

5. *Health Care Reform-Report Cards' Are Useful but Significant Issues Need To Be Addressed*. Report to the Committee on Labor and Human Resources, US Senate, Washington, DC: US General Accounting Office; September 1994. GAO publication No. HEH-94-219.
6. Vibbert S, Strickland D, Migdail K, et al. Major projects—Maryland quality indicator project. 1995 ed. In: *Medical Outcomes and Guidelines Sourcebook*. New York, NY: Faulkner and Gray; 1994:29-31.
7. Gaynes R. Nosocomial infection rates for inter-hospital comparison: limitations and possible solutions. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1991;12:609-621.
8. Scheckler WE. Interim report of the quality indicator study group. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1994;15(4):265-268.
9. Chatfield C. *Problem Solving A Statisticians's Guide*. London, England: Chapman-Hall; 1988:8.
10. Gamer JS, Jarvis WR, Emori TG, Horan TC, Hughes JM. CDC definitions for nosocomial infections. *Am J Infect Control* 1988;16:128-140.
11. New Classification of Physical Status. *Anesthesiology* 1963;24:111.
12. Owens WD, Felts JA, Spitznagel EL Jr. ASA physical status classification: a study of consistency of ratings. *Anesthesiology* 1978;49:239-243.
13. Culver DH, Horan TC, Gaynes Rp, et al. Surgical wound infection rates by wound class, operation, and risk index in U.S. hospitals, 1986-1990. *Am J Med* 1991; 91(suppl 3B):152S-157S.
14. Emori TG, Culver CH, Horan TC, et al. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) system: description of surveillance methodology. *Am J Infect Control* 1990;19:19-35.
15. Emori TG, Gaynes RF. An overview of nosocomial infections including the role of microbiology laboratory *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1993;6:428-442.
16. Larson E, Horan T, Cooper B, Kotilainen HR, Landry S, Terry B. Study of the definition of nosocomial infections (SDNI). *Am J Infect Control* 1991;19:259-267.
17. Lancaster D, Willis MA. Computer-assisted instruction (CAI): a time-saving, individualized teaching methodology. *Am J Infect Control* 1994;22:179-81.
18. Haley RW, Culver DH, White JW, et al. The efficacy of infection surveillance and control programs in preventing nosocomial infections in U.S. hospitals. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;121:182-205.
19. Gross PA. Use of severity of illness indices. In: Mayhall G, ed. *Hospital Epidemiology and Infection Control*. Baltimore, MD: Williams&Wilkins. In press.
20. Knaus WA, Douglas PW, Draper EA, et al. Clinical investigations in critical care: The APACHE III prognostic system. Risk prediction of hospital mortality for critically ill hospitalized adults. *Chest* 1991;100:1619-1636.
21. Iezzoni LI, Hotchkin EK, Ash AS, Schwartz M, Mackieman Y. MedisGroups databases: the impact of data collection guidelines on predicting in-hospital mortality. *Med Care*. 1993;31:277-283.
22. Ranson JHC, Rifkind KM, Roses DE, Fink SD, Eng K, Spencer FC. Prognostic signs and the role of operative management in acute pancreatitis. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1974;139:69-81.
23. Mayer RJ, Rubenstein E, Federman DD, eds. *Scientific American Medicine: Gastrointestinal Cancers*. New York, NY: Scientific American Medicine Inc; 1986;VIII:1-18.
24. Horan TC, Gaynes RF, Mortone WR, Jarvis WG, Emori TG. CDC definition of nosocomial surgical site infections, 1992: a modification of CDC definitions of surgical wound infections. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1992;13:606-608.
25. *The Guide to Hospital Performance*. Baltimore, MD: HCIA Inc.; 1993.
26. Fine MJ, Singer DE, Hanusa BH, Lave JR, Kapoor WN. Validation of a pneumonia prognostic index using the MedisGroups Comparative Hospital Database. *Am J Med* 1993;94:153-159.
27. Acuity Index Method database. Iameter, San Mateo, CA.
28. Berwick DM. The clinical process and the quality process. *Qual Manage Health Care* 1992;1:1-8.
29. O'Leary DS. The measurement mandate: report card day is coming. *J Qual Improvement* 1993;19:487-491.
30. Kritchevsky SB, Simmons BP. Continuous quality improvement. Concepts and applications for physician care. *JAMA* 1991;266:1817-1823.
31. Kritchevsky SB, Simmons BP. Variation in medical practice: implications for the hospital epidemiologist. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1994;15:116-119.
32. Laffel G, Blumenthal D. The case for using industrial quality management science in health care organizations. *JAMA* 1989;262:2869-2873.
33. Merry MD. Total quality management for physicians: translating the new paradigm. *QRB Qual Rev Bull* 1990;16:101-105.
34. Scheckler WE. Continuous quality improvement in a hospital system: implications for hospital epidemiology. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1992;13:288-292.
35. Sellick JA Jr. The use of statistical process control charts in hospital epidemiology. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1993; 14:649-656.
36. Institute of Medicine. *Clinical Practice Guidelines: Directions for a New Program*. Committee to Advise the Public Health Service on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Field MJ, Lohr KN, eds. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; September 1990.
37. Kenkel PJ. Health plans face pressure to find 'report card criteria that will make the grade. *Modern Healthcare* January 10, 1994;24(2):41-42.

Joint Commission Announces Action Plan to Revise Accreditation Process

by Gina Pugliese, RN, MS
Medical News Editor

In response to concerns raised by the American Hospital Association, the Board of Commissioners of the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations approved an action plan. In doing so, the Joint Commission affirmed its commitment to high quality patient care and emphasized the Joint Commission's intent to improve and strengthen its accreditation service to support that objective.

The plan identifies specific

improvement initiatives and an ambitious timetable for completion. Some of the improvements include responsiveness to telephone calls and correspondence; a price freeze on fees charged for regular full surveys; a substantial reduction in the number of focus surveys; mechanisms to identify variations in surveyor performance; revision of the survey process to include daily meetings to replace the exit conference; simplification of standards to focus on issues related to quality of patient care; and a review of educational programs and publications

for quality and internal consistency. Finally, the Joint Commission promised to reconfigure the Indicator Measurement System to incorporate measures and measurement systems developed by other entities, with the long-term objective of offering a varied menu of relevant measures to all types of organizations as part of the accreditation process.

FROM: Statement by the Joint Commission of Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations; January 23, 1995.