# DERIVED FUNCTORS AND HILBERT POLYNOMIALS OVER REGULAR LOCAL RINGS

## TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL

Department of Mathematics, IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai, India Email: tputhen@gmail.com

(Received 19 January 2024)

Abstract Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a regular local ring of dimension  $d \geq 1$ , I an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Let N be a nonzero finitely generated A-module. Consider the functions

$$t^I(N,n) = \sum_{i=0}^d \ell(\operatorname{Tor}_i^A(N,A/I^n)) \text{ and } e^I(N,n) = \sum_{i=0}^d \ell(\operatorname{Ext}_A^i(N,A/I^n))$$

of polynomial type and let their degrees be  $t^I(N)$  and  $e^I(N)$ . We prove that  $t^I(N) = e^I(N) = \max\{\dim N, d-1\}$ . A crucial ingredient in the proof is that  $D^b(A)_f$ , the bounded derived category of A with finite length cohomology, has no proper thick subcategories.

Keywords: torsion and extension functors; bounded homotopy category of projectives

2020 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 13D02; 13D07Secondary 13A30; 13D40; 13D09

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, all rings considered are commutative, Noetherian, local with unity and all modules considered will be finitely generated. Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring of dimension  $d \geq 1$ , I an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in A and let L be an A-module. If T is an A-module of finite length then we denote by  $\ell(T)$  its length. The Hilbert–Samuel polynomial  $n \mapsto \ell(L/I^nL)$  of L with respect to I is well-studied. It is known that it is of polynomial type and of degree dim L. Considerably less is known of the function  $n \mapsto \ell(\operatorname{Tor}_i^A(L, A/I^n))$  for  $i \geq 1$ . It is known that this function is of polynomial type and of degree  $\leq d-1$ . There are some results which show under certain conditions the maximal degree is attained, see [2], [4] and [7]. However this function can also be identically zero, see [7, Remark 20]. Similarly not much is known of the function  $n \mapsto \ell(\operatorname{Ext}_A^i(L, A/I^n))$  for  $i \geq 1$ . It is known that this function is of polynomial type and of degree  $\leq d-1$ . There are some results which show under certain conditions the maximal degree is attained, see [1], [3]. Even less is known

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on Behalf of The Edinburgh Mathematical Society.



1137

of the functions  $n \mapsto \ell(\operatorname{Tor}_i^A(L, M/I^nM))$  and  $n \mapsto \ell(\operatorname{Ext}_A^i(L, M/I^nM))$  where M is an A-module

Perhaps the first case to consider for these functions is when A is regular. In this case, projdim N is finite for any A-module N. Surprisingly, we found out that the functions

$$t_M^I(N,n) = \sum_{i=0}^d \ell(\operatorname{Tor}_i^A(N,M/I^nM)) \text{ and } e_M^I(N,n) = \sum_{i=0}^d \ell(\operatorname{Ext}_A^i(N,A/I^n))$$

are easier to tackle. One can then work with  $K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ , the homotopy category of bounded complexes of projective A-modules, which is the bounded derived category of A. More generally, let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring (not necessarily regular). Let  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \colon \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{-1} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{0} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{1}$  be a complex of A-modules. In [9, Proposition 3], it is shown that if  $\ell(H^0(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^n M))$  is of polynomial type. The precise degree of this polynomial is difficult to determine (a general upper bound for the degree is given in [9, Proposition 3]).

**1.1.** In this paper, we prove a surprising result. Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and let  $K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  be the homotopy category of bounded complexes of projective A-modules, Let  $K^b_f(\operatorname{proj} A)$  denote the homotopy category of bounded complexes of projective A-modules with finite length cohomology. Let  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in K^b_f(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . We note that for any A-module M and an ideal I we have  $\ell(H^i(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^n M))$  which has finite length for all  $n \geq 1$  and for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The main point of this paper is that it is better to look at the function

$$\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(H^i(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^nM)), \quad \text{for } n \ge 1.$$

We know that  $\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n)$  is of polynomial type say of degree  $r_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet})$ . The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 1.2.** [with hypotheses as in 1.1]. Assume  $M \neq 0$  and  $I \neq A$ . Then, there exists a nonnegative integer  $r_I^M$  depending only on I and M such if  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  is nonzero then  $r_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = r_I^M$ .

The essential reason why this happens is because  $K_f^b(\text{proj }A)$  has no proper thick subcategories.

**1.3.** Thus, to determine  $r_I^M(X)$ , it suffices to compute it for a single nonzero complex  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}$  in  $K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we show

Theorem 1.4. [with hypotheses as in Theorem 1.2]. If dim M>0 and I is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary then  $r_I^M=\dim M-1$ .

**1.5.** Let A be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring. Let  $I \neq A$  be an ideal of A and let M be a nonzero A-module. If L is a nonzero module of finite length and finite projective dimension, set  $t_M^I(L,n)$  and  $e_M^I(L,n)$  as before. Also let  $t_M^I(L)$  and  $e_M^I(L)$  denote the degree of the corresponding functions of polynomial type. We show

Corollary 1.6. (with hypotheses as in 1.5). Let  $L_1, L_2$  be two nonzero modules of finite length and finite projective dimension. Then

$$t_M^I(L_1) = t_M^I(L_2) = e_M^I(L_1) = e_M^I(L_2).$$

**1.7.** We now consider the case when  $\dim M > 0$  and I is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary. Let  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . Then by  $[9, \operatorname{Proposition} 3]$ , it follows that  $\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n)$  is of degree

$$s_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \le \max\{\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M), \dim M - 1\}.$$

Furthermore if dim  $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) \geq \dim M$  then  $s_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = \dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M)$ . We prove

Theorem 1.8. (with hypotheses as in 1.7) We have

$$s_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = \max\{\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M), \dim M - 1\}.$$

**1.9.** Let  $I \neq A$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A and let M be a A-module with dim M > 0. If L is a nonzero module of finite projective dimension, set  $t_M^I(L,n)$  and  $e_M^I(L,n)$  as before. Also let  $t_M^I(L)$  and  $e_M^I(L)$  denote the degree of the corresponding functions of polynomial type. As an application of Theorem 1.8, we have

Corollary 1.10. (with hypotheses as in 1.9). We have

$$t_M^I(L) = e_M^I(L) = \max\{\dim M \otimes L, \dim M - 1\}.$$

As an application of this corollary (with N = L and M = A), we get the result stated in the abstract.

We now describe in brief the contents of this paper. In § 2, we discuss a few preliminary results. In § 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.6. In § 4, we give a proof of Theorem 1.4. In § 5, we give a proof of Theorem 1.8. Finally, in § 6, we give a proof of Corollary 1.10.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we discuss a few preliminary results that we need. We use [6] for notation on triangulated categories. However, we will assume that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a triangulated category then  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$  is a set for any objects X,Y of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- **2.1.** Let C be an essentially small triangulated category with shift operator  $\Sigma$  and let Iso(C) be the set of isomorphism classes of objects in C. By a weak triangle function on C, we mean a function  $\xi \colon Iso(C) \to \mathbb{Z}$  such that
  - (1)  $\xi(X) \geq 0$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .
  - (2)  $\xi(0) = 0$ .
  - (3)  $\xi(X \oplus Y) = \xi(X) + \xi(Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ .
  - (4)  $\xi(\Sigma X) = \xi(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .
  - (5) If  $X \to Y \to Z \to \Sigma X$  is a triangle in C then  $\xi(Z) \leq \xi(X) + \xi(Y)$ .

**2.2.** Set

$$\ker \xi = \{ X \mid \xi(X) = 0 \}.$$

The following result (essentially an observation) is a crucial ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.3. (with hypotheses as above).  $\ker \xi$  is a thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Proof.** We have

- (1)  $0 \in \ker \xi$ .
- (2) If  $X \cong Y$  and  $X \in \ker \xi$ . Then note  $\xi(Y) = \xi(X) = 0$ . So  $Y \in \ker \xi$ .
- (3) If  $X \in \ker \xi$  then note  $\xi(\Sigma X) = \xi(X) = 0$ . So  $\Sigma X \in \ker \xi$ . Similarly  $\Sigma^{-1}X \in \ker \xi$ .
- (4) If  $X \to Y \to Z \to \Sigma X$  is a triangle in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $X, Y \in \ker \xi$ . Then note

$$0 \le \xi(Z) \le \xi(X) + \xi(Y) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

So  $Z \in \ker \xi$ .

(5) If  $X \oplus Y \in \ker \xi$  then  $\xi(X) + \xi(Y) = \xi(X \oplus Y) = 0$ . As  $\xi(X), \xi(Y)$  are nonnegative, it follows that  $\xi(X) = \xi(Y) = 0$ . Thus  $X, Y \in \ker \xi$ .

It follows that ker  $\xi$  is a thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**2.4.** Let A be a ring. Let  $K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  be the homotopy category of bounded complexes of projective complexes. We index complexes cohomologically,

$$\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} : \cdots \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{n-1} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{n} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{n+1} \to \cdots$$

We note that  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} = 0$  in  $K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  if and only if  $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = 0$ . If  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} = 0$  in  $K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  then note that  $H^*(X \otimes N) = 0$  for any A-module N.

**2.5.** Let  $K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  denote the homotopy category of bounded complexes of projective complexes with finite length cohomology. We note that if  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  and N is an A-module then  $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes N)$  also has finite length. To see this if P is a prime ideal in A with  $P \neq \mathfrak{m}$  then

$$H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes_A N)_P = H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet P} \otimes_{A_P} N_P) = 0 \quad \text{as } \mathbf{X}_{\bullet P} = 0 \text{ in } K^b(\operatorname{proj} A_P).$$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in K^b(\text{proj } A)$  be nonzero. Let  $N \neq 0$ . Then  $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes N) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** We may assume  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}$  is a minimal complex. Furthermore (after a shift), we may assume that  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{0} \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{i} = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $H^{0}(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = E \neq 0$  since  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}$  is minimal. It is straight forward to check that  $H^{0}(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes N) = E \otimes N \neq 0$ . The result follows.

**2.7.** Suppose for an A-module M and an ideal I we have  $\ell(H^i(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^nM))$  has finite length for all  $n \geq 1$  and for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the function

$$\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(H^{i}(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^{n}M)), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

By [9, Proposition 3] we know that  $\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n)$  is of polynomial type say of degree  $r_I^M(X)$  and

$$r_I(M) \leq \dim M$$
.

- **2.8.** Let I be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in A and let M be an A-module. An element  $x \in I$  is said to be M-superficial with respect to I if there exists c such that  $(I^{n+1}M:x) \cap I^cM = I^nM$  for all  $n \gg 0$ . Superficial elements exist when  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite, (see [8, p. 7] for the case when M = A; the same proof generalizes).
- **2.9.** If grade(I, M) > 0 and x is M-superficial with respect to I then x is M-regular. This fact is well-known. We give a proof due to lack of a suitable reference. Let  $(I^{n+1}M: x) \cap I^cM = I^nM$  for all  $n \gg 0$ . Let  $u \in I$  be M-regular. If xm = 0 then  $xu^cm = 0$ . So  $u^cm \in I^n$  for all  $n \gg 0$ . Thus  $u^cm = 0$  and so m = 0.
- **2.10.** A sequence  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r \in M$  is said to be an M-superficial sequence if  $x_i$  is  $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$ -superficial for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . If  $\operatorname{grade}(I, M) \geq r$  then it follows from 2.9 that  $\mathbf{x}$  is an A-regular sequence.

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.6

In this section, we give proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.6. We first give

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** By 2.6, it follows that the function  $\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus  $r_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \neq 0$ . Also by 2.7,  $r_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \leq \dim A$  for any  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . Let

$$c = \max\{r_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \mid \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \neq 0\}.$$

For  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \in K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)_f$  define

$$\eta(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{c!}{n^c} \psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n).$$

Clearly  $\eta(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Furthermore if  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{\bullet}$  then clearly  $\eta(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = \eta(\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet})$ . Thus, we have a function  $\eta$ : Iso $(K_f^b(\text{proj }A)) \to \mathbb{Z}$  where Iso $(K_f^b(\text{proj }A))$  denotes the set of isomorphism classes of objects in  $K_f^b(\text{proj }A)$ .

Claim:  $\eta$  is a weak triangle function on  $K_f^b(\text{proj }A)$ .

Assume the claim for the time-being. By 2.3,  $\ker \eta$  is a thick subcategory of  $K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . Let  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}$  be such that  $r_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = c$ . Then  $\eta(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) > 0$ . So  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \notin \ker \eta$ . Thus  $\ker \eta \neq K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . By [5, Lemma 1.2], it follows that  $\ker \eta = 0$ . Thus  $r_I^M(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = c$  for any  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \neq 0$  in  $K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ .

It remains to prove the claim. The first four properties of definition in 2.1 are trivial to verify. Let  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1]$  be a triangle in  $K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . Then  $\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \cong \operatorname{cone}(f)$  and we have an exact sequence in  $C^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ 

$$0 \to \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \to \operatorname{cone}(f) \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1] \to 0.$$

As  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{i}$  are free A-modules we have an exact sequence for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$0 \to \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^nM \to \operatorname{cone}(f) \otimes M/I^nM \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1] \otimes M/I^nM \to 0.$$

Taking homology we have

$$\psi_{\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n) \leq \psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n) + \psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1]}^{M,I}(n)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . It follows that

$$\eta(\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet}) \leq \eta(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) + \eta(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1]) = \eta(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) + \eta(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}).$$

Thus,  $\eta$  is a weak triangle function on  $K_f^b(\text{proj }A)$ .

Next we give

**Proof of Corollary 1.6.** By Theorem 1.2, we have that there exists c with  $r_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = c$  for any nonzero  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . Let L be a nonzero finite length A-module with finite projective dimension. Let  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}$  be a minimal projective resolution of L. Then  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  and is nonzero. It follows that  $r_I^M(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = c$ . Observe that  $r_I^M(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = t_M^I(L)$ . Set  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}^* = \operatorname{Hom}_A(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}, A)$ . Note that  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}^* \in K_f^b(A)$  and is nonzero. Also observe

$$\operatorname{Ext}_A^*(L, M/I^n M) = H^*(\operatorname{Hom}_A(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}, M/I^n M) \cong H^*(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}^* \otimes_A M/I^n M).$$

Therefore

$$e_M^I(L) = r_I^M(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}^*) = c.$$

The result follows.

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we assume  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is local ring, M is an A-module with dim M > 0 and I is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.4. We first discuss the invariant  $r_I^M(A)$  under base change.

#### **4.1.** Base change:

(1) We first consider a flat base change  $A \to B$  where  $(B, \mathfrak{n})$  is local and  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}B$ . We claim that  $r_I^M(A) = r_{IB}^{M \otimes_A B}(B)$ .

In this case, we first observe that if E is an A-module of finite length then  $\ell_B(E \otimes_A B) = \ell_A(E)$ . Also if  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}$  is a bounded complex of A-modules with finite length cohomology then  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes_A B$  is a bounded complex of B-modules with finite length cohomology and  $\ell_B(H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes B)) = \ell_A(H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}))$ . If  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  then  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_A B \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} B)$ . Let  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  be nonzero. Set

$$\psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet},A}^{M,I}(n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_A(H^i(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^n M)), \text{ for } n \ge 1.$$

Then

$$\psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_{A}B,B}^{M \otimes_{A}B,B}(n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_{B}(H^{i}(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_{A} B \otimes_{B} (M/I^{n}M \otimes_{A} B))$$
$$= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_{B}(H^{i}((\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_{A} M/I^{n}M) \otimes_{A} B))$$
$$= \psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet},A}^{M,I}(n).$$

It follows that degree of the function  $\psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet},A}^{M,I}(n)$  is equal to degree of  $\psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}\otimes A}^{M\otimes A}{}^{B,IB}(n)$ . The result follows.

(2) If  $(Q, \mathfrak{n}) \to (A, \mathfrak{m})$  is a surjective ring homomorphism and if J is any  $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal in Q with JA = I then  $r_I^M(A) = r_J^M(Q)$ . To see this, if  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} Q)$  then  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_Q A \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . Let  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} Q)$  be nonzero. Set

$$\psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet},Q}^{M,J}(n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_Q(H^i(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_Q M/J^n M), \text{ for } n \ge 1.$$

Then

$$\psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_{Q} A, A}^{M,I}(n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_{A}(H^{i}(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_{Q} A \otimes_{A} M/I^{n}M)$$
$$= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_{Q}(H^{i}((\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \otimes_{Q} M/J^{n}M))$$
$$= \psi_{\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}, Q}^{M,J}(n).$$

The result follows.

(3) If  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \operatorname{ann}_A M$  then note that M can be considered as a  $C = A/\mathfrak{q}$ -module. Set  $J = (I + \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q})$ . Note J is primary to the maximal ideal of C. Then  $r_I^M = r_J^M$ . The proof of this assertion is similar to (2).

We now give

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** By 1.7, we have  $r_I^M \leq \dim M - 1$ . We first do the following base-changes:

(1) If the residue field of A is finite then we set  $B = A[X]_{\mathfrak{m}A[X]}$  then  $(B, \mathfrak{n})$  is a flat extension of A with  $\mathfrak{m}B = \mathfrak{n}$  and the residue field of B is k(X) is infinite. So we replace M by  $M \otimes_A B$  and I by IB (see 4.1(1)).

- (2) We then complete A (see 4.1(1)).
- (3) By (1), (2) we assume A is complete with an infinite residue field. Let A be a quotient of a regular local ring Q. Then, we can replace A by Q (see 4.1(2)).
- (4) By (3), we can assume A is regular local with infinite residue field. We note  $a = \operatorname{grade}(\operatorname{ann} M) = \operatorname{height} \operatorname{ann} M$ . Choose  $y_1, \ldots, y_a \in \operatorname{ann} M$  an A-regular sequence. By 4.1(3), we can replace A with  $A/(y_1, \ldots, y_a)$ .

Thus, we can assume A is Cohen–Macaulay with infinite residue field and  $\dim A = \dim M > 0$ . Let  $d = \dim A$  and let  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$  be a maximal  $M \oplus A$ -superficial sequence with respect to I. Then as  $\mathbf{x}$  is an A-superficial sequence with respect to I it is an A-regular sequence, see 2.10. Let  $\mathbf{K}_{\bullet}$  be the Koszul complex on  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then  $\mathbf{K}_{\bullet} \in K_f^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ . We also note that as  $x_1$  is M-superficial with respect to I there exists c and  $n_0$  such that  $(I^nM:x_1)\cap I^cM=I^{n-1}M$  for all  $n\geq n_0$ . Set

$$\psi_{\mathbf{K}_{\bullet},A}^{M,I}(n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_A(H^i(\mathbf{K}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^n M)), \text{ for } n \ge 1$$

and let r be its degree. By 2.7,  $r \leq d - 1$ . We note that

$$H^d(\mathbf{K}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^n M) = \frac{I^n M \colon \mathbf{x}}{I^n M} \supseteq \frac{(I^n M \colon \mathbf{x}) \cap I^c M}{I^n M} = \frac{I^{n-1} M}{I^n M} \text{ (for } n \ge n_0).$$

So  $\psi_{\mathbf{K}\bullet,A}^{M,I}(n) \geq \ell(I^{n-1}M/I^nM)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . So  $r \geq d-1$ . Thus r = d-1. By Theorem 1.2, it follows that  $r_I^M = r = d-1$ .

#### 5. Proof of Theorem 1.8

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.8. We need the following well-known result. Suppose dim E > 0. Then, there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that (0: Ex) has finite length and dim  $E/xE = \dim E - 1$ .

We now give

**Proof of Theorem 1.8.** By 1.7, it suffices to consider the case when dim  $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) \leq \dim M - 1$ .

We first consider the case when  $\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) = 0$ . We prove the result by inducting on  $\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet})$ . If  $\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = 0$  then the result follows from Theorem 1.4. If  $\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) > 0$  then choose x such that map  $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \stackrel{x}{\to} H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet})$  has finite length kernel and  $\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet})/xH^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) = \dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) - 1$ . Consider the triangle  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \stackrel{x}{\to} \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1]$ . By taking long exact sequence of homology, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet})/xH^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \to H^*(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) \to (0:_{H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet})}x)[1] \to 0.$$

It follows that dim  $H^*(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = \dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) - 1$ . Furthermore note

 $\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} = \operatorname{cone}(x, \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1] \to 0.$$

As  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{i}$  is free for all i we have an exact sequence for all  $n \geq 0$ 

$$0 \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^nM \to \mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^nM \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1] \otimes M/I^nM \to 0,$$

and

$$0 \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M \to \mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \otimes M \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1] \otimes M \to 0.$$

By considering later short exact sequence of complexes, we get by looking at long exact sequence in homology that  $\dim H^*(\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \otimes M) = 0$ . So by induction hypothesis  $s_I^M(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = \dim M - 1$ . By considering all  $n \geq 1$  and summing all i, we get

$$\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n) \leq 2\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n).$$

It follows that  $s_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \geq s_I^M(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = \dim M - 1$ . But  $s_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \leq \dim M - 1$ . The result follows.

We now assume  $0 < a = \dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) \leq \dim M - 1$  and the result is proved for complexes  $\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet}$  with  $\dim H^*(\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \otimes M) = a - 1$ . Choose x such that map  $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) \xrightarrow{x} H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M)$  has finite length kernel and  $\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M)/xH^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) = \dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) - 1$ . Consider the triangle  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{x} \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1]$ . Note  $\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} = \operatorname{cone}(x, \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1] \to 0.$$

As  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^{i}$  is free for all i, we have an exact sequence for all  $n \geq 0$ 

$$0 \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^{n}M \to \mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^{n}M \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1] \otimes M/I^{n}M \to 0,$$

and

$$0 \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M \to \mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \otimes M \to \mathbf{X}_{\bullet}[1] \otimes M \to 0.$$

By considering the latter short exact sequence of complexes, we get by looking at long exact sequence in homology we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M)/x^*H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) \to H^*(\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \otimes M) \to (0 \colon {}_{H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M)}x)[1] \to 0.$$

Therefore dim  $H^*(\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet} \otimes M) = \dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) - 1$ . So by induction hypothesis  $s_I^M(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = \dim M - 1$ . By considering all  $n \geq 1$  and summing all i, we get

$$\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n) \leq 2\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}}^{M,I}(n)$$

It follows that  $s_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \geq s_I^M(\mathbf{Y}_{\bullet}) = \dim M - 1$ . But  $s_I^M(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \leq \dim M - 1$ . The result follows.

## 6. Proof of Corollary 1.10

In this section, we give a proof of Corollary 1.10. We need the following result:

**Lemma 6.1.** Let A be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and let L be a nonzero A-module of finite projective dimension. Then

$$\dim M \otimes L = \dim \operatorname{Ext}_A^*(L, M).$$

**Proof.** It is clear that  $\operatorname{Supp}(M \otimes L) = \operatorname{Supp} M \cap \operatorname{Supp} L$ . Thus, it follows that  $\operatorname{Supp} \operatorname{Ext}_A^*(L, M) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}(M \otimes L)$ . Conversely let  $P \in \operatorname{Supp} M \otimes L$ . We localize at P. So it suffices to prove  $\operatorname{Ext}^*(L, M) \neq 0$ . By taking a minimal resolution of L, it clear that if  $c = \operatorname{projdim} L$  then  $\operatorname{Ext}_A^c(L, M) \neq 0$ . The result follows.

We now give

**Proof of Corollary 1.10.** Let  $X_{\bullet}$  be a minimal projective resolution of L. Then  $t_M^I(L,n) = \ell(H^*(X_{\bullet} \otimes M/I^nM))$ . By 1.8, it follows that

$$t_M^I(L) = \max\{\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M), \dim M - 1\}.$$

The result follows as dim  $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \otimes M) = \dim M \otimes L$ .

Set  $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^* = \operatorname{Hom}_A(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}, A)$ . Observe

$$\operatorname{Ext}_A^*(L, M/I^n M) = H^*(\operatorname{Hom}_A(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}, M/I^n M)) \cong H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^* \otimes_A M/I^n M).$$

So

$$e_M^I(L) = \max\{\dim H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}^* \otimes M), \dim M - 1\}.$$

Notice  $H^*(\mathbf{X}^*_{\bullet} \otimes M) = \operatorname{Ext}_A^*(L, M)$ . The results follows from Lemma 6.1.

Data availability statement. No data (public or private) were used in this paper.

## References

- A. Crabbe, D. Katz, J. Striuli and E. Theodorescu, Hilbert-Samuel polynomials for the contravariant extension functor, Nagoya Math. J. 198 (2010), 1–22.
- S. Iyengar and T. J. Puthenpurakal, Hilbert-Samuel functions of modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135(3) (2007), 637–648.
- (3) G. Kadu and T. J. Puthenpurakal, Bass and Betti numbers of A/I<sup>n</sup>, J. Algebra 573 (2021), 620–640.
- (4) D. Katz and E. Theodorescu, On the degree of Hilbert polynomials associated to the torsion functor, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135(10) (2007), 3073–3082.
- (5) A. Neeman, The chromatic tower for D(R), With an appendix by Marcel Bökstedt, Topology **31**(3) (1992), 519–532.
- (6) A. Neeman, Triangulated categories, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 148, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001).

- (7) T. J. Puthenpurakal, Hilbert coefficients of a Cohen-Macaulay module, J. Algebra 264(1) (2003), 82–97.
- (8) J. D. Sally, Numbers of Generators of Ideals in Local rings (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 1978).
- (9) E. Theodorescu, Derived functors and Hilbert polynomials, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 132(1) (2002), 75–88.