

however, while sporadically operative through 1139, was of little consequence thereafter, if one agrees to be guided by the witness of the chronicles.

GEORGE D. KNYSH  
*University of Manitoba*

Professor Martin chooses not to reply.

To the Editor:

I very much appreciated Ned Keenan's warm tribute to Iakov Solomonovich Lur'e (*Slavic Review*, 55, no. 3). Indeed we have lost a colleague who held up to us a high standard of intellectual integrity which he maintained even in the most difficult of times. I can well remember Iakov Solomonovich's passionate engagement in the debates at the meetings of the Sector of Early Russian Literature in Pushkinskii dom, and the warm encouragement he provided me while I was just beginning to learn my way around Russian manuscript collections thirty years ago. One small correction in Professor Keenan's obituary is in order. Indeed in his last meeting with the closest of his Russian colleagues, Aleksandr Aleksandrovich Zimin, just before the latter's death in 1980, Iakov Solomonovich remarked how fortunate Zimin had been to have had students, whereas he himself had not. Yet even though he never supervised graduate students, it is not true that Lur'e never taught after the 1950s. I had the privilege of joining a small group of Russian undergraduates in the autumn of 1971 in the Department of Russian Literature at Leningrad University for a *spetskurs* on Russian chronicle writing that Iakov Solomonovich offered on what may have been a fairly regular basis. He had a remarkable ability to transmit enthusiasm for the subject on which he was a living link and true heir to the tradition of Mikhail D. Priselkov and Mstislav V. Shakhmatov.

DANIEL C. WAUGH  
*University of Washington*

## ERRATA

---

In Gerald W. Creed's article, "The Politics of Agriculture: Identity and Socialist Sentiment in Bulgaria," (*Slavic Review* 54, no. 4 [Winter 1995]: 843–68), the first sentence of the last paragraph on p. 849 has the incorrect date. Instead of 28 October 1991, it should read 28 October 1992.

In Irina Livezeanu's review of *Romanian Cassandra: Ion Antonescu and the Struggle for Reform, 1916–1941*, by Larry L. Watts (*Slavic Review* 55, no. 3 [Fall 1996]: 673–74), the third and fourth sentences of the third paragraph on p. 673 should be replaced by the following: "It becomes clear, however, early on in the book, that in terms of findings Watts is squarely in the camp interested in the general's rehabilitation, arguing mostly against western—and communist era—historiography, the former, he claims, inspired by the latter."

*Slavic Review* 56, no. 1 (Spring 1997)