

## AN IMPROVEMENT TO A THEOREM OF LEONETTI AND LUCA

TRAN NGUYEN THANH DANH, HOANG TUAN DUNG, PHAM VIET HUNG,  
NGUYEN DINH KIEN, NGUYEN AN THINH, KHUC DINH TOAN and  
NGUYEN XUAN THO 

(Received 2 July 2023; accepted 21 July 2023; first published online 1 September 2023)

### Abstract

Leonetti and Luca [*On the iterates of the shifted Euler's function*, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, to appear] have shown that the integer sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  defined by  $x_{n+2} = \phi(x_{n+1}) + \phi(x_n) + k$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \geq 1$ ,  $k \geq 0$  and  $2 \mid k$ , is bounded by  $4^{X^{3k+1}}$ , where  $X = (3x_1 + 5x_2 + 7k)/2$ . We improve this result by showing that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is bounded by  $2^{2X^2+X-3}$ , where  $X = x_1 + x_2 + 2k$ .

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11B30; secondary 11B37.

*Keywords and phrases*: Euler's totient function, integer sequence, recursive sequence.

### 1. Introduction

In a recent study of integer sequences generated by Euler's totient function, Leonetti and Luca [3] proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Fix an even integer  $k \geq 0$ . The integer sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  defined by  $x_{n+2} = \phi(x_{n+1}) + \phi(x_n) + k$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \geq 1$ , is bounded by  $4^{X^{3k+1}}$ , where  $X = (3x_1 + 5x_2 + 7k)/2$ .*

It is natural to ask whether the size of the upper bound for the sequence  $(x_n)$  in Theorem 1.1 could be reduced. In this paper, we will provide such an improvement. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Fix an even integer  $k \geq 0$ . The integer sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  defined by  $x_{n+2} = \phi(x_{n+1}) + \phi(x_n) + k$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \geq 1$ , is bounded by  $2^{2X^2+X-3}$ , where  $X = x_1 + x_2 + 2k$ .*

---

Nguyen Xuan Tho is funded by the Vietnam Ministry of Education and Training under the project number B2022-CTT-03.

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

Note that the bound in Theorem 1.2 is exponentially smaller than the bound in Theorem 1.1. To prove Theorem 1.2, we will use the Chinese remainder theorem in combination with some estimates on prime numbers due to Erdős (Lemma 2.4) and Rosser (Lemma 2.3).

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

*Case 1:*  $x_3 = 2$ . Since  $k \geq 0$ ,  $2 \mid k$  and  $\phi(x_1) + \phi(x_2) + k = x_3 = 2$ , we must have  $k = 0$  and  $\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2) = 1$ . Hence,  $x_1, x_2 \in \{1, 2\}$ . Note that if  $\phi(x_n) = \phi(x_{n-1}) = 1$ , then  $x_{n+1} = \phi(x_n) + \phi(x_{n-1}) = 2$ . By induction,  $x_n = 2$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . Since  $X = x_1 + x_2 + 2k \geq 2$ , we have  $2^{2X^2+X-3} > 2$ . Hence,  $x_n < 2^{2X^2+X-3}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Case 2:*  $x_3 \geq 3$ . Then  $x_4 = \phi(x_3) + \phi(x_2) + k \geq 3$ . Note that if  $x_n \geq 3$  with  $n \geq 3$ , then

$$x_{n+1} = \phi(x_n) + \phi(x_{n-1}) + k \geq 3 + k \geq 3.$$

By induction,  $x_n \geq 3$  for all  $n \geq 3$ , so that  $2 \mid \phi(x_n)$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . Therefore,  $2 \mid \phi(x_{n-1}) + \phi(x_{n-2}) + k = x_n$  for all  $n \geq 5$  and so

$$\phi(x_n) \leq \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 5. \tag{2.1}$$

**LEMMA 2.1.** For  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ ,

$$x_n < 2^X. \tag{2.2}$$

**PROOF.** We consider each value of  $n$  in turn.

$n = 1$  or  $n = 2$ . Then (2.2) holds because  $\max\{x_1, x_2\} < x_1 + x_2 \leq X < 2^X$ .

$n = 3$ . Then (2.2) holds because  $3 \leq x_3 = \phi(x_1) + \phi(x_2) + k \leq x_1 + x_2 + k \leq X < 2^X$ .

$n = 4$ . Since  $\phi(x_3) \leq x_3 - 1 \leq x_1 + x_2 + k - 1$  and  $\phi(x_2) \leq x_2$ ,

$$x_4 = \phi(x_3) + \phi(x_2) + k \leq x_1 + 2x_2 + 2k - 1 < 2X \leq 2^X \quad (\text{since } 2^X \geq 2X \text{ for } X \geq 2).$$

$n = 5$ . Since  $\phi(x_4) \leq x_4 - 1 \leq x_1 + 2x_2 + 2k - 2$  and  $\phi(x_3) \leq x_1 + x_2 + k - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_5 &= \phi(x_4) + \phi(x_3) + k \leq 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4k - 3 \\ &= 3(x_1 + x_2 + 2k) - x_1 - 2k - 3 \\ &\leq 3X - 4 \quad (\text{since } x_1 + 2k + 3 \geq 4) \\ &< 2^X \quad (\text{since } 2^X > 3X - 4 \text{ for } X \geq 2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$n = 6$ . By (2.1) and (2.3),  $\phi(x_5) \leq x_5/2 \leq (2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4k - 3)/2$ . Combining this with the estimate  $\phi(x_4) \leq x_1 + 2x_2 + 2k - 2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} x_6 &= \phi(x_5) + \phi(x_4) + k \leq \frac{2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4k - 3}{2} + (x_1 + 2x_2 + 2k - 2) + k \\ &= \frac{4x_1 + 7x_2 + 10k - 7}{2} = \frac{7(x_1 + x_2 + 2k)}{2} - \frac{3x_1 + 4k + 7}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{7}{2}X - 5 \quad (\text{since } (3x_1 + 4k + 7)/2 \geq 5) \\ &< 2^X \quad (\text{since } 2^X > 7X/2 - 5 \text{ for } X \geq 2). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We now return to the proof of Theorem 1.2 when  $x_3 \geq 3$  (Case 2).

Case 2.1:  $k = 0$ . Then  $x_n = \phi(x_{n-1}) + \phi(x_{n-2})$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . By (2.1),

$$x_{n+2} = \phi(x_{n+1}) + \phi(x_n) \leq \frac{x_{n+1}}{2} + \frac{x_n}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 5. \tag{2.4}$$

An induction, using Lemma 2.1 and (2.4), shows that  $x_n < 2^X$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence,  $x_n < 2^X < 2^{2X^2+X-3}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Case 2.2:  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_{2k+2}$  be the first  $2k + 2$  primes. By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exist infinitely many positive integers  $x$  such that

$$x \equiv -i \pmod{p_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k + 2. \tag{2.5}$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a positive integer satisfying the congruences (2.5) and such that  $M \geq \max\{2^X - 2k - 2, kp_{2k+2} - k - 2\}$ . Then, for all positive integers  $n$ ,*

$$x_n \leq M + 2k + 2. \tag{2.6}$$

**PROOF.** Since  $M + 2k + 2 \geq 2^X$ , by Lemma 2.1, (2.6) holds for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ . Suppose  $n \geq 7$  and assume that Lemma 2.2 is not true. Let  $m$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $x_m > M + 2k + 2$ . Then  $x_{m-1}, x_{m-2} \leq M + 2k + 2$  and  $m \geq 7$ . Thus,  $2 \mid x_{m-1}, x_{m-2}$ . Therefore,

$$M + 2k + 2 < x_m = \phi(x_{m-1}) + \phi(x_{m-2}) + k \leq \frac{x_{m-1}}{2} + \frac{x_{m-2}}{2} + k$$

and so  $x_{m-1} + x_{m-2} > 2M + 2k + 4$ . It follows that  $x_{m-1}, x_{m-2} > M + 2$  since  $x_{m-1}, x_{m-2} \leq M + 2k + 2$ . Let  $x_{m-1} = M + 2 + r$  and  $x_{m-2} = M + 2 + s$ , where  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 < r, s \leq 2k$ . Since  $M$  satisfies (2.5), there exist odd primes  $p, q \leq p_{2k+2}$  such that  $p \mid M + 2 + r = x_{m-1}$  and  $q \mid M + 2 + s = x_{m-2}$ . Therefore,  $2p \mid x_{m-1}$  and  $2q \mid x_{m-2}$ . Recalling that  $x_{m-1}, x_{m-2} \leq M + 2k + 2$  and  $p, q \leq p_{2k+2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_m = \phi(x_{m-1}) + \phi(x_{m-2}) + k &\leq \frac{x_{m-1}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{x_{m-2}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) + k \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \frac{M + 2k + 2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{2k+2}}\right) + k \\ &= (M + 2k + 2) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{2k+2}}\right) + k \\ &\leq M + 2k + 2 \quad (\text{since } M + 2k + 2 \geq p_{2k+2}k), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $x_m > M + 2k + 2$ . Therefore, (2.6) holds for all positive integers  $n$ . Lemma 2.2 is proved.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.3** (Rosser [4, Theorem 2]). *For all positive integers  $n \geq 4$ ,*

$$p_n < n(\log n + 2 \log \log n),$$

where  $p_n$  is the  $n$ th prime and  $\log$  denotes the natural logarithm.

**LEMMA 2.4** (Erdős [2]; see Aigner and Ziegler [1, Ch. 2, page 10]). *For all positive integers  $n \geq 2$ ,*

$$\prod_{p \leq n} p \leq 4^{n-1},$$

where the product is taken over all primes  $p \leq n$ .

**LEMMA 2.5.** *For all positive integers  $n \geq 4$ ,*

$$p_n < n^2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n < 4^{n^2-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

**PROOF.** It is a routine verification that  $\log x + 2 \log \log x < x$  for all  $x > 1$ . Hence,

$$n(\log n + 2 \log \log n) < n^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Then (2.7) follows from Lemma 2.3 and inequality (2.9).

Inequality (2.8) is a consequence of Lemma 2.4 and (2.7). Indeed,

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n \leq 4^{p_n-1} < 4^{n^2-1}. \quad \square$$

We return to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let  $\alpha$  be the smallest positive integer satisfying (2.5). Then  $\alpha \leq p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{2k+2}$ . Note that  $4 \leq 2k + 2 \leq 2k + x_1 + x_2 = X$ . It follows from (2.8) that

$$\alpha \leq p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{2k+2} < 4^{(2k+2)^2-1} \leq 4^{X^2-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

Let  $M = \alpha + 2^{x_1+x_2} p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{2k+2}$ . Then  $M$  satisfies (2.5). Since  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{2k+2} > 2^{2k}$  and  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{2k+2} > p_k p_{2k+2} > k p_{2k+2}$ ,

$$M > 2^{x_1+x_2} p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{2k+2} > 2^{x_1+x_2} 2^{2k} = 2^X$$

and

$$M > p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{2k+2} > k p_{2k+2}.$$

Thus,  $M$  satisfies all the conditions in Lemma 2.2. Hence,

$$x_n \leq M + 2k + 2 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \tag{2.11}$$

Since  $x_1 + x_2 = X - 2k \leq X - 2$ , by (2.10),

$$M = \alpha + 2^{x_1+x_2} p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{2k+2} < (1 + 2^{X-2}) \cdot 4^{X^2-1}. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that  $X \leq 2^{X-2}$  since  $X \geq 4$ . Thus,

$$2k + 2 \leq 2k + x_1 + x_2 = X \leq 2^{X-2}. \quad (2.13)$$

Combining (2.12) and (2.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} M + 2k + 2 &\leq M + 2^{X-2} < (1 + 2^{X-2}) \cdot 4^{X^2-1} + 2^{X-2} \\ &< 2^{X-2} \cdot 4^{X^2-1} + 2^{X-2} \cdot 4^{X^2-1} = 2^{2X^2+X-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

It follows from (2.11) and (2.14) that  $x_n < 2^{2X^2+X-3}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**REMARK 2.6.** Leonetti and Luca [3] also proved that the integer sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  defined by  $x_{n+1} = \phi(x_n) + k$ , where  $x_1 \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$ , is bounded by  $\max\{x_1, k^4\} + (k+1)^2$ . A similar argument to that in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is bounded but with a worse upper bound.

**REMARK 2.7.** It is an open question to find the best possible bound (in terms of  $x_1, x_2$  and  $k$ ) for the sequence  $(x_n)$  in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

### Acknowledgements

This project started during a training session of the Vietnam team preparing for the 2023 International Mathematical Olympiad (IMO). The first six authors are the members of the Vietnam 2023 IMO team. The idea of using the Chinese remainder theorem is due to Dung and Hung. Dung won a silver medal in IMO 2023 and Hung won gold medals in both IMO 2022 and IMO 2023.

We would like to thank the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM) for their support. We would like to thank Professor Le Anh Vinh (the IMO team leader), Doctor Pham Duc Hiep and Master Tran Quang Hung for giving us the opportunity to work together.

### References

- [1] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler, *Proofs from The Book*, 6th edn (Springer, Berlin, 2018).
- [2] P. Erdős, 'Beweis eines Satzes von Tschebyschef', *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **5** (1930–1932), 194–198.
- [3] P. Leonetti and F. Luca, 'On the iterates of the shifted Euler's function', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, to appear. Published online (12 May 2023).
- [4] J. B. Rosser, 'The  $n$ -th prime is greater than  $n \log n$ ', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **45** (1939), 21–44.

TRAN NGUYEN THANH DANH, VNU-HCM High School for the Gifted Students,  
Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam  
e-mail: danhtran17022005@gmail.com

HOANG TUAN DUNG, Hanoi National University of Education High School for the Gifted Students, Hanoi, Vietnam  
e-mail: [tuandungh01@gmail.com](mailto:tuandungh01@gmail.com)

PHAM VIET HUNG, HUS High School for Gifted Students, Hanoi, Vietnam  
e-mail: [vhpro2005@gmail.com](mailto:vhpro2005@gmail.com)

NGUYEN DINH KIEN, Tran Phu High School for the Gifted Students, Haiphong, Vietnam  
e-mail: [kien08022006@gmail.com](mailto:kien08022006@gmail.com)

NGUYEN AN THINH, Tran Phu High School for the Gifted Students, Haiphong, Vietnam  
e-mail: [thinhyte0x0@gmail.com](mailto:thinhyte0x0@gmail.com)

KHUC DINH TOAN, Bac Ninh High School for the Gifted Students, Bắc Ninh, Vietnam  
e-mail: [khucdinhtoan985@gmail.com](mailto:khucdinhtoan985@gmail.com)

NGUYEN XUAN THO, School of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam  
e-mail: [tho.nguyenxuan1@hust.edu.vn](mailto:tho.nguyenxuan1@hust.edu.vn)