

## THE DIVISIBILITY OF THE CLASS NUMBER OF THE IMAGINARY QUADRATIC FIELD $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2^{2m} - k^n})$

ZHU MINHUI

*School of Science, Xi'an Polytechnic University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China*  
*e-mail: xiao-zhu123@sohu.com*

and WANG TINGTING

*Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China*  
*e-mail: tingtingwang126@126.com*

(Received 7 July 2010; revised 29 November 2010; accepted 16 August 2011)

**Abstract.** Let  $h_K$  denote the class number of the imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2^{2m} - k^n})$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers,  $k$  is an odd integer with  $k > 1$  and  $2^{2m} < k^n$ . In this paper we prove that if either  $3 \mid n$  and  $2^{2m} - k^n \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  or  $n = 3$  and  $k = (2^{2m+2} - 1)/3$ , then  $\frac{n}{3} \mid h_K$ . Otherwise, we have  $n \mid h_K$ .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R11, 11R29.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{Q}$  be the sets of all integers, positive integers and rational numbers, respectively. For any fixed positive integer  $D$ , there exists unique positive integers  $d$  and  $s$  such that

$$D = ds^2, \quad d, s \in \mathbf{N}, \quad d \text{ is a square-free number.} \quad (1)$$

Let  $h_K$  denote the class number of the imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ . There are many papers concerned with the divisibility of  $h_K$ , for

$$-D = a^2 - \delta k^n, \quad a, k, n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \gcd(a, k) = 1, \quad k > 1, \quad \delta \in \{1, 4\}, \quad a^2 < 8k^n \quad (2)$$

(see [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9]). Recently, Kishi [7] proved that if  $a = 2^m, k = 3, \delta = 1$  and  $(k, n) \neq (2, 3)$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer, then  $n \mid h_K$ . In this paper, we prove a more general result than Kishi's result, as follows.

**THEOREM.** *If  $a = 2^m$  and  $\delta = 1$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer, then*

$$h_K \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if either } 3 \mid n \text{ and } 2^{2m} - k^n \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \\ & \text{or } n = 3 \text{ and } k = (2^{2m+2} - 1)/3 \\ 0 \pmod{n}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

The proof of our theorem relies on a recent result concerning the existence of primitive divisors of Lehmer numbers given by Bilu et al. [2] and Voutier [10].

**2. Preliminaries.** For any positive integer  $D$  with  $-D \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ , let  $H(-D)$  denote the class number of binary quadratic primitive forms with discriminant  $-D$ .

Let  $d$  be a square-free positive integer, and let  $h(-d)$  denotes the class number of the imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ .

LEMMA 1. (Section 16.13 in [6])

$$h(-d) = \begin{cases} H(-4d), & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ H(-d), & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

LEMMA 2. If  $d > 3$  and  $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$H(-d) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}H(-4d), & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ H(-4d), & \text{if } d \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \end{cases}. \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* Since  $d \geq 7$ , by Theorems 11.4.3 and 12.10.1 in [6], we have

$$H(-d) = \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\pi}K(-d), \tag{5}$$

and

$$H(-4d) = \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{\pi}K(-4d), \tag{6}$$

where  $K(-d) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ ,  $(d/n)$  is the Kronecher symbol.

Further, since  $-d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , by the definition of fundamental discriminants (see Section 12.11 in [6]),  $-d$  is a fundamental discriminant, while  $-4d$  is not. Therefore, by Theorem 12.11.2 in [6], we have

$$K(-4d) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{-d}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2}\right) K(-d), \tag{7}$$

where  $(-d/2)$  is the Kronecker symbol. Furthermore, by Theorems 3.6.3 and 12.3.1 in [6], we get

$$\left(\frac{-d}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{d}\right) = (-1)^{(d^2-1)/8} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \\ -1, & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}, \tag{8}$$

where  $(2/d)$  is the Jacobi symbol. Substitute (8) into (7), we get

$$K(-4d) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}K(-d), & \text{if } d \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \\ \frac{3}{2}K(-d), & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}. \tag{9}$$

Thus, by (5), (6) and (9), we obtain (4). The lemma is proved. □

By Lemmas 1 and 2, we get the following lemma immediately.

LEMMA 3.

$$h(-d) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}H(-4d), & \text{if } d > 3 \text{ and } d \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ H(-4d), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

LEMMA 4. Let  $D$  and  $k$  be positive integers such that  $D > 1$ ,  $k > 1$  and  $\gcd(k, 2D) = 1$ . If equation

$$X^2 + DY^2 = k^Z, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \gcd(X, Y) = 1, \quad Z > 0, \tag{10}$$

has solutions  $(X, Y, Z)$ , then every solution  $(X, Y, Z)$  of (10) can be expressed as

$$Z = Z_1 t, \quad t \in \mathbf{N},$$

$$X + Y\sqrt{-D} = \lambda_1(X_1 + \lambda_2 Y_1\sqrt{-D})^t, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{\pm 1\},$$

where  $X_1, Y_1$ , and  $Z_1$  are positive integers satisfying

$$X_1^2 + DY_1^2 = k^{Z_1}, \quad \gcd(X_1, Y_1) = 1, \quad Z_1 \mid H(4D).$$

*Proof.* This is a special case of Theorem 6.2 in [5] for  $(D_1, D_2) = (1, -D)$ . We may assume that the solution  $(X, Y, Z)$  belongs to a certain solution class  $S_l$  of (10), and let  $(X_1, Y_1, Z_1)$  denote a solution of  $S_l$  such that  $X_1 > 0, Y_1 > 0$  and  $Z_1 \leq Z$  for all solutions  $(X, Y, Z) \in S_l$ . Then, by Theorem 6.2 in [5], the lemma is proved.  $\square$

LEMMA 5. Equation

$$x^m - y^n = 1, \quad x, y, m, n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \min(x, y, m, n) > 1$$

has only one solution  $(x, y, m, n) = (3, 2, 2, 3)$ .

LEMMA 6. Equation

$$2^{2m+2} - 3y^n = 1, \quad y, m, n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad n > 2 \tag{11}$$

has no solution  $(y, m, n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(y, m, n)$  be a solution of (11). Since  $(2^{m+1} + 1, 2^{m+1} - 1) = 1$ , we get from (11) that either

$$2^{m+1} + 1 = a^n, \quad 2^{m+1} - 1 = 3b^n, \quad y = ab, \quad a, b \in \mathbf{N}, \tag{12}$$

or

$$2^{m+1} + 1 = 3a^n, \quad 2^{m+1} - 1 = b^n, \quad y = ab, \quad a, b \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{13}$$

But, since  $n > 2$ , by Lemma 5, (12) and (13) are both impossible. Thus, the lemma is proved.

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be algebraic integers. If  $(\alpha + \beta)^2$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are non-zero coprime integers and  $\alpha/\beta$  is not a root of unity, then  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is called a Lehmer pair. Further, let  $a = (\alpha + \beta)^2$  and  $c = \alpha\beta$ . Then, we have

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{a} + \lambda\sqrt{b}), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{a} - \lambda\sqrt{b}), \quad \lambda \in \{\pm 1\},$$

where  $b = a - 4c$ . Such  $(a, b)$  is called the parameters of Lehmer pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Two Lehmer pairs,  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ , are called equivalent if  $\alpha_1/\alpha_2 = \beta_1/\beta_2 \in \{\pm 1, \pm\sqrt{-1}\}$ . Obviously, if  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$  are equivalent Lehmer pairs with parameters  $(a_1, b_1)$  and  $(a_2, b_2)$  respectively, then  $(a_2, b_2) = (\lambda a_1, b_1)$ , where  $\lambda \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

For a fixed Lehmer pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , one defines the corresponding sequence of Lehmer numbers by

$$L_r(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^r - \beta^r}{\alpha - \beta}, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{\alpha^r - \beta^r}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}, & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad r \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{14}$$

Then, Lehmer numbers  $L_r(\alpha, \beta)$  ( $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are non-zero integers. Further, for equivalent Lehmer pairs  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ , we have  $L_r(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = \pm L_r(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$  for any. A prime  $p$  is called a primitive divisor of the Lehmer number  $L_r(\alpha, \beta)$  if  $p \mid L_r(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $p \nmid abL_1(\alpha, \beta) \dots L_{r-1}(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $(a, b)$  is the parameters of Lehmer pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . A Lehmer pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $L_r(\alpha, \beta)$  has no primitive divisor will be called  $r$ -defective Lehmer pair. □

LEMMA 7 [10]. *Let  $r$  satisfy  $6 < r \leq 30$  and  $r \neq 8, 10, 12$ . Then, up to equivalence, all parameters  $(a, b)$  ( $a > 0$ ) of  $r$ -defective pairs are given as follows:*

- $r = 7, (a, b) = (1, -7), (1, -19), (3, -5), (5, -7), (13, -3), (14, -22).$
- $r = 9, (a, b) = (5, -3), (7, -1), (7, -5).$
- $r = 13, (a, b) = (1, -7).$
- $r = 14, (a, b) = (3, -13), (5, -3), (7, -1), (7, -5), (19, -1), (22, -14).$
- $r = 15, (a, b) = (7, -1), (10, -2).$
- $r = 18, (a, b) = (1, -7), (3, -5), (5, -7).$
- $r = 24, (a, b) = (3, -5), (5, -3).$
- $r = 26, (a, b) = (7, -1).$
- $r = 30, (a, b) = (1, -7), (2, -10).$

LEMMA 8 [2]. *If  $r > 30$ , then no Lehmer pair is  $r$ -defective.*

**3. Proof of the theorem.** Since  $a = 2^m$  and  $\delta = 1$ , we see from (2) that  $k$  is an odd integer with  $k > 1$ . By (1) and (2), equation

$$X^2 - dY^2 = k^Z, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \gcd(X, Y) = 1, \quad Z > 0$$

has a solution  $(X, Y, Z) = (2^m, s, n)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4 we get

$$n = Z_1 t, \quad t \in \mathbf{N}, \tag{15}$$

$$2^m + s\sqrt{-d} = \lambda_1(X_1 + \lambda_2 Y_1 \sqrt{-d})^t, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{\pm 1\}, \tag{16}$$

where  $X_1, Y_1$ , and  $Z_1$  are positive integers satisfying

$$X_1^2 - dY_1^2 = k^{Z_1}, \quad \gcd(X_1, Y_1) = 1, \tag{17}$$

$$Z_1 \mid H(-4d), \tag{18}$$

where  $H(-4d)$  is the class number of binary quadratic primitive forms with discriminant  $-4d$ .

Since  $k$  is odd, we see from (1), (2) and (17) that  $D, d$  and  $s$  are odd, and  $(X_1 Y_1)$  is even. Therefore, we find from (16) that  $t$  must be odd. Then, by (16), we get

$$2^m = \lambda_1 X_1 \sum_{i=0}^{(t-1)/2} \binom{t}{2i} X_1^{t-2i-1} (-dY_1^2)^i, \tag{19}$$

$$s = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 Y_1 \sum_{i=0}^{(t-1)/2} \binom{t}{2i+1} X_1^{t-2i-1} (-dY_1^2)^i. \tag{20}$$

Further, since  $s$  is odd, we see from (20) that  $Y_1$  is odd and  $X_1$  is even. Furthermore, since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{(t-1)/2} \binom{t}{2i} X_1^{t-2i-1} (-dY_1^2)^i$$

is odd, we get from (19) that

$$X_1 = 2^m \tag{21}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{(t-1)/2} \binom{t}{2i} 2^{m(t-2i-1)} (-dY_1^2)^i = \pm 1. \tag{22}$$

Let

$$\alpha = Y_1 \sqrt{-d} + 2^m, \beta = Y_1 \sqrt{-d} - 2^m. \tag{23}$$

Then we have

$$\alpha + \beta = 2Y_1 \sqrt{-d}, \alpha - \beta = 2^{m+1}, \alpha\beta = -k^{Z_1}, \tag{24}$$

by (17). We see from (24) that  $(\alpha + \beta)^2 = -4dY_1^2$  and  $\alpha\beta = -k^{Z_1}$  are coprime non-zero integers. Further, by (23),  $(\alpha/\beta)$  satisfies

$$k^{Z_1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^2 - 2(2^{2m} - dY_1^2) \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + k^{Z_1} = 0. \tag{25}$$

Since  $k > 1$  and  $\gcd(k^{Z_1}, 2(2^{2m} - dY_1^2)) = \gcd(2^{2m} + dY_1^2, 2(2^{2m} - dY_1^2)) = 1$ , we find from (25) that  $\alpha/\beta$  is not a root of unity. Therefore, by (23),  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is a Lehmer pair with parameters  $(-4dY_1^2, 2^{2m+2})$ .

Let  $L_r(\alpha, \beta)$  ( $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ) denote the Lehmer numbers defined by (14). We get from (14), (22) and (23) that

$$L_t(\alpha, \beta) = \pm 1. \tag{26}$$

It implies that the Lehmer number  $L_t(\alpha, \beta)$  has no primitive divisor. Therefore, by Lemma 8, we get  $t \leq 30$ . Further, since  $t$  is odd, by Lemma 7, we get  $t \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ .

If  $t = 5$ , then from (22) we have

$$2^{4m} - 10 \cdot 2^{2m} dY_1^2 + 5 (dY_1^2)^2 = \pm 1. \tag{27}$$

But, since  $dY_1^2$  is odd, we see from (27) that  $2^{4m} - 10 \cdot 2^{2m}dY_1^2 + 5(dY_1^2)^2 \equiv 5 \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ , a contradiction.

If  $t = 3$ , then we have

$$2^{2m} - 3dY_1^2 = 1, \quad (28)$$

since  $2^{2m} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . The combination of (17), (21) and (28) yields

$$2^{2m+2} - 3k^{Z_1} = 1. \quad (29)$$

Since  $k > 1$ , by Lemma 6 we see from (29) that  $Z_1 = 1$ . Therefore, by (15) we get

$$n = 3, \quad Z_1 = 1, \quad k = \frac{1}{3}(2^{2m+2} - 1). \quad (30)$$

By the above analysis we get from (15) that  $t = 1$  and

$$n = Z_1 \quad (31)$$

except the case (30). Therefore, by (18) and (31), we have

$$n \mid H(-4d) \quad (32)$$

except when (30). Further, by Lemma 3 we deduce from (30) and (32) that (3) is true. Thus, the theorem is proved.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** The authors would like to thank the referee for his very helpful and detailed comments.

## REFERENCES

1. N. C. Ankeny and S. Chowla, On the divisibility of the class number of quadratic fields, *Pacific J. Math.* **5**(4) (1955), 321–324.
2. Y. Bilu, G. Hanrot and P. M. Voutier (with an appendix by M. Mignotte), Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **539** (2001), 75–122.
3. M. J. Cowles, On the divisibility of the class number of imaginary quadratic fields, *J. Number Theory* **12**(2) (1980), 113–115.
4. B. H. Gross and D. E. Rohrlich, Some results on the Mordell–Weil group of the Jacobian of the Fermat curve, *Invent. Math.* **44**(2) (1978), 201–224.
5. C. Heuberger and M. H. Le, On the generalized Ramanujan–Nagell equation  $x^2 + D = p^z$ , *J. Number Theory* **78**(4) (1999), 312–331.
6. L. K. Hua, *Introduction to number theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).
7. Y. Kishi, Note on the divisibility of the class number of certain imaginary quadratic fields, *Glasgow Math. J.* **51**(1) (2009), 187–191 (Corrigendum: *Glasgow Math. J.* **52**(2) (2010), 207–208).
8. R. A. Mollin, Diophantine equations and class numbers, *J. Number Theory* **24**(1) (1986), 7–19.
9. R. A. Mollin, Solutions, of diophantine equations and divisibility of class numbers of complex quadratic fields, *Glasgow Math. J.* **38**(2) (1996), 195–197.
10. P. M. Voutier, Primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer sequences, *Math. Comp.* **64**(5) (1995), 869–888.