writings uncovers how the reformer's position on the Jews was ultimately ambivalent. A firm believer in supersessionism – the conviction that Jews were replaced by Christians as God's chosen people – Osiander could easily support expulsion of the Jews from Nuremberg and criticize Jews for practicing usury and neglecting the study of Scripture. Yet such views did not prevent him from passionately defending the Jews against accusations of blood libel – a position that in the tense eschatological climate of early Lutheranism, as Thomas rightly reminds us, could easily produce allegations of judaizing. Though the English translation of the treatise that is appended to the book will surely serve scholars working in a number of fields, the chapter's overall attempt to prove that "Osiander was still far more tolerant toward the Jews than the majority of sixteenth-century Christians" (183) will be too apologetic for some readers.

On the whole, this is a fine study that offers a set of useful descriptions of how the Jewish people and the Ottoman Turks served as mirrors in which Osiander and his fellow Lutherans could reflect on their own beliefs. Not all arguments are new, and sometimes the author could have further integrated the vibrant recent historiography on Christian Hebraism and European engagements with the Ottomans to flesh out some of the complexities of the material. A more sustained analysis of how Osiander's thinking on Jews and Turks intersected also would have added further depth and coherence to the story that unfolds across the chapters. Yet most readers of this journal will find in this book much valuable information about Osiander and the place of the religious Other in the making of early Lutheranism. The Apocalypse in Reformation Nuremberg is thus a welcome contribution to the intellectual history of the Reformation and Central European history more broadly.

doi:10.1017/S0008938924000141

Strange Brethren: Refugees, Religious Bonds, and Reformation in Frankfurt, 1554-1608

By Maximilian Miguel Scholz. Charlottesville and London: University of Virginia Press, 2022. Pp. 262. Cloth \$45.00. ISBN: 978-0813946757.

Sean Dunwoody
SUNY Binghamton

In March 1554, twenty-four families arrived in Frankfurt am Main. Originally from the Low Countries, flight from religious persecution had led them to England, but now, captained by the Walloon minister Valérand Poullain, they were forced into renewed exile by the premature death of King Edward VI. Poullain himself had been on the move for more than a decade, fleeing from one short-term refuge to another, up and down the Rhine River, from Strasbourg down to Wesel, before he moved across the Channel. Finally, in Frankfurt, they temporarily found safety and welcome. Unfortunately for Poullain, for his successorministers, and for many of the French- and Dutch-speaking Reformed who comprised what became the refugee congregation(s) in Frankfurt, the Lutheran city on the Main River proved less hospitable to their community than initially hoped.

As Maximilian Miguel Scholz shows in this well-written and lucid study, Poullain and his fellow Reformed found their refuge unsettled by the growing alienation between Reformed and Lutheran Protestants in the Holy Roman Empire and across Europe. As Scholz argues, it was, in fact, refugee groups like Poullain's who "provoked the creation of confessional

boundaries" (4). Scholz's work thus intersects productively with recent work from Geert Janssen, Nicholas Terpstra, and others on exile experiences and the dynamics of confessional identity.

Starting with Poullain's small group, Frankfurt quickly became home to dozens and dozens of additional Reformed households, comprising French-, Dutch-, and even English-speakers. Drawn by the promise of toleration and economic opportunity, these refugees came in such numbers that by the end of 1556 they numbered over one thousand people. As Scholz notes, these numbers only grew in the course of the sixteenth century, as Frankfurt remained a destination for Reformed refugees. Even after years of ongoing outmigration to other destinations in the region, Reformed Christians constituted some ten percent of the city's population.

These refugees stirred concern among the local Lutheran clergy. Not only fears of Anabaptism—fears, Scholz demonstrates, which were quite out of place given Poullain's own intolerance—but also "a nearly constant state of intramural discord" (163) within the diverse community were sources of the ministers' and soon the city council's concerns. Differences of language, custom, and sacramental theology undermined the council's initially idealistic tolerance of the foreigners. As Scholz shows in chapter 3, already in 1561 Reformed Christians had lost access to church space; baptisms and marriages were now conducted exclusively by Lutheran clergy. Frankfurt's Reformed Christians were forced to live a religious life on the margins, scrambling for makeshift worship space in and out of the city. Though still important for the city's economy, they remained religious outsiders, prompting efforts to arrange a new refuge, as Scholz describes in his fourth chapter. In 1562 and 1597, some of Frankfurt's Reformed entered into agreements with neighboring princes in Frankenthal and Hanau, where they enjoyed the right to work and the freedom of public worship. Still, as Scholz sketches in the fifth, closing chapter, Frankfurt remained home to an embattled but resilient Reformed community throughout the sixteenth century.

This story is offered in clean, polished prose over five well-structured chapters. Scholz's narrative has much to recommend it, but the book's scope is necessarily limited by the sources consulted. As Scholz explains, writing the history of Frankfurt is a daunting undertaking; the municipal archives were largely obliterated during the Second World War. Historians of early modern Frankfurt have thus had to devise creative workarounds. For his part, Scholz relies especially on documents collected and edited in earlier centuries, often for the Reformed community's own purposes. These records-ministerial, consistorial, and, occasionally, magisterial—permit some reconstruction of this era of Frankfurt's history, which Scholz has done successfully. But the perspective offered privileges the legal and political preoccupations of the Reformed community's leadership. From these sources, we get little sense of why the majority of Frankfurt's Reformed community did not follow the lure of more secure religious freedoms in Hanau and Frankenthal. From these sources, we get little sense of the extent to which the majority of Frankfurt's Reformed were or were not frustrated in their economic or social aspirations by the limitations on their freedom of worship. Scholz offers tantalizing hints of the importance of economic considerations for both Frankfurt's council and Reformed residents, but the issue remains largely under-illuminated. As a consequence, Scholz's story centers the frustrated hopes, disappointed Protestant fraternity, and determined survival that structure the Reformed community's leadership's own vision of their experiences. Pragmatism, everyday indifference, and messy compromise—suggested by some of the details Scholz shares from consistorial records—remain harder to appreciate.

Yet such absences should not detract from the appeal of what is present. The book succeeds in offering a clear, persuasive study of Reformed clergy's ability to withstand official intolerance and ensure community cohesion in this important imperial city. Scholars interested in early modern religious coexistence or in confessional tensions in the German lands will welcome Maximilian Miguel Scholz's book and profit from it.

doi:10.1017/S0008938924000165