

## WHEN DOES $\text{RANK}(A + B) = \text{RANK}(A) + \text{RANK}(B)$ ?

BY  
G. MARSAGLIA AND G. P. H. STYAN

In a recent note in the *Bulletin*, Murphy [5] gave a short proof that for complex  $m \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $r(A+B) = r(A) + r(B)$  if the rows of  $A$  are orthogonal to the rows of  $B$  and the columns of  $A$  are orthogonal to the columns of  $B$ . His proof was elegant and simple, an improvement on an earlier proof of the same result by Meyer [4].

While there is no dispute now with the proofs of this result, we would like to suggest that the orthogonality condition is far too strong and is, in fact, misleading. Additivity of rank is related in a simple way to the intersection of the row and column spaces of  $A$  and  $B$ , as we will now show.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be the column spaces of  $A$  and  $B$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  be their row spaces. Let

$$c = \text{dimension}(\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2), \quad d = \text{dimension}(\mathcal{R}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2).$$

**THEOREM.**  $r(A+B) = r(A) + r(B)$  if and only if  $c = d = 0$ , that is,

$$\text{dimension}(\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2) = \text{dimension}(\mathcal{R}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2) = 0.$$

This theorem appears as a corollary to a more general result of Marsaglia [2] who proved that

$$r(A) + r(B) - c - d \leq r(A+B) \leq r(A) + r(B) - \max(c, d).$$

Khatri [1] also gives a theorem from which this inequality may be derived. Simpler proofs and related inequalities are given by Marsaglia and Styan [3]. But the importance of the particular case  $r(A+B) = r(A) + r(B)$  merits special attention, and so we provide a short proof that the conditions are  $c = d = 0$ .

**Proof of Theorem.** First, the condition  $c = d = 0$  is necessary, as these two strings of inequalities show:

$$\begin{aligned} r(A+B) &\leq r[(A, B)] = r(A) + r(B) - c \leq r(A) + r(B) \\ r(A+B) &\leq r \left[ \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \right] = r(A) + r(B) - d \leq r(A) + r(B). \end{aligned}$$

To show  $c = d = 0$  is sufficient, we use *full rank decompositions* of  $A$  and  $B$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A &= C_1 R_1; r(A) = r(C_1) = r(R_1) = a; A \text{ is } m \times n, C_1 \text{ is } m \times a, R_1 \text{ is } a \times n; \\ B &= C_2 R_2; r(B) = r(C_2) = r(R_2) = b; B \text{ is } m \times n, C_2 \text{ is } m \times b, R_2 \text{ is } b \times n. \end{aligned}$$

Such representations exist since, for example,  $R_1$  can be any matrix whose rows are a basis of the row space of  $A$  and then  $A=C_1R_1$  for some  $C_1$  and  $r(A)=r(C_1R_1)\leq\min[r(C_1), r(R_1)]\leq a=r(A)$ . We now write

$$A+B = C_1R_1+C_2R_2 = (C_1, C_2)\begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix} = CR,$$

say. Then  $c=0$  implies that all the  $a+b$  columns of  $C$  are linearly independent and so  $C$  has a left inverse such that  $LC=I$ . Thus when  $c=0$ ,

$$r(A+B) = r(CR) \geq r(LCR) = r(R) = r(A)+r(B)-d \geq r(A+B)-d.$$

If in addition  $d=0$  the whole string collapses and  $r(A+B)=r(A)+r(B)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. C. G. Khatri, *A simplified approach to the derivation of the theorems on the rank of a matrix*, J. Maharaja Sayajirao Univ. Baroda, **10** (1961), 1–5.
2. George Marsaglia, *Bounds on the rank of the sum of matrices*, Trans. of the Fourth Prague Conf. on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions, Random Processes (Prague, August 31–Sept. 11, 1965), Czechoslovak Acad. Sci. (1967), 455–462.
3. George Marsaglia and George P. H. Styan, *Inequalities and equalities for ranks of matrices* (to appear).
4. C. D. Meyer, *On the rank of the sum of two rectangular matrices*, Canad. Math. Bull. **12** (1969), p. 508.
5. Ian S. Murphy, *The rank of the sum of two rectangular matrices*, Canad. Math. Bull. **13** (1970), p. 384.

MCGILL UNIVERSITY,  
MONTREAL, QUEBEC