p+m)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{i+j+t}}{A(i, p+m)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i+p, m)}{A(i, 2p+m)} &\leq \frac{m}{p+m} \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{A(i, p+m)} \left\{ (1-u_{r-1})a_{i+r+v_{r-1}-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^{e_r-1} a_{i+r+j+v_{r-1}} + u_r a_{i+r+v_r} \right\} = \frac{m}{p+m} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, p+m)}{A(i, p+m)} = \frac{m}{p+m} n. \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $p = q$  we can see that the bound is attained when  $n = r(p+m)$  by considering  $a_{1+j(p+m)} = 1$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $a_i = 0$  otherwise.

The next theorem is both a generalisation and a sharpening of an inequality of Diananda (3, Theorem 1).

**Theorem 2.**

$$\frac{2m}{2m-p-r} n \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, p+m+r)}{A(i+p, m)} \text{ if } p+r \leq m.$$

**Proof.** By repeated use of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality we have, for  $p+r \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, p+m+r)}{A(i+p, m)} &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, p+m+r)}{A(i+p, m)} \frac{2\{A(i, m) \cdot A(i+p+r, m)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{A(i, m)+A(i+p+r, m)} \\ &\geq 2n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, p+m+r)}{A(i, m)+A(i+p+r, m)} \right\}^{1/n} \\ &= 2n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 + \frac{A(i+p+r, m-p-r)}{A(i, p+m+r)} \right) \right\}^{-1/n} \\ &\geq 2n^2 \left\{ n + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i+p+r, m-p-r)}{A(i, p+m+r)} \right\}^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{2n^2}{n + \frac{m-p-r}{m} n} = \frac{2m}{2m-p-r} n \text{ (by Theorem 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In generalising an inequality of Zulauf (6), Daykin (4) proved the following theorem for the special cases  $m = 1$  and  $q = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.**

$$m \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, m)}{A(i, m+q)} \leq n-q \text{ if } m+q \leq n.$$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, m)}{A(i, m+q)} &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, m)}{A(i, n)} = m \frac{A(i, n)}{A(i, n)} = m. \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A(i, m)}{A(i, m+q)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{A(i+m, q)}{A(i, m+q)} \right) \leq n-q. \end{aligned}$$

For the upper bound consider, for large  $x$ ,  $a_i = 0$  when  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$  and  $a_i = x^{n-i}$  when  $m \leq i \leq n$ . For the lower bound consider, for large  $x$ ,  $a_i = x^i$  when  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

I am indebted to the referee for his comments which have been most helpful.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) J. C. BOARDER and D. E. DAYKIN, Inequalities for certain cyclic sums II, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **18** (1973), 209-218.
- (2) P. H. DIANANDA, A cyclic inequality and an extension of it II, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 143-152.
- (3) P. H. DIANANDA, Some cyclic and other inequalities, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **58** (1962), 425-427.
- (4) D. E. DAYKIN, Inequalities for functions of a cyclic nature, *J. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 453-462.
- (5) R. A. RANKIN, A cyclic inequality, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **12** (1961), 139-147.
- (6) A. ZULAUF, Note on some inequalities, *Math. Gaz.* **43** (1959), 42-44.

THE UNIVERSITY  
SOUTHAMPTON SO9 5NH