

not operate as “either or” binaries but are rather in continuous dialectic in all regimes. To the extent constitutional theory attempts to deny this, or to ignore the dialectical forces that are in play in constitutional politics, constitutional theory obfuscates rather than illuminates constitutional practice. In the Age of Trump and with the spread of radical nationalist parties in Europe, no one should have any ethnocentric illusions of liberal triumphalism. It is high time, therefore, that the systematic study of constitutional politics in the Arab world be viewed as raising questions central to constitutional theory and not just a curiosity limited to a few specialists. This book makes a powerful case for why that is so.

* * *

Shari'ah On Trial: Northern Nigeria's Islamic Revolution. By Sarah Eltantawi, Oakland: University of California Press, 2017.

Reviewed by Mark Fathi Massoud, Department of Politics and Legal Studies Program, University of California, Santa Cruz

More than a decade before the Arab Spring, another revolution was taking shape: an Islamic legal revolution in West Africa. It began in 1999 with the introduction of shari'ah (roughly translated as Islamic law) by the governor of Nigeria's northwestern state of Zamfara.¹ By 2002, all 12 of northern Nigeria's Muslim-majority states implemented Islamic-based penal codes. A loosely organized movement also began to coalesce around the internationally reported criminal trial of Amina Lawal, a woman facing a stoning punishment for alleged sexual misconduct. An Islamic appeals court eventually acquitted Lawal, and the Islamic revolution ultimately failed. These events would later give rise to Boko Haram, an extremist paramilitary “of poor, uneducated boys” (32), and to a milieu in which “no social reward” exists for critical thinking (200). An ethnographically and historically informed account of this remarkable social and legal history is found in Sarah Eltantawi's *Shari'ah on Trial*.

¹ Authors writing in English transliterate the Arabic word, shari'ah, differently—commonly shari'a, shari'ah, shariah, or sharia—with apostrophes or diacritical marks to represent the Arabic 'ayn. To remain consistent with Eltantawi's book, this article uses shari'ah.

Why should sociolegal scholars care about law and religion in Nigeria? Nigeria is home to Africa's largest citizenry. The country's population of nearly 200 million is nearly double that of Africa's second most populated country, Ethiopia. Estimates suggest that Nigeria may have more than 100 million Muslims by 2035, which would make it the world's fifth largest Muslim population (98). The political history of northern Nigeria (Hausaland) reveals how citizens envisioning a brighter future must first confront precolonial, colonial, and postcolonial legacies of law and religion.

Eltantawi, Assistant Professor of comparative religion at The Evergreen State College, argues that studying revolutions that call for legal change involves detailing the long cultural and religious histories within which those revolutions take place. Why? Revolutionary politics are not new, particularly when they idealize "a utopian past...as a form of protest against a distressing present" (5). In northern Nigeria, citizens have called for shari'ah not to penalize or to harm, as media reports would suggest. Rather, citizens have sought to introduce the moral and ethical guidelines of shari'ah that promote social and economic justice, just as the Prophet Muhammad did when introducing Islam into Arabia. Northern Nigerians "desired shari'ah to mean justice" (21) in the face of daily poverty, disease, corruption, social disorder, and injustice. Eltantawi calls their hopes "idealized shari'ah," and she contrasts these ideals to "political shari'ah," a term her interviewees use to denote the failed or corrupted manifestations of shari'ah in Nigerian politics "that do not meet" the standards of social justice for the poor (7).

Eltantawi's findings are based on fieldwork in northern Nigeria in 2010, where she interviewed activists, academics, and lawyers, including Lawal's attorneys. Where applicable, her book also analyzes relevant Qur'anic text and classical Hadith (statements, actions, or tacit approvals of the Prophet Muhammad). The book begins with Nigeria's present reality in which the country's military is battling Boko Haram, a group that pledged allegiance to the "Islamic State" (ISIS). Chapter 1 discusses the differences between the idealized shari'ah that northern Nigerians have demanded and the political shari'ah that they have received, which, in their words, is "not really Islamic" (38). The five substantive chapters that follow (Chapters 2–6) take readers on an asynchronous journey across the history of Islamic law, particularly Islamic criminal law, and northern Nigeria's precolonial, colonial, and postcolonial legacies.

Islam arrived to northern Nigeria in the eighth century (42–44), and Chapter 2 discusses how the precolonial (19th century) Sokoto Caliphate crystallized northern Nigeria's "shari'ah

society” where punishments of stoning never materialized (8). The chapter serves as a reminder of the importance of, where feasible, studying precolonial legal legacies when investigating present-day legal institutions. Law grounded all activities of the Caliphate; legal texts were the “practical and moral reference for a social and political administration” (53).

Chapter 3 zooms out of the Nigerian context to offer a history of stoning, a punishment practice that predates Islam “by ... three thousand years” (71). Stoning entered Islam by way of a “fraught [and] contested ... anthropomorphic” process (68). The punishment is not found in the Qur’an, and it has been “always exceptional, always controversial,” and rarely executed in Muslim societies (80, 96, 68). Chapter 4 returns the reader to Nigeria by providing a legal history of the British colonial intervention (1903–1960). Though stoning was not used in the Caliphate that the British destroyed, the British asserted their new authority by outlawing the punishment as Islamic and, thus, “repugnant to natural justice,” though the colonial administration simultaneously permitted punishments like flogging, hanging, whipping, or “being chained by irons” (117–120).

Chapters 5 and 6 flash forward to the Amina Lawal adultery trial in the early 2000s (Chapter 5) and the international outcry against it (Chapter 6). Eltantawi’s ethnography of the Lawal trial in Chapter 5 exposes the complexity of how lawyers and judges simultaneously apply Islamic legal traditions (in this case, the Maliki School of Sunni Islamic Law) and Nigerian constitutional and criminal laws and procedures. Chapter 6 turns to the court of public opinion and how women’s movements and nongovernmental organizations capitalize on international opposition to the Lawal adultery trial and stoning.

Shari’ah on Trial is relevant to sociolegal scholars who care about contentious politics, particularly political or legal revolutions that claim religious grounds. Ultimately, the book shows how Islam cannot be separated from the cultural and historical context within which it is a part (3). In Nigeria, the injustices of colonial rule have led activists to reach back to the Prophet’s life, and Islam’s earliest days, and call for the implementation of Islamic law in government today. These activists, according to Eltantawi, nevertheless “flatten inconvenient complexity and contradiction [within Islamic law] in the singular quest to live within God’s law” (137).

Ending her book, Eltantawi proposes two avenues for the reform of Islamic law: more Western-style education and more Islamic progressivism. (These are not mutually exclusive recommendations.) The challenge of legal reform remains for northern Nigeria, as it does for other postcolonial Muslim-majority

societies. In these places, citizens use a “medieval jurisprudential” logic—and not constitutional law and its embedded colonial legacies—to fight for stability and moral order (177). The ideals of constitutionalism and religion are, however, layered with tradition and modernity, and both of these ideals may ultimately serve a “masculinist [and reductionist] account of” the state, law, and religion (16).

* * *

China and Islam: The Prophet, the Party, and the Law. By Matthew S. Erie. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.

Reviewed by Arzoo Osanloo, Department of Law, Societies, and Justice, University of Washington.

Among the many qualities and contributions of Matthew Erie’s rich monograph on Islam, law, and legal practice in China, one of the most significant is the breadth and depth of the ethnographic account. The book’s portrayal of an Islam that is dynamic, and yet not totalizing, is a difficult feat to render, one that requires sustained access, deep commitment, and enduring relationships.

China and Islam: The Prophet, the Party, and the Law shows the dynamism of Islam by providing a level of particularity about formal and informal institutions and mechanisms, along with myriad actors, animating and giving meaning to Islam and law in China. Through seven substantive chapters that examine history, rituals, morals, and lawfare, Erie offers nuanced contextualization of how Hui Chinese Muslims practice Islamic law. It should not go without saying that nuance, context, and detail are *de rigueur* in socio-legal studies of civil and common law societies, but have not been exactly standard fare in analyses where *shari’a* is a component of legal praxis. Ultimately, the work succeeds in providing a rich example of what we might call Islam in practice.

By crafting vivid narratives around a range of subjects, including the relationship and accommodations between the Hui Chinese Muslims and the Party-State, localized Islamic orthodoxy, marriage, dispute resolution, adoption of the *hijab*, and much more, Erie fashions an ethnographic account of the complexity of Islam. Both by presenting the details of what constitutes its practice and by highlighting the forces, including individuals, that animate and give it shape, Erie evokes Islam through a broader world of networks and