

Adherence to recommendation 2.6.3 of the service specification for Tier 4 CAMHS was assessed. Standard 2.6.3 of the service specification for Tier 4 child and adolescent mental health services states that “on admission all young people must have an initial assessment (including a risk assessment) and care-plan completed within 24 hours. Where admission is for day/in-patient care this will include a physical examination.” In line with this standard this audit will evaluate the use of physical examination, baseline blood tests and ECG carried out on young people.

Background. Mental health problems in children and young people are associated with both short- and long-term physical health problems. It is therefore important that they undergo full physical health assessment on admission to a Tier 4 inpatient unit.

Method. Electronic records were reviewed for all patients admitted within a 6 months period, between 1st August 2018 and 1st February 2019. Data were collected in March 2019 and entered directly into an excel spread sheet designed for data collection. A total of 23 patients were identified for inclusion in this audit.

Simple statistical analysis was carried out using excel.

Result. Over 80% of patients who did not refuse had a completed physical examination (85%), blood results recorded (82%) and ECG (84%) within the first 24 hours of their admission. 100% of patients who did not refuse had bloods and ECG checked at some time during their admission, with 90% having a physical examination.

For several patients (3 physical examination, 2 bloods, 3 ECG), no reason was documented as to why the procedure or examination did not take place. For 1 patient, blood tests were delayed due to having no blood tubes available.

Conclusion. Taken into account the result of this audit and bearing in mind the importance of physical examination as part of the admission process, it is important to try and support both regular Mill Lodge staff and on-call junior doctors to follow Standard 2.6.3's guidance around physical examination on admission to hospital. While good results were seen in many areas, the ward is not yet achieving the standard of 100%. A re-audit will take place in twelve months' time to review recommendation and compliance.

Appropriateness of referrals to Whiston hospital Mental Health Liaison Services

Nick Strouther* and Divya Jain

The Brooker Centre, HENW

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.931

Aims. 1. The aim of this study was to assess the appropriateness of referrals to Whiston Mental Health Liaison Services (WMHLS) according to Royal College of Psychiatrists and local trust guidelines.

2. To assess whether the referrals were being reviewed in timely manner as per the trust's guidelines.

Method. Data collection was completed using a proforma to ensure uniform data collection. The proforma included information on patient demographics, previous mental health service involvement, other details like reasons and time of referral and their outcomes. Data sample comprised of 46 patients who had been referred to the WMHLS in the month of August 2019 were randomly selected.

Result. 44 of the 46 referrals analyzed were found to be appropriate. 40 patients were deemed to have appropriate documentation. The ratio of males to females was 20:26. 21 referrals were from the

observation ward, 14 from A&E, and 11 from medical wards. 40 patients were previously known to mental health services. The reasons for referral ranged from suicidal ideation/attempt (48%), Drug related (12%), Assessment (7%) and more. There were various outcomes recorded. One of them was that 18 (28%) referrals were assessed for Depression and for other mental health problems.

78.6% of patients referred from A&E, and 95.2% of patients in the observation ward, were not seen in the 1 hour window set out by the Trust's guidelines. 91.1% of patients referred from the wards were seen within the 24 hour target.

Conclusion. The vast majority of referrals were found to be appropriate (44/46). It was found that the referral form used across the Trust, contained different levels of details and information on the patient depending on the source of referral. Using a standard process to complete referral forms to be used across the whole trust may ensure that all patients receive a standardized and appropriate referral based on the guidelines. Making the form electronic may reduce problems deciphering handwriting, and could allow WMHLS have a better understanding of the patient, and allow them to identify a patient that may be more appropriate for another service, e.g. drugs and alcohol team. This may and make the overall referral process quicker and reduce waiting times in A&E, as well as faster referrals to the appropriate services.

Audit of delays in the diversion of mentally disordered defendants under the Mental Health Act 1983/2007 at a liaison and diversion service in North West London

Seema Sukhwai^{1*}, Claire Gordon-Ellis² and Luneta Tajblova³

¹Barnet Enfield and Haringey MH NHS Trust; ²Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust and ³Together for Mental Wellbeing

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.932

Aims. To ascertain the length of time defendants wait for a Mental Health Act assessment (MHAA) and where necessary, how long they are waiting for a hospital bed.

Background. The Liaison and Diversion Service in North West London (the Service) is provided by Central North West London Foundation NHS Trust (CNWL), Barnet Enfield Haringey (BEH) and Together to Willesden Magistrates Court in North West London.

One of the core activities of the Service is diverting individuals from the criminal justice system to hospital under the Mental Health Act (MHA).

The Code of Practice allows for a period of 14 days between the medical recommendation and conveyance to hospital. Defendants needing admission under MHA are remanded to custody if a bed is not available. This prevents them from receiving the assessment and care they need. We consider that all defendants found to be liable to detention under the MHA should be admitted to a hospital bed on the same day.

Method. Data were collected between October 2018 and February 2019. All patients referred for a MHAA were included. The time a MHAA was requested, took place as well as how long the defendant waited for a bed was noted.

Result. A total of 42 MHAA were requested. 25 individuals were detained under Section 2 of the MHA 1983.

The time between referral for a MHAA and the MHAA taking place was obtained in 25 of the 42 referrals. The range of times