

remained postictally significant for 6 minutes and in the diastolic RR for 4 minute.

As there are no differences in the therapeutic efficacy, propofol is preferable due to the only minor postictal increase in blood pressure. Instead of the seizure duration, the postictal suppression index should be used as a parameter of efficacy of the treatment.

HOSPITALISATION OF DEPRESSED PATIENTS AND ANTIDEPRESSANTS SYNERGY IN CARE

F. Gheysen¹, P. Rioux¹, A. Segonzac¹, B. Schwenk².

¹ *Groupement de Recherche Pharmacologique, Boulevard Henri Bequere, 14052 Caen, France;* ² *SmithKline Beecham, Pharmaceutical Laboratories, 6, espl. Ch. de Gaulle, 92731 Nanterre, France*

ERASMA is an extensive survey which was conducted on more than 1800 depressed patients, who underwent hospital treatment between January and June 1993. It was a naturalistic survey which aimed to explore real performances of antidepressants prescribed within the context of everyday hospital use.

Design Protocol — Inclusion criteria:

- * man or woman > 18 years old
- * major depressive episode according to DSM-III-R
- * MADRS: Score \geq 25, major depression, of moderate to severe intensity

* patient whose condition requires hospitalisation for at least 12 days and oral antidepressant treatment

* antidepressant prescription details and any concomitant treatments are left to the discretion of the practitioner

Evaluation criteria from Day 0 to Day 60:

MADRS scale/COVI scale/CGI scale

Overall improvement/Clinical index of assessment/Adverse events

Patient self-assessment visual scale

Results: MADRS scale: percentage of patients with a 50% improvement in score: it can be seen that nearly half of them achieved this level on D12, 80% on D30 and 88% on D60. At the end point, 74% of patients had improved by 50%, which is consistent with the efficacy rates usually observed with antidepressants.

Apart from providing a substantial amount of information concerning current practice in the treatment of depression in hospitals, the survey supports many others so far carried out which have not demonstrate any differences in the time to onset of therapeutic effects, just at global efficacy level, between the various therapeutic classes of antidepressants currently on the market. However, a very clear synergy appears between drug treatment and hospital care, which is crucial for the depressed patient, since any delay in the provision of effective care can lead to an exacerbation or chronicity of the symptomatology, or even to suicide.

Conclusion: For any future survey of this type, it would be a good idea to attempt to make a better assessment of the importance of these non-pharmacological factors which most definitely lie in the relational dimension of care. It should therefore be possible to take into account, at least in part, the importance of relational and psychological factors, which are often, if not always, not taken into account in conventional therapeutic studies.

MANIA IN EUROPEAN MIGRANTS

J. Gledhill, J. Warner, A. Wakeling. *University Department of Psychiatry, The Royal Free Hospital School of Medicine, Rowland Hill Street, London NW3 2PF, UK*

The relationship between migration and mental illness has been recognized for many years although most interest has focused on the association of migration with schizophrenia especially in Afro-

Caribbean patients. Little has been written about the link between migration and mania.

We present details of four individuals who migrated within Europe and were admitted to the acute psychiatric unit of our hospital with a manic episode. These cases represent one fifth of all patients admitted with a manic episode during a six month period. Of these four cases, two were born in mainland Europe and two in the United Kingdom. All were living in a non-native European country prior to presentation and two had returned to their native country immediately prior to admission. These cases presented communication problems because of difficulties with the English language and linking up with professionals abroad. The obtaining of a collateral history was also problematic. In all four cases there were difficulties with follow up after discharge with one individual returning abroad directly from the ward. These four cases presenting over a relatively short period of time suggest that mania in European migrants may be a common problem. Whether mania is precipitated by the stress of travel or whether migration is a manifestation of manic illness is unclear.

Individuals who develop a manic illness following emigration from their native country face additional problems. These include language difficulties, diagnostic difficulties across cultures and difficulties adjusting to admission and ward routine away from their native culture. Rehabilitation may be delayed by the absence of family and friends to plan aftercare and facilitate leave. Aftercare may be difficult to establish and maintain in this group who are less likely to remain resident in one place.

Movement within one continent may be just as stressful as inter-continental travel. Mania in European migrants may be an increasing problem in the future with the expansion of the European Union, loss of border controls and travel between all European countries becoming easier. Under such circumstances the care of these patients should not stop at the national boundary.

PATIENTS ACCESS TO THEIR OWN RECORDS: A COMPARISON OF PATIENTS WITH SOMATISATION DISORDER (SD) AND GENERAL PSYCHIATRIC OUTPATIENTS

N. Goddard, M. Bernadt, S. Wessely. *Maudsley & Kings College Hospital, London SE5*

Introduction: Patients have had the right of access to their medical records since 1991. Some authors have shown beneficial effects of encouraging patients to read their records, but it is not known whether this applies to different groups of psychiatric patients. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of patients with SD reading their clinical summary.

Method: Patients meeting diagnostic criteria for SD were recruited from a psychiatric-medical liaison clinic with a specialist interest in SD. The comparison group were consecutive attenders at a general adult psychiatric outpatient clinic. All patients were sent a copy of their main clinical summary with a questionnaire designed to report: the reaction to reading the summary, if there had been any change in symptoms, change in their desire for further medical investigations and any greater concern over undiagnosed illness.

Results: Of 30 patients recruited to each group, 80% of each group gave favourable ratings for 8 of the 11 questionnaire items. Comparing the groups, significantly more of the SD patients rated the written summary unfavourably in respect of its emphasis (Odds Ratio [OR] 4.6; 95% CI 1.3 to 17.4) and their being more worried about an undiagnosed physical illness (OR 3.6; 95% CI 1.1 to 12.4). On the other hand, 26 (87%) of the 30 SD patients thought that the summary provided helpful information, the same number was reassured by seeing it and in the opinion of 28 (93%) it was a good idea to have read the summary. Logistic regression showed that age, sex and social class had no significant effect.