© 2013 Universities Federation for Animal Welfare The Old School, Brewhouse Hill, Wheathampstead, Hertfordshire AL4 8AN, UK www.ufaw.org.uk 113

Responsible whale watching and whale welfare

MA Iñíguez

Fundacion Cethus, Monteverde 3695, Olivos, (B1636AEM), Prov Buenos Aires, Argentina Whale and Dolphin Conservation, Capitán Bermúdez 1598, (B1636EMB), Olivos, Buenos Aires, Argentina Email: miguel.iniguez@cethus.org

Keywords: animal welfare, cetaceans, conservation, marine wildlife, responsible whale watching, socioeconomic

Background

Whale watching is defined as tours by air, sea or land to view any of the 86 reported species of whales, dolphins and porpoises in their natural habitat where a commercial aspect exists (IFAW 1999). Whale-watching platforms include small boats, sailboats, cruise ships, inflatables, kayaks, helicopters and airplanes, and the activity can also include observation from land-based sites and approaches made by swimmers. In addition to being non-disruptive to the cetacean population, 'responsible whale watching' has many potential educational, environmental, scientific and socioeconomic benefits for human communities (Hoyt & Iñíguez 2008). As a result, tourism of this kind can have a positive effect on nature through the promotion of a general interest and awareness in cetaceans, sustainable economic growth for local economies (which are often otherwise struggling), therefore providing multiple benefits, including improved motivation to preserve marine wildlife.

The growth of the whale-watching industry

Whale watching has a long history and there is increasing interest in whale watching in general. In 1955, the first commercial whale-watching operation was developed by a fisherman named Chuck Chamberlain, who charged US\$1 to view grey whales (Eschrichtius robustus) on their winter migration off the coast of San Diego. Over the course of a few years, the activity slowly spread up and down the west coast of North America, involving the US, Canada and Mexico. In the 1970s, whale watching spread to the east coast of the US and Canada, and in the 1980s expanded to Europe, South America as well as elsewhere in the world (Hoyt 2002). In 2008, 13 million people participated in whale watching in 119 countries and territories, generating a total expenditure of US\$2.1 billion (O'Connor et al 2009). As well as Chamberlain, who was recognised as the first commercial whale-watching operator, the late

Robbins Barstow, former President of the Cetacean Society International, worked passionately to promote responsible whale watching and organised the first IWC 'Global Conference on the Non-Consumptive Utilisation of Cetacean Resources' in 1983 (Connecticut Cetacean Society [CCS] and Animal Welfare Institute [AWI] 1983).

The business of whale watching is still expanding. For example, in 2006/2007, there were 91 communities offering whale watching across 18 Latin American countries, nearly all of which were outside the main cities and industrial centres. From a comprehensive review completed in 2008, this eco-tourism activity had a steady growth of 11.3% per year (1998–2006). This rate of growth is three times that of world tourism and almost five times the rate of Latin American tourism over approximately the same period. In 2006/2007, whale-watching trips generated US\$79.4 million in ticket sales and US\$278.1 million in total expenditure (Hoyt & Iñíguez 2008).

A unique aspect of whale watching in Latin America, relative to other areas around the world, is that whale watching in this region is often managed within marine protected areas (MPAs). This allows for a tourism experience that is more benign and the sustained success of whale watching in sanctuaries and reserves further supports the concept and maintenance of such MPAs.

Species involved

Many species of cetacean are involved in whale watching, but the most frequently 'watched' are the grey, humpback (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), northern right (*Eubalaena glacialis*) and southern right whales (*Eubalaena australis*), common minke (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), sperm (*Physeter macrocephalus*), killer (*Orcinus orca*) and pilot whales (species of the genus Globicephala), common bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*), Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin

Universities Federation for Animal Welfare



(*Tursiops aduncus*), pantropical spotted dolphin (*Stenella attenuata*), Atlantic spotted dolphin (*Stenella frontalis*), striped dolphin (*Stenella coeruleoalba*), spinner dolphin (*Stenella longirostris*), common dolphins (species of the genus *Delphinus*) and harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*).

The need for and development of responsible whale watching

The International Whaling Commission (IWC) has been working on whale-watching issues since the mid-1990s. In 1996, the Scientific Committee developed guidelines for responsible whale watching which focused upon three key areas: (i) managing the development of whale watching to minimise the risk of adverse impacts; (ii) designing, maintaining and operating platforms to minimise the risk of adverse effects on cetaceans, including disturbance from noise; and (iii) allowing cetaceans involved to control the nature and duration of 'interactions' (IWC 1997). The IWC-endorsed workshop on the science for sustainable whale watching was held in Cape Town in March 2004. It reviewed available scientific and management tools for regulating whale-watching operations (IWC 2005) and held two subsequent workshops: one on longterm impacts, held in Australia in 2007 (IWC 2008) and the most recent held in Puerto Madryn, Argentina, in November 2010, to develop a five-year strategic plan for whale watching (IWC 2011). The main recommendation of the Puerto Madryn workshop was to consider:

...the development of a web-based flexible handbook on whale watching to achieve the objectives of the strategic plan. This handbook would be an important tool in assisting relevant authorities to develop national/local best practice approaches to whale watching.

The handbook will consider management, development, capacity building and research as regards whale watching.

A variety of studies provide scientific evidence to show or suggest there can be an adverse impact on cetacean populations through whale watching, particularly on resident populations of small cetaceans (IWC 2003, 2007; Lusseau 2005; Lusseau et al 2006; Stockin et al 2008) but these are mainly caused by irresponsible or unregulated whale-watching activities. The impacts of whale-watching activities on cetaceans can include: boat collisions with cetaceans, noise pollution, chemical pollution, or changes in behavioural patterns resulting from disturbance by boats, aircraft, associated noise, and swimmers (Van Waerebeek et al 2007; Arcangeli & Crosti 2009; Jensen et al 2009; Sousa-Lima & Clark 2009). There have also been a number of preliminary studies that have monitored the impact of observation from aircraft, most probably associated with noise impact (IWC 2010). The number of studies on noise at sea have increased recently, and direct observations and theoretical considerations both suggest that cetacean communication calls can be masked by engine noise (Foote et al 2004; Jensen et al 2009).

There are also a number of important conservation reasons to protect certain *critically endangered* cetacean populations through, for example, reducing the exposure of dolphins and whales to vessel-based tourism. Different but similar recommendations have been developed by the IWC, governments, NGOs and also tour operators to reduce the potential for detrimental impacts upon cetacean populations through whale-watching activities. Long-term impacts are very difficult to determine and, in this regard, the IWC's Scientific Committee is planning a large-scale whale-watching experiment to assist in describing such effects, improve understanding of the mechanisms involved and develop mitigation measures (IWC 2008, 2009).

Responsible whale watching includes the protection of whale, dolphin and porpoise populations as one of its main objectives, with the aim of reducing the impact on the focal cetacean population as much as possible. In order to maximise wildlife conservation and ensure the welfare of focal populations, education of tour operators is necessary, especially where tourism growth may occur rapidly. It is also necessary to regulate activity from inception to enforce requirements for all whale watchers (commercial, scientific and recreational). Otherwise, new entrants, seeking economic opportunity, may not fully understand the importance of and requirements for responsible tour operation, or the potential impacts upon cetaceans and, by association, the human communities dependent financially on their wellbeing and the sustainable development of the industry. Where it can be managed properly and responsibly, whalewatching-based tourism presents an important and sustainable opportunity to improve the welfare and lifestyle of these coastal communities (ie Puerto Pirámides, Argentina; Kaikoura, New Zealand; Provincetown, USA).

References

Arcangeli A and Crosti R 2009 The short-term impact of dolphin-watching on the behavior of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in western Australia. *Journal of Marine Mammals and their Ecology* 2(1): 3-9

Connecticut Cetacean Society and Animal Welfare Institute 1983 WhalesAlive. Report of Global Conference on the Non-Consumptive Utilisation of Cetacean Resources pp 49. Robbins Barstow: Wethersfield, CT, USA

Foote AD, Osborne RW and Hoelzel AR 2004 Whale-call response to masking boat noise. *Nature* 428: 910. http://dx.doi.org /10.1038/428910a

Hoyt E 2002 Whale watching. In: Perrin WF, Würsig B and Thewissen JGM (eds) *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals* pp 1305-1310. Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA

Hoyt E and Iñíguez M 2008 El Estado del Avistamiento de Cetáceos en América Latina pp 60. WDCS: Chippenham, UK, IFAW: Yarmouth Port, USA and Global Ocean: London, UK. [Title translation: The state of whale watching in Latin America] IFAW 1999 Report of the Workshop on the Socioeconomic Aspects of Whale Watching, Kaikoura, New Zealand pp 88. IFAW: Yarmouth Port, USA

International Whaling Commission 1997 Report of the Scientific Committee, Annex Q. Report of the whalewatching working group. *Reports of the International Whaling Commission* 47: 250-256

© 2013 Universities Federation for Animal Welfare

International Whaling Commission 2003 Report of the Scientific Committee. Annex L. Report of the Sub-Committee on Whalewatching: Appendix 3. Examples of scientific studies showing changes in cetacean behaviour and habitat use as a result of the presence of whalewatching vessels. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 5(S): 391

International Whaling Commission 2005 Report of the Scientific Committee. Annex M. Report of the Sub-Committee on Whalewatching. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 7(S): 328-329

International Whaling Commission 2007 Report of the Scientific Committee. Annex M. Report of the Sub-Committee on Whalewatching. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 9(S): 326-340

International Whaling Commission 2008 Chair's Report of the Sixtieth Annual Meeting. Whalewatching. Annual Report of the International Whaling Commission pp 30-31. 2-13 June 2008, Santiago, Chile

International Whaling Commission 2009 Report of the Intersessional Workshop to Plan a Large-Scale Whalewatching Experiment; LaWE, 30 March-4 April 2008, Murdoch University, Bunbury, Australia. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 11(S)*: 483-500

International Whaling Commission 2010 Report of the Scientific Committee. Annex M. Report of the Sub-Committee on Whalewatching. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 11(S2): 332-345

International Whaling Commission 2011 Report of the IWC Workshop on Whalewatching pp 26. 3-5 November 2010, Ecocentro Mar Patagonia, Puerto Madryn, Chubut, Argentina Jensen FH, Bejder L, Wahlberg M, Aguilar Soto N, Johnson M and Madsen PT 2009 Vessel noise effects on delphinid communication. *Marine Ecology Progress Series 395*: 161-175. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps08204

Lusseau D 2005 The residency pattern of bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops* spp) in Milford Sound, New Zealand, is related to boat traffic. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 295: 265-272. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps295265

Lusseau D, Slooten E and Currey R 2006 Unsustainable dolphin-watching activities in Fiordland, New Zealand. *Tourism in Marine environments* 3(2): 173-178

O'Connor S, Campbell R, Cortez H and Knowles T 2009 Whale watching worldwide: tourism numbers, expenditures and expanding economic benefits. A special report from the International Fund for Animal Welfare pp 295. IFAW: Yarmouth MA, USA

Sousa-Lima RS and Clark CW 2009 Whale sound recording technology as a tool for assessing the effects of boat noise in a Brazilian marine park. *Park Science* 26(1): 59-63

Stockin K, Lusseau D, Binedell V, Wiseman N and Orams M 2008 Tourism affects the behavioural budget of the common dolphin *Delphinus* spp in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. *Marine Ecology Progress Series 355*: 287-295. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354 /meps07386

Van Waerebeek K, Baker AN, Félix F, Gedamke J, Iñíguez M, Sanino GP, Secchi E, Sutaria D, van Helden A and Wang Y 2007 Vessel collisions with small cetaceans worldwide and with large whales in the Southern Hemisphere, an initial assessment. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Mammals* 6(1): 43-69. http://dx.doi.org/10.5597/lajam00109