

The Differential Effects of Economic Conditions and Racial Attitudes in the Election of Donald Trump – Corrigendum

Jon Green and Sean McElwee

doi: 10.1017/S1537592718003365. Published online by Cambridge University Press, 29 October 2018.

In the original published article by Green and McElwee (2019), on page 362 the authors designated the wrong quartiles in explaining figures 3 and 4. The corrected text is provided here:

Examining local economic conditions, starting with county-level percent changes in average weekly wages in figures 3 and 4, builds on these patterns. Broadly speaking, Hillary Clinton did better in areas with weaker county wage trends and Donald Trump did better in areas with stronger county wage trends. However, breaking these trends down by racial sub-group shows that these effects are largely driven by two factors—first,

within racial sub-groups, variation in county wage trends is only clearly associated with variation in two-party vote choice among white respondents; second, between racial sub-groups, a greater share of white respondents live in counties experiencing strong wage growth while a greater share of non-white respondents live in counties with weak wage growth.

Reference

Green, Jon and Sean McElwee. 2019. “The Differential Effects of Economic Conditions and Racial Attitudes in the Election of Donald Trump.” *Perspectives on Politics* 17(2): 358–379. doi: 10.1017/S1537592718003365