

## ON A CONVEXITY THEOREM OF RUSKAI AND WERNER AND RELATED RESULTS

HORST ALZER

*Morsbacher Str. 10, 51545 Waldbröl, Germany  
e-mail: alzerhorst@freenet.de*

(Received 6 May, 2004; accepted 20 April, 2005)

**Abstract.** We show that the function

$$V_q(x) = \frac{2e^{x^2}}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2} (t^2 - x^2)^q dt \quad (-1 < q \in \mathbf{R}; 0 < x \in \mathbf{R}),$$

which has applications in the study of atoms in magnetic fields, satisfies certain monotonicity and convexity properties as well as inequalities. In particular, we prove that  $1/V_q$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $q \geq 0$ . This extends a recent result of M. B. Ruskai and E. Werner, who established the convexity for all integers  $q \geq 0$ .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33E20, 26D15.

**1. Introduction.** In an interesting paper published in 2000, M. B. Ruskai and E. Werner [8] discuss in detail the function

$$V_q(x) = \frac{2e^{x^2}}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2} (t^2 - x^2)^q dt \quad (-1 < q \in \mathbf{R}; 0 < x \in \mathbf{R}) \quad (1.1)$$

and its extensions.  $V_q(x)$  is also defined for  $x = 0$ , if  $q > -1/2$ . The authors point out that their work was motivated by the fact that for an integer  $q$  this function ‘arises naturally’ [8, p. 436] in the study of atoms in magnetic fields. Indeed,  $V_q$  can be regarded as one-dimensional regularization of the Coulomb potential. See [3], [4], and [8] for details and references.

The special case  $q = 0$  leads to Mills’s ratio

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_0\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = e^{x^2/2} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt,$$

which has applications in statistics. Inequalities for this and related functions are given in [7, Section 2.26].

A remarkable number theoretic property of

$$\frac{V_q(0)}{\sqrt{\pi}} = 2^{-2q} \binom{2q}{q} = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (2q-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \dots \cdot (2q)} \quad (0 \leq q \in \mathbf{Z}),$$

known as normalized binomial mid-coefficient, can be found in [2].

A central role in [8] is the study of convexity properties of  $V_q(x)$ . The authors show that the arithmetic mean of  $V_0(x), \dots, V_{n-1}(x)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is convex on  $(0, \infty)$  with respect to  $x$ . In particular,  $x \mapsto V_q(x)$  is convex for  $q = 0$ . But, this is not true, if  $q > 1/2$ . In

1993, M. Wirth [10] established that  $1/V_0$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Ruskai and Werner provide a substantial extension of this theorem. They prove that for all integers  $q \geq 0$  the function  $x \mapsto 1/V_q(x)$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . An application of this result reveals that  $1/V_q$  is subadditive, that is,

$$\frac{1}{V_q(x+y)} \leq \frac{1}{V_q(x)} + \frac{1}{V_q(y)} \quad (x, y > 0; 0 \leq q \in \mathbf{Z}). \tag{1.2}$$

The ratio  $V_{q+1}(x)/V_q(x)$  ( $1 \leq q \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) is of importance in the proof of the convexity of  $1/V_q$ . This ratio has an interesting monotonicity property: it is increasing with respect to  $x$ . The authors also study  $V_q(x)$  as function of  $q$ . They establish that  $q \mapsto V_q(x)$  and  $q \mapsto -qV_q(x)$  ( $x > 0$ ) are decreasing.

It is our aim to continue the work of Ruskai and Werner. In Section 3, we determine all real parameters  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $x \mapsto V_p(x)$  and  $x \mapsto 1/V_q(x)$  are convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Moreover, we give an answer to the question: for which  $q$  is  $x \mapsto V_q(x)$  completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$ ? And, we prove that for every  $x > 0$  the function  $q \mapsto V_q(x)$  is convex on  $(-1, \infty)$ . In Section 4, we extend and complement inequality (1.2). Further, we provide all parameters  $q$  such that  $x \mapsto V_q(x)$  is supermultiplicative on  $(0, \infty)$ , and we present a differential inequality involving  $(V_q^{(k)}(x))^n$  and  $(V_q^{(n)}(x))^k$ . Finally, we study the monotonicity behaviour of the functions  $x \mapsto V_p(x)/V_q(x)$  and  $x \mapsto V_p(x) - V_q(x)$ .

**2. Lemmas.** In this section, we collect some lemmas, which we need to prove our theorems. First, we present integral representations for  $V_q(x)$  and its first and second derivatives with respect to  $x$ .

LEMMA 1. For all  $q > -1$  and  $x > 0$  we have

$$V_q(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-(sx+s^2/4)}(sx + s^2/4)^q ds, \tag{2.1}$$

$$V_q(x) = \frac{x^{q+1/2}}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^q}{(x+s)^{1/2}} ds, \tag{2.2}$$

$$V'_q(x) = -\frac{x^{q+1/2}}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^q}{(x+s)^{3/2}} ds, \tag{2.3}$$

$$V''_q(x) = \frac{x^{q-1/2}}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{(2x-s)s^q}{(x+s)^{5/2}} ds. \tag{2.4}$$

*Proof.* We substitute in (1.1)  $t = x + s/2$  and  $t = \sqrt{x^2 + xs}$ , respectively, and obtain (2.1) and (2.2), respectively. Next, we set  $s = u/x$  in (2.2). This yields

$$V_q(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \frac{u^q}{(x^2 + u)^{1/2}} du. \tag{2.5}$$

Further, if we differentiate (2.5) once and twice, respectively, and substitute  $u = xs$ , then we get (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. □

Proofs for the next two lemmas are given in [8].

LEMMA 2. Let  $q > -1$  and  $x > 0$ . The function  $a \mapsto aV_q(ax)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $q > -1$ . Then we have the asymptotic formula

$$V_q(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{q+1}{2x^3} + \frac{3(q+1)(q+2)}{8x^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^7}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

The following integral inequality was first proved by P. L. Tchebyschef. References for this and related results can be found in [7, Section 2.5].

LEMMA 4. Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be both increasing or both decreasing and let  $p : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be integrable. Then

$$\int_a^b p(x)f(x) dx \int_a^b p(x)g(x) dx \leq \int_a^b p(x)f(x)g(x) dx \int_a^b p(x) dx.$$

Moreover, we need an inequality for convex functions due to M. Petrović [7, pp. 22–23].

LEMMA 5. Let  $f : [0, a] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be convex. If  $x_j \in [0, a]$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $x_1 + \dots + x_n \in [0, a]$ , then

$$f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_n) \leq f(x_1 + \dots + x_n) + (n - 1)f(0).$$

A function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called *completely monotonic*, if  $f$  has derivatives of all orders and satisfies  $(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x > 0$  and  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . In particular, completely monotonic functions are decreasing and convex. These functions have numerous applications in probability theory, physics, and other branches. We refer to [1], where details and references can be found. The basic properties of completely monotonic functions are collected in [9, Chapter IV].

LEMMA 6. If  $f$  is completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$ , then we have for all real numbers  $x > 0$  and integers  $n, k$  with  $n \geq k \geq 0$ :

$$(-1)^{nk} (f^{(k)}(x))^n \leq (-1)^{nk} (f^{(n)}(x))^k (f(x))^{n-k}.$$

A proof of Lemma 5 is given in [5].

**3. Complete monotonicity and convexity.** Our first theorem provides all parameters  $q$  such that  $V_q$  is completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $q > -1$  be a real number. The function  $x \mapsto V_q(x)$  is completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $q \in (-1, 0]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q \in (-1, 0]$  and  $x > 0$  be real numbers. Further, let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. Using the Leibniz rule for the  $n$ -th derivative of a product we conclude from (2.1):

$$(-1)^n V_q^{(n)}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-s(x+s/4)} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} s^{q+n-\nu} \left(x + \frac{s}{4}\right)^{q-\nu} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu-1} (j-q) ds > 0,$$

which implies that  $V_q$  is completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$ . Next, we show: if  $q > 0$ , then  $V_q$  is not convex and, thus not completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$ . We consider three cases.

*Case 1:  $0 < q < 1/2$ .*

Let

$$\lambda_q(x, s) = \left(\frac{s}{x+s}\right)^q \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_q(x, s) = \frac{s - 2xe^x}{(x+s)^{5/2-q}},$$

where  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $x > 0$  is sufficiently small. The function  $s \mapsto \lambda_q(x, s)$  is increasing on  $[0, 1]$ , so that we get

$$\lambda_q(x, s)\mu_q(x, s) \geq \lambda_q(x, 2xe^x)\mu_q(x, s).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \lambda_q(x, s)\mu_q(x, s) ds &\geq \left(\frac{2e^x}{1+2e^x}\right)^q \int_0^1 \frac{s - 2xe^x}{(x+s)^{5/2-q}} ds \\ &= \left(\frac{2e^x}{1+2e^x}\right)^q \frac{1}{(3/2-q)(1/2-q)} [x^{q-1/2}(1+e^x(2q-1)) \\ &\quad - (x+1)^{q-3/2}(x+xe^x(2q-1)+3/2-q)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < q < 1/2$ , we conclude that the expression on the right-hand side tends to  $\infty$ , if  $x$  tends to 0. This yields

$$0 < \int_0^1 \frac{s^q}{(x+s)^{5/2}}(s - 2xe^x) ds. \tag{3.1}$$

Using (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2x \int_0^1 e^{-xs} \frac{s^q}{(x+s)^{5/2}} ds &< 2x \int_0^1 \frac{s^q}{(x+s)^{5/2}} ds < e^{-x} \int_0^1 \frac{s^{q+1}}{(x+s)^{5/2}} ds \\ &< \int_0^1 e^{-xs} \frac{s^{q+1}}{(x+s)^{5/2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Gamma(q+1)x^{1/2-q}V_q''(x) < \int_0^1 e^{-xs} \frac{(2x-s)s^q}{(x+s)^{5/2}} ds < 0$$

for all sufficiently small  $x$ .

*Case 2:  $q = 1/2$ .*

We define

$$W(x^2) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} V_{1/2}''(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{1/2} \frac{2x^2 - t}{(x^2 + t)^{5/2}} dt.$$

Let  $y \in (0, 1/2)$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} W(y) &\leq 2y \int_0^1 e^{-t} \frac{t^{1/2}}{(y+t)^{5/2}} dt - \int_0^1 e^{-t} \frac{t^{3/2}}{(y+t)^{5/2}} dt \\ &\leq 2y \int_0^1 \frac{t^{1/2}}{(y+t)^{5/2}} dt - \frac{1}{e} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{3/2}}{(y+t)^{5/2}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y \int_0^1 \frac{t^{1/2}}{(y+t)^{5/2}} dt = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{3(y+1)^{3/2}} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{3/2}}{(y+t)^{5/2}} dt = \infty,$$

we conclude that  $W(y)$  is negative for sufficiently small  $y$ .

*Case 3:  $q > 1/2$ .*

The substitution  $s = t/x$  in (2.3) leads to

$$V'_q(x) = -\frac{x}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{t^q}{(x^2+t)^{3/2}} dt$$

This implies  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} V'_q(x) = 0$ . Since  $V'_q(x) < 0$  for  $x > 0$ , we conclude that  $V'_q$  is not increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . □

**REMARK 1.** In particular, we have proved: the function  $x \mapsto V_q(x)$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $q \in (-1, 0]$ .

**REMARK 2.** Since a completely monotonic function is log-convex, and a log-convex function is convex, we obtain: the function  $x \mapsto V_q(x)$  is log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $q \in (-1, 0]$ .

Next, we study the convexity of  $1/V_q$ . Ruskai and Werner [8] conjecture that for all real numbers  $q > -1$  the function  $x \mapsto 1/V_q(x)$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . The following theorem reveals that this is true for all  $q \geq 0$ , but false for all  $q \in (-1, 0)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $q > -1$  be a real number. The function  $x \mapsto 1/V_q(x)$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $q \geq 0$ . Moreover, if  $q \geq 0$ , then  $1/V_q$  is strictly convex on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q \geq 0$  and  $x > 0$ . Differentiation with respect to  $x$  yields

$$(V_q(x))^3 \left( \frac{1}{V_q(x)} \right)'' = 2(V'_q(x))^2 - V_q(x)V''_q(x). \tag{3.2}$$

Using (2.2)–(2.4) and the convolution theorem we get

$$\frac{(\Gamma(q+1))^2}{2x^{2q+1}} [2(V'_q(x))^2 - V_q(x)V''_q(x)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \Lambda_q(x, t) dt, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\Lambda_q(x, t) = \int_0^t \frac{[s(t-s)]^q}{[(x+s)(x+t-s)]^{5/2}} [(x+s)(x+t-s) - (1-s/(2x))(x+t-s)^2] ds.$$

Let  $t > 0$ . We define

$$\Theta_q(x, t) = 8x \left( \frac{2}{t} \right)^{2q+2} \Lambda_q(x, t). \tag{3.4}$$

Next, we substitute  $s = t(1+y)/2$ . This leads to

$$\Theta_q(x, t) = \int_{-1}^1 \Psi_q(x, t, y) [\alpha(t)y^3 + \beta(x, t)y^2 + \gamma(x, t)y + \delta(x, t)] dy,$$

where

$$\Psi_q(x, t, y) = (1-y^2)^q \left[ \left( x + \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{ty}{2} \right)^2 \right]^{-5/2},$$

$$\alpha(t) = t^2, \quad \beta(x, t) = -12xt - t^2, \quad \gamma(x, t) = 20x^2 + 8xt - t^2, \quad \delta(x, t) = (2x+t)^2.$$

Since  $y \mapsto \Psi_q(x, t, y)$  is even, we obtain

$$\Theta_q(x, t) = 2 \int_0^1 \Psi_q(x, t, y)[\beta(x, t)y^2 + \delta(x, t)] dy.$$

We put  $t = 2a$  ( $a > 0$ ) and  $x = ra$  ( $r > 0$ ). Then we get

$$\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^3 \Theta_q(ra, 2a) = \int_0^1 (1 - y^2)^q \frac{(r + 1)^2 - (6r + 1)y^2}{[(r + 1)^2 - y^2]^{5/2}} dy.$$

Applying Lemma 4 with  $f(y) = (1 - y^2)^q$ ,  $g(y) = (r + 1)^2 - (6r + 1)y^2$ , and  $p(y) = [(r + 1)^2 - y^2]^{-5/2}$  yields

$$\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^3 \Theta_q(ra, 2a) \geq \frac{\int_0^1 p(y)f(y) dy \int_0^1 p(y)g(y) dy}{\int_0^1 p(y) dy}.$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 p(y) dy > 0, \quad \int_0^1 p(y)f(y) dy > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 p(y)g(y) dy = \frac{r^{1/2}}{(r + 1)^2(r + 2)^{3/2}} > 0,$$

we conclude that  $\Theta_q(ra, 2a)$  is positive. Thus, (3.2)–(3.4) imply that  $(1/V_q(x))'' > 0$  for  $x > 0$ .

It remains to show that if  $-1 < q < 0$ , then  $1/V_q$  is not convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . First, let  $-1/2 < q < 0$ . We have for  $x > 0$ :

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-sx} s^q}{(x + s)^{3/2}} ds \geq \int_0^x \frac{e^{-sx} s^q}{(x + s)^{3/2}} ds \geq \int_0^x \frac{e^{-x^2} x^q}{(x + s)^{3/2}} ds = x^{q-1/2} e^{-x^2} (2 - \sqrt{2}),$$

so that (2.3) yields  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (-V'_q(x)) = \infty$ . Since  $V_q(0) = \Gamma(q + 1/2)/\Gamma(q + 1)$ , we get

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{V_q(x)}\right)' = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-V'_q(x)}{(V_q(x))^2} = \infty.$$

This implies that  $(1/V_q)'$  is not increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Next, let  $-1 < q \leq -1/2$ . We assume that  $1/V_q$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{V_q((x + y)/2)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{V_q(x)} + \frac{1}{V_q(y)}\right) \quad (x, y > 0). \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} V_q(y) = \infty$ , we obtain from (3.5):

$$\frac{1}{V_q(x/2)} \leq \frac{1}{2V_q(x)} \quad (x > 0).$$

This contradicts Lemma 2. □

It is natural also to study properties of  $V_q(x)$  as function of  $q$ , where  $x > 0$  is a fixed number. We now give an affirmative answer to a question posed by Ruskai and Werner [8]: is  $V_q(x)$  convex with respect to  $q$ ?

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $x > 0$  be a real number. The function  $q \mapsto V_q(x)$  is strictly convex on  $(-1, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x > 0$ . Since  $V_q(x)$  is continuous with respect to  $q$ , it suffices to show that

$$V_{(a+b)/2}(x) < \frac{1}{2}(V_a(x) + V_b(x)) \tag{3.6}$$

for all real numbers  $a, b$  with  $b > a > -1$ . Using (2.2), the integral formula

$$\frac{1}{x^r} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} t^{r-1} dt \quad (r > 0; x > 0),$$

and the convolution theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)x^{-(a+b+3/2)}[V_a(x) + V_b(x) - 2V_{(a+b)/2}(x)] \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} s^b ds \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^a}{(x+s)^{1/2}} ds + \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} s^a ds \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^b}{(x+s)^{1/2}} ds \\ &\quad - \frac{2\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)}{(\Gamma((a+b)/2+1))^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} s^{(a+b)/2} ds \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^{(a+b)/2}}{(x+s)^{1/2}} ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \sigma_{a,b}(x, t) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\sigma_{a,b}(x, t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{(x+s)^{1/2}} \left[ (t-s)^b s^a + (t-s)^a s^b - \frac{2\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)}{(\Gamma((a+b)/2+1))^2} ((t-s)s)^{(a+b)/2} \right] ds.$$

Let  $t > 0$ . We substitute  $s = t(1+y)/2$  and obtain

$$\sigma_{a,b}(x, t) = \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{a+b+1} \int_0^1 P(x, t, y) Q_{a,b}(y) dy,$$

with

$$P(x, t, y) = (x + t(1+y)/2)^{-1/2} + (x + t(1-y)/2)^{-1/2}$$

and

$$Q_{a,b}(y) = (1-y)^a(1+y)^b + (1-y)^b(1+y)^a - \frac{2\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)}{(\Gamma((a+b)/2+1))^2} (1-y^2)^{(a+b)/2}.$$

Next, we define for  $y \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_{a,b}(y) &= (1-y^2)^{-(a+b)/2} Q_{a,b}(y) = \left(\frac{1+y}{1-y}\right)^{(b-a)/2} + \left(\frac{1-y}{1+y}\right)^{(b-a)/2} \\ &\quad - \frac{2\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)}{(\Gamma((a+b)/2+1))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiation with respect to  $y$  gives

$$R'_{a,b}(y) = \frac{b-a}{1-y^2} \left[ \left( \frac{1+y}{1-y} \right)^{(b-a)/2} - \left( \frac{1-y}{1+y} \right)^{(b-a)/2} \right] > 0,$$

which implies that  $y \mapsto R_{a,b}(y)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, 1)$ . The gamma function is strictly log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$ , so that we obtain

$$R_{a,b}(0) = 2 \left( 1 - \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)}{(\Gamma((a+b)/2+1))^2} \right) < 0.$$

Further, we have  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 1} R_{a,b}(y) = \infty$ . Thus, there exists a number  $y_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $R_{a,b}(y) < 0$  for  $y \in (0, y_0)$  and  $R_{a,b}(y) > 0$  for  $y \in (y_0, 1)$ . Since  $y \mapsto P(x, t, y)$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, 1]$ , we get:

$$\text{if } y \in (0, 1), y \neq y_0, \text{ then } P(x, t, y)Q_{a,b}(y) > P(x, t, y_0)Q_{a,b}(y).$$

This leads to

$$\sigma_{a,b}(x, t) > \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{a+b+1} P(x, t, y_0) \int_0^1 Q_{a,b}(y) dy. \tag{3.8}$$

Using

$$\int_0^1 [(1-y)^a(1+y)^b + (1-y)^b(1+y)^a] dy = 2^{a+b+1} \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)}{\Gamma(a+b+2)},$$

$$\int_0^1 (1-y^2)^{(a+b)/2} dy = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma((a+b)/2+1)}{\Gamma((a+b+1)/2+1)},$$

and the duplication formula

$$\Gamma(2x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{2x-1} \Gamma(x)\Gamma(x+1/2) \quad (x > 0)$$

we obtain

$$\int_0^1 Q_{a,b}(y) dy = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.7)–(3.9) we conclude that (3.6) holds. □

**4. Inequalities and monotonicity.** Applying Theorem 2, Lemma 2, and Lemma 5 we are able to extend and to complement inequality (1.2).

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $q \geq 0$  be a real number. Then we have for all  $x, y \geq 0$ :*

$$0 < \frac{1}{V_q(x)} + \frac{1}{V_q(y)} - \frac{1}{V_q(x+y)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(q+1)}{\Gamma(q+1/2)}. \tag{4.1}$$

*Both bounds are best possible.*

*Proof.* Let  $q, x, y \geq 0$ . As remarked in [8], the convexity of  $1/V_q$  and the inequality  $V_q(x/2) < 2V_q(x)$  lead to

$$\frac{1}{V_q(x+y)} < \frac{2}{V_q((x+y)/2)} \leq \frac{1}{V_q(x)} + \frac{1}{V_q(y)}.$$

Also, Lemma 5 yields

$$\frac{1}{V_q(x)} + \frac{1}{V_q(y)} \leq \frac{1}{V_q(x+y)} + \frac{1}{V_q(0)} = \frac{1}{V_q(x+y)} + \frac{\Gamma(q+1)}{\Gamma(q+1/2)}.$$

Let

$$w_q = V_q(x) - \frac{1}{x}.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{V_q(x)} - \frac{1}{V_q(x+y)} &= \frac{x(x+y)[w_q(x+y) - w_q(x)] - y}{[1+xw_q(x)][1+(x+y)w_q(x+y)]} \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{1}{V_q(y)} - y &= -\frac{y^2w_q(y)}{1+yw_q(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6) gives

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^3w_q(x) = -\frac{q+1}{2}.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{V_q(x)} + \frac{1}{V_q(y)} - \frac{1}{V_q(x+y)} \right) = 0.$$

If we set  $x = y = 0$ , then equality holds on the right-hand side of (4.1). Thus, the bounds given in (4.1) are sharp. □

Since  $x \mapsto V_q(x)$  is positive and strictly decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$V_q(x+y) < V_q(x) + V_q(y) \quad (x, y > 0). \tag{4.2}$$

This means that for all  $q > -1$  the function  $V_q$  is strictly subadditive on  $(0, \infty)$ . However, there is no parameter  $q > -1$  such that  $V_q$  is submultiplicative on  $(0, \infty)$ . Otherwise, from

$$V_q(xy) \leq V_q(x)V_q(y) \quad (x, y > 0)$$

we get  $V_q(1) \leq (V_q(1))^2$  or  $1 \leq V_q(1)$ , which contradicts

$$V_q(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \frac{u^q}{(x^2+u)^{1/2}} du < \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \frac{u^q}{x} du = \frac{1}{x} \quad (x > 0). \tag{4.3}$$

This leads to the question: do there exist parameters  $q$  such that  $V_q$  is supermultiplicative on  $(0, \infty)$ ? The following theorem gives an answer.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $q > -1$  be a real number. The function  $x \mapsto V_q(x)$  is strictly supermultiplicative on  $(0, \infty)$ , that is,*

$$V_q(x)V_q(y) < V_q(xy) \quad \text{for all } x, y > 0 \tag{4.4}$$

*if and only if  $q \geq q_0$ , where  $q_0 = 0.72117\dots$  is the only solution of  $\Gamma(t + 1) = \Gamma(t + 1/2)$  on  $(-1/2, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q \geq q_0$ . We consider two cases. First, let  $0 < y \leq 1$ . Then we obtain

$$V_q(xy) \geq V_q(x) \quad \text{and} \quad V_q(y) < V_q(0) = \frac{\Gamma(q + 1/2)}{\Gamma(q + 1)}.$$

This implies

$$V_q(xy) - V_q(x)V_q(y) \geq V_q(x)(1 - V_q(y)) > V_q(x)(1 - V_q(0)). \tag{4.5}$$

The function  $q \mapsto 1 - V_q(0)$  is strictly increasing on  $(-1/2, \infty)$ . Hence, we get

$$1 - V_q(0) \geq 1 - V_{q_0}(0) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.6) we obtain  $V_q(xy) > V_q(x)V_q(y)$ .

Next, let  $y > 1$ . Applying Lemma 2 and (4.3) we get

$$V_q(xy) > V_q(x)\frac{1}{y} > V_q(x)V_q(y).$$

It remains to show: if (4.4) holds, then  $q \geq q_0$ . Again, we consider two cases. Let  $q > -1/2$ . We set  $x = y$  in (4.4) and let  $x$  tend to 0. This leads to  $V_q(0) \leq 1 = V_{q_0}(0)$ . Thus,  $q \geq q_0$ .

Now, we assume that  $-1 < q \leq -1/2$ . We prove that the inequality

$$V_q(x/2) < V_q(1/2)V_q(x) \tag{4.7}$$

is valid for all sufficiently small  $x$ . Using (1.1) we conclude that (4.7) is equivalent to

$$0 < \int_x^\infty (t^2 - x^2)^q [V_q(1/2)e^{3x^2/4-t^2} - 2^{-2q-1}e^{-t^2/4}] dt = I_q(x), \quad \text{say.}$$

We define

$$z(q) = -\frac{\log(4^q V_q(1/2))}{\log(4)}.$$

From (2.5) we obtain

$$2\Gamma(q + 1)[4^q V_q(1/2) - 1/2] = \int_0^\infty e^{-s/4} \frac{s^q}{(1 + s)^{1/2}} ds - \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^q ds.$$

Since  $e^{-s/4}/(1 + s)^{1/2} > e^{-s}$  for  $s > 0$ , we get

$$4^q V_q(1/2) > 1/2 \quad \text{for } q > -1. \tag{4.8}$$

This implies  $z(q) < 1/2$ . Let  $\omega = \omega(q)$  be a real number such that

$$z(q) < \omega < 1/2. \tag{4.9}$$

We have

$$I_q(x) = \int_x^\infty A_q(x, t)B_q(x, t) dt,$$

where

$$A_q(x, t) = (t^2 - x^2)^{q+\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad B_q(x, t) = (t^2 - x^2)^{-\omega} [V_q(1/2)e^{3x^2/4-t^2} - 2^{-2q-1}e^{-t^2/4}].$$

Since  $q + \omega \leq -1/2 + \omega < 0$ , we conclude that  $t \mapsto A_q(x, t)$  is strictly decreasing on  $(x, \infty)$ . Moreover, the function

$$t \mapsto b_q(x, t) = (t^2 - x^2)^\omega e^{t^2} B_q(x, t)$$

is strictly decreasing on  $[x, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_q(x, t) = -\infty$ . Applying (4.8) yields  $b_q(x, x) > 0$ . Thus, there exists a number  $t_0 > x$  such that  $b_q(x, t)$  is positive for  $t \in (x, t_0)$  and negative for  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$ . This implies

$$A_q(x, t)B_q(x, t) > A_q(x, t_0)B_q(x, t) \quad \text{for} \quad x < t \neq t_0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$I_q(x) > A_q(x, t_0) \int_x^\infty (t^2 - x^2)^{-\omega} [V_q(1/2)e^{3x^2/4-t^2} - 2^{-2q-1}e^{-t^2/4}] dt = A_q(x, t_0)J_q(x), \tag{4.10}$$

say.

We have

$$2J_q(0) = \Gamma(1/2 - \omega) [V_q(1/2) - 4^{-(q+\omega)}]. \tag{4.11}$$

Since  $V_q(1/2) > 4^{-(q+\omega)}$  is equivalent to  $\omega > z(q)$ , we conclude from (4.9) and (4.11) that  $J_q(0) > 0$ . This implies that there is a number  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $J_q(x)$  is positive for  $x \in (0, \epsilon)$ . From (4.10) we get  $I_q(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (0, \epsilon)$ . The proof of Theorem 5 is complete. □

**REMARK 3.** Comments on the relevance of sub- and supermultiplicative functions in various fields as well as references on this subject can be found in [6].

**REMARK 4.** Inequality (4.2) can be improved. In fact, from

$$\frac{2}{((x + y)^2 + u)^{1/2}} < \frac{1}{(x^2 + u)^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{(y^2 + u)^{1/2}} \quad (x, y, u > 0)$$

and (2.5) we obtain for all  $q > -1$ :

$$2 < \frac{V_q(x) + V_q(y)}{V_q(x + y)} \quad (x, y > 0). \tag{4.12}$$

Let  $q > -1/2$  and  $x = y$ . If we let  $x$  tend to 0, then the ratio on the right-hand side of (4.12) converges to 2. Thus, (at least) for  $q > -1/2$  the lower bound 2 cannot be replaced by a larger term, which is independent of  $x$  and  $y$ .

We now present an inequality which reveals a connection between  $(V_q^{(k)}(x))^n$  and  $(V_q^{(n)}(x))^k$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *The inequality*

$$(-1)^{nk} \left( \frac{V_q^{(k)}(x)}{V_q(x)} \right)^n \leq (-1)^{nk} \left( \frac{V_q^{(n)}(x)}{V_q(x)} \right)^k \tag{4.13}$$

holds for all real numbers  $x > 0$  and integers  $n, k$  with  $n \geq k \geq 0$  if and only if  $q \in (-1, 0]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q \in (-1, 0]$ ,  $x > 0$ , and  $n \geq k \geq 0$ . Applying Theorem 1 and Lemma 6 we conclude that (4.13) is valid. Conversely, we assume that (4.13) holds for all  $x > 0$  and  $n, k$  with  $n \geq k \geq 0$ . We set  $n = 2$  and  $k = 1$  and obtain

$$(V_q'(x))^2 \leq V_q(x)V_q''(x).$$

This means that  $V_q$  is log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$ , so that Remark 2 implies  $q \in (-1, 0]$ .  $\square$

Finally, we study the monotonicity behaviour of the ratio  $V_p/V_q$  and the difference  $V_p - V_q$ .

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $p, q > -1$  be real numbers.*

- (i) *The function  $x \mapsto V_p(x)/V_q(x)$  is increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $p \geq q$ .*
- (ii) *The function  $x \mapsto V_p(x) - V_q(x)$  is increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $p \geq q$ .*

*If  $p > q > -1$ , then  $V_p/V_q$  and  $V_p - V_q$  are strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the proofs of (i) and (ii) are similar, we only establish part (i). First, we assume that  $p > q > -1$ . Applying (2.2), (2.3), and the convolution theorem we get for  $x > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(q+1)x^{-(p+q+1)}(V_q(x))^2 \left( \frac{V_p(x)}{V_q(x)} \right)' &= V_p'(x)V_q(x) - V_p(x)V_q'(x) \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^p}{(x+s)^{1/2}} ds \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^q}{(x+s)^{3/2}} ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^q}{(x+s)^{1/2}} ds \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \frac{s^p}{(x+s)^{3/2}} ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \Delta_{p,q}(x, t) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$\Delta_{p,q}(x, t) = \int_0^t \frac{s^q(t-s)^q}{(x+s)^{3/2}(x+t-s)^{1/2}} [(t-s)^{p-q} - s^{p-q}] ds.$$

The substitution  $s = t(1+y)/2$  leads to

$$\Delta_{p,q}(x, t) = \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{p+q+1} \int_{-1}^1 \phi_q(x, t, y)(x+t(1-y)/2)[(1-y)^{p-q} - (1+y)^{p-q}] dy$$

with

$$\phi_q(x, t, y) = \frac{(1 - y^2)^q}{[(x + t(1 - y)/2)(x + t(1 + y)/2)]^{3/2}}.$$

Since  $y \mapsto \phi_q(x, t, y)$  is even and  $y \mapsto (1 - y)^{p-q} - (1 + y)^{p-q}$  is odd, we obtain

$$\Delta_{p,q}(x, t) = -2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{p+q+2} \int_0^1 \phi_q(x, t, y)[(1 - y)^{p-q} - (1 + y)^{p-q}] dy > 0. \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.14) and (4.15) we conclude that  $(V_p(x)/V_q(x))' > 0$  for  $x > 0$ .

We define

$$h_q(x) = V_q(x) - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{q + 1}{2x^3}.$$

Then, (2.6) gives

$$h_q(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{x^5}\right). \tag{4.16}$$

If  $x \mapsto V_p(x)/V_q(x)$  is increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , then we get for all  $x > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq [V_p(2x)V_q(x) - V_p(x)V_q(2x)]x^4 \\ &= [h_p(2x)h_q(x) - h_p(x)h_q(2x)]x^4 + [-h_p(x)/2 + h_p(2x) + h_q(x)/2 - h_q(2x)]x^3 \\ &\quad + [(q + 1)h_p(x)/8 - (q + 1)h_p(2x) - (p + 1)h_q(x)/8 \\ &\quad + (p + 1)h_q(2x)]x/2 + 3(p - q)/16. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.16) we obtain that the expression on the right-hand side converges to  $3(p - q)/16$ , if  $x$  tends to  $\infty$ . Thus,  $p \geq q$ . □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I am grateful to Professor M. B. Ruskai for providing the short and elegant proof that for  $q \in (-1, 0)$  the function  $1/V_q$  is not convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Also, I thank the referee for helpful comments.

### REFERENCES

1. H. Alzer and C. Berg, Some classes of completely monotonic functions, *Ann. Acad. Scient. Fennicae* **27** (2002), 445–460.
2. T. Bang and B. Fuglede, No two quotients of normalized binomial mid-coefficients are equal, *J. Number Th.* **35** (1990), 345–349.
3. R. Brummelhuis and M. B. Ruskai, A simple one-dimensional model for atoms in strong magnetic fields, *Contemporary Math.* **217** (1998), 109–119.
4. R. Brummelhuis, M. B. Ruskai and E. Werner, One dimensional regularizations of the Coulomb potential with application to atoms in strong magnetic fields, *Studies Adv. Math.* **16** (2000), 67–75.
5. A. M. Fink, Kolmogorov-Landau inequalities for monotone functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **90** (1982), 251–258.
6. C. E. Finol and M. Wójtowicz, Multiplicative properties of real functions with applications to classical functions, *Aequat. Math.* **59** (2000), 134–149.

7. D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic inequalities* (Springer-Verlag, 1970).
8. M. B. Ruskai and E. Werner, Study of a class of regularizations of  $1/|x|$  using Gaussian integrals, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **32** (2000), 435–463.
9. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform* (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1941).
10. M. Wirth, On considère la fonction de  $\mathbf{R}$  dans  $\mathbf{R}$  définie par  $f(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$ ; démontrer que la fonction  $g$  de  $\mathbf{R}$  dans  $\mathbf{R}$  définie par  $g(x) = f(x) / \int_x^\infty f(t) dt$  est convexe, *Rev. Math. Spéciales* **104** (1993), 187–188.