

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

TO THE EDITOR, *The Journal of Laryngology and Otology*.

DEAR SIR,

In response to the inquiry made by Dr. Tumarkin in your *Journal* of October, 1946, I wish to state that my view concerning the relatively shortened bone conduction may hardly be tenable.

The studies on which this view was based were carried out some 20 odd years ago, when the loss of hearing for air and bone conduction was not calculated in decibels, and thus I happened erroneously to reckon with too great a loss for the bone conduction.

This apparent discrepancy between air and bone conduction became the more striking as the examinations were not carried out in a sound-proof room.

Commune Hospital,
Copenhagen.—May 12th, 1947.

DIDA DEDERDING.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This issue completes the *Journal* for 1946. Owing to paper and other shortages it has been found to be impossible to catch up with the year 1947 and so bring the issues up to the current month. As it is most unsatisfactory and confusing, both from authors' and readers' points of view, to publish articles a year after they have been written, it has been decided to eliminate entirely the year 1947, so that the next issue will be dated January, 1948.

WALTER HOWARTH.