

This is a “preproof” accepted article for Weed Science. This version may be subject to change in the production process, *and does not include access to supplementary material*.

DOI: 10.1017/wet.2025.4

Short Title: Cover crop weed control

Impact of Cover Crops on Furrow-Irrigated Rice and Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) Emergence

Tanner A. King¹, Jason K. Norsworthy², Thomas R. Butts³, L. Tom Barber⁴, Gerson L. Drescher⁵, Samuel B. Fernandes⁶, Tristen H. Avent⁷

¹Graduate Research Assistant (Orchid ID 0009-0002-6345-0634), Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville, AR, USA; ²Distinguished Professor and Elms Farming Chair of Weed Science, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville, AR, USA; ³Clinical Assistant Professor and Extension Specialist, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA; ⁴Professor and Extension Specialist; Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Lonoke, AR, USA; ⁵Assistant Professor of Soil Fertility, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville, AR, USA; ⁶Assistant Professor of Agricultural Statistics and Quantitative Genetics, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville, AR, USA; ⁷Graduate Research Assistant; Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville, AR, USA

Author for correspondence: Tanner A. King; Email: tak196@msstate.edu; tak004@uark.edu

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

Abstract

As mid-southern U.S. rice producers continue to adopt furrow-irrigated rice (FIR) production practices, supplementary management efforts will be vital in combating Palmer amaranth due to the extended germination period provided by the lack of a continual flood. Previous research has revealed the ability of cover crops to suppress Palmer amaranth emergence in corn, cotton, and soybean production systems; however, research on cover crop weed control efficacy in rice production is scarce. Therefore, trials were initiated in Arkansas in 2022 and 2023 to evaluate the effect of cover crops across five site years on rice emergence, groundcover, grain yield, and total Palmer amaranth emergence. The cover crops evaluated were cereal rye, winter wheat, Austrian winterpea, and hairy vetch. Cover crop biomass accumulation varied by site year, ranging from 430 to 3,440 kg ha⁻¹, with cereal rye generally being the most consistent producer of high-quantity biomass across site years. Rice growth and development were generally unaffected by cover crop establishment; however, all cover crops reduced rice emergence by up to 30% in one site year. Rice groundcover was reduced by 13% from cereal rye in one site year two weeks before heading but cover crops did not impact rough rice grain yield in any of the site years. Palmer amaranth emergence was reduced by 19 and 35% with cereal rye relative to the absence of a cover crop when rice was planted in April in Marianna and May in Fayetteville, respectively. In most trials, Palmer amaranth emergence was not reduced by a cereal cover crop. In most instances, legume cover crops resulted in less Palmer amaranth emergence than without a cover crop. Based on these results, legume cover crops appear to provide some suppression of Palmer amaranth emergence in FIR while having a minimal effect on rice establishment and yield.

Nomenclature: Palmer amaranth, *Amaranthus palmeri* (S.) Wats; Austrian winterpea, *Pisum sativum* L.; cereal rye, *Secale cereale* L.; corn, *Zea mays* L.; cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum* L.; hairy vetch, *Vicia villosa* Roth; rice, *Oryza sativa* L.; soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) Merr.; winter wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L.

Key words: biomass, cultural control, remote sensing, weed control

Introduction

In 2022, furrow-irrigated rice accounted for 18% of rice hectares in Arkansas (Hardke et al. 2022). The implementation of furrow irrigation involves drill-seeding rice on raised beds similar to methods utilized in corn, soybean, and cotton production in the midsouthern U.S. (Chlapecka et al. 2021; Norsworthy et al. 2011). Unlike flood-irrigated rice, which is typically flooded after it reaches the V5 growth stage, furrow-irrigated rice involves administering water through the furrows by polyethylene pipe and gravity from the higher end of the field (Counce et al. 2000; Bagavathiannan et al. 2011). Although producing furrow-irrigated rice can be advantageous over a flooded rice system, grain yields in a flooded rice system generally exceed those of furrow-irrigated rice (Vories et al. 2002). With effectively managed furrow-irrigated rice, growers can decrease labor and input costs depending on soil texture, topography, and other climatic barriers by using up to 23% less water relative to a delayed-flood system (Chlapecka et al. 2021; Massey et al. 2022).

The water management practices associated with different rice production systems can also influence the weed spectrum significantly (Kraehmer et al. 2016). In a delayed-flood system, terrestrial weed emergence typically occurs before flooding due to the anaerobic conditions acting as a weed suppression mechanism. Still, the intrinsic nature of furrow-irrigated rice enables weed emergence throughout most of the growing season due to a consistently wet environment (Bagavathiannan et al. 2011). In flooded rice, barnyardgrass [*Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv.], sedges (*Cyperus* spp.), and weedy rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) are among the most problematic weed species (Butts et al. 2022). However, furrow-irrigated rice creates a favorable environment for traditional upland crop weeds, like Palmer amaranth, to flourish throughout the growing season (Beesinger et al. 2022; Norsworthy et al. 2011).

Rice, like many other agronomic crops, can be a host for numerous broadleaf and grass species. In 2020, survey respondents indicated that Palmer amaranth was the 2nd and 5th most troublesome weed species in furrow- and flood-irrigated rice, respectively, with barnyardgrass holding the top position in both systems (Butts et al. 2022). The increased adoption of furrow irrigation enhances potential problems with Palmer amaranth due to the extended emergence period the system provides (Jha and Norsworthy 2009; Norsworthy et al. 2008). While information is scarce on the effect of Palmer amaranth on rice yields, the competitive nature of the weed has been reported in Midsouth cotton and soybean production as it ranks among the

most troublesome weeds in both crops (Klingaman and Oliver 1994; Van Wychen 2022). With increasing furrow-irrigated rice hectares and the innate combative character of Palmer amaranth, there is dire demand for methods to control the weed as it relates to rice production.

Regarding a weed control program, herbicides typically serve as the foundation due to the ease of application and general effectiveness on problematic weeds (Norsworthy et al. 2012; Priess et al. 2022). Unfortunately, Palmer amaranth has evolved resistance to many herbicide sites of action (SOAs) that are typically applied to rice, meaning a creative weed management program that includes multiple control methods is vital for successful weed control (Norsworthy et al. 2008; Norsworthy et al. 2016). Chemical, cultural, biological, and physical control practices are key factors in an integrated weed management program, which supports the suggested zero-tolerance threshold associated with Palmer amaranth management (Norsworthy et al. 2014; UC IPM 2020). Broadening weed control practices is important because repeated herbicide use poses the potential to become less effective due to herbicide-resistant Palmer amaranth (Norsworthy et al. 2012). Additionally, even under favorable conditions and timely applications, herbicides rarely provide complete weed control (Bagavathiannan and Norsworthy 2012). Weed control options in furrow-irrigated rice, outside the scope of herbicide chemistries, need to be identified to reduce the risk of herbicide resistance in troublesome weed species.

One way to diversify a weed management program is through the use of cover crops. Implementing cultural control methods, such as planting cover crops, helps to minimize reliance on herbicides and shift the focal point to reducing weed emergence from the soil seedbank (Shekhawat et al. 2020). Winter-annual cover crop usage was initially targeted for improving soil health and preventing surface runoff; however, potential weed control benefits from cover crops have been demonstrated in recent years (Krutz et al. 2009; Norsworthy et al. 2011; Price et al. 2012). In cotton and soybean production systems, cover crops can assist in reducing Palmer amaranth emergence (DeVore et al. 2012; Palhano et al. 2018).

In Arkansas, cereal rye and winter wheat reduce Palmer amaranth emergence by up to 83 and 78%, respectively, compared to treatments omitting the use of cover crops (Palhano et al. 2018). The chemical and physical characteristics of cover crop residues reduce weed seed germination (Liebl et al. 1992; Moore et al. 1994). Furthermore, some cereal rye lines lower Palmer amaranth germination and development through the innate ability to produce allelopathic chemicals, such as 2,4-dihydroxy-1,4(2H)-benzoxazine-3-1 and 2,3-benzoxazolinone, during

residue decomposition (Burgos and Talbert 2000; Webster et al. 2013). Legume cover crops, such as crimson clover (*Trifolium incarnatum* L.) and hairy vetch, can also reduce weed emergence through the production of allelopathic compounds (Fisk et al. 2001; White et al. 1989). While cover crop usage in rice production is novel, the cultural practice could prove beneficial in providing early-season suppression of Palmer amaranth, potentially eliminating preemergence (PRE) herbicide applications and reducing input costs. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the best cover crop for suppression of Palmer amaranth while having the least effect on rice.

Materials and Methods

Influence of Cover Crops on Palmer amaranth Suppression and Rice Development

Field experiments were initiated at the Lon Mann Cotton Research Station in Marianna, AR (34.72567° N, 90.73498° W), in 2022 and the Milo J. Shult Research and Extension Center in Fayetteville, AR (36.09344° N, 94.17449° W), in 2022 and 2023. One trial for a given experiment focused on cover crop biomass, rice stand establishment, rice groundcover, and rough rice grain yield assessments while being kept free of all weeds. An identical, adjacent trial with the same experimental setup focused on the suppression of Palmer amaranth emergence. In the fall, before each rice growing season, the ground was tilled and hipped into 96-cm spaced and 91-cm spaced beds in Marianna and Fayetteville, respectively. The soil at the Marianna location was a Convent silt loam (course-silty, mixed, superactive, nonacid, thermic Fluvaquentic Endoaquepts) soil consisting of 9% sand, 80% silt, 11% clay, and 1.8% organic matter with a pH of 6.5. In Fayetteville, the soil was a Leaf silt loam (fine, mixed, active, thermic Typic Albaquults) comprised of 18% sand, 69% silt, 13% clay, and 1.6% organic matter with a pH of 6.6. The experiments were conducted as a randomized complete block design with 5 monoculture cover crop treatments, each replicated four times.

In the fall, plots were drill-seeded with cover crops on a 19-cm spacing, which included cereal rye, wheat, Austrian winterpea, and hairy vetch. A control plot with no cover crop planted was included for comparison. Cereal rye, wheat, Austrian winterpea, and hairy vetch were sown at 67, 67, 50, and 17 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (Roberts 2021). At all rice plantings, a hybrid, long-grain rice cultivar ‘RT 7321FP’ (RiceTec Inc., Alvin, TX, 77512) was planted at 36 seeds m⁻¹ of row at a 1-cm depth with 19-cm between rows. In 2022, rice was planted in separate experiments on April 22 and May 3 at Fayetteville and an additional site at Marianna on April 27. In 2023,

rice was planted on April 15 and May 3 in separate experiments in Fayetteville. In total, there were five site years for this experiment (Table 1). Plot dimensions were 3.9 m wide (four beds) by 5.2 m long in Marianna and 3.7 m wide (four beds) by 5.2 m long in Fayetteville. A 0.9 m alley was placed between blocks. All herbicide applications, including over-sprays, were made using a CO₂-pressurized backpack sprayer calibrated to deliver 140 L ha⁻¹ at 276 kPa utilizing four AIXR 110015 (TeeJet Technologies, Glendale Heights, IL) nozzles at 4.8 km hr⁻¹. The soil for each trial was amended for fertility before planting based on the soil test values from the Marianna Soil Test Lab recommendations. Nitrogen, as urea (460 g N kg⁻¹), was applied at 135 kg N ha⁻¹ in three separate applications at two-week intervals beginning at the V5 stage of rice.

Two weeks before each rice planting and at planting, each trial received an application of glyphosate at 1,260 g ae ha⁻¹ for cover crop termination. Clomazone at 336 g ai ha⁻¹ was broadcast applied to all experiments on the day of rice planting for residual control of annual grasses. Aboveground cover crop biomass was collected from two 0.5 m² quadrats within the center two rows of each plot before planting rice. All harvested aboveground biomass was placed in an oven at 66 C for two weeks, dried to constant mass, and then weighed. Seven days after rice emergence (DAE), rice plants in two 1 m sections of row were counted in each plot. Singular images (RGB) of the experiment were captured at 40 m above the crop canopy by an unmanned aerial system [DJI Mavic 2 (DJI Technology Co., LTD, Nanshan, Shenzhen, China)] two weeks before heading and used to determine rice groundcover based on green pixel counts using Field Analyzer (Green Research Services, LLC., Fayetteville, AR).

An earlier greenhouse experiment confirmed that propanil and thiobencarb do not affect Palmer amaranth emergence (personal observations). Hence, propanil (STAM, UPL, King of Prussia, PA 19406) was applied approximately three times during the five weeks following rice emergence in the experiment where Palmer amaranth density was quantified. After rice planting, two 1 m² quadrats were established in each plot, allowing Palmer amaranth plants to be counted weekly and removed five weeks after rice emergence (WAE). The yield assessment portion of the experiment relied on applications of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (Ricestar® HT herbicide, Gowan Co., Yuma, AZ 85364) and florypyrauxifen-benzyl (Loyant® herbicide, Corteva Agriscience™, Indianapolis, IN 46268), as well as hand-weeding, to keep the experimental area weed free throughout the growing season. After the rice reached maturity, the center two rows of each four-

row plot were harvested using a Kincaid 8-XP (Kincaid, Haven, KS 67543) plot combine with a header width of 1.8 m. The yields collected from each plot were adjusted to 12% moisture.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using JMP Pro v 17.0 (JMP) (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). Data were assessed for normality using Shapiro-Wilks tests and equal variance by testing the residuals in the distribution platform in JMP. Data that did not satisfy normal distribution and equal variance assumptions were analyzed using the GLIMMIX procedure in JMP. After the residuals failed to violate the Shapiro-Wilks and Levene's test, cover crop biomass, rice stand, ground coverage, and yield were analyzed using a Gaussian or normal distribution while Palmer amaranth count data assumed a Poisson-distribution (Gbur et al. 2012). All data were subjected to an analysis of variance to evaluate the main effect of cover crop, and means were separated using Fisher's protected LSD at an alpha value of 0.05. Normally distributed data were analyzed within JMP Pro using the fit-model platform, and Palmer amaranth count data were analyzed using the generalized linear mixed model add-in with a Poisson distribution (Gbur et al. 2012). Site was analyzed separately due to differences in weed density between the experimental locations.

Results and Discussion

Cover Crop Biomass

Cover crop biomass differed by cover crop treatment in two of the five site years, and dry biomass ranged from 430 to 3440 kg ha⁻¹ across all studies (Table 2) which is similar to the range of biomass that Wiggins et al. (2017) observed with similar cover crop treatments. In 2022, cereal rye produced 2,680 kg ha⁻¹ of biomass, which was greater than Austrian winterpea and hairy vetch at 430 and 1,310 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, at Marianna. Similarly, in 2023, biomass accumulation for Austrian winterpea (1080 kg ha⁻¹) and hairy vetch (1,070 kg ha⁻¹) was less than that of cereal rye (1,560 kg ha⁻¹) at Fayetteville for April planted rice. Previous literature has shown that cereal cover crops, including cereal rye and winter wheat, produce greater aboveground biomass relative to legume cover crops, such as hairy vetch, Austrian winterpea, and crimson clover (Daniel et al. 2019; Shultz et al. 2013).

Cereal rye produced more biomass than any other cover crop at Marianna in 2022, and in all other site years, no cover crop produced more biomass than cereal rye (Table 2), creating a favorable environment for suppression of Palmer amaranth (Norsworthy et al. 2011). These results are similar to findings from Wiggins et al. (2017), who also determined that cereal rye

provided the greatest quantity of biomass. However, the extreme variability associated with cover crop biomass accumulation has been documented over several years and soil textures, indicating that the weed control efficacy is potentially less consistent in the absence of herbicides (Norsworthy et al. 2011; Palhano et al. 2018). In three out of the five trials conducted, there were no differences observed in biomass production among cover crops. In general, cover crop biomass was highly variable among trials, which could be attributed to the timing of cover crop planting, differences in heat unit accumulation in the spring, and cumulative precipitation (Grint et al. 2021; Mirsky et al. 2011; Wilson et al. 2013). As a result, cover crop biomass production and subsequent efficacy in this region should be further evaluated, considering the growth and development can be dependent upon location (Schomberg et al. 2006).

Rice Density

In four of the five site years for the experiment, the main effect of cover crop did not influence rice establishment seven days after emergence relative to the no cover crop treatment (P-value > 0.05) (Table 2), indicating that rice emergence is generally uninterrupted by the evaluated cover crops. Although, in one trial, rice stand was reduced by 25, 22, 30, and 22% relative to the no cover crop treatment with cereal rye, wheat, Austrian winterpea, and hairy vetch, respectively. Previous literature has documented that high biomass cover crops have the potential to impact planting negatively, subsequently affecting crop uniformity and crop development (Kornecki et al. 2019; Schulz et al. 2013). In general, the evaluated cover crops had minimal effect on rice emergence and establishment.

Relative Rice Groundcover

The main effect of cover crop did not influence rice groundcover in four of five trials for the experiment, with rice groundcover ranging from 93 to 102% at those sites relative to the no cover crop treatment (P- value > 0.05) (Table 2). However, rice groundcover was reduced following cereal rye by up to 13% relative to the no cover crop treatment in Fayetteville, 2023 at the April rice planting. Wheat, with similar biomass production, did not reduce rice groundcover within the trial, suggesting other factors could have influenced the lack of soil coverage observed in the cereal rye treatments. The ability of cereal rye to release allelochemicals, such as benzoxazinones, is known to reduce crop growth and development; therefore, rice groundcover could be influenced by the production of these phytotoxic compounds (Martinez-Feria et al. 2016). Additionally, controlled experiments in a lab determined that allelochemicals were more

harmful to small-seeded plant species (Liebman and Sunberg 2006). Cereal rye can also efficiently sequester nutrients within the soil, potentially affecting crop maturity through increased competition for soil minerals (Krueger et al. 2011). However, in most instances, rice development and canopy closure were unaffected by cover crop biomass production.

Relative Rice Grain Yield

The biomass produced by cover crops did not influence rough rice grain yield across all trials for the experiment (P-value > 0.05) (Table 2). One of the benefits of utilizing a legume cover crop is the ability to fixate atmospheric nitrogen during plant decomposition which then becomes available to the crop (Reddy 2001). Currently, there is no peer-reviewed published data on the influence of cover crops on furrow-irrigated rice yields; however, a preliminary study produced by Henry and Clark (2022) showed no statistical yield differences with the use of a cover crop blend consisting of annual rye (*Lolium perenne* L.), cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.), crimson clover, and Daikon radish (*Raphanus sativus* var.) compared to a no cover crop treatment in a furrow-irrigated rice system. In all cases, rice maturity was not disrupted by the established cover crops.

Palmer amaranth Density

Across all trials of the experiment, Palmer amaranth emergence varied by cover crop treatment (Table 2). On average, Palmer amaranth emergence was greater in trials conducted in 2022 (197 m⁻²) than in 2023 (32 m⁻²). In 2022, at Marianna, cereal rye and Austrian winterpea were the only cover crops to significantly reduce Palmer amaranth emergence, minimizing total emergence by 19 and 31% compared to the nontreated control, respectively. Oppositely, Palmer amaranth densities were comparable or greater among each cover crop treatment compared to the no cover crop treatment, with total weed emergence being greatest in both legume cover crop treatments at Fayetteville in 2022. Wiggins et al. (2016) also found that Palmer amaranth densities were comparable in each of the monoculture cover crops evaluated, which included cereal rye, crimson clover, hairy vetch, and winter wheat. Additionally, Norsworthy et al. (2010) reported that hairy vetch and Austrian winterpea provided minimal benefit in suppressing Palmer amaranth in Midsouth cotton production.

In 2023, at the April rice planting, none of the evaluated cover crops reduced Palmer amaranth emergence compared to the no cover crop treatment. However, it is important to note that weed pressure in this location was extremely low compared to other sites, considering the

nontreated control totaled 2.5 plants m², on average, by the end of the evaluation period. Within the same year, at the May rice planting, a 35, 82, and 41% reduction in Palmer amaranth emergence was provided by cereal rye, Austrian winterpea, and hairy vetch, respectively. Considering soil disturbance can influence weed germination and emergence (Chauhan et al. 2006), Palmer amaranth emergence in furrow-irrigated rice will likely be enhanced due to increased soil and cover crop residue disturbance from the narrow and more frequent row spacing compared to corn, cotton, and soybean production.

Palmer amaranth densities were generally higher for cereal cover crops than legume cover crops. Legume cover crops innately possess lower carbon-to-nitrogen (C:N) ratios compared to cereal cover crops, allowing for less persistence on the soil surface; hence, Palmer amaranth suppression could be influenced due to the increased decomposition rate of cover crop residues (Berg and McClaugherty 2003; Clark 2012; Pittman et al. 2020; Touchton et al. 1984). Likewise, research has revealed that some *Amaranthus* species are extremely responsive to soil inorganic N, consequently increasing the competitive ability of the weed with the crop (Blackshaw et al. 2003; Blackshaw and Brandt 2008). Based on the Palmer amaranth data collected, cereal cover crops provide little to no benefit from a weed control standpoint in furrow-irrigated rice.

Practical Implications

Cover crops have proven to be an effective weed control tactic when targeting problematic weeds, including Palmer amaranth, in many cropping systems across the U.S. (Brennan and Smith 2005; Burgos and Talbert 2000; Collins et al. 2007; Fisk et al. 2001; Palhano et al. 2018; Reddy 2001). Currently, there is only one research series publication that evaluates the effect of cover crops in a furrow-irrigated rice system, which constitutes approximately 18% of Arkansas rice hectares (Hardke et al. 2022; Henry and Clark 2022). Although results differed among trials, the experiments conducted in 2022 and 2023 show some potential for cover crops to be used in furrow-irrigated rice to manage Palmer amaranth.

In most cases but not all, the evaluated cover crops did not reduce rice emergence, groundcover, or grain yield. However, high biomass production from cover crops can impact crop emergence, as seen here (Table 2) and in research conducted by Schultz et al. (2013). Cereal rye appears to exhibit some ability to reduce Palmer amaranth emergence through increased biomass production, suppressing the weed by 19 to 35% in three of the five trials conducted.

Additionally, legume cover crops generally decreased total Palmer amaranth emergence, with weed emergence being lowest for legume cover crops in three of the five site years for the experiment.

Cover crop biomass accumulation and Palmer amaranth suppression from cover crops varied by site year, suggesting that more research is needed in the rice-growing regions to ensure greater confidence before adopting as a stand-alone weed control method in furrow-irrigated rice production. Additionally, bed width is a key component in both weed and crop development due to the potential impact on irrigation and soil moisture content (Reed et al. 2024); hence, future cover crop research may also include determining the optimal bed width for increased Palmer amaranth suppression. Furthermore, some cover crop studies found added weed control when combining PRE and postemergence (POST) herbicides with cover crops (Reddy 2001; Reeves et al. 2005; Wiggins et al. 2015). Thus, it may be advantageous to replicate these experiments in conjunction with a standard rice herbicide program to determine if the addition of herbicides would result in enhanced Palmer amaranth suppression compared to the observations here.

Funding

Partial support for this research provided by the Arkansas Rice Research and Promotion Board is greatly appreciated.

Competing Interests

The author(s) declare none.

References

- Andrews M, Raven JA, Lea PJ (2013) Do plants need nitrate? The mechanisms by which nitrogen form affects plants. *Ann Appl Biol* 163:174-199
- Barber LT, Bateman N, Butts T, Hamilton M, Henry C, Lorenz G, Mazzanti R, Norsworthy J, Roberts T, Wamishe Y, Watkins B (2021) Pages 33-36 in *Arkansas Furrow-Irrigated Rice Handbook*. University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Research and Extension.
- Bagavathiannan MV, Norsworthy JK, Scott RC (2011) Comparison of weed management program for furrow-irrigated and flooded hybrid rice production in Arkansas. *Weed Technol* 25:556-562
- Bagavathiannan MV, Norsworthy JK (2012) Late-season seed production in arable weed communities: management implications. *Weed Sci* 60:325-334
- Beesinger JW, Norsworthy JK, Butts TR, Roberts TL (2022) Palmer amaranth control in furrow-irrigated rice with florasulfuron-benzyl. *Weed Technol* 36:490-496
- Berg B, McClaugherty C (2003) *Plant Litter: Decomposition, Humus Formation, Carbon Sequestration*. New York: Springer. Pp 53-83
- Blackshaw RE, Brandt RN (2008) Nitrogen fertilizer rate effects on weed competitiveness is species dependent. *Weed Sci* 56:743-747
- Blackshaw RE, Brandt RN, Janzen HH, Entz T, Grant CA, Derksen DA (2003) Differential response of weed species to added nitrogen. *Weed Sci* 51:532-539
- Brennen EB, Smith RF (2005) Winter cover crop growth and weed suppression on the central coast of California. *Weed Technol* 19:1017-1024
- Burgos NR, Talbert RE (2000) Differential activity of allelochemicals from *Secale cereale* in seedling bioassays. *Weed Sci* 48:302-310
- Butts TR, Kouame KB-J, Norsworthy JK, Barber LT (2022) Arkansas Rice: herbicide resistance concerns, production practices, and weed management costs. *Front Agron* 4:881667

- Chlapecka JL, Hardke JT, Roberts TL, Mann MG, Ablao A (2021) Scheduling rice irrigation using soil moisture thresholds for furrow irrigation and intermittent flooding. *Agron J* 113:1258-1270
- Chauhan BS, Gill G, Preston C (2006) Seedling recruitment pattern and depth of recruitment of 10 weed species in minimum tillage and no-till seeding systems. *Weed Sci* 54:658-668
- Clark A, ed (2012) *Managing cover crops profitably*. 3rd ed. College Park, MD: SARE Outreach. 245 p
- Collins AS, Chase CA, Stall WM, Hutchinson CM (2007) Competitiveness of three leguminous cover crops with yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus*) and smooth pigweed (*Amaranthus hybridus*) *Weed Sci* 55:613-618
- Counce PA, Keisling TC, Mitchell AJ (2000) A uniform, objective, and adaptive system for expressing rice development. *Crop Sci* 40:436-443
- Daniel JB, Abaye AO, Alley MM, Adcock CW, Maitland JC (1999) Winter annual cover crops in a Virginia no-till cotton production system: II. Cover crop and tillage effects on soil moisture, cotton yield, and cotton quality. *J Cotton Sci* 3:84-91
- DeVore JD, Norsworthy JK, Brye KR (2012) Influence of deep tillage and a rye cover crop on glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) emergence in cotton. *Weed Technol* 26:832-838
- Fisk JW, Hesterman OB, Shrestha A, Kells JJ, Harwood RR, Squire JM, Shaeffer CC (2001) Weed suppression by annual legume cover crops in no-tillage corn. *Agron J* 93:319-325
- Gaudin ACM, Westra S, Loucks CES, Janovicek K, Martin RC, Deen W (2013) Improving resilience of northern field crop systems using inter-seeded red clover: a review. *Agron J* 3:148-180
- Gaudin ACM, Janovicek K, Deen B, Hooker DC (2014) Wheat improves nitrogen use efficiency of maize and soybean-based cropping systems. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 210:1-10
- Gbur EE, Stroup WW, McCarter KS, Durham S, Young LJ, Christman M, West M, Kramer M (2012) Analysis of generalized linear mixed models in the agricultural and natural

resources sciences. Madison, WI, USA: American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America

Grint KR, Arneson NJ, Oliveira MC, Smith DH, Werle R (2022) Cereal rye cover crop terminated at crop planting reduces early-season weed density and biomass in Wisconsin corn-soybean production. *Agrosyst Geosci Environ* 5:e20245
<https://doi.org/10.1002/agg2.20245>

Hardke JT (2022) Trends in Arkansas rice production, 2022. B.R. Wells Arkansas Rice Research Studies 2022. University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service

Hartwig NL, Hoffman LD (1975) Suppression of perennial legume and grass cover crops for no-tillage corn. *Proc Northeast Weed Sci Soc* 29:82-88

Henry CG, Clark T (2023) Effect of cover crop on yield in furrow irrigated rice. Pages 213-215 in B.R. Wells Arkansas Rice Research Series 2022. University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service

Klingaman TE, Oliver LR (1994) Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) interference in soybeans (*Glycine max*). *Weed Sci* 42:523-527

Kornecki TS, Price AJ, Raper RL, Arriaga FJ (2009) New roller crimper concepts for mechanical termination of cover crops in conservation agriculture. *Renew Agr Food Syst* 24:165-173

Kraehmer H, Jabran K, Mennan H, Chauhan BS (2016) Global distribution of rice weeds – a review. *Crop Prot* 80:73-86

Krueger ES, Ochsner TE, Porter PM, Baker JM (2011) Winter rye cover crop management influences on soil water, soil nitrate, and corn development. *Agron J* 103:316-323

Krutz JL, Locke MA, Steinriede RW (2009) Interactions of tillage and cover crop on water, sediment, and pre-emergence herbicide loss in glyphosate-resistant cotton: implications for the control of glyphosate-resistant weed biotypes. *J Environ Qual* 38:487-499

Liebl R, Simmons FW, Wax LM, Stoller EW (1992) Effect of rye (*Secale cereale* L.) mulch on weed control and soil moisture in soybean (*Glycine max*). *Weed Technol* 6:838-846

- Liebman M, Sunberg DN (2006) Seed mass affects the susceptibility of weed and crop species to phytotoxin extracted from red clover shoots. *Weed Sci* 54:340-345
- Massey JH, Reba ML, Adivento-Borbe MA, Chiu YL, Payne GK (2022) Direct comparisons of four irrigation systems on a commercial rice farm: irrigation water use efficiencies and water dynamics. *Agric Water Manag* 266:107606
- Martinez-Feria RA, Dietzel R, Liebman M, Helmers MJ, Archontoulis SV (2016) Rye cover crop effects on maize: a system-level analysis. *Field Crops Res* 196:145-159
- Mirsky SB, Curran WS, Mortensen DM, Ryan MR, Shumway DL (2011) Timing of cover-crop management effects on weed suppression in no-till planted soybean using a roller-crimper. *Weed Sci* 59:380-389
- Moore MJ, Gillespie TJ, Swanton CJ (1994) Effect of cover crop mulches on weed emergence, weed biomass, and soybean (*Glycine max*) development. *Weed Technol* 8:512-518
- Norsworthy JK, Griffith GM, Scott RC (2008) Imazethapyr use with and without clomazone for weed control in furrow-irrigated, imidazolinone-tolerant rice. *Weed Technol* 22:217-221
- Norsworthy JK, McClelland M, Giffith G, Bangarwa SK, Still J (2010) Evaluation of legume cover crops and weed control program in conservation-tillage, enhanced glyphosate-resistant cotton. *Weed Technol* 24:269-274
- Norsworthy JK, Scott RC, Bangarwa SK, Griffith GM, Wilson MJ, McClelland M (2011) Weed management in a furrow-irrigated imidazolinone-resistant hybrid rice production system. *Weed Technol* 25:25-29
- Norsworthy JK, McClelland, Griffith G, Bangarwa SV, Still J (2011) Evaluation of cereal and Brassicaceae cover crops in conservation-tillage, enhances, glyphosate-resistant cotton. *Weed Technol* 25:6-13
- Norsworthy JK, Ward SM, Shaw DR, Llewellyn RS, Nichols RL, Webster TM, Bradley KW, Frisvold G, Powles SB, Burgos NR, Witt WW, Barret M (2012) Reducing the risks of herbicide resistance: best management practices and recommendations. *Weed Sci* 60 (SPI):31-62

- Norsworthy JK, Griffith GM, Griffin T, Bagavathiannan M, Gbur EE (2014) In-field movement of glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) and its impact on cotton lint yield: evidence supporting a zero-threshold strategy. *Weed Sci* 62:237-249
- Norsworthy JK, Korres NE, Walsh MJ, Powles SB (2016) Integrating herbicide programs with harvest weed seed control and other fall management practices for the control of glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*). *Weed Sci* 64:540-550
- Palhano MG, Norsworthy JK, Barber LT (2018) Cover crops suppression of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in cotton. *Weed Technol* 32:60-65
- Pittman KB, Barney JN, Flessner ML (2020) Cover crop residue components and their effect on summer annual weed suppression in corn and soybean. *Weed Sci* 68:301-310
- Price AJ, Balkcom KS, Duzy LM, Kelton JA (2012) Herbicide and cover crop residue integration for *Amaranthus* control in conservation agriculture cotton and implication for resistance management. *Weed Technol* 26:490-498
- Priess GL, Norsworthy JK, Godara N, Mauromoustakos A, Butts TR, Roberts TL, Barber T (2022) Confirmation of glufosinate-resistant Palmer amaranth and response to other herbicides. *Weed Technol* 36:368-372
- Reddy KN (2001) Effect of cereal and legume cover crop residues on weed, yield, and net return in soybean (*Glycine max*). *Weed Technol* 15:660-668
- Reed NH, Butts TR, Norsworthy JK, Hardke JT, Barber LT, Bateman NR, Poncet AM, Kouame KBJ (2024) Effects of bed width and crop row spacing on barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) emergence and seed production in furrow-irrigated rice. *Weed Sci* DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/wsc.2024.29>
- Reeves DW, Price AJ, Patterson MG (2005) Evaluation of three winter cereals for weed control in conservation-tillage nontransgenic cotton. *Weed Technol* 19:731-736
- Roberts, T (2021) Recommended seeding rates and establishment practices for winter cover crops in Arkansas. Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture. MP568

- Roberts T, Ortel C, Hoegenauer K, Wright H, Durre T (2018) Understanding cover crops. Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture. 8 p
- Schulz M, Marocco A, Tabaglio V, Macias FA, Molinillo JMG (2013) Benzoxazinoids in rye allelopathy – from discovery to application in sustainable weed control and organic farming. *J Chem Ecol* 39:154-174
- Shekhawat K, Rathore SS, Chauhan BS (2020) Weed management in dry direct-seeded rice: a review on challenges and opportunities for sustainable rice production. *Agron J* 10:1264
- Schomberg HH, McDaniel RG, Mallard E, Endale DM, Fisher DS, Cabrera ML (2006) Conservation tillage and cover crop influence on cotton production on a southeastern U.S. coastal plain soil. *Agron J* 98:1247-1256
- Touchton JT, Rickerl DH, Walker RH, Snipes CE (1984) Winter legumes as a nitrogen source for no-tillage cotton. *Soil Till Res* 4:391-401
- UC IPM (2020) What is integrated pest management (IPM)?/ UC Statewide IPM Program (UC IPM). <https://www2.ipm.ucanr.edu/What-is-IPM/>. Accessed on November 13, 2023
- Van Wychen L (2022) 2022 Survey of the most common and troublesome weeds in broadleaf crops, fruits and vegetables in the United States and Canada. Weed Science Society of America National Weed Survey Dataset. Accessed on: August 19, 2023. Available: http://wssa.net/wp-content/uploads/2022_weed_survey_broadleaf_crops.xlsx
- Vories ED, Counce PA, Keisling TC (2002) Comparison of flooded and furrow-irrigated rice on clay. *Irrigation Sci* 21:139 – 144
- Webster TM, Scully BT, Culpepper AS (2013) Winter cover crops influence *Amaranthus palmeri* establishment. *Crop Prot* 52:130-135
- White RH, Worsham AD, Blum U (1989) Allelopathic potential of legume debris and aqueous extracts. *Weed Sci* 37:674-679
- Wiggins MS, Hayes RM, Nichols RL, Steckel LE (2017) Cover crop and postemergence herbicide integration for Palmer amaranth control in cotton. *Weed Technol* 31: 348-355

Wiggins MS, Hayes RM, Steckel LE (2016) Evaluating cover crops and herbicides for glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) control in cotton. *Weed Technol* 30:415-422

Wiggins MS, McClure MA, Hayes RM, Steckel LE (2015) Integrating cover crops and post herbicides for glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) control in corn. *Weed Technol* 29:412-418

Wilson ML, Baker JM, Allan DL (2013) Factors affecting successful establishment of aerially seeded winter rye. *Agron J* 105:1868-1877

Table 1. List of dates for cover crop planting and termination and rice planting for each site year.^a

Year	Location	Planting (CC ^b)	Termination (CC)	Planting (rice)
2022	Fayetteville	Oct. 14, 2021	Mar. 28	Apr. 22
2022	Fayetteville	Oct. 14, 2021	Apr. 26	May 13
2022	Marianna	Oct. 20, 2021	Apr. 4	Apr. 27
2023	Fayetteville	Nov. 3, 2022	Apr. 3	Apr. 15
2023	Fayetteville	Nov. 3, 2022	Apr. 14	May 3

^a Calendar year that the cover crop was terminated and rice was planted

^b Abbreviation: CC, cover crop

Table 2. Influence of cover crop within five site years on cover crop aboveground biomass, rice density, relative rice groundcover, relative grain yield, and Palmer amaranth density.^a

Cover crop	Marianna April 2022	Fayetteville April 2022	Fayetteville May 2022	Fayetteville April 2023	Fayetteville e May 2023
Biomass					
-----kg ha ⁻¹ -----					
Cereal rye	2,680 a	1,580	3,440	1,560 a	2,790
Wheat	1,160 b	1,480	2,040	1,430 ab	2,690
A ^b winterpea	430 b	1,420	2,890	1,080 b	1,770
Hairy vetch	1,310 b	780	2,980	1,070 b	1,570
P-value	0.0025	0.1546	0.1839	0.0332	0.0593
Rice density					
-----no. m ⁻¹ row-----					
None	23	36 a	23	19	21
Cereal rye	23	27 b	21	17	19
Wheat	23	28 b	19	20	19
A winterpea	20	25 b	19	16	25
Hairy vetch	22	28 b	15	19	25
P-value	0.6900	0.0296	0.3025	0.6440	0.1130
Relative groundcover					
-----% of no cover crop-----					

Cereal rye	102	98	93	87	b	96
Wheat	102	96	95	100	a	98
A winterpea	101	100	100	97	a	99
Hairy vetch	101	103	101	99	a	98
P-value	0.9587	0.4317	0.1008	0.02310		0.1090

Relative grain yield

-----% of no cover crop-----

Cereal rye	100	96	92	97		89
Wheat	103	103	95	95		97
A winterpea	97	117	99	118		93
Hairy vetch	93	114	102	114		95
P-value	0.6475	0.1217	0.5481	0.0613		0.7590

Total Palmer amaranth emerged

-----no. m⁻²-----

None	52	a	18	c	42	bc	2.5	ab	17	a
Cereal rye	42	b	27	b	34	c	2.2	a	11	bc
Wheat	52	a	19	c	38	c	1.6	a	15	ab
A winterpea	36	b	37	a	57	a	1.6	ab	3	d
Hairy vetch	55	a	30	ab	52	ab	1.0	b	10	c
P-value	0.0020		0.0002		0.0007		0.0311		0.0002	

^a Means within a column and assessment followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's protected LSD ($\alpha=0.05$)

^b Abbreviation: A, Austrian