

---

## APSA Council Minutes

---

The fifth Council meeting of the year was held at the Biltmore Hotel, Los Angeles, California, September 7 and 8, 1970.

### *Present:*

Gordon E. Baker, Karl W. Deutsch, Thomas R. Dye, Samuel P. Huntington, Henry S. Kariel, John H. Kessel, Evron M. Kirkpatrick, Robert E. Lane, Herbert McClosky, Grant McConnell, Richard L. Merritt, Josephine F. Milburn, Joseph L. Nogee, A. F. K. Organski, Jewel L. Prestage, Austin Ranney, William H. Riker, Francis E. Rourke, Robert H. Salisbury, Allan P. Sindler, Frank J. Sorauf, Herbert J. Spiro, Ellis Waldron, Robert E. Ward, Frederick M. Wirt.

### *Presiding:*

Karl W. Deutsch, President

### **Approval of the Minutes of the Council**

Prestage asked the Council to consider taping Council minutes or having an official stenographer making verbatim records of the Council meetings. Ranney reported that at a Council meeting last year the Council had voted against using a tape recorder because Council members felt that it would hamper their discussions. Kariel said that minutes should convey more of the "flavor and specific quality" of the Council's discussions. Prestage moved to tape Council meetings.

Vote on the Prestage motion was:

#### **For**

Deutsch, Kessel, Lane, Milburn, Prestage, Waldron

#### **Against**

Baker, Dye, Huntington, Kariel, Kirkpatrick, McClosky, McConnell, Merritt, Nogee, Ranney, Rourke, Salisbury, Sindler, Sorauf, Spiro, Ward, Wirt

#### **Vote**

6 for, 17 against, motion defeated.

Huntington moved that the Secretary be authorized to have a tape recorder at the Council meetings, to be used if he believes it would be of help in preparing minutes of the meetings; and included in his motion an expression of confidence in the Secretary.

Vote was:

#### **For**

Deutsch, Huntington, Kessel, Lane, Milburn, Ranney, Salisbury, Waldron

#### **Against**

Baker, Dye, McClosky, McConnell, Merritt, Nogee, Rourke, Sindler, Sorauf, Spiro, Ward, Wirt

Kariel, Kirkpatrick and Prestage abstained from voting.

#### **Vote**

8 for, 12 against, motion defeated.

Nogee moved that the minutes of the previous meeting be accepted subject to minor modifications made between the Secretary and any particular member of the Council. Merritt moved an expression of confidence in the Secretary. Unanimously approved.

### **Black Representation on Association Committees**

Deutsch reported on a letter he had received from Paul Puryear, Chairman of the Committee on the Status of Blacks in the Profession, requesting black representation on the Committee on Procedures and Agenda. Ranney explained that the Committee on Procedures and Agenda was actually "out of business" as of this Council meeting, and asked if this was meant for future years. Puryear agreed that this request was for future years and stated that the Committee on the Status of Blacks also felt that there should be black representation on all other committees.

### **Budget, 1971—Committee on the Status of Blacks**

Lane reported to the Council for the Budget Committee (the Budget Committee report, including the proposed Association budget, are attached to the record copy of these minutes).

Kessel moved that the Council thank the Budget Committee for their work and accept the proposed budget.

Prestage, on behalf of the Committee on the Status of Blacks, expressed unreadiness to accept the budget in this form, and asked that the Council recognize Paul Puryear, Chairman of the Committee on the Status of Blacks, to speak on this subject.

Puryear reported that the Committee on the Status of Blacks is opposed to cuts made in its

proposed budget by the Budget Committee. He argued that the work of his Committee has a greater priority than the desire to balance the budget. He felt that the Association maintains substantial reserve funds and a substantial endowment which would enable it to reinstate the original request. He also pointed out that the figure originally budgeted for the Council itself had not been reduced.

Prestage then read the following statement from the Committee on the Status of Blacks:

"The Committee on the Status of Blacks recommends the appointment of Shelby Smith and Lenore Cartwright to fill the two vacancies currently existing on the Committee. We recommend these two persons first of all because they possess the knowledge and skills we believe to be essential to the concerns of this committee and the Association; and secondly because they are women. In our view, it is absolutely essential that the inequitable level of female representation on all Association committees be corrected.

"We make the foregoing recommendations with full knowledge of the fact that it is now official policy of the Association to reduce the size of this and other committees by not filling vacancies as they develop. This policy, which may appropriately be called "management by attrition," strikes us as being not only administratively unwise but discriminatory as well. Our committee divided its many tasks among small sub-committees; failure to fill existing vacancies will severely hamper efforts of these subcommittees to complete on-going projects. Moreover, the myriad problems occasioned by years of racist neglect, benign and otherwise, necessitate a committee at least as large as that originally authorized by the President.

"We also want to take exception to the recently announced policy of limiting service on committees to two years. Under this policy, the terms of office of our entire committee would expire simultaneously. This, of course, would destroy continuity and wreck havoc with on-going projects which are designed to deal with deep and intractable problems facing Blacks in the discipline. Unless it is the intention of the Association to renounce its earlier decision to try to eliminate racism within its midst this policy is patently unwise. "Further, since there are other bodies of the

Association which have been headed by the same individuals and groups (Howard Penniman and the Public Affairs Awards Seminar, for example) for sustained periods of time, invoking the two year principle strikes us as being a thinly veiled effort to halt the work of this committee.

"We urge in the strongest terms possible the appointment of persons suggested above and rescinding the policy of limiting committee members to two year terms. Any other course of action would leave us with no choice but to assume that the APSA intends to remain a racist organization supporting racist values and goals."

Kessel moved to thank the Budget Committee and have a vote on the recommended figures as they stand. Prestage amended Kessel's motion to change the item in the Committee's budget on the Status of Blacks from \$26,775 to \$33,575. Ward noted that the budget allocated for the Committee on the Status of Blacks was equal to the combined budgets of 12 other committees. Prestage stated that the problems faced by the Black Committee were greater than those of other committees. Ranney commented that over the last couple years money has been spent "freely, even gayly" on a variety of activities, some of which were of very marginal relevance. He agreed that, in terms of quality of work and the necessity to redress long-standing injustices, the Committee on the Status of Blacks was one of the most deserving, but that the time has come to start introducing some fiscal responsibility. He noted that the largest single expenditure for committee meetings is for the Council and asked Lane, as chairman of the Budget Committee, what the figure for Council meetings represents. Lane pointed out that the estimated figures for the Council were the actual figures for the last fiscal year. He said that the price for a reduced allocation to the Council would be further postponement of items in the Council's already crowded agenda. McConnell expressed his concern about the principle of a balanced budget versus fair treatment of blacks. He felt the Council should find some other place to cut the money, and should restore it to the blacks in order to avoid conflict over this issue. Salisbury also supported the restoration of the Committee's original budget request.

Vote on the Prestage amendment to restore the money cut from the Committee on the Status of Blacks was:

**For**

Deutsch, Dye, Kariel, Kirkpatrick, McConnell, Merritt, Milburn, Prestage, Ranney, Salisbury, Spiro

**Against**

Baker, Huntington, Kessel, Lane, McClosky, Nogee, Rourke, Sindler, Sorauf, Waldron, Ward, Wirt

**Vote**

11 for, 12 against, motion defeated.

McConnell moved to make the budget for the Committee on the Status of Blacks \$30,000 and thus "split the difference" between the Budget Committee recommendation and the request of the Committee on the Status of Blacks.

Vote on the McConnell motion was:

**For**

Deutsch, Dye, Kariel, Kirkpatrick, McConnell, Merritt, Milburn, Ranney, Salisbury

**Against**

Baker, Huntington, Kessel, Lane, McClosky, Nogee, Rourke, Sindler, Sorauf, Waldron, Ward, Wirt

Prestage and Spiro abstained from voting.

**Vote**

9 for, 12 against, motion defeated.

**Budget, 1971—Committee on the Status of Women**

Milburn urged the Council to authorize the use of Association funds to support the work of the Women's Caucus as requested by the Association's Committee on the Status of Women.

Lane expressed the view that groups which support themselves by dues and function in part to pressure the Association should not be supported by Association funds. He urged a general policy that groups not authorized by the Council should finance themselves. Milburn stated that the Committee on the Status of Women believed that the Women's Caucus had actually been authorized Association funds through resolutions passed at the Business Meeting last year, that the Women's Caucus is an organization within the Association which is authorized by an action of the Business Meeting. Joyce Mitchell and Kay Klotzburger also took the floor to defend the Women's Caucus; they contended that this group provided important professional services which merited support by the Association.

Milburn moved for recognition of the Women's Caucus as a group operating within the Association in accord with the resolution passed

at the last Annual Meeting and that the Women's Caucus be allocated \$1,000 in the budget of the Committee on the Status of Women.

Vote on the Milburn motion was:

**For**

Kariel, Milburn, Prestage

**Against**

Baker, Deutsch, Dye, Huntington, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, Lane, McClosky, McConnell, Merritt, Nogee, Ranney, Rourke, Sindler, Sorauf, Spiro, Waldron, Ward, Wirt

Salisbury abstained from voting.

**Vote**

3 for, 19 against, motion defeated.

Milburn moved that the budget for the Committee on the Status of Women be increased by \$1,000 to support the Committee's activities during the coming year.

Vote on this motion was:

**For**

Deutsch, Kariel, McConnell, Merritt, Milburn, Prestage, Wirt

**Against**

Baker, Dye, Huntington, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, Lane, McClosky, Nogee, Ranney, Rourke, Sindler, Sorauf, Spiro, Waldron, Ward

Salisbury abstained from voting.

**Vote**

7 for, 15 against, motion defeated.

**Budget, 1971—Committee on Academic Freedom**

Philip Jacob reported to the Council for the Committee on Academic Freedom. He stated that the Committee has a mandate from the Annual Meeting last year to conduct a study of problems relating to violation of academic freedom. It is quite clear that the budget item of \$4,000 is not intended to cover the accomplishment of that mandate and the solution is to try to seek outside funding to conduct the study. He asked whether the Budget Committee's recommendation barred the Committee on Academic Freedom from coming back to the Council if they were unsuccessful in getting outside funds. Huntington said that no additional funds above the \$4,000 should be used without approval of additional funding by the Council, but that a future request for funds was not precluded.

**Budget, 1971—Abstracts of Papers from Annual Meeting**

Merritt questioned the Budget Committee's

cutting abstracts of papers presented at the Annual Meeting from the budget and expressed his disappointment that the decision was made without notification to him. Walter Beach pointed out that the Washington office had prepared copies of abstracts for sale at the Annual Meeting for 50¢. Merritt replied that the abstracts envisioned earlier were to be a complete set and published in bound copies after the Annual Meeting. He felt that the Council's previous authorization for these abstracts required the Budget Committee to include some budgetary allocation. He stated that the Budget Committee had "acted improperly in reversing the decision of the Council."

The next day Merritt formally requested the Council to reverse the decision of the Budget Committee and to proceed with the publication of the abstracts as approved in June.

Kessel stated that he did not see the Budget Committee as acting improperly. He believed the Committee acted within its mandate to balance the budget. Huntington said that the Budget Committee was given the job of determining how, if at all, consistent with language of the June resolution "that action on this decision [to publish a book of abstracts] be subject to determination of the Budget Committee pending its review of the economic resources of the Association," the abstracts should be reproduced and distributed. Its decision was to go ahead and provide for issuance of the abstracts in a xeroxed compilation form for sale at 50¢ and to cut \$5,000 from the Program Committee budget with the understanding that there would not be a book of abstracts printed after the meeting.

Spiro moved to restore whatever is necessary, up to \$5,000, to print a book of abstracts with an index. Sindler amended this motion to provide that the abstracts would be offered for sale at the lowest possible price consistent with cost.

Vote on the Sindler amendment to the Spiro motion was:

**For**

Baker, Deutsch, Huntington, Kessel, McConnell, Milburn, Rourke, Sindler, Ward, Wirt

**Against**

Merritt, Prestage, Sorauf, Spiro  
Dye, Kariel, Kirkpatrick, Lane, McClosky, Nogee, Ranney, Salisbury, Waldron, abstained from voting

**Vote**

10 for, 4 against, amendment carried.

Vote on the Spiro motion, as amended, was:

**For**

Deutsch, Huntington, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, McClosky, Merritt, Milburn, Nogee, Ranney, Rourke, Sindler, Sorauf, Spiro, Waldron, Wirt

**Against**

Dye, Salisbury, Ward  
Baker, Lane, McConnell and Prestage abstained from voting.

**Vote**

15 for, 3 against, motion carried.

**Budget, 1971—Funds for PS**

Milburn questioned the proposed appropriations for PS. McClosky felt that the Council should make an inquiry into the nature of PS and its cost and make recommendations concerning future allocations for PS. Milburn moved that a review of expenditures be made by the Chairman of the Editorial Board of PS and that the Chairman report back to the Council. Sorauf amended the motion to provide for the Chairman of the Editorial Board to submit a detailed budget. The Council unanimously approved the Milburn motion, as amended by Sorauf.

**Budget, 1971—Contingency Fund**

Huntington moved that \$5,000 be transferred from the budgeted item for the Council to the contingency fund. Unanimously approved.

**Budget, 1971—Final Approval**

The question was called on the Kessel motion to accept the budget. All except Prestage voted affirmatively.

**Location of Committee and Council Meetings**

Dye moved that all Association committee and Council meetings, if held elsewhere than at the Association's office in Washington, where facilities, records and staff exist be held at reasonable, convenient locations which would minimize the travel expenses of the members. Sorauf amended the motion to provide that guidelines be established for expenditures of the Association committees.

Donald Barry spoke to the Council regarding a letter he had written expressing concern over expenditures of the Association. He stated that signatures for his letter were easy to collect; that there is considerable dissatisfaction and lack of confidence in the leadership of the Association, and stated that the leadership should show the membership that it is responsive to membership desires. Particular attention was focused on

the meeting of the Constitutional Revision Committee in Puerto Rico.

The Council unanimously approved the Dye motion, as amended by Sorauf.

#### **Constitutional Amendments—APSR**

The Connolly amendment on separation of dues and subscription to *APSR* and on election of the *APSR* Editorial Board and selection of the Managing Editor (see page 427, Summer *PS*) was then discussed. Ranney spoke on the second portion of this amendment which would provide that the Editorial Board be elected by vote of the membership and that the Editorial Board select the Managing Editor. The Connolly Amendment raised the question of whether the *APSR* should be a professional journal representing the best scholarship in the profession, or whether it should be a "political plum to be won by factions in elections."

Kessel moved that the Council recommend defeat of the Connolly Amendment.

Vote on the Kessell motion was:

#### **For**

Baker, Deutsch, Dye, Huntington, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, Lane, McClosky, McConnell, Merritt, Milburn, Nogee, Ranney, Rourke, Salisbury, Sindler, Sorauf, Waldron, Ward, Wirt.

#### **Against**

Kariel, Prestage, Spiro.

#### **Vote**

20 for, 3 against, motion carried.

#### **Constitutional Amendment—Mail Ballot on Resolutions**

The Council next discussed the Constitutional Amendment proposed by the Ad Hoc Committee to have a mail ballot vote on resolutions presented to the Business Meeting (see page 426, Summer *PS*). Ranney moved that the Council recommend approval of this amendment. Sindler moved that the figure 40% of those present and voting be changed to one-third of those present and voting.

Vote on the Sindler amendment was:

#### **For**

Baker, Dye, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, Lane, McClosky, McConnell, Nogee, Ranney, Rourke, Sindler, Sorauf, Ward, Wirt.

#### **Against**

Dye, Kariel, Merritt, Milburn, Prestage, Salisbury, Spiro, Waldron.

#### **Vote**

14 for, 8 against, motion carried.

Vote for approval of the Ad Hoc Committee amendment, as amended, was:

#### **For**

Baker, Dye, Huntington, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, Lane, McClosky, McConnell, Milburn, Nogee, Ranney, Rourke, Salisbury, Sindler, Sorauf, Waldron, Ward, Wirt.

#### **Against**

Kariel, Prestage, Spiro.

#### **Vote**

18 for, 3 against, motion carried.

Deutsch, who was absent from the room at the time of the vote, requested to be recorded as supporting the amendment.

#### **Proposed New Constitution—General Approval**

The next item discussed by the Council was the proposed new constitution. McClosky moved to transmit the Constitutional Revision Committee draft constitution to the Annual Business Meeting without prejudice.

Vote on the McClosky motion was:

#### **For**

Baker, Dye, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, Lane, McClosky, McConnell, Nogee, Rourke, Salisbury, Ward.

#### **Against**

Deutsch, Huntington, Merritt, Milburn, Prestage, Ranney, Sindler, Sorauf, Spiro, Waldron, Wirt.

#### **Vote**

11 for, 11 against, motion defeated.

Sindler moved that the Council transmit the proposed constitution to the Annual Business Meeting with the recommendation that its substance be adopted subject to specific modifications proposed by the Council.

Vote on the Sindler motion was:

#### **For**

Deutsch, Huntington, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, McClosky, Merritt, Milburn, Nogee, Ranney, Rourke, Sindler, Sorauf, Spiro, Waldron, Wirt.

#### **Against**

Dye, Salisbury, Ward.

Baker, Lane, McConnell and Prestage abstained from voting.

#### **Vote**

15 for, 3 against, motion passed.

Spiro moved that in Section V5d of the proposed constitution, the following words be added "and of Association representatives to other organizations." Motion failed for lack of a second.

**Amending Proposed Constitution—Cumulative Voting**

McClosky moved that the Council recommend defeat of the Brams Amendment to provide for cumulative voting (see page 433, Summer *PS*). All Council members present, except Prestage, voted for the McClosky motion.

**Amending Proposed Constitution—Purposes of Association**

Prestage moved that the Puryear Amendment on the purposes of the Association (see page 433, Summer *PS*) be submitted to the Annual Business Meeting without prejudice.

Huntington submitted a substitute motion that the Council recommend that the Business Meeting defeat the Puryear Amendment. All Council members present, except Prestage, voted for the Huntington motion.

**Resolution on Environmental Studies**

Prestage moved that the Hennings resolution on environmental studies (see page 419, Summer *PS*) be submitted to the Annual Business Meeting without prejudice.

Lane moved that the Council recommend this resolution be referred to the Council by the Annual Business Meeting in order to consider all recommendations for policy studies together. The Lane motion was unanimously approved.

**Resolution to Abolish Congressional Fellowship Program**

Sorauf moved that the Council recommend to the Annual Business Meeting defeat of the Levinson resolution to abolish the Congressional Fellowship Program (see page 420, Summer *PS*). Motion unanimously approved.

**Resolution to Endorse Suspension of Classes for Political Campaigning**

Dye moved that the Council recommend defeat of the Rustow resolution to endorse suspension of classes to facilitate student participation in election campaigns. Unanimously approved.

**Resolutions Submitted by the Committee on the Status of Women**

Milburn moved that the Council recommend approval of the resolution of the Committee on the Status of Women on anti-nepotism (see page 355, Summer *PS*). Unanimously approved.

Milburn moved that the Council recommend approval of the resolution of the Committee on the Status of Women on part-time employment (see page 356, Summer *PS*). Unanimously approved. Sorauf moved that the Council recommend that the Business Meeting refer all resolutions submitted by the Women's Caucus (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes) to the Committee on the Status of Women. Unanimously approved.

**Association Special Representative on Academic Freedom**

Huntington moved that the Council authorize the appointment of an Association special representative on Academic Freedom and that the Committee on Academic Freedom be authorized to seek outside funds for their projects. Unanimously approved.

Sindler moved that, in the event outside funding cannot be secured for the appointment of an Association special representative on Academic Freedom, the Council agree to fund such a position from February 1, 1971 through September of 1972; the special representative to be selected by the Executive Director in consultation with the Committee on Academic Freedom. Unanimously approved.

Ranney moved authorization of a study on academic freedom as recommended by the Committee, if funded from sources outside the Association. Unanimously approved.

**President Deutsch Announces Committee Appointments**

President Deutsch announced that he had appointed nine new committee members to various committees of the Association. All of these new appointees had been recommended to the President by the Committee on the Status of Blacks. President Deutsch observed that these new appointments meant that every committee of the Association had a black member.

**Resolution on Academic Freedom Submitted by Certain Faculty Members of the University of Illinois**

Lane moved to recommend that the Annual Business Meeting refer the resolution on academic freedom submitted by certain faculty members of the University of Illinois (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes) to the Committee on Academic Freedom. McClosky



amended the Lane motion to add that the Committee on Academic Freedom consider the issue and report back to the Council. Lane motion, as amended, unanimously approved.

#### **Resolution on Research on the Disadvantaged**

Nogee moved that the Council recommend adoption of the resolution submitted by the Committee on Professional Ethics that the APSA urge all members who undertake research involving contact with the disadvantaged at home or abroad to make every effort compatible with the standards of scholarship to bring benefit to them, and to avoid all contrary practices. Unanimously approved.

#### **Resolutions Submitted by the Western Caucus**

Sindler moved that the Council recommend defeat of resolutions numbered 1, 2, 3 and 4 submitted by the Western Caucus (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes) and that the Western Caucus resolutions numbered 5 and 6 be ruled unconstitutional. Unanimously approved.

#### **Resolutions Submitted by Chicano Caucus**

Nogee moved that the Council recommend defeat of the resolutions numbered 1, 2, 3 and 6 of the Chicano Caucus (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes), and substitute the following resolutions for the Chicano Caucus resolutions numbers 4 and 5:

The Association, noting that the Association has chosen to hold its 66th Annual Meeting in Los Angeles, mindful of the large Chicano community in this area and sympathetic to the political and social aspirations of that community, expresses its grave concern for the deaths consequent to the tragic events on August 29, 1970 and calls upon political scientists to pay more professional attention to the needs and aspirations of the Chicano community.

The Association reaffirms the concern of the Association toward expanding Chicano participation in the political science profession, notes that the Committee on the Status of Chicanos in the Profession established by the Council in April, 1970 is now engaged in its work, notes that Association committees are ordinarily limited to two years although the life of any committee may be extended beyond that limit, and anticipates the Committee on the Status of Chicanos in the Profession to continue its work on the same basis as other important committees of the Association.

#### **Open Listing of Job Vacancies**

Sorauf reported to the Council for the Committee on Program Planning and Review regarding the establishment of a manpower and placement committee. He moved adoption of the report of the Committee (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes), with the exception that the portion dealing with a levy on graduate departments will be held in abeyance until the next Council meeting. Dye congratulated the Committee on its report and observed that the open listing of all job vacancies would be a very important step in combatting elitist tendencies in the profession. He expressed the hope that the prestige departments represented on the Council would implement the Committee's recommendations by listing their job vacancies with the Association's Personnel Service.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Sorauf moved that vacancies at the associate and full professor levels, which departments expect to fill from among people known to them, should not be listed in the Personnel Service, but that all other levels should be listed. All members of the Council present, except Dye, voted for this motion.

#### **Response to Public Statement by Black Political Scientists**

Ranney moved that the President, with the help of any persons he chooses, prepare a reply to the statement of the Committee on the Status of Blacks, listing what the Council and the Association have done to improve the status of blacks in the Association; that this reply be duplicated and circulated not only to the Black Caucus but to members of the profession and to the press. Unanimously approved.

#### **Black Scholars Proposal**

Lane moved that the Council, without committing itself on the details of the proposal and with the requirement that prior to final commitment, the Council review and ratify the substance of the Black Scholars proposal, authorize the President and the Executive Director to open negotiations with foundations. Unanimously approved.

#### **Creation of a Committee on Professional Equality**

Lane moved that a Committee on Professional Equality be created as outlined in the report of the Committee on Program Planning and Review (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of

these minutes). Ranney moved to defer action on this proposal, without prejudice, until the next meeting. Ranney motion was unanimously approved.

**Gladys M. Kammerer Policy Studies Award**

Sindler moved that the Council accept the offer of the Kammerer family for an award on policy studies, with Lane to negotiate with the family on what the money should be used for. Lane amended the Sindler motion to add that the Association would very much like to have some permanent memorial to Gladys Kammerer's name and her achievements. Motion, as amended unanimously approved.

**Ad Hoc Committee on Site Selection for 1972 Annual Meeting**

Sorauf moved that an ad hoc committee be appointed to select the site and dates of the 1972 Annual Meeting, with the understanding that it do so in the light of general policy of the Council to attempt to have meetings in all parts of the country.

Waldron expressed the view that selection of the annual convention site is a matter of substantial interest to the entire membership, and that this decision should not be delegated to a committee.

Vote on the Sorauf motion was:

**For**

Baker, Deutsch, Kessel, Lane, McConnell, Nogee, Ranney, Sindler, Sorauf, Ward.

**Against**

Dye, Merritt, Spiro.  
Kirkpatrick, McClosky, Milburn, Organski, Rourke, Waldron abstained from voting.

**Vote**

10 for, 3 against, motion carried.

**Referendum on Dues**

Ranney moved that the Council conduct a referendum on dues with the balloting on the proposed constitutional amendment to remove mention of dues from the constitution, so that, if the amendment is approved, the dues can go into effect. All Council members present, except Spiro, voted for approval of the motion.

The Council agreed to hold their next meeting on December 4 and 5 in Washington, D.C.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45 p.m.,  
Tuesday, September 8.  
Thomas R. Dye, Secretary