

# EXTENSIONS OF I-BISIMPLE SEMIGROUPS

R. J. WARNE

A bisimple semigroup  $S$  is called  $I$ -bisimple if  $E_S$ , the set of idempotents of  $S$ , with its natural order is order-isomorphic to  $I$ , the set of integers, under the reverse of the usual order. In (9), the author completely determined the structure of  $I$ -bisimple semigroups mod groups; in this paper, he also gave an isomorphism theorem, a homomorphism theorem, an explicit determination of the maximal group homomorphic image, and a complete determination of the congruences for these semigroups.

Clifford gave a general means of finding all possible extensions of a (weakly reductive) semigroup  $S$  by a semigroup  $T$  with zero (2). As with the Schreier theory of group extensions, these means are of a theoretical nature and to carry them out for particular classes of semigroups is usually difficult (2). This has been done for only three cases: (1)  $S$  is completely simple,  $T$  arbitrary; (2)  $S$  is a group and  $T$  completely 0-simple; (3)  $S$  is a Brandt semigroup and  $T$  arbitrary. The first is due to Clifford (1), the second to Munn (2, §4.5), and the third to Warne (7). In (5), Warne determined when the extensions of a completely 0-simple semigroup by a completely 0-simple semigroup are given by partial homomorphisms.

In this paper, we give an explicit determination of the extensions of an  $I$ -bisimple semigroup  $S$  by a completely 0-simple (Brandt) semigroup. We determine the multiplication of the translational hull  $\tilde{S}$  of  $S$ . All extensions of  $S$  by a semigroup  $T$  can then be described if one knows the structure of  $T$  and the partial homomorphisms of  $T \setminus 0$  into  $\tilde{S}$  such that  $AB = 0$  in  $T$  implies that  $A\theta B\theta \in S$  (2). (The construction of  $\tilde{S}$  is needed as  $S$  has no identity.)

Let  $S$  and  $T$  be disjoint semigroups,  $T$  having a zero element 0. A semigroup  $V$  will be called an (ideal) extension of  $S$  by  $T$  if it contains  $S$  as an ideal, and if the Rees factor semigroup  $V/S$  (2) is isomorphic with  $T$ .

Multiplication in  $S$  and  $T$  will be denoted by juxtaposition.  $T^*$  will denote the set of non-zero elements of  $T$ .

If  $V$  is an extension of a semigroup  $S$  by a semigroup  $T$  (with zero), then we say that  $V$  is determined by a partial homomorphism if there exists a partial homomorphism  $\pi: T^* \rightarrow S$  such that for all  $A, B \in T^*, c, d \in S$ ,

$$A \circ B = \begin{cases} AB & \text{if } AB \neq 0 \text{ (in } T), \\ A\pi B\pi & \text{if } AB = 0 \text{ (in } T). \end{cases}$$

$A \circ c = (A\pi)c; c \circ A = c(A\pi); c \circ d = cd$  where  $\circ$  denotes the operation in  $V$ . ( $\pi$  always gives an extension of  $S$  by  $T$  (2, p. 138, Theorem 4.19)).

---

Received December 27, 1965. An abstract has appeared in Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1966), 679-682.

For all concepts and notation not defined in this paper the reader is referred to (2).

**THEOREM A (8).** *S is an I-bisimple semigroup if and only if  $S \cong G \times I \times I$ , where G is a group, I is the group of integers, under the multiplication*

$$(g, n, m)(h, p, q) = (g\alpha^{p-r}h\alpha^{m-r}, n + p - r, m + q - p), \quad r = \min(m, p)$$

or under the multiplication

$$(g, n, m)(h, p, q) = (g\alpha^{s-m-p}h\alpha^{s-q}, n + p, s), \quad s = \max(m + p, q),$$

where  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism of G and  $\alpha^0$  is the identity transformation.

$S = (G, \delta)$  will denote an I-bisimple semigroup with structure group G and structure endomorphism  $\delta$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *A semigroup S is left reductive and right reductive if and only if the inner right (left) translations  $\rho_a$  and  $\lambda_b$  of S are linked implies that  $a = b$  for all  $a, b \in S$ . If S is a right (left) reductive semigroup  $\lambda_a(\rho_a)$  and the right (left) translation  $\rho(\lambda)$  are linked if and only if  $\rho = \rho_a$  ( $\lambda = \lambda_a$ ). An I-bisimple semigroup is right reductive and left reductive.*

The first two assertions are easy to prove, so we omit their proofs.

*Proof.* Let  $S = (G, \delta)$  be an I-bisimple semigroup. If

$$(g, i, j)(e, j, j) = (h, k, l)(e, j, j),$$

$j = l + j - \min(j, l)$  and  $i = k + j - l$ . If  $(g, i, j)(e, l, l) = (h, k, l)(e, l, l)$ ,  $j + l - \min(j, l) = l$ . Thus,  $(g, i, j) = (h, k, l)$  and S is right reductive. Similarly, S is left reductive.

Let  $M(I, G)$  be the full group of mappings of I into G (pointwise multiplication).

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $S = (G, \alpha)$  be an I-bisimple semigroup. Let*

$$H = \{\beta \in M(I, G) : (i + 1)\beta = (i\beta)\alpha \text{ for all } i\}.$$

*H is a subgroup of  $M(I, G)$ . Let  $\rho_i (i \in I)$  be the inner right translation of  $(I, +)$  determined by i.  $W = H \times I$ , under the multiplication*

$$(*) \quad (\beta, i)(\gamma, j) = (\beta \circ \rho_i \gamma, i + j),$$

where  $\circ$  denotes the operation in H and juxtaposition denotes iteration of mappings, is a group. Let  $\tilde{S}$  be the translational hull of S. Then  $\tilde{S} = WUS$  under the multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta, a) \circ (\gamma, b) &= (\beta, a)(\gamma, b), \\ (g, i, j) \circ (h, s, t) &= (g, i, j)(h, s, t) \end{aligned}$$

where juxtaposition denotes multiplication in W and S and

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta, a) \circ (g, i, j) &= ((i - a)\beta g, i - a, j), \\ (g, i, j) \circ (\beta, a) &= (g(j\beta), i, j + a). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\rho$  be a right translation of  $S$ . If  $(e, i, i)\rho = (h, x, y)$ ,  $e$  being the identity of  $G$ , then  $(e, i, i)(h, x, y) = (h, x, y)$  and  $x \geq i$ . Thus

$$(e, i, i)\rho = (i\beta, i + i\rho_1, i\rho_2)$$

where  $\beta(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  is a mapping of  $I$  into  $G$  ( $I^0, I$ ) ( $I^0$  is the non-negative integers). Since  $(e, i + 1, i + 1)(e, i, i) = (e, i + 1, i + 1)$ ,

$$(e, i + 1, i + 1)(i\beta, i + i\rho_1, i\rho_2) = ((i + 1)\beta, (i + 1) + (i + 1)\rho_1, (i + 1)\rho_2).$$

Thus, if  $i\rho_1 = 0$ ,  $(i + 1)\rho_1 = 0$ ,  $(i + 1)\rho_2 = i\rho_2 + 1$ , and  $(i + 1)\beta = (i\beta)\alpha$ , and, if  $i\rho_1 \geq 1$ ,  $(i + 1)\rho_1 = i\rho_1 - 1$ ,  $(i + 1)\rho_2 = i\rho_2$ , and  $(i + 1)\beta = i\beta$ . Let us first consider the case  $i\rho_1 = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $i\rho_2 = i + z$  where  $0\rho_2 = z$ . Hence,

$$(g, i, j)\rho = (g, i, j)((e, j, j)\rho) = (g(j\beta), i, j + z).$$

Thus, we may write

$$(1) \quad (g, i, j)\rho_{(\beta, z)} = (g(j\beta), i, j + z)$$

where  $\beta$  is a mapping of  $I$  into  $G$  such that  $(i + 1)\beta = (i\beta)\alpha$  for all  $i \in I$  and  $z \in I$ . Conversely it is easy to see that (1) is a right translation of  $S$ .

Next, suppose that there exists  $u \in I$  such that  $u\rho_1 \neq 0$ . It is easy to see that there then exists a unique  $t \in I$  such that  $(t + i)\rho_1 = -i$  if  $i < 0$  and  $(t + i)\rho_1 = 0$  if  $i \geq 0$ . If  $t\rho_2 = z$ , it follows that  $(t + i)\rho_2 = z + i$  if  $i \geq 0$  and  $(t + i)\rho_2 = z$  if  $i < 0$ . If we let  $t\beta = g_0$ , we see that  $(t + i)\beta = g_0 \alpha^i$  if  $i \geq 0$  and  $(t + i)\beta = g_0$  if  $i < 0$ . Since  $(e, i, i + n)(e, i, i) = (e, i, i + n)$  if  $n \geq 0$ ,  $(e, i, i + n)\rho = [(i\beta)\alpha^{n-i\rho_1}, i, n + i\rho_2 - i\rho_1]$  if  $n \geq i\rho_1$  and

$$(e, i, i + n)\rho = (i\beta, i + i\rho_1 - n, i\rho_2)$$

if  $i\rho_1 \geq n$  where  $n \in I^0$ . Since  $(g, i + n, i + m) = (g, i + n, i)(e, i, i + m)$ , we obtain

$$(2) \quad (g, i + n, i + m)\rho = \begin{cases} (g(i\beta)\alpha^{m-i\rho_1}, i + n, m + i\rho_2 - i\rho_1) & \text{if } m \geq i\rho_1, \\ (g\alpha^{i\rho_1-m}(i\beta), i + n + i\rho_1 - m, i\rho_2) & \text{if } i\rho_1 \geq m \end{cases}$$

where  $n, m \in I^0$ . We note that if  $(g, k, l) \in S$ ,  $k = i + n$ ,  $l = i + m$  ( $i \in I$ ,  $m \in I^0$ ) by (3; 4) and Theorem A.

If we let  $i = t + s$  in (2), then we obtain, if  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$(3) \quad (g, t + s + n, t + s + m)\rho = (g(g_0 \alpha^{s+m}), t + s + n, m + z + s)$$

and if  $s \leq 0$

$$(4) \quad (g, t + s + n, t + s + m)\rho = \begin{cases} (g(g_0 \alpha^{m+s}), t + s + n, m + z + s) & \text{if } m \geq -s, \\ (g\alpha^{-s-m} g_0, t + n - m, z) & \text{if } -s \geq m. \end{cases}$$

Now, let  $x = t + s + n$  and  $y = t + s + m$ . Thus, (3) becomes

$$(5) \quad (g, x, y)\rho = (g(g_0 \alpha^{y-t}), x, z + y - t) \quad \text{for } s \geq 0$$

and (4) becomes

$$(6) \quad (g, x, y)\rho = \begin{cases} (g(g_0 \alpha^{y-t}), x, z + y - t) & \text{if } m \geq -s, \\ (g\alpha^{t-y} g_0, t - y + x, z) & \text{if } -s \geq m \end{cases}$$

where  $s < 0$ . It is easily seen that (5) and (6) are equivalent to

$$(g, x, y)\rho = \begin{cases} (g(g_0 \alpha^{y-t}), x, z + y - t) & \text{if } y \geq t, \\ (g\alpha^{t-y}g_0, x + (t - y), z) & \text{if } y < t. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(g, x, y)\rho = (g\alpha^{t-\min(y,t)}g_0 \alpha^{y-\min(y,t)}, x + t - \min(y, t), y + z - \min(y, t)), \\ = (g, x, y)(g_0, t, z)$$

and  $\rho$  is an inner right translation.

Summarizing, every right translation of  $S$  is an inner right translation or is of the form (1).

In a similar manner, one shows that every left translation of  $S$  is an inner left translation or is of the form

$$(7) \quad (g, i, j)\lambda_{(\delta, z)} = ((i\delta)g, i + z, j)$$

where  $\delta$  is a mapping of  $I$  into  $G$  such that  $(i + 1)\delta = (i\delta)\alpha$  for  $i \in I$  and  $z \in I$ .

By Lemma 1,  $\rho_{(g, i, j)}$  and  $\lambda_{(h, k, l)}$  are linked if and only if  $(g, i, j) = (h, k, l)$ ,  $\rho_{(g, i, j)}$  and  $\lambda_{(\delta, z)}$  are not linked, and  $\rho_{(\beta, z)}$  and  $\lambda_{(g, i, j)}$  are not linked. (One sees easily that  $\lambda_{(\delta, z)}(\rho_{(\beta, z)})$  is not an inner left (right) translation.

Next, suppose that  $\rho_{(\beta, a)}$  and  $\lambda_{(\delta, b)}$  are linked. Thus,

$$((e, 0, 0)\rho_{(\beta, a)})(e, 0, 0) = (0\beta, 0, a)(e, 0, 0) = (e, 0, 0)((e, 0, 0)\lambda_{(\delta, b)}) \\ = (e, 0, 0)(0\delta, b, 0).$$

Hence by a straightforward calculation  $b = -a$ .

Now,

$$((e, i, i)\rho_{(\beta, a)})(e, i + a, i) = (i\beta, i, i + a)(e, i + a, i) = (i\beta, i, i) \\ = (e, i, i)((e, i + a, i)\lambda_{(\delta, -a)}) = ((i + a)\delta, i, i).$$

Hence  $i\beta = (i + a)\delta$ . By a straightforward calculation, these conditions are sufficient for linkage.

Thus, since there is one and only one left translation of  $S$  linked with each right translation of  $S$ , it follows that  $\bar{S}$  is isomorphic with the semigroup of right translations of  $S$ .† Hence

$$\bar{S} \setminus S = \{\rho_{(\beta, a)}: (i + 1)\beta = (i\beta)\alpha \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

Thus, if  $\rho_{(\beta, a)}, \rho_{(\gamma, b)}$  in  $\bar{S} \setminus S$ ,  $\rho_{(\beta, a)} \rho_{(\gamma, b)} = \rho_{(\beta \circ \rho_{\alpha\gamma, a+b})}$  and  $\bar{S} \setminus S$  is a semigroup.

$H = \{\beta \in M(I, G) / (i + 1)\beta = (i\beta)\alpha\}$  is a subgroup of  $M(I, G)$ . We have just shown that  $(\beta, a)\phi = (\lambda_{(\rho_{-a}\beta, -a)}, \rho_{(\beta, a)})$  defines an isomorphism of  $W = H \times I$  under the multiplication  $(*)$  onto  $\bar{S} \setminus S$ . It is easy to see that  $W$  under  $(*)$  is a group with identity  $(\beta_1, 0)$  where  $i\beta_1 = e$ , the identity of  $G$ , for all  $i \in I$  and the inverse of  $(\beta, a)$  is  $(\beta', -a)$  where  $i\beta' = ((i - a)\beta)^{-1}$  for all  $i \in I$ .

†We thank the referee for this remark.

By (2, Lemma 1-2) and (7),

$$(\beta, a) \circ (g, i, j) = (\lambda_{(\rho_{-a}\beta, -a)}, \rho_{(\beta, a)})(g, i, j) = (g, i, j)\lambda_{(\rho_{-a}\beta, -a)} = ((i\rho_{-a})\beta g, i - a, j).$$

$(g, i, j) \circ (\beta, a)$  is determined in a similar manner.

As usual (2),  $T = M^0(H, K, \Lambda, P)$  will denote a completely 0-simple semigroup with structure group  $H$  and  $\Lambda \times K$  sandwich matrix  $P$  and

$$M^0(H, K, K, \Delta)$$

will denote a Brandt semigroup (2, Theorem 3.9, p. 102).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $S = (G, \delta)$  and  $T = M^0(H; K, \Lambda; P)$ . Let  $I$  be the group of integers under addition, and let the following functions be given:*

$$\psi: K \rightarrow I, \quad \theta: \Lambda \rightarrow I, \quad \alpha: K \rightarrow G, \quad \beta: \Lambda \rightarrow G,$$

and  $\gamma$  be a homomorphism of  $H$  into  $G$  such that  $p_{\lambda i} \neq 0$  implies  $\lambda\theta = i\psi$  and  $(\lambda\beta)(i\alpha) = p_{\lambda i} \gamma$ . Then  $\phi$ , defined on  $T^*$  by

$$(a; i, \lambda)\phi = ((i\alpha)(a\gamma)(\lambda\beta), i\psi, \lambda\theta),$$

is a partial homomorphism of  $T^*$  into  $S$ . Conversely, every partial homomorphism of  $T^*$  into  $S$  is obtained in this fashion.

*Proof.* For the direct part, we have

$$(a; i, \lambda)\phi(b; k, u)\phi = ((i\alpha)(a\gamma)(\lambda\beta), i\psi, \lambda\theta)((k\alpha)(b\gamma)(u\beta), k\psi, u\theta);$$

If  $p_{\lambda k} \neq 0$ , then

$$(a; i, \lambda)(b; k, u)\phi = (ap_{\lambda k} b; i, u)\phi = ((i\alpha)(a\gamma)(p_{\lambda k}\gamma)(b\gamma)(u\beta), i\psi, u\theta).$$

Since  $p_{\lambda k} \neq 0$ ,  $k\psi = \lambda\theta$  and  $(\lambda\beta)(k\alpha) = p_{\lambda k}\gamma$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & ((i\alpha)(a\gamma)(\lambda\beta), i\psi, \lambda\theta)((k\alpha)(b\gamma)(u\beta), k\psi, u\theta) \\ &= ((i\alpha)(a\gamma)(\lambda\beta)(k\alpha)(b\gamma)(u\beta), i\psi, u\theta) \\ &= ((i\alpha)(a\gamma)(p_{\lambda k}\gamma)(b\gamma)(u\beta), i\psi, u\theta) \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\phi$  is a partial homomorphism of  $T^*$  into  $S$ .

Conversely, let  $\phi$  be a partial homomorphism of  $T^*$  into  $S$ . Since  $\phi$  maps  $\mathfrak{R}$ - ( $\mathfrak{L}$ -)classes into  $\mathfrak{R}$ - ( $\mathfrak{L}$ -)classes,  $(a; i, j)\phi = (g; i\psi, j\theta)$ , where  $g \in G$  and  $\psi(\theta)$  is a mapping of  $K(\Lambda)$  into  $I$ . We suppose that  $K \cap \Lambda = 1$  and that  $p_{11} = e$ , the identity of  $H$ . Now,  $(e; i, 1)\phi = (i\alpha, i\psi, 1\theta)$  and

$$(e; 1, \lambda)\phi = (\lambda\beta; 1\psi, \lambda\theta),$$

where  $\alpha(\beta)$  is a mapping of  $K(\Lambda)$  into  $G$ . Since  $(e; 1, 1)$  is idempotent,  $(e; 1, 1)\phi = (E, 1\psi, 1\theta)$ , where  $E$  is the identity of  $G$ , and  $1\psi = 1\theta$ . Define  $\gamma$  on  $H$  by  $(a; 1, 1)\phi = (a\gamma, 1\psi, 1\theta)$ . Since  $(a; 1, 1)(b; 1, 1) = (ab; 1, 1)$ ,  $(a\gamma, 1\psi, 1\theta)(b\gamma, 1\psi, 1\theta) = ((ab)\gamma, 1\psi, 1\theta)$  and  $(a\gamma)(b\gamma) = (ab)\gamma$ , i.e.  $\gamma$  is a homomorphism of  $H$  into  $G$ . Since  $(e; 1, \lambda)(e; i, 1) = (p_{\lambda i}; 1, 1)$ ,  $p_{\lambda i} \neq 0$

implies  $(\lambda\beta, 1\psi, \lambda\theta)(i\alpha, i\psi, 1\theta) = (p_{\lambda i} \gamma, 1\psi, 1\theta)$ . Hence it easily follows that  $p_{\lambda i} \neq 0$  implies  $i\psi = \lambda\theta$  and  $(\lambda\beta)(i\alpha) = p_{\lambda i} \gamma$ . Finally

$$\begin{aligned} (a; i, \lambda)\phi &= (e; i, 1)\phi(a; 1, 1)\phi(e; 1, \lambda)\phi \\ &= (i\alpha, i\psi, 1\theta)(a\gamma, 1\psi, 1\theta)(\lambda\beta, 1\psi, \lambda\theta) = ((i\alpha)(a\gamma)(\lambda\beta), i\psi, \lambda\theta). \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $S = (G, \delta)$ ,  $T = M^0(H; K; K; \Delta)$ , and  $\psi, \alpha, \gamma$  be as in Theorem 2. Then  $\phi$ , defined on  $T^*$  by*

$$(a; i, j)\phi = ((i\alpha)(a\gamma)(j\alpha)^{-1}, i\psi, j\psi),$$

*is a partial homomorphism of  $T^*$  into  $S$ . Conversely, every partial homomorphism of  $T^*$  into  $S$  is obtained in this fashion.*

*Remark 1.* If one replaces  $I$  by  $I^0$ , the semigroup of non-negative integers under addition in Theorem 2 (Corollary 1), the theorem will give all partial homomorphisms of a completely 0-simple (Brandt) semigroup into an  $\omega$ -bi-simple semigroup (a bisimple semigroup  $S$  such that  $E_S$  is order isomorphic to the non-negative integers under the reverse of the usual order (see (6) for example). Since such semigroups have an identity, all their extensions are determined by partial homomorphisms (2, p. 138, Theorem 4.19). We note that the proofs of Theorem 2 for the  $\omega$ -bisimple and  $I$ -bisimple cases are almost identical.

*Remark 2.* The statements of Remark 1 are valid for a class of bisimple semigroups  $S$  for which  $E_S$  is  $n$ -lexicographically ordered (6).

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $S$  be a weakly reductive semigroup and let  $\bar{S}$  be its translational hull. Let  $T$  be a 0-bisimple semigroup having proper divisors of zero. If  $S = \bar{S}$  or  $\bar{S} \setminus S$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ , then every extension of  $S$  by  $T$  is given by a partial homomorphism.*

*Proof.* If  $S = \bar{S}$ ,  $S$  has an identity, and the result follows from (2, p. 138, Theorem 4.19). Thus, assume that  $\bar{S} \setminus S$  is a semigroup. Let  $(V, \circ)$  be an extension of  $S$  by  $T$ . Then, there exists an extension  $(\bar{V}, *)$  of  $\bar{S}$  by  $T$  such that  $(V, \circ)$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\bar{V}, *)$  (2, p. 139, Theorem 4.20). By (2, p. 138, Theorem 4.19),  $\bar{V}$  is determined by a partial homomorphism  $\theta$ . Since  $T \setminus 0$  is a  $\mathfrak{D}$ -class,  $(T \setminus 0)\theta$  is contained in a  $\mathfrak{D}$ -class of  $\bar{S}$ . Thus,  $(T \setminus 0)\theta$  is contained in  $\bar{S} \setminus S$  or  $S$  since  $S$  is an ideal of  $\bar{S}$  (2, p. 11, Lemma 1.2). If  $A, B \in T \setminus 0$  and  $AB = 0$  (in  $T$ ),  $A\theta B\theta \in S$ . Thus, since  $\bar{S} \setminus S$  is a semigroup,  $(T \setminus 0)\theta \subseteq S$  and  $(V, \circ)$  is given by a partial homomorphism.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $S$  be an  $I$ -bisimple semigroup and  $T$  be a 0-bisimple semigroup with proper divisors of zero. Every extension of  $S$  by  $T$  is given by a partial homomorphism. In particular, if  $T$  is a completely 0-simple (Brandt) semigroup with proper divisors of zero, then every extension of  $S$  by  $T$  is given by a partial homomorphism. An explicit multiplication for these extensions is thus given by employing Theorem 2 (Corollary 1).*

*Proof.* The first part of the theorem is a consequence of Lemma 1, Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 while the second part follows from the first part (2, p. 79, Theorem 2.51 and p. 102, Theorem 3.9).

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $S = (G, \delta)$  be an I-bisimple semigroup and let  $T = M^0(R, K, \Lambda; P)$  be a completely 0-simple semigroup without proper divisors of zero. Let  $V$  be an extension of  $S$  by  $T$ . Then, either  $V$  is given by a partial homomorphism and an explicit multiplication for  $V$  is thus given by employing Theorem 2 or  $V = (T \setminus 0)US$  under the multiplication*

$$(8) \quad (a; s, \lambda) * (g, i, j) = ((i\rho_{-(k_s+i_a+t_\lambda)})(\beta_s \circ \rho_{k_s} \theta_a \circ \rho_{k_s+i_a} \gamma_\lambda))g, i - k_a - i_a - t_\lambda, j),$$

$$(9) \quad (g, i, j) * (a; s, \lambda) = (g(j(\beta_s \circ \rho_{k_s} \theta_a \circ \rho_{k_s+i_a} \gamma_\lambda)), i, j + k_s + i_a + t_\lambda)$$

where  $(g, i, j) \in S$  and  $(a; s, \lambda) \in T \setminus 0$ ,  $\circ$  denotes the product in  $M(I, G)$ ,  $a \rightarrow i_a$  is a homomorphism of  $R$  into  $(I, +)$ ,  $a \rightarrow \theta_a$  is mapping of  $R$  into  $H$  (see statement of Theorem 1) such that  $\theta_{ab} = \theta_a \circ \rho_{i_a} \theta_b$  for all  $a, b$  in  $R$ ,  $s \rightarrow \beta_s$  is a mapping of  $K$  into  $H$ ,  $s \rightarrow k_s$  is a mapping of  $K$  into  $I$ ,  $\lambda \rightarrow \gamma_\lambda$  is a mapping of  $\Lambda$  into  $H$ , and  $\lambda \rightarrow t_\lambda$  is a mapping of  $\Lambda$  into  $I$  such that  $i_{p_{\lambda s}} = t_\lambda + k_s$  and  $\theta_{p_{\lambda s}} = \gamma_\lambda \circ \rho_{t_\lambda} \beta_s$ . Conversely, (8) and (9) define an extension of  $S$  by  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{S}$  be the translational hull of  $S$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3, there exists an extension  $(\bar{V}, x)$  of  $\bar{S}$  by  $T$  given by a partial homomorphism  $\theta$  such that  $(V, *)$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\bar{V}, x)$ . Clearly,  $(T \setminus 0)\theta \subseteq S$  or  $(\bar{S} \setminus S)$ . In the first case  $V$  is given by the partial homomorphism  $\theta$ . Thus, in the notation of Theorem 1, it is only necessary to consider the homomorphisms  $\theta$  of  $T^*$  into  $W$ . By (2, p. 143, Theorem 4.22), we have that  $(a; s, \lambda)\theta = u_s(a\omega)v_\lambda$  where  $s \rightarrow u_s$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow v_\lambda$  are mappings of  $K$  into  $W$  and  $\Lambda$  into  $W$ , respectively, and  $\omega$  is a homomorphism of  $R$  into  $W$  such that  $p_{\lambda s} \omega = v_\lambda u_s$  for all  $s$  in  $K$  and  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda$ . Let  $H$  be as in the statement of Theorem 1. It is easily seen that  $a\omega = (\theta_a, i_a)$  where  $a \rightarrow i_a$  is a homomorphism of  $R$  into  $(I, +)$  and  $a \rightarrow \theta_a$  is a mapping of  $R$  into  $H$  such that  $\theta_{ab} = \theta_a \circ \rho_{i_a} \theta_b$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . We may write  $u_s = (\beta_s, k_s)$  where  $s \rightarrow \beta_s$  is a mapping of  $K$  into  $H$  and  $s \rightarrow k_s$  is a mapping of  $K$  into  $I$  and  $v_\lambda = (\gamma_\lambda, t_\lambda)$  where  $\lambda \rightarrow \gamma_\lambda$  is a mapping of  $\Lambda$  into  $H$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow t_\lambda$  is a mapping of  $\Lambda$  into  $I$ . Thus,  $(\theta_{p_{\lambda s}}, i_{p_{\lambda s}}) = (\gamma_\lambda, t_\lambda)(\beta_s, k_s)$ . Hence  $i_{p_{\lambda s}} = t_\lambda + k_s$  and  $\theta_{p_{\lambda s}} = \gamma_\lambda \circ \rho_{t_\lambda} \beta_s$ . Thus,

$$(a; s, \lambda)\theta = (\beta_s, k_s)(\theta_a, i_a)(\gamma_\lambda, t_\lambda) = (\beta_s \circ \rho_{k_s} \theta_a \circ \rho_{k_s+i_a} \gamma_\lambda, k_s + i_a + t_\lambda).$$

Hence, by (2, p. 11, Lemma 1.2) and the proof of Theorem 1, if

$$(g, i, j) \in S, \quad (a; s, \lambda) * (g, i, j) = (g, i, j)\lambda_{(\rho_{-z}\delta, -z)} = (i(\rho_{-z}\delta)g, i - z, j)$$

where  $\delta = \beta_s \circ \rho_{k_s} \theta_a \circ \rho_{k_s+i_a} \gamma_\lambda$  and  $z = k_s + i_a + t_\lambda$  and (8) follows. One obtains (9) in a similar manner. Reversing our steps and using (2, Theorem 4.22), we see that the last statement of the theorem is valid.

*Remark 3.* In the special case  $T \setminus 0$  is a group  $R$ ,  $V$  is either given by a partial homomorphism or (8) and (9) become

$$a * (g, i, j) = ((i\rho_{-i_a} \theta_a)g, i - i_a, j)$$

and

$$(g, i, j) * a = (g(j\theta_a), i, j + i_a)$$

respectively.

*Remark 4.* If  $T$  is a 0-bisimple semigroup without proper divisors of zero, an extension of  $S = (G, \delta)$  by  $T$  is either given by a partial homomorphism or the equations in Remark 3 with  $a \rightarrow \theta_a$  a mapping of  $T \setminus 0$  into  $H$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford, *Extensions of semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 68 (1950), 165–173.
2. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, vol. I, Math. Surveys, No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence, 1961).
3. R. J. Warne, *A characterization of certain regular  $d$ -classes in semi-groups*, Illinois J. Math., 9 (1965), 304–306.
4. ——— *Regular  $d$ -classes whose idempotents obey certain conditions*, Duke Math. J., 33 (1966), 187–196.
5. ——— *Extensions of completely 0-simple semigroups by completely 0-simple semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
6. ——— *A class of bisimple inverse semigroups*, Pacific J. Math., to appear.
7. ——— *Extensions of Brandt semigroups*, to appear.
8. ——— *I-bisimple semigroups*, to appear.

*West Virginia University,  
Morgantown, West Virginia*