



Dissipation in rapidly rotating fluid spheres caused by weak longitudinal libration

Ian MacPherson¹⁽¹⁾ and Mathieu Dumberry¹⁽¹⁾

¹Department of Physics, University of Alberta, 11335, Saskatchewan Drive NW, Edmonton T6G 2M9, Canada

Corresponding author: Ian MacPherson, imacpher@ualberta.ca

(Received 15 July 2024; revised 7 January 2025; accepted 14 January 2025)

We investigate viscous dissipation in linear flows driven by small-amplitude longitudinal librations in rotating fluid spheres focusing on the rapid rotation regime applicable to planets. Viscous coupling can resonate with inertial modes in the bulk of the fluid when the frequency of the forcing is within the range $(0, 2\Omega_0)$, where Ω_0 is the mean angular velocity of the sphere. We solve the linearised equations of motion with a semi-spectral numerical method and with an asymptotic expansion exploiting the small Ekman number, E, which quantifies the strength of viscous forces relative to the Coriolis force. Our results confirm that the dominant contribution to the dissipation occurs in the Ekman boundary layer with leading-order scaling $E^{1/2}$. When the forcing frequency coincides with that of an inertial mode, dissipation is reduced by as much as 9% compared with boundary layer theory alone. The percentage-wise reduction is independent of E and the frequency width of the reduction envelope scales as $E^{1/2}$. At non-resonant frequencies conic shear layers develop in the bulk interior and, together with the Ekman layer bulge at critical latitude, slightly enhance dissipation. We confirm critical latitude bulge and shear layer contributions to the overall dissipation scale as $E^{4/5}$ and $E^{6/5}$ respectively, becoming negligible compared with dissipation in the main boundary layer as $E \rightarrow 0$. The frequencies at which the dissipation enhancement from critical latitude effects is maximised are displaced from the inviscid limit periodic orbit frequencies by a factor that scales with $E^{0.23}$.

Key words: rotating flows, waves in rotating fluids, ocean circulation

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In a planetary system each orbiting body is deformed by the gravity of the massive central primary. For an orbiting body in the system, this deformation gives rise to a quadrupole moment in its mass distribution subject to periodic torques from the central body (Murray & Dermott 1999). The component of torque along the rotation axis of the orbiting body causes a periodic modulation to its rotation rate that is known as forced longitudinal libration (Comstock & Bills 2003). As a consequence of the quadrupole mass moment, there exist resonant configurations for certain orbits where the mean rotation rate, Ω_0 , of the orbiting body is an integer or half-integer multiple of its mean motion, n (Murray & Dermott 1999). An orbiting body locked in a 1:1 spin-orbit resonance in a circular orbit has its equatorial long axis aligned with the direction of the central body in equilibrium; in this case, the orbiting body experiences no torque. However, for the same spin-orbit resonance, but in an elliptical orbit, the variation of the orbital velocity causes a periodic misalignment of the long axis which implies a torque with a leading-order frequency equal to the mean motion (Comstock & Bills 2003). For a spin-orbit configuration characterised by $p = \Omega_0/n$ not equal to unity, forced librations occur at the frequency 2(p-1)n even in a circular orbit (Comstock & Bills 2003); for Mercury (p = 3/2) the leading-order forced longitudinal libration frequency is equal to the orbital mean motion.

Some planetary bodies contain global fluid layers being either subsurface oceans encased in a shell of ice, as is the case for several outer solar system moons, or molten cores encased in rocky mantles. The solid shell librates alone on top of the internal fluid layer (e.g. Van Hoolst *et al.* 2009), leading to a differential motion at the fluidsolid boundary. Drag forces cause the mechanical transfer of rotational energy from the mantle (ice shell) to the outer core (ocean) where it is dissipated. Broadly, the exchange of energy is mediated by three coupling mechanisms (e.g. Le Bars *et al.* 2015). Viscous coupling refers to the influence of friction at the fluidsolid boundary. Topographical coupling is the pressure interaction that results at points along the boundary where the latter is moving along its own local normal direction; for longitudinal libration topographical coupling only occurs in a non-axisymmetric container. Finally, magnetic coupling is also possible in cases when a magnetic field permeates an electrically conducting fluid core, and if the lowermost mantle is at least weakly electrically conducting.

In a fluid rotating with angular velocity Ω_0 , the Coriolis acceleration acts as the restoring force for transverse 'inertial' waves with oscillation frequencies in the range $(0, 2\Omega_0)$. Inertial waves exhibit a special dispersion relation that links their frequency to the direction of their wave vector (e.g. Greenspan 1968; Le Bars *et al.* 2015; Tilgner 2015). In a contained rotating fluid, inertial waves can coalesce to form normal modes that store energy in the constructive interference patterns formed by the waves at specific frequencies (Greenspan 1968). The natural frequencies and distributions of the modal velocity and pressure fields are determined by the shape of the container. In a spherical domain the analytical form of these normal modes were first presented by Bryan (1889); for discussions of the details of these structures see Kudlick (1966), Greenspan (1968), Zhang *et al.* (2004), Zhang & Liao (2017) and Ivers *et al.* (2015).

Longitudinal librations can excite inertial modes, as was studied experimentally by Aldridge & Toomre (1969) and Aldridge (1972) and numerically by Rieutord (1991) in both rotating spheres and spherical shells. Although it is often the case that a spherical shell is more representative of the geometry of the fluid envelope of a planet, there is no known method to extend the analytical representations of the inertial modes in a full sphere to a spherical shell domain. A focusing effect occurs in certain geometries including the

spherical shell (e.g. Maas & Lam 1995; Rieutord & Valdettaro 1997; Tilgner 1999) because the angle between the wave vector and the rotation axis is conserved when an inertial wave reflects at a boundary (Phillips 1963). Analytical forms of the inviscid inertial modes in the spherical domain are possible because of the ideal shape of the container while for more general geometries such as the spherical shell, inviscid solutions, aside from those purely toroidal fields, typically exhibit singular behaviour (Rieutord *et al.* 2001).

In the case of a longitudinally librating, electrically insulating spherical container, the mechanical forcing is communicated to the fluid exclusively by viscous coupling. In planetary applications the Ekman number E, which characterises the strength of viscous forces relative to the Coriolis force, is of the order of $10^{-10}10^{-15}$. The influence of viscosity can be taken into account asymptotically which leads to a boundary layer of thickness proportional to $E^{1/2}$ as described by Ekman (1905). The boundary layer flow drives a mass flux in the direction normal to the boundary; the amplitude of this flux is also proportional to $E^{1/2}$ and this radial forcing can excite the inertial modes in the bulk of the interior of the sphere when the system is driven at the appropriate frequency (Greenspan 1968). The inertial mode flow in the bulk induces patterns of lateral fluid motion that modify the structure of the boundary layer from below, leading to an adjustment in the Ekman flux that effectively damps the mode (e.g. Zhang & Liao 2017; Lin et al. 2023). Since the damping and forcing effects of the radial Ekman flux are both proportional to $E^{1/2}$, the resulting excitation amplitude is independent of E in the limit $E \rightarrow 0$ as was explained by Zhang et al. (2013). This is in contrast to the case of topographic forcing where the modes receive direct pressure forcing from the boundary while the damping due to their Ekman layer is still proportional to $E^{1/2}$. This leads to a resonant modal amplitude proportional to $E^{-1/2}$ as demonstrated by Zhang *et al.* (2012) for the case of an oblate spheroid librating in latitude (see also Vantieghem et al. (2015)).

The existing studies of planetary flows forced by longitudinal libration are largely focused on identifying the conditions for turbulent instability (Noir et al. 2009; Calkins et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2011; Cébron et al. 2012; Wu & Roberts 2013; Grannan et al. 2014; Favier et al. 2015; Lemasquerier et al. 2017; Le Reun et al. 2019), the structure of the nonlinear mean zonal flow (Busse 2010; Sauret et al. 2010; Noir et al. 2012; Sauret & Le Dizès 2012; Cébron et al. 2021; Lin & Noir 2021), computing the resonant amplitudes of the driven inertial modes (Zhang et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2023), or scrutinising the structure of the wave attractors in a spherical shell (Rieutord & Valdettaro 2018; Lin & Noir 2021; He et al. 2022, 2023). The flow driven by viscous coupling in a longitudinally librating planet is a mechanism for dissipation, it draws rotational energy from the mantle (ice shell) enclosing the outer core (ocean). This energy is dissipated as heat in the bulk fluid possibly helping to maintain a subsurface ocean in a liquid state. Furthermore, this dissipation will play a role in the evolution of planetary orbits through spin-orbit coupling (Murray & Dermott 1999; Le Bars et al. 2010; Cébron et al. 2012). If the fluid is conducting and the driven flow in the bulk is destabilised it can even contribute to dynamo action (Le Bars et al. 2011; Wu & Roberts 2013; Le Bars et al. 2015).

The viscous dissipation associated with inertial modes is well understood in the context of the generic eigenvalue problem (e.g. Greenspan 1968; Zhang *et al.* 2004; Rieutord & Valdettaro 2018). However, their influence on the dissipation in forced longitudinal libration has yet to be extensively studied. Rekier *et al.* (2019) presented numerical findings on the influence of inertial waves on dissipation in a longitudinally librating spherical shell, however, they only addressed a limited parameter range focused on the physical case of Enceladus. Cébron *et al.* (2019) studied dissipation in the flow forced by viscous coupling in a related problem, that of a precessing spherical shell, although they focused

on the potential for dynamo action in the nonlinear regime. Likewise, dissipation has been studied in the case of flows driven by tidal forcing by Ogilvie (2005, 2009), Rieutord & Valdettaro (2010), Rovira-Navarro *et al.* (2019) and Lin & Ogilvie (2021) for example. All of these studies highlighted the role of inertial waves in enhancing diffusion. In particular, Lin & Ogilvie (2021) showed that, although their presence may be obscured by the influence of wave attractors, global eigenmode structures can still be excited by tidal forcing in the spherical shell, as they are in a full sphere or spheroid. We therefore believe that insight can be gained into the role of inertial waves in dissipation by studying the case of the full sphere in detail through the use of the available explicit representations of the inertial modes. Another motivation for studying the general subject of resonating full sphere inertial modes is their detection in the surrounding radiative envelope (Ouazzani *et al.* 2020).

Here, we investigate the viscous dissipation in a fluid, rotating sphere that is induced by longitudinal libration of its surface. We examine the properties of the analytical and numerical solutions to the linearised fluid equations for this problem which is applicable to the case of weak amplitude libration. We limit our attention to solutions of the linearised problem. The nonlinear response of the rotating fluid sphere to longitudinal libration of its boundary surface is multifaceted. At larger forcing amplitude the Ekman layer becomes centrifugally unstable (e.g. Noir et al. 2009; Calkins et al. 2010). Moreover, it is well known (e.g. Busse 2010; Sauret et al. 2010; Noir et al. 2012; Sauret & Le Dizès 2012; Cébron et al. 2021; Lin & Noir 2021) that nonlinear interactions can generate a mean flow, largely a differential rotation, as well as small oscillations at frequencies corresponding to the higher harmonics of the forcing frequency (Koch et al. 2013). Furthermore, in a general geometry attractors and focusing can produce localised nonlinear effects (e.g. Le Dizès 2020; Boury et al. 2021) in the limit $E \rightarrow 0$. It is outside the scope of this work to explore how such nonlinear interactions contribute to a change in the dissipation. By focusing on the linear problem, we can establish a general lower bound on the viscous dissipation as a function of frequency. This should then assist future studies targeting dissipation in the nonlinear regime.

In the linear regime the dissipation induced by the Ekman boundary layer flow scales as $E^{1/2}$ (Cébron *et al.* 2019). As highlighted by the recent study of Lin *et al.* (2023), the kinetic energy of the flow in the interior peaks at discrete frequencies that match those of the inertial modes, but it is minimised at frequencies that match those of wave attractors focused in conic shear layers. The primary question we want to address is how these interior flows alter the global dissipation of energy in the context of the forced problem. To do so, we use both analytical and numerical methods.

Our study is organised as follows. In § 2.1 we formulate the system of linear partial differential equations of the hydrodynamics problem and present the expressions of the kinetic energy and dissipation. In § 2.2 we provide an overview of the semi-spectral numerical method we use to compute our solutions. In § 2.3, we derive an analytical solution of the amplitude of a given inertial mode driven by longitudinal libration. The solution is based on a matched asymptotic approach. A similar approach was used by Zhang *et al.* (2013); Lin *et al.* (2023) although their results are restricted to the resonant amplitude when the forcing frequency exactly matches the natural frequency of a mode. We extend this analytical solution to all frequencies in the range of $(0, 2\Omega_0)$. Furthermore we present expressions for the dissipation and kinetic energy of the boundary layer alone as a function of forcing frequency that are accurate to leading order in *E*. This allows us to further understand theoretical aspects of the spectrum of kinetic energy and dissipation in the linear regime. In 2.4 we briefly review how shear layers can develop in the sphere

volume at certain frequencies. Results are presented in § 3, followed by a discussion and a summary of conclusions (§ 4).

2. Theory

2.1. Formulation of the linearised libration forcing problem

Consider a sphere, S, of radius R and volume V, filled with an incompressible fluid of uniform density and temperature rotating with angular velocity Ω_0 about a fixed axis $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z$. The rotation axis defines the pole of the spherical-polar coordinate system (r, θ, φ) where r is the radius, and θ and φ are colatitude and longitude, respectively. The amplitude of the angular velocity of the spherical container, Ω_S , is perturbed by a harmonic oscillation about Ω_0 of the form

$$\Omega_S(t) = \Omega_0(1 + \varepsilon \cos(\Omega_0 \lambda t)), \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 2$ are the amplitude and frequency of the oscillation, respectively, and *t* is time. In what follows, all quantities are non-dimensionalised using the length scale R and the time scale Ω_0^{-1} .

In the reference frame rotating with (dimensional) angular velocity $\Omega_0 \hat{e}_z$, the velocity of the fluid, \boldsymbol{u} , obeys the momentum equation

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} \times \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where P is the reduced pressure that includes the centrifugal force, and the Ekman number E is given by

$$E = \frac{\nu}{\Omega_0 R^2},\tag{2.3}$$

where v is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid.

The motion of the fluid is also subject to incompressible mass conservation

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

and the following no-slip and non-penetration conditions at the surface, S of the spherical container

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|_{S} = \varepsilon \sin \theta \cos(\lambda t), \qquad (2.5a)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|_{S} = 0, \tag{2.5b}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|_S = 0, \tag{2.5c}$$

where \hat{e}_r , \hat{e}_{θ} and \hat{e}_{φ} are the unit vectors of the spherical coordinate system.

The nonlinear term in equation 2.2 is second order in ε , which provides the basis for ignoring its effects in the limit of weak forcing. Admittedly, the nonlinear term may still become locally important even for quite weak forcing due, for example, to shear layers associated with inertial wave attractors in the limit $E \rightarrow 0$. Nonlinear effects contributing to enhanced dissipation in these regions could render the linear estimates invalid even for very weak forcing when the Ekman number is taken to be small enough. In all, determining a threshold forcing amplitude associated with the onset of nonlinearities in the these flows in the limit $E \rightarrow 0$ is a formidable task which lies outside the scope of this work.

Because of the harmonic time dependence of the boundary condition (equation 2.5), we restrict our attention to oscillatory solutions with harmonic periodicity and do not attempt to capture any transient fluid motions resulting from a particular set of initial conditions.

In this limit, we seek solutions that have the form of complex phasors with frequency λ

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{q}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{i\lambda t} + \boldsymbol{q}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{-i\lambda t} \right), \qquad (2.6a)$$

$$P(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\lambda t} + \phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\lambda t} \right), \qquad (2.6b)$$

where the spatial parts of the solution given by q and ϕ may be complex valued and \dagger represents complex conjugation. By substituting this ansatz into equations 2.2, 2.4, and 2.5 and neglecting the nonlinear term, we write the equivalent equations of motion in terms of spatial variables alone and parametrised by the forcing frequency,

$$i\lambda \boldsymbol{q} + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \phi = E\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{q}, \qquad (2.7a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = 0, \tag{2.7b}$$

subject to the following conditions at the surface of the spherical container:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}|_{S} = \varepsilon \sin \theta, \qquad (2.8a)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}|_{S} = 0, \tag{2.8b}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{q}|_S = 0. \tag{2.8c}$$

To consider the energy budget of the linearised system, we take the scalar product of u with equation 2.2. Neglecting the nonlinear term, integrating over the volume V of the fluid, using the divergence theorem and taking into account equations 2.4 and 2.5c leads to the following power relation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{K}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{D},\tag{2.9}$$

where \mathcal{K} is the net kinetic energy of the fluid, \mathcal{P} is the power transferred into the fluid region by viscous stresses at the librating boundary, and \mathcal{D} is the dissipation in the bulk of the fluid. In terms of the velocity field, they are expressed as

$$\mathcal{K} = \int_{V} \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{u}|^2, \qquad (2.10a)$$

$$\mathcal{P} = E \int_{S} (\boldsymbol{u} \otimes \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r}) : (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{T}), \qquad (2.10b)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = E \int_{V} \nabla \boldsymbol{u} : (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{T}), \qquad (2.10c)$$

where \otimes is the outer product and : denotes the full contraction of two second rank tensors.

Let us denote the integral time average of a quantity over a libration period, $2\pi/\lambda$, that begins at an arbitrary time t_0 by

$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + 2\pi/\lambda} \cdot \mathrm{d}t.$$
 (2.11)

Since we restrict our attention to perfectly oscillatory solutions, the left hand side of equation 2.9 vanishes under time averaging since

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{K}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right\rangle = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + 2\pi/\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{K}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathrm{d}t = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \left[\mathcal{K}|_{t_0 + 2\pi/\lambda} - \mathcal{K}|_{t_0} \right] = 0.$$
(2.12)

Then the time-average of equation 2.9 leads to the relation

$$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle, \tag{2.13}$$

1007 A1-6

which states that for oscillatory solutions there must be equilibrium between the energy added into the system and the energy dissipated in the bulk over the course of a libration period. This assumes that the surface S has been librating at the same amplitude and frequency for a sufficiently long time that any transient fluid motion due to different conditions in the past has attenuated.

2.2. Numerical solution of the linear problem

To compute numerical solutions to equation 2.7, we use the following decomposition of the velocity field q (e.g. Tilgner 1999):

$$\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (W \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r)) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (Z \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r), \qquad (2.14)$$

where W and Z are the scalar poloidal and toroidal potential fields respectively; this expansion automatically ensures that q satisfies mass conservation (equation 2.7b). The scalar potentials are expanded as a summation of fully normalised surface spherical harmonics Y_{ℓ}^{m} of degree ℓ and order m

$$W(r) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\ell_{\text{max.}}} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} W_{\ell}^{m}(r) Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta, \varphi), \qquad (2.15a)$$

$$Z(r) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\ell_{\max.}} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Z_{\ell}^{m}(r) Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta, \varphi), \qquad (2.15b)$$

where $W_{\ell}^{m}(r)$ and $Z_{\ell}^{m}(r)$ are numerical coefficient functions and where

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} Y_{\ell}^m(\theta,\varphi) Y_{\ell'}^{m'}(\theta,\varphi)^{\dagger} \sin\theta \, d\theta \, d\varphi = \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'}.$$
(2.16)

By taking the operations $e_r \cdot \nabla \times$ and $e_r \cdot \nabla \times (\nabla \times)$ on equation 2.7*a*, then substituting the decomposition (equation 2.14) into the resulting equations and making use of equation 2.16 we obtain at each radius *r* and for each distinct pair (ℓ, m) (see Rieutord 1987)

$$A_{\ell}^{m} Z_{\ell}^{m} = C_{\ell}^{m} W_{\ell-1}^{m} + D_{\ell}^{m} W_{\ell+1}^{m}, \qquad (2.17a)$$

$$A_{\ell}^{m} B_{\ell}^{m} W_{\ell}^{m} = C_{\ell}^{m} Z_{\ell-1}^{m} + D_{\ell}^{m} Z_{\ell+1}^{m}, \qquad (2.17b)$$

where $A_{\ell}^{m}, B_{\ell}^{m}, C_{\ell}^{m}$ and D_{ℓ}^{m} are operators defined by

$$A_{\ell}^{m} = \mathbf{i}(\ell(\ell+1)\lambda - 2m) + \ell(\ell+1)EB_{\ell}^{m}, \qquad (2.18a)$$

$$B_{\ell}^{m} = \left(\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^{2}} - \frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}}\right),$$
(2.18b)

$$C_{\ell}^{m} = 2(\ell - 1)(\ell + 1)\sqrt{\frac{(\ell - m)(\ell + m)}{(2\ell - 1)(2\ell + 1)}} \left[\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\ell}{r}\right],$$
(2.18c)

$$D_{\ell}^{m} = 2\ell(\ell+2)\sqrt{\frac{(\ell+1-m)(\ell+1+m)}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)}} \left[\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\ell+1}{r}\right].$$
 (2.18*d*)

1007 A1-7

Written in terms of W_{ℓ}^m and Z_{ℓ}^m , the boundary conditions (equation 2.8) on the spherical surface at r = 1 are given by

$$Z_{\ell}^{m}\big|_{S} = \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \delta_{\ell 1} \delta_{m 0}, \qquad (2.19a)$$

$$W_{\ell}^{m}\big|_{S} = 0 \text{ for all } \ell, m, \qquad (2.19b)$$

$$\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\ell}^{m}}{\mathrm{d}r} \right|_{S} = 0 \text{ for all } \ell, m.$$
(2.19c)

Due to symmetry the system of equations 2.17 decouples across spherical harmonic order. Since longitudinal libration involves a purely axisymmetric forcing, we restrict our attention to zonal coefficients with m = 0. Upon close examination, the structure of the system of equations 2.17 implies that only those zonal toroidal coefficients Z_{ℓ}^0 of odd degree and poloidal coefficients W_{ℓ}^0 of even degree are non-zero in the solution; these modes comprise the equatorially symmetric part of the field q. The set of zonal coefficients of reverse symmetry comprise the equatorially anti-symmetric part of the solution which vanishes due to the equatorial symmetry of the forcing. Equations 2.17 can therefore be structured formally as the following tri-diagonal matrix system

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1^0 & -D_1^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -C_2^0 & A_2^0 B_2^0 & -D_2^0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -C_3^0 & A_3^0 & -D_3^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_1^0 \\ W_2^0 \\ Z_3^0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Z}_1^0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.20)

where the symbol \hat{Z}_1^0 is a place holder for the term that arises due to the libration forcing boundary condition, the exact form of which is determined by the choice of radial discretisation.

To solve the system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs), we use a second-order finite difference scheme on a radial grid of N Chebyshev like points that are modified by the nonlinear scaling suggested by Lin *et al.* (2023)

$$r_k = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{k}{N}\right) \left[1 + 0.45\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{k}{N}\right)\right], k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1.$$
 (2.21)

This choice of radial grid concentrates the points in the thin boundary layer. Once discretised, the system is solved directly by Gaussian elimination. Additional considerations are necessary to ensure regularity of the solution at the origin; although they use a spectral approach for the radial discretisation, Lin *et al.* (2023) provides relevant information on this subject as well as further references.

Let N denote the number of radial grid points used in the discretisation, while ℓ_{max} . denotes the maximal spherical harmonic degree used in the solution. The resolution that is necessary to sufficiently converge the numerical solution depends strongly on E. Lower values of E demand that an increasingly small boundary layer be resolved. As such, in our calculations we found a resolution of N = 160, $\ell_{max} = 80$ was sufficient for $E = 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$, while N = 250 and $\ell_{max} = 180$ for $E = 10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$, and finally N = 350, $\ell_{max} = 250$ was used for $E = 10^{-8}$. At these resolutions all computations were practical on a regular PC, to access even lower values of E numerically, the required resolution demands a larger amount of memory than is typical for a regular PC.

The linearised approach is valid for $\varepsilon \ll 1$. The nonlinear perturbations to the linearised solution that arise from its self interaction scale with ε^2 , but the dependence on *E* has

not been extensively explored. At larger forcing amplitude the Ekman layer becomes centrifugally unstable (e.g. Noir *et al.* 2009; Calkins *et al.* 2010). Numerical results reported by Calkins *et al.* (2010) for libration with a rotating spherical shell show that Taylor–Görtler vortices form at first in the equatorial region when $\varepsilon > 46E^{1/2}$, however, these results are not necessarily valid below $E = 10^{-6}$. At lower Ekman numbers the influence of the nonlinearities may be significant in localised regions like those associated with attractors (Boury *et al.* 2021) for weaker forcing than the boundary layer stability criterion of Calkins *et al.* (2010) suggests. In particular, nonlinear production of vorticity in these localised regions could have a significant influence on total dissipation even at low forcing amplitudes.

2.3. Approximate solution by matched asymptotics

In this section we construct an approximate analytical solution to the linearised problem (equation 2.7). Motivated by the smallness of E and following the general approach of Greenspan (1968), we seek an asymptotic solution to equation 2.7 that has the form

$$\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{q}_0 + \boldsymbol{q}_1 E^{1/2} + \boldsymbol{q}_2 E + \mathcal{O}(E^{3/2}), \qquad (2.22)$$

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1 E^{1/2} + \phi_2 E + \mathcal{O}(E^{3/2}). \tag{2.23}$$

We then deal with the solution to each term separately. The leading-order terms, q_0 , ϕ_0 as well as the first-order correction terms, q_1 , ϕ_1 satisfy the inviscid momentum equation asymptotically

$$i\lambda(\boldsymbol{q}_0 + E^{1/2}\boldsymbol{q}_1) + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times (\boldsymbol{q}_0 + E^{1/2}\boldsymbol{q}_1) + \nabla(\phi_0 + E^{1/2}\phi_1) = \mathcal{O}(E).$$
(2.24a)

It is well known (e.g. Greenspan 1968; Zhang *et al.* 2004; Kida 2011; Lin *et al.* 2023) that equation 2.24 is an overdetermined problem when subject to both no-slip and non-penetration boundary conditions (equation 2.8). This necessitates the existence of a boundary layer solution that is introduced as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{b}_0 + \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 + (\boldsymbol{b}_1 + \boldsymbol{\psi}_1) E^{1/2} + (\boldsymbol{b}_2 + \boldsymbol{\psi}_2) E + \mathcal{O}(E^{3/2}), \qquad (2.25)$$

$$\phi = \phi_{b0} + \phi_{\psi 0} + (\phi_{b1} + \phi_{\psi 1})E^{1/2} + (\phi_{b2} + \phi_{\psi 2})E + \mathcal{O}(E^{3/2}).$$
(2.26)

The part denoted by \boldsymbol{b} , ϕ_b is the solution in the interior region which satisfies equation 2.24 and $\boldsymbol{\psi}$, ϕ_{ψ} is the solution in the boundary layer.

2.3.1. Ekman boundary layers

The boundary layer expansion is handled by the introduction of a stretched boundary layer coordinate (e.g. Zhang *et al.* 2004)

$$\xi = \frac{1-r}{E^{1/2}}.$$
(2.27)

Furthermore, it is assumed that the gradients of boundary layer quantities are $\mathcal{O}(E^{-1/2})$ in the normal (radial) direction and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ in the lateral directions

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}}{\partial r} = -E^{-1/2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}}{\partial \xi} = \mathcal{O}(E^{-1/2}), \ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}}{\partial \theta}, \ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}}{\partial \varphi} = \mathcal{O}(1).$$
(2.28)

These assumptions lead to the following greatly simplified version of equation 2.7 that is accurate to leading order in the boundary layer (e.g. Ekman 1905)

I. MacPherson and M. Dumberry

$$i\lambda(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}) - 2(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0})\cos\theta = \frac{\partial^{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0})}{\partial\xi^{2}}, \qquad (2.29a)$$

$$i\lambda(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0})+2(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0})\cos\theta=\frac{\partial^{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0})}{\partial\xi^{2}}.$$
(2.29b)

If the lateral motion of the boundary layer at the surface *S* is specified by an arbitrary vector field \mathbf{v} with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \cdot \mathbf{v} \equiv 0$, then the solution to equations 2.29 that satisfies the no-slip condition on *S* with respect to this lateral motion is given by

$$\Psi_{0}\{\boldsymbol{v}\} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{C}_{+}\{\boldsymbol{v}\} \exp(-\gamma_{+}\xi) + \mathcal{C}_{-}\{\boldsymbol{v}\} \exp(-\gamma_{-}\xi) \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta}, + \frac{1}{2i} \left(\mathcal{C}_{+}\{\boldsymbol{v}\} \exp(-\gamma_{+}\xi) - \mathcal{C}_{-}\{\boldsymbol{v}\} \exp(-\gamma_{-}\xi) \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi},$$
(2.30)

where

$$C_{\pm}\{\boldsymbol{v}\} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) \pm i(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}), \qquad (2.31a)$$

$$\gamma_{\pm} = \sqrt{|\lambda \pm 2\cos\theta|} \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{4}\operatorname{sign}\{\lambda \pm 2\cos\theta\}\right).$$
 (2.31*b*)

Moreover, the $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ radial Ekman pumping at the bottom of the boundary layer is derived from mass conservation $(\nabla \cdot (\psi_0 + E^{1/2}\psi_1) = 0)$

$$\Phi_{1}\{\boldsymbol{v}\}(\theta,\varphi) := \lim_{\boldsymbol{\xi}\to\infty} (\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\xi};\theta,\varphi)) \\
= \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0}\{\boldsymbol{v}\}(\boldsymbol{\xi}';\theta,\varphi)\sin\theta)}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0}\{\boldsymbol{v}\}(\boldsymbol{\xi}';\theta,\varphi))}{\partial\varphi} \right) d\boldsymbol{\xi}'.$$
(2.32)

The Ekman pumping is evaluated for the general solution (equation 2.30) for an arbitrary lateral forcing v to yield

$$\Phi_{1}\{\boldsymbol{v}\} = \frac{1}{2\sin\theta} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\sin\theta \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_{+}\{\boldsymbol{v}\}}{\gamma_{+}} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{-}\{\boldsymbol{v}\}}{\gamma_{-}} \right) \right) - i\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_{+}\{\boldsymbol{v}\}}{\gamma_{+}} - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{-}\{\boldsymbol{v}\}}{\gamma_{-}} \right) \right]. \quad (2.33)$$

For longitudinal librations, taking $v = \sin \theta \hat{e}_{\varphi}$ in equations 2.30 and 2.33 yields

$$\Psi_0\{\sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\} = -\frac{\sin\theta}{2\mathrm{i}} \left(\exp(-\gamma_+\xi) - \exp(-\gamma_-\xi)\right) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta} + \frac{\sin\theta}{2} \left(\exp(-\gamma_+\xi) + \exp(-\gamma_-\xi)\right) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi},$$
(2.34*a*)

$$\Phi_1\{\sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\} = -\frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\sin^2\theta \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_+} - \frac{1}{\gamma_-}\right)\right). \tag{2.34b}$$

Equations 2.34 comprise the leading-order response in the boundary layer to the longitudinal libration motion of the surface S with $\varepsilon = 1$.

2.3.2. The interior region

Now we are equipped to address the interior flow b, ϕ_b . By equations 2.24–2.26, and since the boundary layer component of the solution vanishes in the interior region, the leading-order term in the interior solution satisfies

$$i\lambda \boldsymbol{b}_0 + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times \boldsymbol{b}_0 + \nabla \phi_{b0} = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2}), \qquad (2.35a)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_0 = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2}), \qquad (2.35b)$$

and the non-penetration condition on the sphere surface S

$$\left. \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_0 \right|_{\mathsf{S}} = 0. \tag{2.36}$$

The solution of this system is a superposition of the inviscid inertial modes of the sphere (see Greenspan (1964); Ivers *et al.* (2015); Zhang & Liao (2017) for details)

$$\boldsymbol{b}_0 = \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}, \qquad (2.37a)$$

$$\phi_{b0} = \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \phi_{\ell,k,m}, \qquad (2.37b)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$ are the amplitude coefficients of the modes and ℓ , k, m are the wavenumber indices in the notation of Greenspan (1968) of the eigenfunction solutions. The wavenumbers broadly control the spatial scales of the modes such that their volumetric damping under the influence of viscosity is proportional to ℓ^2 , k^2 and m^2 . For sufficiently large wavenumbers it is possible for the volumetric damping to become outsised, such that $\|\nabla^2 q_{\ell,k,m}\| \ge \mathcal{O}(E^{-1/2})$, violating the assumption of approximately inviscid dynamics for the first-order correction to the interior flow, b_1 , ϕ_{b1} (see equation 2.24). To construct a solution that is consistent with the asymptotic expansion (equation 2.26) where the viscous term is neglected in the $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ interior solution, it is necessary to restrict the expansion to those inertial modes with ℓ , $k, m \ll \mathcal{O}(E^{-1/4})$ (see Zhang *et al.* 2004). The high wavenumber modes play a negligible role in the solution since they have overwhelming volumetric dissipation which prevents them from ever attaining significant amplitude.

The eigenfunctions $q_{\ell,k,m}$, $\phi_{\ell,k,m}$ each satisfy the inviscid equations

$$i\lambda_{\ell,k,m}\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} \times \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} + \nabla\phi_{\ell,k,m} = 0, \qquad (2.38a)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} = 0, \qquad (2.38b)$$

subject to non-penetration on the surface S of the sphere

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} \Big|_{S} = 0. \tag{2.39}$$

Although the eigenvalues lie in the range $-2 < \lambda_{\ell,k,m} < 2$, the distribution is symmetrical for zonal modes (m = 0), the only modes excited by longitudinal libration; that is, for each eigenvalue $\lambda_{\ell,k,0}$, the value $-\lambda_{\ell,k,0}$ is also an eigenvalue (corresponding to inertial waves travelling in the opposite direction). Moreover, the eigenvalues are all real valued. It is useful to note (Greenspan 1968) that the pressure eigenfunctions satisfy on the surface of the sphere (only at r = 1)

$$\phi_{\ell,k,m}|_{\mathcal{S}}(\theta,\varphi) = \mathcal{N}_{\ell,k,m}Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta,\varphi), \qquad (2.40)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\ell,k,m}$ is an arbitrary constant that depends on the choice of normalisation, and Y_{ℓ}^{m} is a degree ℓ and order-*m* surface spherical harmonic. The expression of the surface pressure distribution in terms of Y_{ℓ}^{m} provides a clear interpretation of the mode indices ℓ , *m*. The third index, *k*, takes a finite number of values for each pair (ℓ , *m*) and selects the internal structure of the mode. Finally, it will be necessary in the solution to use the orthogonality of the inertial modes (Greenspan 1964; Ivers *et al.* 2015)

$$\int_{V} \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell',k',m'}^{\dagger} = \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{kk'} \delta_{mm'} \int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2}.$$
(2.41)

1007 A1-11

I. MacPherson and M. Dumberry

This orthogonality relation implies that the amplitude of each eigenmode that appears in equation 2.37 is determined by the Fourier type integral

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} = \frac{1}{\int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^2} \int_{V} \boldsymbol{b}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}.$$
(2.42)

The numerical values of the coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$ depend on the choice of normalisation for the inertial modes. In this work we shall always use the same normalisation as Zhang *et al.* (2004, 2013); Zhang & Liao (2017) in order to facilitate direct comparison between results when possible. The normalisation is arbitrary and is designed to allow the simplest form of the inertial modes in spherical-polar coordinates. It is defined as follows for the zonal (m = 0) and equatorially symmetric (ℓ even) modes

$$\begin{split} &\int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,0}|^{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell/2-i} \sum_{q=0}^{\ell/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\ell/2-q} \left[\frac{(-1)^{i+j+q+n}\pi}{2(2(i+j+q+n)+1)!!} \right] \\ & \times \left[\frac{8iq(2i+2q-3)!!(j+n)!}{\lambda_{\ell,k,0}^{2}} + \frac{jn(1+\lambda_{\ell,k,0}^{2}/4)(2i+2q-1)!!(j+n-1)!}{(1-\lambda_{\ell,k,0}^{2}/4)^{2}} \right] \\ & \times \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{\ell,k,0}}{2} \right)^{2(i+q)} \frac{(1-\lambda_{\ell,k,0}^{2}/4)^{j+n}(2(\ell/2+i+j)-1)!!(2(\ell/2+q+n)-1)!!}{(2i-1)!!(2q-1)!!(\ell/2-i-j)!(\ell/2-q-n)!i!q!(j!)^{2}(n!)^{2}} \right], \tag{2.43}$$

where $(2i - 1)!! = (2i - 1)(2i - 3) \dots (3)(1)$ and (-1)!! = 1 and (0)! = 1.

Libration forcing only excites those zonal modes with equatorial symmetry (even ℓ). $\ell = 4$ is the lowest degree at which a mode exists. For such modes the number of values taken by the index k is $\ell/2 - 1$, that is, for $\ell = 4$ there is only one mode (4, 1, 0), while for $\ell = 6$ there are two, (6, 1, 0) and (6, 2, 0) and so on. Let ℓ_{max} be the maximal modal degree excited to leading order in the expansion of b_0 . The total number of modes excited to leading order is then

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{excited}} = \frac{\ell_{\text{max.}}}{4} \left(\frac{\ell_{\text{max.}}}{2} - 1 \right). \tag{2.44}$$

Respecting the restriction of the expansion to low wavenumber modes we have $\ell_{max.} \ll \mathcal{O}(E^{-1/4})$ which implies that $\mathcal{N}_{\text{excited}} \ll \mathcal{O}(E^{-1/2})$.

The objective is now to determine an expression for the modal coefficients, $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$. Previous studies (e.g. Greenspan 1968; Zhang *et al.* 2013; Lin *et al.* 2023) have derived analytical expressions for the amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$ of an individual inertial mode when the system is forced at precisely the resonant frequency $\lambda_{\ell,k,m}$ of that mode. Under this resonance condition, the amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$ driven purely by the Ekman pumping is independent of *E*. The explanation of this phenomenon is as follows. When an inertial mode is excited to resonance the boundary layer flow must adjust to ensure that the no-slip condition remains satisfied. The adjustment of the boundary layer leads to an additional $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ Ekman pumping that acts like a drag force on the mode, opposing the Ekman pumping induced by the viscous coupling, and thus damping the excitation. Because the damping and forcing are each $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$, the excitation amplitude is then $\mathcal{O}(1)$, that is, independent of *E* in the asymptotic limit.

In the theoretical development by Greenspan (1968) the viscous damping of the inertial mode is derived separately from the forcing problem as a $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ correction to the natural frequency of the mode. The correction to the frequency adds an imaginary part scaling with $E^{1/2}$ that captures the damping. Then, separately, Greenspan (1968) derives an

expression for the amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$ in the context of the forcing problem. This expression involves a term $(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m})$ on the denominator which seemingly produces a divergent amplitude if $\lambda_{\ell,k,m} = \lambda$. However, instead of using the real eigenvalue $\lambda_{\ell,k,m}$, it is the $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ correction that is substituted and its imaginary part means that $(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m}) \neq 0$ for any real valued forcing frequency λ ; this leads to a finite resonance that is damped by viscosity.

The approach used by both Zhang *et al.* (2013) and Lin *et al.* (2023) is to treat the correction to the boundary layer flow induced by an inertial mode simultaneously to that which is induced by the viscous coupling to the laterally moving surface. Ultimately, they find the same result as Greenspan (1968) for the resonant amplitude of the inertial mode when $\lambda_{\ell,k,m} = \lambda$ but without the added step of separately deriving the damping factor as a correction to the natural frequency of the mode.

Here, we use an approach that extends the techniques used by Lin *et al.* (2023) and Zhang *et al.* (2013) to determine the leading-order amplitude of all inertial modes not only for $\lambda = \lambda_{\ell,k,m}$, but for a range of forcing frequencies in a window around each resonant peak. The correction to the boundary layer flow that is induced by the excitement of inertial modes is taken into account simultaneously to the boundary layer that forms in response to the libration of *S*. Since the inertial modes are excited by the radial Ekman pumping, we turn our attention to the first-order correction b_1 , ϕ_{b1} in the expansion of equations 2.24–2.26, this $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ flow must match the $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ Ekman pumping from the full boundary layer solution. This first-order correction satisfies the inviscid equations in the bulk

$$i\lambda \boldsymbol{b}_1 + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times \boldsymbol{b}_1 + \nabla \phi_{b1} = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2}), \qquad (2.45)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_1 = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2}), \qquad (2.46)$$

and the matching condition implies an inhomogeneous boundary condition on the surface S

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_1 \big|_{S} = \lim_{\xi \to \infty} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 = \Phi_1 \{ \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 |_S \}.$$
(2.47)

From equations 2.24–2.26 we infer that together, the leading-order interior solution b_0 , ϕ_{b0} and the first-order correction b_1 , ϕ_{b1} satisfy the following equation in the interior region:

$$i\lambda(\boldsymbol{b}_0 + E^{1/2}\boldsymbol{b}_1) + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times (\boldsymbol{b}_0 + E^{1/2}\boldsymbol{b}_1) + \nabla(\phi_0 + E^{1/2}\phi_1) = \mathcal{O}(E).$$
(2.48)

The inertial mode expansion (equation 2.37) is substituted to equation 2.48 and use is made of the orthogonality of the modes (equation 2.41), we obtain, after algebraic manipulations, the following expression:

$$\mathbf{i}(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m}) \left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} + E^{1/2} \frac{\int_{V} \boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}}{\int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2}} \right] = -\frac{E^{1/2}}{\int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2}} \int_{S} \phi_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} (\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_{1}) + \mathcal{O}(E).$$
(2.49)

The details of the derivation of this expression are given in Appendix A. Note that equation 2.49 links the coefficients, $A_{\ell,k,m}$ of the inertial mode expansion of b_0 (equation 2.37) to the matching condition (equation 2.47) involving the correction b_1 .

Now that the link between the Ekman pumping and the modal coefficients of the leading-order solution is established, we turn our attention to the no-slip boundary condition and libration forcing that determine the Ekman pumping itself. The no-slip boundary condition on the surface S (equation 2.8) for the leading-order terms implies

I. MacPherson and M. Dumberry

$$\boldsymbol{b}_0|_S + \boldsymbol{\psi}_0|_S = \varepsilon \sin\theta \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} + \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2}). \tag{2.50}$$

Substituting the inertial mode expansion of b_0 (equation 2.37) the leading-order boundary layer solution ψ_0 must obey the boundary condition

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_0|_{S} = \varepsilon \sin \theta \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} - \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|_{S}.$$
(2.51)

Because the governing equations 2.29 are linear, the solution can be written as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0} = \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0} \{ \sin \theta \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} \} - \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0} \{ \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} | s \},$$
(2.52)

where $\Psi_0{\sin\theta \hat{e}_{\varphi}}$ is given by equation 2.34*a*.

Each part of the solution in equation 2.52 induces a radial Ekman pumping

$$\Phi_1\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_0|_S\} = \lim_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \to \infty} (\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_1) = \varepsilon \Phi_1\{\sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\} - \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \Phi_1\{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|_S\}, \quad (2.53)$$

where $\Phi_1\{\sin\theta \hat{e}_{\varphi}\}\$ is given by equation 2.34*b*. By substituting equation 2.53 into equation 2.49 using the matching condition (equation 2.47) we obtain

$$\mathbf{i}(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m}) \left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} + E^{1/2} \frac{\int_{V} \boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\mathsf{T}}}{\int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2}} \right] - E^{1/2} \sum_{\ell',k',m'} \mathcal{A}_{\ell',k',m'} \mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell',k',m'}|_{S} \}$$
$$= -E^{1/2} \varepsilon \mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\sin \theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\} + \mathcal{O}(E), \qquad (2.54)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}\{\cdot\} = \frac{1}{\int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^2} \int_{S} \phi_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \Phi_1\{\cdot\}.$$
(2.55)

When this integral is evaluated for the Ekman pumping that results from the mode itself, $S_{\ell,k,m}\{q_{\ell,k,m}\}$, it is identical to the viscous damping correction (equation 2.9.12) of Greenspan (1968). On the other hand, when it is evaluated for the Ekman pumping that results from the lateral motion of the boundary, $S_{\ell,k,m}\{\sin\theta e_{\varphi}\}$, it bears resemblance to the forcing integral (equation 2.13.17) of Greenspan (1968).

By comparing the order of terms in equation 2.54 we must have

$$\mathbf{i}(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m})\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2}), \tag{2.56}$$

for each (ℓ, k, m) . The set of all eigenvalues $\lambda_{\ell,k,0}$ is dense in [0, 2] meaning that $\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m} = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ may in principle be simultaneously true for multiple inviscid eigenvalues. However, modes of a sufficiently large wavenumber are never excited due to their volumetric damping so the total number of modes excited to leading order is $\mathcal{N}_{\text{excited}} \ll \mathcal{O}(E^{-1/2})$ (equation 2.44). The factor $\delta \lambda = 1/\mathcal{N}_{\text{excited}}$ serves as a heuristic for the typical spacing between low wavenumber eigenvalues in the frequency domain. Note that $\delta \lambda \gg \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ This gives some basis for assuming that $\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m} = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ can only be satisfied asymptotically for a single low wavenumber eigenvalue $\lambda_{\ell,k,m}$ at a time. Our numerical results in figure 5 serve to validate this assumption, for example when $E = 10^{-4}$, there are only $\mathcal{N}_{\text{excited}} \sim 5$ prominently excited modes, while $E^{-1/2} = 100$.

We therefore assume that there is a $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ frequency window around each low wavenumber inertial mode eigenvalue. Then, for the other eigenvalues $\lambda_{\ell',k',m'} \neq \lambda_{\ell,k,m}$

we have that, $\lambda - \lambda_{\ell',k',m'} = \mathcal{O}(1)$, which implies $\mathcal{A}_{\ell',k',m'} = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ by equation 2.56 making all terms in the summation in equation 2.54 $\mathcal{O}(E)$, except the term involving $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$. Additionally, due to the assumption that $\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m} = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$, the term involving the inner product $\int_V \boldsymbol{b}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}$ becomes $\mathcal{O}(E)$. Under these assumptions and only retaining the terms that are then $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ in equation 2.54 we obtain the following closed form solutions for the modal amplitudes as a function of forcing frequency

(1)

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}(\lambda) = -\frac{\varepsilon \mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\sin \theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\}}{\mathrm{i}(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m})/E^{1/2} - \mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} | s\}} + \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2}).$$
(2.57)

By setting $\lambda = \lambda_{\ell,k,m}$ equation 2.57 reduces to equation 30 of Lin *et al.* (2023). However, our solution for $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$ is valid for a range of frequencies in the vicinity of $\lambda_{\ell,k,m}$.

Consider the square modulus of the amplitude coefficient which is essentially a Cauchy– Lorentz distribution in frequency

$$|\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2}(\lambda) = \frac{\varepsilon^{2} \left| \mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\sin \theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} \} \right|^{2}}{E^{-1} \left[\lambda - \left(\lambda_{\ell,k,m} + E^{1/2} \mathrm{Im} \left[\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} | s \} \right] \right) \right]^{2} + \mathrm{Re} \left[\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} | s \} \right]^{2}}$$
(2.58)

We then see that the imaginary part of $S_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{q_{\ell,k,m}|_S\}$ induces a $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ shift of the frequency of the peak, while the real part of $S_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{q_{\ell,k,m}|_S\}$ represents damping and controls the maximal height of the resonance peak. It is worth emphasising that the compatibility integrals $S_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}$ have their own implicit dependence on the forcing frequency λ through equation 2.33 for the Ekman pumping, this feature is not retrieved when the damping factor is derived separately as a frequency correction as in Greenspan (1968). The frequency dependence arises due to the complex wave-numbers γ_{\pm} (equation 2.31*b*), which are independent of *E*. Due to this fact, the subtle frequency dependence of $S_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}$ becomes less important as the frequency window $\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m} = \mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ is progressively narrower in the limit $E \to 0$. This means that we can obtain asymptotically valid expressions related to the maximum of equation 2.58 by simply ignoring the frequency dependence of $|\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}|^2$ is given by

$$|\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2} = \varepsilon^{2} \frac{\left|\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\sin \theta \,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\}\right|^{2}}{\operatorname{Re}\left[\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)} \{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} | s\}\right]^{2}},\tag{2.59}$$

which is achieved at the frequency

$$\lambda_{\max.}^{(\ell,k,m)} = \lambda_{\ell,k,m} + E^{1/2} \operatorname{Imag}\left[\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}\{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|s\}\right].$$
(2.60)

The square modulus of the amplitude coefficient takes half of its maximal value when

$$\lambda - \left(\lambda_{\ell,k,m} + E^{1/2} \operatorname{Im}\left[\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}\{q_{\ell,k,m}|s\}\right]\right) = E^{1/2} \left|\operatorname{Re}\left[\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}\{q_{\ell,k,m}|s\}\right]\right|, \quad (2.61)$$

which means that the frequency widths of the resonance peaks are $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$. Figure 1 shows a comparison between the frequency $\lambda_{\max}^{(\ell,k,m)}$ (equation 2.60) and the frequency λ at which the true maximum (including the frequency dependence of $\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}$) of equation 2.58



Figure 1. Difference between the frequency λ at which equation 2.58 achieves its maximum (solid lines) and the inviscid eigen-frequency for three modes (colour in legend) as a function of *E*. The dashed lines show the $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ correction to the natural frequency $\lambda_{\ell,k,m}$ (equation 2.60) when ignoring the frequency dependence of $\mathcal{S}_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}$ for the same three modes.

is achieved for three fundamental inertial modes and as a function of *E*. Indeed, in the limit $E \to 0$ these quantities come into close correspondence with each other within a $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ window about each natural frequency. Figure 1 also confirms that, as $E \to 0$, the frequency dependence of $S_{\ell,k,m}^{(1)}$ becomes negligible and that equation 2.60 approximates well the viscous detuning of the frequency position of the resonance maximum.

With the expression for the modal amplitude coefficients in hand, we can now write down the leading-order solution for an arbitrary forcing frequency

$$\boldsymbol{q}_{0} = \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0} \{ \sin \theta \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi} \} - \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0} \{ \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} | s \} + \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}.$$
(2.62)

This solution is referred to as the 'matched asymptotic' solution or simply by MA from this point onward. Figure 2 shows a comparison between the modal coefficients predicted by equation 2.57 and the linear numerical solution computed by the method in § 2.2 at $E = 10^{-7}$. The modal amplitude coefficients of the numerical solution are approximated as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}^{(\text{num.})} = \frac{1}{\int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^2} \int_{V} \boldsymbol{q}_{\text{num.}} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}, \qquad (2.63)$$

where $q_{\text{num.}}$ denotes the velocity field of the numerical solution at a given frequency. The matched asymptotic solution agrees well with the numerical solution in the vicinity of resonance peaks, generally confirming the predicted amplitude and width. Away from resonant peaks (i.e. in the trough regions of the spectrum discussed by Lin *et al.* (2023)) the matched asymptotic solution is less accurate because features of the flow involving length scales other than $E^{1/2}$ become relatively important in the absence of inertial mode excitations.

2.3.3. Time-averaged dissipation and kinetic energy

Equation 2.13 is convenient since it allows us to evaluate the time-averaged dissipation in the fluid by a surface integral where the boundary layer approximation applies. Once again



Figure 2. The (*a*) real and (*b*) imaginary parts of the inertial mode amplitude coefficients predicted by the matched asymptotic solution in equation 2.57 (dashed lines) and the inertial mode amplitude coefficients of the linear numerical solution computed by equation 2.63 (solid lines) as a function of libration frequency λ in a small window around their eigenfrequency, $\lambda_{\ell,k,m}$ for several choices of inertial mode indices (ℓ, k, m) (colour in legend). The frequency windows are renormalised by \sqrt{E} , where $E = 10^{-7}$. These results have the forcing amplitude fixed at $\varepsilon = 1$.

invoking the boundary layer scaling of equation 2.28, it follows that

$$\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = E \int_{S} \langle (\boldsymbol{u} \otimes \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r}) : (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{T}) \rangle = -E^{1/2} \int_{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{u}|^{2} \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(E).$$
(2.64)

By evaluating equation 2.64 for the leading-order asymptotic solution (equation 2.62) we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{MA}(\lambda) = \frac{\varepsilon^2 E^{1/2} \pi}{2\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\pi \sin^3 \theta \left[|\lambda + 2\cos \theta|^{1/2} + |\lambda - 2\cos \theta|^{1/2} \right] \mathrm{d}\theta - \frac{\varepsilon E^{1/2} \pi}{2} \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathrm{Imag} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}(\lambda) \int_0^\pi \sin^2 \theta \left[\mathcal{C}_+ \{ \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} | s \} \gamma_+ - \mathcal{C}_- \{ \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} | s \} \gamma_- \right] \mathrm{d}\theta \right\} + \mathcal{O}(E).$$

$$(2.65)$$

Note that this expression shows the dissipation of the MA solution is $O(E^{1/2})$ and scales with ε^2 (note the factor of ε contained by each $\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}$, equation 2.57). The first term represents the dissipation contributed by the primary Ekman layer, $\Psi_0\{\sin\theta \hat{e}_{\varphi}\}$, associated with viscous coupling to the libration forcing. The remaining terms represent the interactions between the primary Ekman layer and the Ekman layers that form as viscous corrections to the inertial modes excited in the bulk. This term turns out to lead to reduced dissipation near inertial mode resonances. We also evaluate the (time-averaged) kinetic energy integral (equation 2.10*a*) for the leading-order asymptotic solution (equation 2.62) to obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{MA}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell,k,m} |\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}|^2 \int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2 E^{1/2}}{4} \int_{S} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} |\boldsymbol{\Psi}_0\{\sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\}|^2 d\xi \right] - \frac{\varepsilon E^{1/2}}{2} \sum_{\ell,k,m} \operatorname{Real} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \int_{S} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0\{\sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0\{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|S\}^{\dagger} d\xi \right] \right\} + \frac{\varepsilon E^{1/2}}{2} \sum_{\ell,k,m} \operatorname{Real} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \int_{S} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0\{\sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\} d\xi \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \right] \right\} - \frac{E^{1/2}}{2} \sum_{\ell,k,m} |\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}|^2 \operatorname{Real} \left\{ \int_{S} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0\{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|S\} d\xi \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \right] \right\} + \frac{E^{1/2}}{4} \sum_{\ell,k,m} |\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}|^2 \int_{S} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} |\boldsymbol{\Psi}_0\{\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|S\}|^2 d\xi \right] + \mathcal{O}(E).$$

$$(2.66)$$

Note that the first term is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and corresponds to the kinetic energy of the bulk inertial mode flows. We have also retained all the first-order corrections at $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ which represent the (leading-order) kinetic energy stored in the boundary layer. Although these expressions are lengthy, the terms that solely involve the boundary layer response to the libration of *S* itself, Ψ_0 {sin $\theta \hat{e}_{\varphi}$ }, can be evaluated explicitly yielding

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{BL}(\lambda) &= -\frac{\varepsilon^2 E^{1/2}}{4} \int_{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (|\Psi_0\{\sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\}|^2) \\ &= \frac{2\pi \varepsilon^2 E^{1/2}}{15\sqrt{2}} \left[(2-\lambda)^{5/2} + (2+\lambda)^{5/2} - \frac{1}{7} \left((2-\lambda)^{7/2} + (2+\lambda)^{7/2} \right) \right], \end{split}$$
(2.67)

$$\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{BL}(\lambda) = \frac{\varepsilon^2 E^{1/2}}{4} \int_{S} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} |\Psi_0\{\sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\}|^2 d\xi \right]$$

= $\frac{\pi \varepsilon^2 E^{1/2}}{3\sqrt{2}} \left[(2-\lambda)^{3/2} + (2+\lambda)^{3/2} - \frac{1}{5} \left((2-\lambda)^{5/2} + (2+\lambda)^{5/2} \right) \right],$ (2.68)

valid only for $|\lambda| \leq 2$. Equations 2.68 and 2.67 represent the time-averaged kinetic energy and dissipation that is due solely to the viscous coupling to the oscillating solid boundary; they express the baseline frequency dependence of the boundary layer response that is driven by the boundary motion before the influence of inertial mode excitation in the interior region is taken into account.

As 2.67 shows, the dissipation caused by the flow adjustment in the viscous boundary layer to the librating boundary scales as $E^{1/2}$. Because the amplitude of inertial modes in the interior region is (at most) independent of E, the contribution of inertial modes to the dissipation also scales as $E^{1/2}$ (see equation 2.65). However, it is *a priori* unclear whether inertial modes lead to an enhancement or reduction of the overall dissipation, and whether this change is significant compared with $\langle D \rangle_{BL}$. We will show in our results that, at frequencies matching inertial modes with the largest spatial scales (lowest wavenumbers),

1007 A1-18

dissipation decreases by as much as approximately 10%. This decrease is caused by the second term in equation 2.65.

2.4. Critical latitude singularity and shear layers

One aspect of the linear response of the fluid is not addressed by the matched asymptotic analysis presented in §2.3. It has long been known that the boundary layer solution (equation 2.30) breaks down around certain 'critical circles' (e.g. Proudman 1956; Roberts & Stewardson 1963; Stewartson 1966) of constant latitude. This occurs when $\gamma_{\pm} = 0$ in equation 2.31*b*, i.e. for the latitude angles α_{\pm} such that

$$\sin \alpha_{\pm} = \pm \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$
 (2.69)

The apparent singularity in the Ekman pumping at the critical latitude is an artefact of the chosen boundary layer scaling. Roberts & Stewardson (1963) showed that the singularity can be removed by a different choice of scaling, where the boundary layer thickens to a depth scaling with $E^{2/5}$ over an angular size scaling with $E^{1/5}$ centred on the critical latitudes; these scalings have been confirmed by the recent theoretical and numerical work of Kida (2011) and Lin & Noir (2021).

The modification to the boundary layer scalings near the critical latitudes leads to an additional localised Ekman pumping with modified asymptotic scaling. The influence of these bands of locally intensified Ekman pumping is propagated around the interior region by inertial waves that roughly satisfy the inviscid equation 2.24. For an incompressible fluid, the velocity field can be eliminated from the momentum equation (e.g. Kerswell 1995) which leads to the Poincaré equation for an inviscid pressure field ϕ in the interior region

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z},\tag{2.70}$$

where z is the coordinate along the rotation axis. When $|\lambda| < 2$, the Poincaré equation is hyperbolic (Kerswell 1995; Le Bars *et al.* 2015) and supports inertial wave solutions. Such solutions propagate along special surfaces called characteristics. The characteristic surfaces are cones co-axial with the rotation axis with the half-apex angle α (Rieutord *et al.* 2001). The transport of the localised critical latitude Ekman suction along the conical characteristic surfaces leads to a pattern of shear layers in the bulk which has been explicitly computed by Kida (2011). These shear layers inherit the width scaling with $E^{1/5}$ and have an amplitude that also scales as $E^{1/5}$ (Lin & Noir 2021). Figure 3 provides a schematic illustration of the propagation of the shear layers from the critical latitude in a meridional plane.

The path followed by the shear layers as they reflect off of the boundaries of the container has been studied by Maas & Lam (1995), Rieutord & Valdettaro (1997) and Rieutord *et al.* (2001, 2002) where the mapping that represents successive reflections of the characteristics is treated as a discrete-time dynamical system. For the full sphere the situation is straightforward, as Rieutord *et al.* (2001) have shown that when the critical latitude α satisfies for some integers p, q

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{p}{q},\tag{2.71}$$

then the characteristics form closed periodic orbits that return to their initial point after a finite number of reflections. Conversely, for all α that are not rational multiples of $\pi/2$, the characteristics never return to their initial point. At these frequencies the mapping



Figure 3. Diagram after Kerswell (1995) that illustrates the viscous response in a meridional plane of the full sphere to longitudinal libration forcing of its outer boundary. The path of the shear layer spawned from the critical latitude, α , is shown in red, the boundary layer in grey and the asymptotic scalings with *E* of several aspects of the solution are indicated.

is ergodic meaning that the characteristics eventually touch all points in the spherical volume. Rieutord *et al.* (2001) connect these ergodic frequencies to the eigenfrequencies, $\lambda_{\ell,k,m}$, of the inviscid inertial modes. Readers are directed to Rieutord *et al.* (2000) for a comprehensive discussion of the dynamics of the characteristics of the inviscid system in a general container.

From equations 2.10*a* and 2.10*c* and the geometric properties outlined in figure 3 we can build expected scaling laws for the dissipation and kinetic energy contributions of the critical latitude shear layers when they lie on closed periodic orbits. The contribution to the dissipation, $\langle D \rangle_{SL}$, is $\mathcal{O}(E^{6/5})$. This implies that for small values of E, $\langle D \rangle_{SL} \ll \langle D \rangle_{BL}$. In addition to the shear layers in the bulk, we may also consider the contribution of the critical latitude bulges to the dissipation and kinetic energy. Let $|q|_{CL}$ denote the amplitude of the velocity field within the critical latitude bulges, then their contribution to the dissipation, $\langle D \rangle_{CL}$, is $\mathcal{O}(|q|_{CL}^2 E^{4/5})$, while their contribution to the kinetic energy, $\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{CL}$, is $\mathcal{O}(|q|_{CL}^2 E^{3/5})$. We are not aware of an established scaling law for $|q|_{CL}$ should depend only very weakly on *E*. Ultimately the scaling with *E* of the velocity field in the critical latitude bulge will determine whether the contribution of the bulge to dissipation and kinetic energy dominates over that of the bulk shear layers in the limit $E \to 0$. Certainly we can expect that $|q|_{CL} \leq \mathcal{O}(1)$ so that we at least know a priori that $\mathcal{O}(\langle D \rangle_{CL}) < \mathcal{O}(\langle D \rangle_{BL})$. In our results we seek to resolve this question, and to investigate the validity of these expected scaling laws.



Figure 4. The time-averaged dissipation, $\langle D \rangle$ (blue, left axis) and the kinetic energy, $\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle$ (red, right axis) as a function of the libration frequency, λ . The solid curves show the results from the linear numerical (LN) model and the dashed curves are for the pure boundary layer (BL) approximation (equation 2.68 and 2.67). The Ekman number is fixed at $E = 10^{-6}$. The kinetic energy peaks and dissipation troughs associated with some of the lower-order inertial modes are indicated by the labels (ℓ, k, m).

3. Results

For all our numerical results the amplitude of the libration is fixed as $\varepsilon = 1$. The velocity field scales linearly with ε so it is straightforward to scale all results to any choice of ε . Figure 4 shows the time-averaged dissipation, $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{LN}$, and kinetic energy, $\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{LN}$, computed with the linear numerical (LN) model as a function of the longitudinal libration frequency, λ . The frequency range is restricted to $0 < \lambda < 2$ and we have chosen the moderate value $E = 10^{-6}$ to demonstrate the general features of the spectrum. This kinetic energy spectrum has already been computed by Lin et al. (2023) but, here, we also show the associated dissipation. The boundary layer estimates $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{BL}$ and $\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{BL}$ from equations 2.67 and 2.68, which only takes into account the direct boundary layer response to viscous coupling, captures the broad trend of the frequency dependence of the dissipation and kinetic energy. However, in the vicinity of inertial mode eigenvalues, the dissipation spectrum features narrow valleys that mirror the resonance peaks in the kinetic energy spectrum. In these regions the dissipation is reduced by as much as approximately 10 % from the estimate in equation 2.67. To provide a sense of how the features of the dissipation spectrum depend on E, figure 5 shows the ratio $\langle D \rangle_{LN} / \langle D \rangle_{BL}$ for several choices of E. This reveals that as E is reduced (moving toward the planetary regime) the valley regions associated with inertial mode excitations become increasingly narrow. Furthermore, for progressively smaller values of E the percentage-wise reduction of $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{LN} / \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{BL}$ associated with each inertial mode frequency remains fixed.

Figures 4 and 5 both show the solution's extreme behaviour as λ approaches zero. In this limit, the oscillation period of the boundary motion is long compared with the rotation period. Hence, the flow is nearly geostrophic and is well approximated by a uniform axial vorticity flow, that is an oscillating solid body rotation. For $\lambda = 0$, the exact solution is simply given by $\mathbf{u} = \varepsilon r \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\varphi}$. The time-averaged kinetic energy of this flow is $4\pi/15\varepsilon^2$ and it is completely non-dissipative: there is no velocity differential between the boundary



Figure 5. The ratio of the time-averaged dissipation from the LN model, $\langle D \rangle_{LN}$, to the time-averaged dissipation of the boundary layer approximation, $\langle D \rangle_{BL}$, as a function of the libration frequency, λ , and for several choices of the Ekman number, E, indicated in the legend. The valleys associated with the four lowest-order inertial modes are indicated by the labels, (ℓ, k, m) , and four particular frequencies associated with periodic characteristic orbits are illustrated by dashed vertical lines. The time-averaged dissipation at a limited choice of frequencies from the direct numerical simulations at $E = 10^{-4}$ and $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ are shown with open red squares.

motion and the interior region flow so there is no boundary layer, and the rigid body rotation flow features no shear. Figure 5 shows that the influence of this geostrophic flow regime on dissipation is restricted to ever smaller values of λ as *E* is reduced.

Figure 5 also shows the time-averaged dissipation computed using a three dimensional, nonlinear time domain direct numerical simulation (DNS) of the libration forcing problem at selected frequencies. The DNS were computed with the open source code MagIC (Christensen et al. 2001; Wicht 2002; Schaeffer 2013). Because of the increased computational intensity of the direct numerical simulations, result were obtained only for $E = 10^{-4}$. The amplitude of the libration forcing was set to $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ so that the resulting flow occupies the linear regime. Time-averaged dissipations were computed from the direct numerical simulations with the omission of the initial $\sim 10-30$ libration periods where the transient flow is developing into the harmonic oscillatory state. The results of the DNS match well with those of the linear theory, validating our omission of the nonlinear term in the governing equations for small-amplitude forcing, $\varepsilon \ll 1$ at least for the Ekman number $E = 10^{-4}$. It is important to note that, although the forcing amplitude may be small, it is possible for the boundary layer Reynolds number, $Re = \varepsilon E^{-1/2}$, to still be large enough that the boundary layer is centrifugally unstable (Noir et al. 2009; Calkins et al. 2010). For $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ and $E = 10^{-4}$, Re = 0.1 which remains well below the estimated $Re \sim 50$ required to trigger instability. However, this should not be misconstrued as evidence for the validity of this assumption at lower values of E, where the amplitude of the forcing, even at Re = 0.1, may be large enough to support significant localised nonlinearities.

Figure 6 focuses on narrow frequency windows of the time-averaged dissipation spectrum centred on the excitation frequencies of the four lowest-order equatorially symmetric, zonal inertial modes, $\lambda_{4,1,0}$, $\lambda_{6,1,0}$, $\lambda_{6,2,0}$, $\lambda_{8,1,0}$ that are labelled in figure 5. The dissipation curves predicted by the asymptotic analysis (equation 2.65) are overlaid on the results of the LN model. This reveals significant disagreements outside the asymptotic regime when $E = 10^{-4}$, 10^{-5} . However, at $E = 10^{-8}$ the discrepancies between the numerical solution and the asymptotic solution become very small within the narrow



Figure 6. The ratios of the time-averaged dissipation from the LN model, $\langle D \rangle_{LN}$ (solid lines), and the matched asymptotic model, $\langle D \rangle_{MA}$ (dashed lines), to the time-averaged dissipation of the boundary layer, $\langle D \rangle_{BL}$, as a function of libration frequency, λ , in small windows around the inertial mode frequencies (a) $\lambda_{4,1,0}$, (b) $\lambda_{6,2,0}$, (c) $\lambda_{6,2,0}$, (d) $\lambda_{8,1,0}$. Results are shown for several Ekman numbers, *E*, as indicated in the legend, and the frequency windows have been renormalised by a factor $E^{1/2}$.

excitation windows of each eigenmode. The error between the numerical solution and the asymptotic solution decreases as E is reduced, as is expected due to the assumptions underlying the asymptotic approximation. The agreement between the curves at $E = 10^{-8}$ indicates that the reduction of the time-averaged dissipation in the valleys is primarily explained by the excitation of the inertial modes since they are the only flow structure in the interior region taken into account by the asymptotic analysis. The asymptotic solution for the amplitude coefficient of a given inertial mode near resonance (equation 2.57) predicts that the resonance frequency width should scale with $E^{1/2}$, and the widths of the reduction valleys in the time-averaged dissipation spectrum inherit this scaling. The disagreements between the numerical and asymptotic solutions when E is still relatively large can be a result of the truncation of the series representation of the solution (equations 2.24–2.26); near those frequencies associated with periodic orbits of characteristics, the matched asymptotic solution fails to converge or converges more slowly than expected to the numerical solution due to the influence of conic shear layers. Table 1 gives the normalised dissipation and modal coefficients based on the MA solution at the natural frequencies of several of the fundamental inertial modes for $E = 10^{-15}$. The inertial modes that are excited to larger amplitudes lead to a greater reduction in the dissipation.

As E is reduced, figure 5 also shows that an increasing number of progressively weaker reduction valleys appear in the spectrum, illustrating the density of the inviscid eigenvalues in [0, 2]. These correspond to the excitations of progressively higher-order inertial modes

(ℓ, k, m)	$\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}(\lambda_{\ell,k,m})$	$rac{\langle \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{MA} \rangle}{\langle \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{BL} \rangle} (\lambda_{\ell,k,m})$	$\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}(\lambda_{\max.}^{(\ell,k,m)})$	$\frac{(\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{MA})}{(\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{BL})} (\lambda_{\max.}^{(\ell,k,m)})$
(4, 1, 0)	0.13640979 + 0.03415593i	0.91207166	0.14071516 + 0.01696142i	0.90783257
(6, 1, 0)	-0.06999910 - 0.00842058i	0.90770488	-0.07057734 - 0.00361380i	0.90661124
(6, 2, 0)	-0.01829790 - 0.00565719i	0.98556841	-0.01920057 - 0.00273755i	0.98448020
(8, 1, 0)	0.03040571 + 0.00225331i	0.92360180	0.03050777 + 0.00087617i	0.92324525
(8, 2, 0)	0.01521534 + 0.00252490i	0.97254607	0.01546058 + 0.00104700i	0.97191591
(8, 3, 0)	0.00331400 + 0.00110422i	0.99618875	0.00350606 + 0.00052783i	0.99585115
(10, 1, 0)	-0.01300931 - 0.00066800i	0.93852200	-0.01303126 - 0.00024053i	0.93838087
(10, 2, 0)	-0.00845206 - 0.00092661i	0.96848525	-0.00851553 - 0.00034767i	0.96815903
(10, 3, 0)	-0.00365847 - 0.00069128i	0.98995452	-0.00373597 - 0.00028116i	0.98965271
(10, 4, 0)	-0.00073157 - 0.00025277i	0.99866857	-0.00077745 - 0.00011998i	0.99854098

Table 1. Amplitude coefficients of the zonal, equatorially symmetric inertial modes up to degree $\ell = 10$ and normalised dissipation fractions evaluated with the matched asymptotic solution (2.57 and 2.65) at their natural frequencies $\lambda_{\ell,k,m}$ and the corresponding asymptotic maximal frequencies (2.60). The Ekman number is fixed at $E = 10^{-15}$ for these calculations. The amplitude coefficients are calculated based on the normalisation used by Zhang & Liao (2017).

that are comparatively more strongly damped, so their influence on the dissipation is weaker. At larger values of E the influence of these more highly damped modes is drowned out by the wide and overlapping resonance windows of the least damped inertial modes and probably also by the influence of the thick critical latitude shear layers. But as E is reduced the resonance windows and shear layers become increasingly narrow so that for forcing frequencies close to their eigenfrequencies, the more highly damped modes reveal themselves. A similar behaviour was observed in the kinetic energy spectrum by Lin *et al.* (2023).

In order to understand the reason why, on the one hand, dissipation is reduced when $\lambda = \lambda_{\ell,k,m}$ for some inertial mode frequency and why, on the other hand, it converges toward $\langle D \rangle_{BL}$ when the forcing frequency is associated with periodic characteristic orbits (as is illustrated in figure 5), it is informative to look at the time-averaged kinetic energy. Figure 7 shows the distribution in a meridional plane of the time-averaged kinetic energy density of the LN solutions for $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0}$ and when $\lambda = 1$. When the forcing frequency matches the frequency of an inertial mode, an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ velocity field fills the entire spherical volume, the time-averaged kinetic energy density below the Ekman layer is uniformly non-zero and persists as E is reduced. Conversely, when the forcing frequency is near a frequency for which the characteristic paths form closed periodic orbits (such as for $\lambda = 1$), then the time-averaged kinetic energy density in the interior region is negligible for small values of E since the most significant contributions are localised to shear layers spawned from the critical latitudes that have asymptotically vanishing width and amplitude. The presence of significant kinetic energy density at the bottom of the Ekman layer in the former case is fundamentally what causes the reduction in dissipation near inertial mode resonances. It is useful to define \mathcal{P}_r , the power transferred by viscous stresses across a spherical surface of radius $r \leq 1$ which is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_r = Er^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (\boldsymbol{u} \otimes \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r) : (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}^T) \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi, \qquad (3.1)$$

as well as \mathcal{K}_r , the kinetic energy on the same spherical surface, given by

$$\mathcal{K}_r = \frac{r^2}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |\boldsymbol{u}|^2 \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi.$$
(3.2)



Figure 7. The distribution of time-averaged kinetic energy density, $\langle 1/2 | u |^2 \rangle_{LN}$ from the LN model in a meridional plane at libration frequencies (a) $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0} = \sqrt{12/7}$, and (b) $\lambda = 1$. The ticks at the outer boundary indicate the position of the critical latitudes. In (b), the characteristic paths originating from the critical latitudes are overlaid as white dashed lines, the solid line indicates the position of the cross-layer cut used in figure 10. The Ekman number is $E = 10^{-8}$.

Within the boundary layer $(1 - r = O(E^{1/2}))$, using equation 2.28 we can expect that

$$\mathcal{P}_r = E \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{K}_r}{\mathrm{d}r} + \mathcal{O}(E^{3/2}). \tag{3.3}$$

This is useful since, averaged over one libration period, we have that $\langle \mathcal{P}_{r=1} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ (equation 2.13). Figure 8(*a*) shows the radial profiles of $\langle \mathcal{K}_r \rangle$ from the LN model within the boundary layer for two choices of forcing frequency, $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0}$ and $\lambda = 1$. The significant kinetic energy offset that is present at the bottom of the boundary layer in the case of $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0}$ is readily observed. This situation is characteristic of the flow whenever $\lambda = \lambda_{\ell,k,m}$ for an inertial mode that is excited by the boundary forcing. In contrast, the results for $\lambda = 1$ demonstrate the lack of any significant kinetic energy density at the base of the boundary layer, which is the general condition for all frequencies λ that are associated with closed periodic characteristic orbits. Figure 8(*b*) shows the radial profiles in the boundary layer of the quantities $\langle \mathcal{P}_r \rangle$ and $E(d \langle \mathcal{K}_r \rangle / dr)$ from the LN model at $E = 10^{-8}$ for both $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0}$ and $\lambda = 1$. In each case, the profiles are markedly similar, as is expected from equation 3.3. The reduction of both $\langle \mathcal{P}_r \rangle$ and $E(d \langle \mathcal{K}_r \rangle / dr)$ for $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0}$ is readily observed. The suppression of the radial derivative of $\langle \mathcal{K}_r \rangle$ near the boundary is connected to a reduction in dissipation through $\langle \mathcal{P}_{r=1} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$.

The results in figure 5 generally show that near libration frequencies λ that are associated with periodic characteristic orbits, the time-averaged dissipation of the numerical solution asymptotically approaches the boundary layer prediction, $\langle D \rangle_{BL}$, as *E* is reduced. In their study of the kinetic energy spectrum, Lin *et al.* (2023) found that these frequencies are associated with troughs of low kinetic energy that have a frequency width scaling of $E^{0.23}$. Moreover, the actual frequency λ associated with the centre of the trough is always slightly larger than the expected periodic frequency and the offset scales also as $E^{0.23}$. Figure 9(*a*) focuses on a narrow frequency window around the frequency $\lambda = 1$ I. MacPherson and M. Dumberry



Figure 8. (a) The time-averaged kinetic energy density, $\langle \mathcal{K}_r \rangle$, as a function of radius, r, in the vicinity of the boundary (r = 1) for several choices of the Ekman number, E (colour in legend). (b) The radial derivative of the time-averaged kinetic energy density (red) and the time-averaged radial power transfer by viscous stresses (blue) as a function of radius in the vicinity of the boundary for $E = 10^{-8}$. In both (a) and (b) solid and dashed lines respectively correspond to results where $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0}$ and $\lambda = 1$.



Figure 9. (a) The ratio of the time-averaged dissipation of the LN model $\langle D \rangle_{LN}$ to the time-averaged dissipation of the boundary layer $\langle D \rangle_{BL}$ (solid lines, left-hand axis) and the time-averaged kinetic energy of the LN model, $\langle K \rangle_{LN}$ (dashed lines, right-hand axis) for several choices of the Ekman number, E (colour in legend), in a small frequency window near $\lambda = 1$ renormalised with the scaling $E^{0.23}$. Results from the DNS with $E = 10^{-4}$ are plotted as open squares with the associated legend colour. (b) The frequency offsets from $\lambda = 1$ at which the time-average dissipation (kinetic energy) value is maximal (minimal) in (a) shown as triangles (diamonds) as a function of the Ekman number, E. The best fit power laws are indicated in the legend and overlaid in (a) as vertical solid and dashed lines.

(associated with the periodic characteristic orbits and shear layers shown in figure 7*b*). We observe the same frequency offset of the kinetic energy minimum as Lin *et al.* (2023), and furthermore we show that the normalised dissipation, $\langle D \rangle_{LN} / \langle D \rangle_{BL}$, is maximised at a similarly offset frequency. Figure 9(*b*) shows the best fit power laws for the scaling of these frequency offsets with *E*. For the kinetic energy, the minimum is achieved with $\lambda = 1 + 0.789 E^{0.231 \pm 0.002}$, while for the normalised dissipation the local maximum is

Journal of Fluid Mechanics

$\lambda = 1$ $(\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{LN}) / (\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{BL})$	$E = 10^{-4}$	$E = 10^{-5}$	$E = 10^{-6}$	$E = 10^{-7}$	$E = 10^{-8}$
	0.98275	0.99967	1.00280	1.00240	1.00157
$\lambda = 1 + 0.718 E^{0.23} (\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{LN}) / (\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{BL})$	$E = 10^{-4}$	$E = 10^{-5}$	$E = 10^{-6}$	$E = 10^{-7}$	$E = 10^{-8}$
	1.00701	1.01088	1.00783	1.00470	1.00260

Table 2. The ratio of the dissipation of the LN model to the pure boundary layer prediction, $(\langle D \rangle_{LN})/(\langle D \rangle_{BL})$, evaluated at $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = 1 + 0.718E^{0.23}$ (corresponding to the offset found in figure 9) for several choices of the Ekman number, *E*.

achieved with $\lambda = 1 + 0.718 E^{0.230 \pm 0.002}$. The offsets do not precisely coincide with each other. We do not have, and are not aware of a theoretical explanation of this effect, nor of the $E^{0.23}$ scaling of the offsets. The maximum value achieved by the normalised dissipation at the frequency $\lambda = 1 + 0.718 E^{0.23}$ exceeds unity. This implies a small enhancement of the dissipation of the flow associated with this frequency as compared with $\langle D \rangle_{RI}$. This effect can be explained by the contribution to the dissipation of the shear layers along the conic surfaces that emerge from the critical latitudes. The contribution to the overall dissipation by the flow in the conic shear layers is expected to scale with $E^{6/5}$, which implies that this contribution vanishes faster than $E^{1/2}$. This explains why, in the limit $E \rightarrow 0$, the normalised dissipation converges to unity at the local maximum in figure 9. Table 2 gives numerical values of the dissipation fraction evaluated at $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = 1 + 0.718E^{0.23}$ for $E = 10^{-4} - 10^{-8}$. These results indicate that the normalised dissipation initially increases with decreasing E for large to moderate values of E, but then begins to decrease as E is further reduced. For $E = 10^{-4}$, 10^{-5} the shear layers spawned from the critical latitude are geometrically thick compared with the radius of the sphere, hence in this regime the shear layer structures can act similarly to the sphere-filling inertial mode velocity fields in suppressing the boundary layer shear and reducing dissipation.

Figure 10(*a*) examines the distribution of time-averaged kinetic energy along the solid white line perpendicular to the shear layer beam displayed in figure 7. The profile of the time-averaged kinetic energy density of the shear layer is shown for the solution with $\lambda = 1$, and with $\lambda = 1 + 0.789E^{0.23}$, the offset of the energy minimum found in figure 9. The amplitude of the time-average kinetic energy density is rescaled by $E^{2/5}$, while the width of the cut is rescaled by $E^{1/5}$ to show that the results agree with the $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/5})$ scaling for the width and amplitude of the shear layer velocity field found by Lin & Noir (2021). In both cases the distribution of kinetic energy density across the shear layer features two peaks circumscribing the centre of layer. The peak on the side of the shear layer that is farthest from the equator has a larger amplitude. For $\lambda = 1$ this larger peak is offset considerably from the centre of the shear layer which is defined as the characteristic surface connected to the critical latitude, while for $\lambda = 1 + 0.789E^{0.23}$ the centre of the larger peaks tends asymptotically toward the centre of the shear layer.

To consider dissipation in the shear layers it is useful to define the symbol

$$\tau = \nabla \boldsymbol{u} : (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^T), \tag{3.4}$$

such that the expression $E\tau$ gives the viscous dissipation per unit volume in the bulk of the fluid. Figure 10(b) shows the profile of $\langle \tau \rangle$ along the solid white line perpendicular to and centred on the shear layer beam in figure 7 for $\lambda = 1$ and with $\lambda = 1 + 0.718E^{0.23}$, the offset of the dissipation local maximum found in figure 9. Since the gradient across the shear layer profile (in the direction perpendicular to the characteristic surface) scales with $E^{-1/5}$ and the amplitude of the velocity field with $E^{1/5}$, τ is expected to be independent



Figure 10. (a) The time-averaged kinetic energy density of the LN mode scaled by $E^{2/5}$ along a segment perpendicular to, and centred on, the critical latitude shear layer (indicated by the solid white line in figure 7). The solid (dashed) curves correspond to a libration frequency of $\lambda = 1$ ($\lambda = 1 + 0.789E^{0.23}$). (b) The timeaveraged dissipation density of the LN model scaled by E (i.e. $\langle \tau \rangle$) along a segment perpendicular to, and centred on, the critical latitude shear layer (indicated by the solid white line in figure 7) the solid (dashed) curves correspond to a libration frequency of $\lambda = 1$ ($\lambda = 1 + 0.718E^{0.23}$). Negative values of x_c correspond to the 'upper' side of shear layer that is farthest from the equator. It is worth noting that the position and the orientation of the centre line are slightly shifted in physical space with respect to $\lambda = 1$ to account for the change in critical latitude and characteristic cone angle at the shifted frequency values. Results are shown for several choices of the Ekman number, E (colour in legend).

of *E*. The results in figure 10(*b*) confirm this leading-order scaling, showing that $\langle \tau \rangle$ is nearly independent of *E* across the shear layer profile. Similarly to the case of the kinetic energy density profile across the shear layer, for the $E^{0.23}$ offset forcing frequency the dissipation profile becomes aligned with the characteristic surface that emerges directly from the critical latitude (i.e. the centre of the shear layer).

To examine the scaling of the velocity field within the critical latitude bulge, it is useful to introduce the following auxiliary velocity field

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}} = \boldsymbol{q}_{LN} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0 \{\sin\theta \,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\varphi}\},\tag{3.5a}$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}} e^{i\lambda t} + \text{c.c..}$$
(3.5b)

That is, the velocity field computed by the LN model that remains after the boundary layer flow, $\Psi_0\{\sin\theta \hat{e}_{\varphi}\}$, is removed (see equation 2.34*a*). Figure 11 shows profiles of the time-averaged kinetic energy of the auxiliary velocity field, $(1/2)\langle |\tilde{u}|^2 \rangle$, in the vicinity of the critical latitude for $\lambda = 1$ and for several choices of the Ekman number, *E*. Panel (*a*) displays the radial profile of the auxiliary kinetic energy at the critical latitude, the radial coordinate renormalised to reflect the expected length scale, $E^{2/5}$, of the critical latitude bulge. The distribution of kinetic energy is peaked near $r = 1 - 2E^{2/5}$, with the position of the peak in terms of the renormalised radial coordinate is nearly independent of *E*, aligning with the expected radial length scaling of the bulge. Furthermore, the height of the kinetic energy peak depends very weakly on *E* (there is slight decrease with *E*), it does not follow any significant power law and hence it appears that this quantity is roughly $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Figure 11(*b*) shows the angular profile of the auxiliary kinetic energy as a function of colatitude about the critical latitude and at the depth of the peak in the radial distribution. The angular variable is renormalised by $E^{1/5}$, causing the curves at varying *E* to overlap



Figure 11. Profiles of the time-averaged auxiliary kinetic energy (see equation 3.5) at the critical latitude for the forcing frequency $\lambda = 1$ as a function of radius renormalised by $E^{2/5}$ (left panel), and colatitude renormalised by $E^{1/5}$ (right panel). Results are shown for several choices of the Ekman number (colour in legend).



Figure 12. The sub-boundary layer time-averaged kinetic energy, $\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{LN} - \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{BL}$, (a) and dissipation, $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{LN} - \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_{BL}$, (b) as a function of the Ekman number E, for several choices of forcing frequency, λ , corresponding to periodic characteristic orbits (colour in legend).

which reveals good agreement with the expected angular length scale. Overall, figure 11 confirms the expected length scalings of the critical latitude bulge, at least for $\lambda = 1$, and suggests that $|\mathbf{q}|_{CL} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. Recalling the discussion in § 2.4, this implies that we can expect the contribution of the critical latitude bulge to dissipation and kinetic energy to be $\mathcal{O}(E^{4/5})$ and $\mathcal{O}(E^{3/5})$, respectively, at least for $\lambda = 1$. In particular this means that the contribution to dissipation by the critical latitude bulge flow will be greater than the contribution of the internal shear layers in the asymptotic limit.

Figure 12 shows the scaling of the time-averaged dissipation and kinetic energy computed by the LN model after removing the boundary layer approximations $\langle D \rangle_{BL}$ and $\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{BL}$, respectively. The four periodic orbit frequencies labelled in figure 5 that correspond to the critical latitudes $\alpha = \pi/4$, $\pi/6$, $\pi/8$, $\pi/10$ and forcing frequencies $\lambda = \sqrt{2}$, 1, $\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}$, $(\sqrt{5} - 2)/2$ are chosen to evaluate these quantities. The purpose of this computation is to isolate the weaker scaling of the kinetic energy of the internal

I. MacPherson and M. Dumberry



Figure 13. The time-averaged dissipation per unit volume in a quarter meridional plane computed numerically at frequencies $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0}$ (upper row) and $\lambda = 1$ (lower row), at $E = 10^{-6}$ (left column), $E = 10^{-7}$ (centre column) and $E = 10^{-8}$ (right column).

shear layers alone. At these frequencies there is no significant excitation of inertial modes so that the flow is limited to the boundary layer flow and the flow in the shear layers. The kinetic energy of the boundary layer solution scales with $E^{1/2}$ (equation 2.68). The shear layer have a kinetic energy density that scales with $E^{2/5}$. Because the thickness of the shear layers scales as $E^{1/5}$, and because the shear layers only form on those characteristic surfaces that emerge from the critical latitudes, the volumetric weighting of the shear layers is $E^{1/5}$. Overall this implies an expected $E^{3/5}$ contribution of the shear layers to the kinetic energy integral, smaller than the total kinetic energy of the boundary layer. Similarly, the critical latitude bulge is expected to contribute kinetic energy that scales the same way. Hence, by subtracting out the kinetic energy of the boundary layer response (2.68), we expect to observe the $E^{3/5}$ scaling of the kinetic energy of the bulk shear layers and critical latitude bulge in these cases. Panel (a) of figure 12 shows the sub-boundary layer kinetic energy. For $\lambda = 1$, $\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}$, and $(\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$, the $E^{3/5}$ scaling is roughly observed in the LN results, however, when $\lambda = \sqrt{2}$, the observed scaling is considerably steeper, nearer to $E^{0.7}$. Panel (b) of figure 12 shows the sub-boundary layer dissipation. For this quantity, at all four values of λ , the scaling eventually approaches the expected $E^{4/5}$ scaling when the Ekman number is sufficiently low. This indicates the dominance of the critical latitude bulge's contribution to dissipation over that of the shear layers, which are expected to have the much smaller $\mathcal{O}(E^{6/5})$ contribution.

Figure 13 shows the distribution of time-averaged dissipation per unit volume, $E\langle \tau \rangle$, in a meridional plane for two selected choices of λ and varying E. In the upper row, the system is driven at the frequency $\lambda = \lambda_{4,1,0}$ of one fundamental inertial mode. When $E = 10^{-6}$, the dissipation per unit volume in the interior is of order 10^{-6} while that of the boundary layer is near unity. Furthermore, the distribution of dissipation in the plane is marked by the structure of the inertial mode flow. When $E = 10^{-8}$, the dissipation per unit volume in the interior is generally below 10^{-8} while that of the boundary layer remains near unity, although the layer is now much thinner than it is for $E = 10^{-6}$, and invisible at the scale of figure 13. In the lower row, results with the same range of E values is shown now for $\lambda = 1$ where periodic characteristic orbits dominate the interior flow. The dissipation scaling on the shear layers is numerically similar to the results in the upper row. This agrees with

our expectations, since τ in the shear layers is expected to be independent of *E*. However, since the shear layers occupy only a fraction of the volume, the total dissipation in the interior region is lower than in the inertial mode case by a factor $E^{1/5}$.

Examining the dissipation on the shear layers in the lower panels of figure 13, it is largest at the point where the shear layer touches the axis, in this case ($\lambda = 1$) occurring at the pole of the sphere. The dissipation per unit volume in this region does scale slightly differently than elsewhere on the shear layers due to a focusing effect somewhat similar to that found by Le Dizès (2015); Le Dizès & Le Bars (2017) for the shear layers emitted from the surface of a librating inner sphere. In this case where we have the shear layers emitted from the outer boundary, in the focusing region near the axis, the amplitude of the velocity field is $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/10})$, whereas it is $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/5})$ in the main part of the shear layers. This leads the dissipation per unit volume to be $\mathcal{O}(E^{4/5})$ within the axis focusing region. However, since the volume of the focusing region near the axis scales with $E^{3/5}$, the contribution of the focused region to the overall dissipation is $\mathcal{O}(E^{7/5})$, which is ultimately less than the $\mathcal{O}(E^{6/5})$ contribution of the main part of the shear layers discussed.

4. Discussion and conclusions

Our results confirm that the dissipation in a rotating fluid sphere caused by weak longitudinal libration dominantly scales as $E^{1/2}$ in the limit $E \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, we thoroughly investigated the resulting flow to show that the dominant contribution to the viscous dissipation always occurs within the Ekman layer and documented the influence on dissipation from other features of the flow including inertial modes and conic shear layers. The Ekman pumping that results from this boundary layer can interact resonantly with a given inviscid inertial mode of the sphere when the frequency of the longitudinal libration is near to the natural frequency of the mode. The viscous damping of the mode at the surface of the sphere also provides a contribution to the dissipation rate that scales as $E^{1/2}$. Moreover, the scaling of the damping rate of the modes cause the frequency widths of the resonance windows to scale with $E^{1/2}$; that is, the range of forcing frequencies around a given inviscid eigenvalue for which the corresponding mode will be significantly excited decreases with decreasing viscosity. We showed that when the forcing frequency is within the resonance window of a given inertial mode, the dissipation is reduced since the interior flow of the mode reduces the magnitude of the required radial gradient between the solid boundary and the interior. Modes with the largest spatial scale are the least damped, leading them to be excited to the largest amplitude, and therefore reduce the dissipation the most. When the fundamental modes (4, 1, 0) and (6, 1, 0) are excited, dissipation is reduced by as much as 9 %. The percentage-wise reduction is independent of E in the limit $E \rightarrow 0$. For the vast majority of the modes, however, the reduction is limited to less than 1%.

The areas of the inertial wave band of the frequency spectrum where inviscid inertial modes do not occur correspond to periodic orbits of the conical characteristic surfaces of the inviscid system (Rieutord *et al.* 2001). When the longitudinal libration forcing is applied at these frequencies, the interior flow is dominated by shear layers that form on the characteristic surfaces that emerge from the critical latitudes. Viscous dissipation within the shear layers is relatively intense and can contribute to an enhancement of the total dissipation. However, in the limit $E \rightarrow 0$, the contribution of the conical shear layers to the total dissipation is negligible in comparison with the contribution of the boundary layer flow. The shear layers are associated with the critical latitude of the Ekman layer, where the length scale of the boundary layer increases from $E^{1/2}$ to $E^{2/5}$. The boundary

I. MacPherson and M. Dumberry

layer exhibits a thickened 'bulge' around the critical latitude that has an angular length scale of $E^{1/5}$. Our results demonstrate that the contribution to the overall dissipation due to the flow in this bulge exceeds the contribution of the internal shear layers when $E \rightarrow 0$. The bulge contributes a term scaling with $E^{4/5}$ to the overall dissipation, while the contribution of the internal shear layers is merely $E^{6/5}$. However, neither of these contributions are significant in comparison with the dissipation in the main boundary layer that scales with $E^{1/2}$.

In most planetary applications, $E < 10^{-10}$, so the forcing frequency would need to coincide to order 10^{-5} with the inviscid eigenfrequency of a given fundamental inertial mode for any significant dissipation reduction to be realised. Therefore, the dissipation rate that ignores the influence of the modes, $\langle D \rangle_{BL}$, remains a very good approximation. For a synchonised orbit, which is the most common case, the forcing frequency is $\lambda = 1$ which corresponds to periodic characteristic orbits in the full sphere. The dissipation contribution of the critical latitude bulge and in the shear layers along these orbits does slightly enhance the overall dissipation rate but the enhancement is negligible for small E.

Our results (restricted to $\lambda = 1$) suggest that the contribution of the critical latitude shear layers and bulge is maximised for a forcing frequency that is offset from the exact inviscid periodic orbit frequency by an amount that scales with $E^{0.23}$. This effect is undoubtedly correlated with the kinetic energy trough regions that Lin *et al.* (2023) generally observed to scale with the same peculiar factor, although we have not been able to identify the underlying cause of this scaling. We may not have accessed sufficiently small values of *E* to reach the actual asymptotic scaling of the offset so the true scaling can be $E^{1/4}$ as was observed by Lin *et al.* (2023) when using $E = 10^{-9} - 10^{-10}$. It is therefore worth noting that this scaling appears in the asymptotic theory of forced shear layers although it is not clear if this scaling is present in the full sphere (e.g. He *et al.* 2022).

Rieutord & Valdettaro (2010) found that there was an 'anti-resonant' response to tidal forcing in a spherical shell when the frequency corresponded to periodic orbits. This effect is explained in Rieutord & Valdettaro (2018), who show numerically that there is an accumulation of 'quasi-regular' viscous eigenmodes near a periodic orbit frequency. Since the path is converging to a closed orbit, the distance between two adjacent and parallel characteristics on the same path vanishes as the frequency approaches a periodic orbit frequency. The characteristics can be interpreted as the equiphase lines of a given quasi-regular mode, so the wavenumber of the quasi-regular modes grows to infinity which leads to a lack of response at the periodic frequency (Rieutord & Valdettaro 2018). A similar effect is likely responsible for the kinetic energy trough regions observed by Lin *et al.* (2023) and the associated dissipation peaks found in this work for periodic orbit frequencies.

Our results pertain to a full sphere, although we may possibly anticipate similar results for the flow driven in a spherical shell by the longitudinal libration of its outer boundary. In the spherical shell the only pure inertial modes that remain are entirely toroidal (e.g. Rieutord *et al.* 2000) yet the global modes hidden beneath localised wave beams that are observed by Lin & Ogilvie (2021) may behave similarly to pure inertial modes in the sphere, reducing the dissipation when resonance occurs. Furthermore, the natural frequencies of the global modes are modified by the inner core (see Lin & Ogilvie 2021). Because of this, it is possible that for some particular size of inner core there is a global mode with natural frequency near $\lambda = 1$ in which case a dissipation reduction of a few per cent is possible, assuming effects of the localised wave beams from the inner core do not fundamentally alter the behaviour of the fluid. In the spherical shell, unlike the full sphere, only a finite number frequencies corresponding to periodic characteristic orbits exist and they are progressively eliminated as the size of the inner core is increased (e.g. Rieutord

et al. 2000, 2001). The shear layers emitted from the critical latitude on the inner sphere are much stronger than those associated with the outer sphere (e.g. Kerswell 1995; He *et al.* 2023). These differences and the presence of attractors and focusing in the spherical shell (Rieutord *et al.* 2000) will undoubtedly give rise to dissipation scalings and effects that are not observed in the full sphere. The behaviour of the quasi-regular modes near periodic orbit frequencies is changed fundamentally by the presence of the inner core (Rieutord & Valdettaro 2018). When *E* is taken small enough the inertial waves propagating from the outer surface are able to reach the inner core without being completely damped. The convex shape of the inner core modifies the scale of the inertial wave, giving it small scale structure resulting in the collapse of the regular nature of the mode (Rieutord *et al.* 2000; Rieutord & Valdettaro 2018).

For a planet to enter synchronised rotation, or more generally a spin-orbit resonance, one possible scenario involves its spin rate being damped by internal friction from an initial rate that is larger than its orbital mean motion. Over the course of this migration, the frequency of forced longitudinal librations experienced by the planet will scan down through a range of frequencies within the band $1 < \lambda < 2$ where it will pass through every inertial mode resonance in that range. According to our results, this implies that there may be periods of reduced dissipation. Overall, the influence of inertial modes would then increase the time required to reach the synchronised state, although likely not significantly.

Aside from the lack of an inner core, the present approach using linearised equations with an unflattened spherical outer boundary neglects the influences of topographic coupling and of course all nonlinear effects. Longitudinal libration of an equatorially flattened container causes a degree two order-two topographic forcing that scales linearly with the equatorial flattening (e.g. Rekier et al. 2019). Computationally, this forcing is similar to the degree two order-two tidal forcing studied by Ogilvie (2005, 2009), Rieutord & Valdettaro (2010) and Lin & Ogilvie (2021). In particular, Lin & Ogilvie (2021) have found that the degree two order-two tidal forcing in a spherical shell can excite large scale flows similar to the inertial eigenmodes of a sphere at certain forcing frequencies. Furthermore, when these structures are excited by the tidal forcing, Lin & Ogilvie (2021) showed that the overall dissipation of the flow scales as $E^{-1/2}$. This effect can be anticipated by an argument based on the results we have presented here for dissipation in a flow driven by viscous coupling alone. The key difference in the topographic coupling case is that the radial forcing that excites the inertial modes in the interior region is now $\mathcal{O}(1)$, whereas in our viscous coupling case this role is played by the $\mathcal{O}(E^{1/2})$ Ekman pumping. In the case of topographic coupling where the radial forcing is independent of E, the inertial modes will still only be damped by an amount that scales with $E^{1/2}$, which leads to a resonant amplitude scaling with $E^{-1/2}$. Furthermore, this implies that the radial gradient between the flow at the solid outer boundary and in the interior region scales as E^{-1} in the case of inertial mode resonance with topographic forcing. This gradient implies that the dissipation within the boundary layer shear contributes to a dissipation that scales with $E^{-1/2}$. On the other hand, when no mode is excited by the topographic forcing then the flow driven in the interior region is dominantly a result of the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ forcing, leading to the formation of an Ekman layer more reminiscent of those in this paper such that the total dissipation scales as $E^{1/2}$, but that is neglecting any shear layer effects resulting from the convex shape of the inner core, so this estimate may only be applicable to the full sphere. In the spherical shell an example of this situation is the anti-resonance phenomenon observed by Rieutord & Valdettaro (2010) for tidal forcing at periodic orbit frequencies, the dissipation scales as $E^{2/5}$ due to the dominance of contribution of the critical latitude bulge. It is difficult to judge whether an $E^{1/2}$ or $E^{2/5}$ scaling is present in the anti-resonant parts of the dissipation spectra presented by Rekier et al. (2019) and

Lin & Ogilvie (2021) and the situation is complicated compared with the full sphere by the influence of attractors and focusing.

Although the $E^{-1/2}$ scaling associated with mode excitations due to topographic forcing appears to imply the possibility of extreme amplification of dissipation in the planetary limit, the effect is tempered by two factors. First, the driven flow is proportional to the product of the libration velocity amplitude with the equatorial flattening (see equation 18 of Rekier *et al.* (2019)) both of which are small quantities. Second the resonant amplification only occurs when the forcing frequency matches the natural frequency of an inertial mode. Ultimately this is what led Rekier *et al.* (2019) to conclude that the magnitude of the contributions to dissipation of the topographic coupling component of the flow, and that from viscous coupling alone are likely to be similar in the limit of small *E*.

Our study focused on longitudinal libration forcing, but many of our results also apply to the case of latitudinal libration forcing. As computed by Lin et al. (2023) for a full sphere, the linear flow induced by viscous coupling from latitudinal libration of the outer boundary is exactly analogous to the flow driven by longitudinal libration with respect to dissipation. The dissipation associated with latitudinal libration should occur dominantly in the Ekman layer and scale with $E^{1/2}$. We expect a similar reduction of the dissipation rate near inertial mode resonances, although the inertial mode frequencies are different since the azimuthal symmetry order of the flow is different (m = 1). Without carrying out the actual calculation, we cannot, however, quantitatively predict the magnitude of the reductions in this case, and they may exceed 9%, particularly for the spin-over mode since it is excited to the largest amplitude of all modes (see Lin et al. 2023). Notably, the spinover mode is an example of the purely toroidal modes that survive the introduction of an inner core (see Rieutord & Valdettaro 1997), this fact may allow the matched asymptotic results derived here to be applied to this mode, and other such purely toroidal modes in the spherical shell. For instance, the boundary layer corrections associated with the toroidal modes in a spherical shell have been derived by Rieutord (2001). Since the spinover mode has the natural frequency $\lambda = 1$, the consequence of this reduced dissipation can be physically significant for synchronised bodies as it could potentially increase the time scale of obliquity damping. Note also that topographic coupling with the spin-over mode in an oblate spheroid (e.g. Zhang *et al.* 2011) could intensify dissipation as $E^{-1/2}$ leading to an amplification of the dissipation proportional to the polar flattening.

The numerical method presented in this paper can be readily extended to examine the viscous dissipation in the linear regime for general harmonic forcing including topographic coupling in the limit of small flattenings. Furthermore, dissipation in spherical shells can also be addressed using the present numerical method. Extending the asymptotic analysis to assess inertial mode excitations by weak topographic forcing in spheres will be the subject of future work. However, it is important to bear in mind that although the nonlinear term associated with the forced flow scales with the second power of the libration amplitude, it may still become non-negligible in the presence of attractors and focusing for very small values of E even while the forced flow remains stable at a weak forcing amplitude. Localised contributions to dissipation due to nonlinearities (e.g. Le Dizès 2020; Boury et al. 2021) associated with inertial wave attractors or focusing could come to dominate in the limit of small E, even for very small-amplitude libration forcing. The linear estimate of dissipation therefore may not remain valid, even for weak forcing, in the limit of small E especially in the spherical shell with attractors and focusing associated with the inner sphere. Nonetheless, more detailed theoretical understanding of the linear response of rotating fluid spheres and spherical shells will inform future studies of the perturbatively nonlinear, and eventually the fully nonlinear regimes by allowing deviations

from the linearised solutions in the simultaneous limit of ε , $E \rightarrow 0$ to be more easily detected and isolated.

Acknowledgements. Computational resources for the direct numerical simulations were provided by the Digital Research Alliance of Canada's Niagara cluster located at the University of Toronto. The comments and suggestions of four reviewers enriched this work.

Funding. I.M. was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada with an Undergraduate Student Research Award and a Canada Graduate Scholarship: Master's. This work is supported by NSERC Discovery Grant RGPIN-2018-05796.

Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement. The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in the Borealis research data repository at https://doi.org/10.5683/SP3/O8TBDT.

Appendix A.

Together, the leading-order interior region solution b_0 , ϕ_{b0} and the first-order correction b_1 , ϕ_{b1} satisfy the following equation:

$$i\lambda(\boldsymbol{b}_0 + E^{1/2}\boldsymbol{b}_1) + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times (\boldsymbol{b}_0 + E^{1/2}\boldsymbol{b}_1) + \nabla(\phi_0 + E^{1/2}\phi_1) = O(E).$$
(A1)

The inertial mode expansion of b_0 , ϕ_{b0} (2.37) is substituted into A1, which leads to

$$E^{1/2}(\mathbf{i}\lambda \boldsymbol{b}_1 + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times \boldsymbol{b}_1 + \nabla\phi_{b1}) + \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}(\mathbf{i}\lambda \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} + \nabla\phi_{\ell,k,m}) = O(E).$$
(A2)

Using the fact that the eigenfunctions $q_{\ell,k,m}$, $\phi_{\ell,k,m}$ each individually satisfy 2.24 with $\lambda = \lambda_{\ell,k,m}$, this becomes

$$E^{1/2}(\mathbf{i}\lambda \boldsymbol{b}_1 + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \times \boldsymbol{b}_1 + \nabla\phi_{b1}) + \sum_{\ell,k,m} \mathbf{i}(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m})\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m} = O(E).$$
(A3)

Projecting this equation on an arbitrary mode, $q_{\ell,k,m}$, and making use of the orthogonality (2.41) of the modes we get

$$\mathbf{i}(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m})\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m}\int_{V}|\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2} = -E^{1/2}\int_{V}(\mathbf{i}\lambda\boldsymbol{b}_{1} + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{1} + \nabla\phi_{b1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}.$$
 (A4)

The steps to reduce the volume integral on the right hand side to its form shown in 2.49 are now given

$$2(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} = -2(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} \times \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}) \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \qquad (A5)$$

and by 2.38a

$$-2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} \times \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} = -\mathrm{i}\lambda_{\ell,k,m}\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}, \tag{A6}$$

this implies that

$$\int_{V} (2\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{1} + \nabla\phi_{b1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} = \int_{V} \left[\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot (-i\lambda_{\ell,k,m} \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} + \nabla\phi_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}) + \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla\phi_{b1} \right].$$
(A7)

1007 A1-35

Substituting this result to A4, we obtain

$$i(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m}) \left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2} + E^{1/2} \int_{V} \boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \right]$$

$$= -E^{1/2} \int_{V} \left[\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \nabla \phi_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} + \nabla \phi_{b1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \right].$$
(A8)

Finally, since $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_1 = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} = 0$, it follows that

$$\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{b1} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{b1} \right).$$
(A9)

Then using the divergence theorem, the right hand side of A8 can be transformed to

$$\int_{V} \left[\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{b1} \right] = \int_{S} \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{b1} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{e}}_{r}, \qquad (A10)$$

and since $\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r = 0$, then

$$\int_{S} \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{b1} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{e}}_{r} = \int_{S} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} (\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{e}}_{r}).$$
(A11)

From this series of steps, A4 can now be written as

$$\mathbf{i}(\lambda - \lambda_{\ell,k,m}) \left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell,k,m} \int_{V} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}|^{2} + E^{1/2} \int_{V} \boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger} \right] = -E^{1/2} \int_{S} \phi_{\ell,k,m}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r}).$$
(A12)

REFERENCES

- ALDRIDGE, K.D. 1972 Axisymmetric inertial oscillations of a fluid in a rotating spherical shell. *Mathematika* **19** (2), 163–168.
- ALDRIDGE, K.D. & TOOMRE, A. 1969 Axisymmetric inertial oscillations of a fluid in a rotating spherical container. J. Fluid Mech. 37 (2), 307–323.
- BOURY, S., SIBGATULLIN, I., ERMANYUK, E., SHMAKOVA, N., ODIER, P., JOUBAUD, S., MAAS, L.R.M. & DAUXOIS, T. 2021 Vortex cluster arising from an axisymmetric inertial wave attractor. *J. Fluid Mech.* 926, A12.
- BRYAN, G.H. 1889 The waves on a rotating spheroid of finite ellipicity. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 45, 42–45.
- BUSSE, F.H. 2010 Mean zonal flows generated by librations of a rotating spherical cavity. J. Fluid Mech. 650, 505–512.
- CALKINS, M.A., NOIR, J., ELDREDGE, J.D. & AURNOU, J.M. 2010 Axisymmetric simulations of librationdriven fluid dynamics in a spherical shell geometry. *Phys. Fluids* 22 (8), 086602.
- CÉBRON, D., LAGUERRE, R., NOIR, J. & SCHAEFFER, N. 2019 Precessing spherical shells; flows, dissipation, dynamo and the lunar core. *Geophys. J. Intl* 219 (Supplement 1), S34–S57.
- CÉBRON, D., LE BARS, M., NOIR, J. & AURNOU, J.M. 2012 Libration driven elliptical instability. *Phys. Fluids* **24** (6), 061703.
- CÉBRON, D., VIDAL, J., SCHAEFFER, N., BORDERIES, A. & SAURET, A. 2021 Mean zonal flows induced by weak mechanical forcings in rotating spheroids. J. Fluid Mech. 916, A39.
- CHRISTENSEN, U.R., et al. et al. 2001 A numerical dynamo benchmark. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. **128** (1-4), 25–34.
- COMSTOCK, R.L. & BILLS, B.G. 2003 A solar system survey of forced librations in longitude. J. Geophys. Res. 108 (E9).
- EKMAN, W.W. 1905 On the Influence of the Earth's Rotation On Ocean-Currents. Archive for Mathematics, Astronomy, and Physics.
- FAVIER, B., GRANNAN, A.M., LE BARS, M. & AURNOU, J.M. 2015 Generation and maintenance of bulk turbulence by libration driven elliptical instability. *Phys. Fluids* 27 (6), 066601.
- GRANNAN, A.M., LEBARS, M., CÉBRON, D. & AURNOU, J.M. 2014 Experimental study of global-scale turbulence in a librating ellipsoid. *Phys. Fluids* 26 (12), 126601.

GREENSPAN, H.P. 1964 On the transient motion of a contained rotating fluid. J. Fluid Mech. 20 (4), 673-696.

GREENSPAN, H.P. 1968 the Theory of Rotating Fluids. Cambridge University Press.

- HE, J., FAVIER, B., RIEUTORD, M. & LE DIZÈS, S. 2022 Internal shear layers in librating spherical shells: the case of periodic characteristic paths. *J. Fluid Mech.* **939**, A3.
- HE, J., FAVIER, B., RIEUTORD, M. & LE DIZÈS, S. 2023 Internal shear layers in librating spherical shells: the case of attractors. J. Fluid Mech. 974, A3.
- IVERS, D J., JACKSON, A. & WINCH, D. 2015 Enumeration, orthogonality and completeness of the incompressible Coriolis modes in a sphere. J. Fluid Mech. 766, 468–498.
- KERSWELL, R.R. 1995 On the internal shear layers spawned by the critical regions in oscillatory Ekman boundary layers. J. Fluid Mech. 298, 311–325.
- KIDA, S. 2011 Steady flow in a rapidly rotating sphere with weak precession. J. Fluid Mech. 680, 150-193.
- KOCH, S., HARLANDER, U. & HOLLERBACH, R. 2013 Inertial waves in a spherical shell induced by librations of the inner sphere: experimental and numerical results. *Fluid Dyn. Res.* **45** (3), 035504.
- KUDLICK, M.D. 1966 On transient motions in a contained, rotating fluid. Phd thesis, Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, MA.
- LE BARS, M., CÉBRON, D. & LE GAL, P. 2015 Flows driven by libration, precession, and tides. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 47 (1), 163–193.
- LE BARS, M., LACAZE, L., LE DIZÉS, S., LE GAL, P. & RIEUTORD, M. 2010 Tidal instability in stellar and planetary binary systems. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **178** (1-2), 48–55.
- LE BARS, M., WIECZOREK, M.A., KARATEKIN, Ö., CÉBRON, D. & LANEUVILLE, M. 2011 An impactdriven dynamo for the early moon. *Nature* 479 (7372), 215–218.
- LE DIZÈS, S. 2015 Wave field and zonal flow of a librating disk. J. Fluid Mech. 782, 178-208.
- LE DIZÈS, S. 2020 Reflection of oscillating internal shear layers: nonlinear corrections. J. Fluid Mech. 899, A21.
- LE DIZÈS, S. & LE BARS, M. 2017 Internal shear layers from librating objects. J. Fluid Mech. 826, 653-675.
- LE REUN, T., FAVIER, B. & LE BARS, M. 2019 Experimental study of the nonlinear saturation of the elliptical instability: inertial wave turbulence versus geostrophic turbulence. J. Fluid Mech. 879, 296–326.
- LEMASQUERIER, D., GRANNAN, A.M., VIDAL, J., CÉBRON, D., FAVIER, B., LE BARS, M. & AURNOU, J.M. 2017 Libration-driven flows in ellipsoidal shells. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 122 (9), 1926–1950.
- LIN, Y., HOLLERBACH, R., NOIR, J. & VANTIEGHEM, S. 2023 Resonant and non-resonant flows in longitudinally and latitudinally librating spheres. *Phys. Fluids* 35 (7), 076612.
- LIN, Y. & NOIR, J. 2021 Libration-driven inertial waves and mean zonal flows in spherical shells. *Geophy.* Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 115 (3), 258–279.
- LIN, Y. & OGILVIE, G.I. 2021 Resonant tidal responses in rotating fluid bodies: global modes hidden beneath localised wave beams. Astrophys. J. Lett. 918 (1), L21.
- MAAS, L.R.M. & LAM, F.-P.A. 1995 Geometric focusing of internal waves. J. Fluid Mech. 300, 1-41.
- MURRAY, C.D. & DERMOTT, S.F. 1999 Solar System Dynamics. Cambridge University Press.
- NOIR, J., CÉBRON, D., LE BARS, M., SAURET, A. & AURNOU, J.M. 2012 Experimental study of librationdriven zonal flows in non-axisymmetric containers. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 204–205, 1–10.
- NOIR, J., HEMMERLIN, F., WICHT, J., BACA, S.M. & AURNOU, J.M. 2009 An experimental and numerical study of librationally driven flow in planetary cores and subsurface oceans. *Phys. Earth. Planet. Inter* 173 (1-2), 141–152.
- OGILVIE, G.I. 2005 Wave attractors and the asymptotic dissipation rate of tidal disturbances. *J. Fluid Mech.* **543** (1), 19–44.
- OGILVIE, G.I. 2009 Tidal dissipation in rotating fluid bodies: a simplified model. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **396** (2), 794–806.
- OUAZZANI, R.-M., LIGNIÈRES, F., DUPRET, M.-A., SALMON, S.J.A.J., BALLOT, J., CHRISTOPHE, S. & TAKATA, M. 2020 First evidence of inertial modes in γ doradus stars: the core rotation revealed. *Astron. Astrophys.* **640**, A49.
- PHILLIPS, O.M. 1963 Energy transfer in rotating fluids by reflection of inertial waves. *Phys. Fluids* 6 (4), 513–520.
- PROUDMAN, I. 1956 The almost-rigid rotation of viscous fluid between concentric spheres. J. Fluid Mech. 1 (5), 505–516.
- REKIER, J., TRINH, A., TRIANA, S.A. & DEHANT, V. 2019 Internal energy dissipation in enceladus's subsurface ocean from tides and libration and the role of inertial waves. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 124 (8), 2198–2212.
- RIEUTORD, M. 1987 Linear theory of rotating fluids using spherical harmonics part I: Steady flows. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **39** (3), 163–182.
- RIEUTORD, M. 1991 Linear theory of rotating fluids using spherical harmonics part II, time-periodic flows. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* 59 (1–4), 185–208.

- RIEUTORD, M. 2001 Ekman layers and the damping of inertial r-modes in a spherical shell: application to neutron stars. *Astrophys. J.* 550 (1), 443–447.
- RIEUTORD, M., GEORGEOT, B. & VALDETTARO, L. 2000 Wave attractors in rotating fluids: a paradigm for ill-posed cauchy problems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85 (20), 4277–4280.
- RIEUTORD, M., GEORGEOT, B. & VALDETTARO, L. 2001 Inertial waves in a rotating spherical shell: attractors and asymptotic spectrum. J. Fluid Mech. 435, 103–144.
- RIEUTORD, M. & VALDETTARO, L. 1997 Inertial waves in a rotating spherical shell. J. Fluid Mech. 341, 77–99.
- RIEUTORD, M. & VALDETTARO, L. 2010 Viscous dissipation by tidally forced inertial modes in a rotating spherical shell. J. Fluid Mech. 643, 363–394.
- RIEUTORD, M. & VALDETTARO, L. 2018 Axisymmetric inertial modes in a spherical shell at low Ekman numbers. J. Fluid Mech. 844, 597–634.
- RIEUTORD, M., VALDETTARO, L. & GEORGEOT, B. 2002 Analysis of singular inertial modes in a spherical shell: the slender toroidal shell model. J. Fluid Mech. 463, 345–360.
- ROBERTS, P.H. & STEWARDSON, K. 1963 On the stability of a maclaurin spheroid of small viscosity. *Astrophys. J.* 137, 777–790.
- ROVIRA-NAVARRO, M., RIEUTORD, M., GERKEMA, T., MAAS, L.R.M., VAN DER WAL, W. & VERMEERSEN, B. 2019 Do tidally-generated inertial waves heat the subsurface oceans of Europa and Enceladus? *Icarus* 321, 126–140.
- SAURET, A., CÉBRON, D., MORIZE, C. & LE BARS, M. 2010 Experimental and numerical study of mean zonal flows generated by librations of a rotating spherical cavity. J. Fluid Mech. 662, 260–268.
- SAURET, A. & LE DIZÈS, S. 2012 Libration-induced mean flow in a spherical shell. J. Fluid Mech. 718, 181–209.
- SCHAEFFER, N. 2013 Efficient spherical harmonic transforms aimed at pseudospectral numerical simulations. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 14 (3), 751–758.
- STEWARTSON, K. 1966 On almost rigid rotations. part 2. J. Fluid Mech. 26 (01), 131-144.
- TILGNER, A. 1999 Driven inertial oscillations in spherical shells. Phys. Rev. E 59 (2), 1789-1794.
- TILGNER, A. 2015 Rotational dynamics of the core. In *Treatise On Geophysics* (ed. P.L. Olson), vol. 8, chap. 7, pp. 183–212. Elsevier.
- VAN HOOLST, T., RAMBAUX, N., KRATEKIN, Ö. & BALAND, R.-M. 2009 The effect of gravitational and pressure torques on Titan's length-of-day variations. *Icarus* 200 (1), 256–264.
- VANTIEGHEM, S., CÉBRON, D. & NOIR, J. 2015 Latitudinal libration driven flows in triaxial ellipsoids. J. Fluid Mech. 771, 193–228.
- WICHT, J. 2002 Inner-core conductivity in numerical dynamo simulations. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 132 (4), 281–302.
- WU, C. & ROBERTS, P.H. 2013 On a dynamo driven topographically by longitudinal libration. *Geophys. Astrosphys. Fluid Dyn.* 107 (1-2), 20–44.
- ZHANG, K., CHAN, K.H. & LIAO, X. 2011 On fluid motion in librating ellipsoids with moderate equatorial eccentricity. J. Fluid Mech. 673, 468–479.
- ZHANG, K., CHAN, K.H. & LIAO, X. 2012 Asymptotic theory of resonant flow in a spheroidal cavity driven by latitudinal libration. J. Fluid Mech. 692, 420–445.
- ZHANG, K., CHAN, K.H., LIAO, X. & AURNOU, J.M. 2013 The non-resonant response of a fluid in a rapidly rotating sphere undergoing longitudinal libration. J. Fluid Mech. 720, 212–235.
- ZHANG, K. & LIAO, X. 2017 Inertial modes in rotating spheres. In *Theory and Modeling of Rotating Fluids*, chap. 5, pp. 50–82. Cambridge University Press.
- ZHANG, K., LIAO, X. & EARNSHAW, P. 2004 On inertial waves and oscillations in a rapidly rotating spheroid. J. Fluid Mech. 504, 1–40.