of arable farming in East of England, 269–271, 276 of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 133–135 of Bourbonnais farming system, 176–179 CAP effects on, 66–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 CAP revision to promote, 360–361 of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346, 369–370	adaptability of Altmark farming systems, 148–149	agricultural practices, environmental sustainability of, 88–90,
of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 133–135 of Bourbonnais farming system, 176–179 CAP effects on, 66–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 CAP revision to promote, 360–361 of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,	of arable farming in East of England,	100–105, 352–353
Bulgaria, 133–135 of Bourbonnais farming system, 176–179 CAP effects on, 66–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 CAP revision to promote, 360–361 of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,	269–271, 276	agricultural production
of Bourbonnais farming system, 176–179  CAP effects on, 66–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354  CAP revision to promote, 360–361  of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121  of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259  of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223  of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198  policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354  as resilience capacity, 5, 65  risk impact on, 18  risk management and, 26, 29–31  in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246  of Sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166  of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209  adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80  adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365  farm demographics impact of, 42–43  in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221  agility, as resilience attribute, 346,	of arable farming in Northeast	in Altmark farming systems,
176–179 CAP effects on, 66–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 CAP revision to promote, 360–361 of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of Sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,	Bulgaria, 133–135	150–152
82–85, 353–354 CAP revision to promote, 360–361 of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,		
CAP revision to promote, 360–361 of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,		
of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,		of Bourbonnais farming system, 127,
of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,		of dairy farming in Flanders,
256–259 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  75–80  254–255, 260 for food safety, 88–90 of fruit and vegetables in Poland, 222, 231 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 185–188, 199 resilience-enabling strategies for, 356–357 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237–238, 247 of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100	of egg and broiler farms in Sweden,	
Poland, 222–223 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  of fruit and vegetables in Poland, 222, 231 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 185–188, 199 resilience-enabling strategies for, 356–357 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237–238, 247 of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
of hazelnut production in Viterbo,     188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80,     82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca,     161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems,     204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66,     77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in     Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,      185–188, 199 resilience-enabling strategies for,     356–357 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237–238, 247 of sheep farming in Huesca,     156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems,     201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of,     88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100	of fruit and vegetable production in	for food safety, 88-90
188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,	Poland, 222–223	
policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354 resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346, 185–188, 199 resilience-enabling strategies for, 356–357 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237–238, 247 of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		•
82–85, 353–354 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience enabling strategies for, 356–357 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237–238, 247 of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100	*	
as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  356–357 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237–238, 247 of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237–238, 247 of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  farms, 237–238, 247 of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
farms, 240–241, 245–246 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  156–157, 167 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100	in Romanian small, mixed, family	
161–162, 166 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  201–205, 212 agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346, agricultural systems biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
204–209 adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80 adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  biodiversity input-based, 88–90 environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80  adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
77–80  adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  88–90, 100–105, 352–353 lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365 farm demographics impact of, 42–43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346,  lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353 agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
farm demographics impact of, 42–43 agri-environmental programmes in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346, agri-environmental programmes adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221 agility, as resilience attribute, 346, according adaptability effects of, 77–80 co-creation of, 336 failure of, 100		
Poland, 218–221 co-creation of, 336 agility, as resilience attribute, 346, failure of, 100		
agility, as resilience attribute, 346, failure of, 100		
		agri-tourism, in Bourbonnais farming
agricultural policy. See policies system, 181	agricultural policy. See policies	

agroforestry, Bourbonnais farming system and, 130	lock-ins to, 97–105 policy effects on, 70–82, 265–266,
alternative systems	275–276
assessment of, 292	resilience of, 269-271, 276
food, 88–90	risk management in, 265-271
for fruit and vegetable production in	in Northeast Bulgaria, 125-128,
Poland, 223–226	137–138
for hazelnut production in Viterbo,	challenges faced by, 128-132
192–198	during and after communism,
for Romania small, mixed, family	126–127
farms, 242–245	current resilience of, 133–135
for sheep farming in Huesca,	future resilience of, 135–137
163–164	private and public goods provided
Altmark farming systems, 130, 140–141, 152	by, 127–128 in Veenkoloniën, 201–204, 211–212
challenges impacting essential system	future resilience of, 206–211
functions of, 146–147	long-term challenges and risk
ecological characteristics and	management in, 207–208
challenges of, 143–144	past resilience of, 204–206
economical characteristics and	policy effects on, 70–82, 203
challenges of, 144-145	social self-organisation and
future strategies enhancing resilience	infrastructure for innovation in,
of, 149–151	208–209
historical circumstances shaping, 142–143	transformative strategies for, 209–211
institutional embedding in, 145–146	Aragón. See Huesca
institutional embedding in, 145–146 resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149	attributes. See resilience attributes
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in,	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of,	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden,	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden,	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of,	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344 arable farming/crops/sector	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179 transformability of, 179–180
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344 arable farming/crops/sector in East of England, 263–265, 277	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179 transformability of, 179–180 unimportant functions of, 175
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344 arable farming/crops/sector in East of England, 263–265, 277 challenges faced by, 97–105,	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179 transformability of, 179–180 unimportant functions of, 175 Belgium
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344 arable farming/crops/sector in East of England, 263–265, 277 challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179 transformability of, 179–180 unimportant functions of, 175 Belgium agriculture in, 112
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344 arable farming/crops/sector in East of England, 263–265, 277 challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271 environmental sustainability and	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179 transformability of, 179–180 unimportant functions of, 175 Belgium agriculture in, 112 dairy farming in, 112–114
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344 arable farming/crops/sector in East of England, 263–265, 277 challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179 transformability of, 179–180 unimportant functions of, 175 Belgium agriculture in, 112 dairy farming in, 112–114 dynamics and growth in, 114–116
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344 arable farming/crops/sector in East of England, 263–265, 277 challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–93, 95–97,	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179 transformability of, 179–180 unimportant functions of, 175 Belgium agriculture in, 112 dairy farming in, 112–114 dynamics and growth in, 114–116 policy effects on, 70–82, 119–121 public and private functions of,
resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149 simulation of structural changes in, 51–55 social environment and challenges of, 146 structural features of, 140–142 animal welfare in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238 anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344 arable farming/crops/sector in East of England, 263–265, 277 challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–93, 95–97, 100–105	attributes. <i>See</i> resilience attributes  Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266 beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 challenges faced by, 175–177 economic viability and quality of life in, 135 food production and natural resources of, 173–174 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 resilience of, 180–181 social expectations on, 177–179 transformability of, 179–180 unimportant functions of, 175 Belgium agriculture in, 112 dairy farming in, 112–114 dynamics and growth in, 114–116 policy effects on, 70–82, 119–121

resilience of, 114-116, 119-122 fruit and vegetable production in robustness of, 116-117, 119-121 Poland, 223-226 social capital of, 116-117 hazelnut production in Viterbo, 127, structural change in, 51-55, 112, 190-191 114-116 limits to growth archetype, 312 biodiversity, Huesca sheep farming resilience assessment and, 294-295 provision of, 157–158 Romanian small, mixed, family biodiversity input-based practices, 88-90 farms, 242–245 Biodiversity Strategy, 356–357, 360–361 success-to-the-successful archetype, biographical narratives, 24-25 312-313 biological-input based practices, 88–90, challenges of farming systems, 3–4, 72-73, 348-355. See also 92–94, 96–97, 352–353 biotechnical systems, biodiversity inputspecific challenges based practices, 88-90 assessment of, 281-282, 284-289 bocage landscape, of Bourbonnais co-creation of strategies dealing with, farming system, 127, 171–173 farmer perceptions of, 23-27 Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182 farming system resilience and, 1-2 stakeholder perceptions of, 329-333 challenges faced by, 175–177 perceived performance of functions chemical input-based practices, 88-90, of, 127, 173 93-97, 352-353 circular economies, 88-90 economic viability and quality of life, 135 climate change, 349. See also extreme food production and natural weather events arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria resources, 173–174 quality of beef, 174-175 and, 130 unimportant functions, 175 co-creation of resilience enabling resilience of, 180-181 policies for, 336 social expectations on, 177–179 East of England arable farms and, 267, 269 transformability of, 179-180 bovine sector. See beef production hazelnut production in Viterbo and, BPS. See Basic Farm Payment 127, 133-134 Brexit, 265-266, 269, 275-276 insurance for weather-related risks buffer resources, 346 due to, 76-77 Bulgaria, arable farming in, 125–128, Polish fruit and vegetable production 137-138 and, 220, 226 challenges faced by, 128-132 risk management strategies for, 29 during and after communism, 126-127 risks associated with, 18-19 current resilience of, 133-135 Romanian small, mixed, family farms future resilience of, 135-137 and, 237, 240 private and public goods provided Swedish egg and broiler farms and, by, 127–128 250-262 CMO. See Common Market burden shifting archetype, 307–310 Organization co-creation, 321-322 CAP. See Common Agricultural Policy capacities. See resilience capacities lessons learned from, 336-337 cattle farming. See beef production multi-scale methodology of, 322-324 digital platform, 326-328 causal loop burden shifting archetype, 307–310 physical meetings, 324–325 eroding-goals archetype, 310–311 policy implications from, 338

co creation (cont.)	Huesca sheep farming challenges
co-creation (cont.) stakeholder perceptions in	and, 159–161
of current resilience, 328–333	Polish fruit and vegetable production
of future resilience, 328–339,	and, 223–225
333–336	Romanian small, mixed, family farms
cognitive learning, 27	and, 240–241
Cohesion Policy, 68–69	Swedish egg and broiler farms and,
commodity-based systems, 88-90,	249, 255–256
92–97, 352–353	contract farming, 22
Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)	cooperation
adaptability effects of, 66-68, 77-80,	among arable farmers in Northeast
82–85	Bulgaria, 132, 137
Altmark farming systems and,	among egg and broiler farms in
145–146	Sweden, 255–256
bottom-up approach to analysis of,	environmental sustainability driven
68–70	through, 103–104
co-creation for improvement of, 336	in fruit and vegetable production in
demographic and structural change	Poland, 226
impact of, 55–56	as resilience-enabling strategy, 361
development of proper resilience	risk management through, 22, 25
orientation for, 359–361	among Romanian small, mixed,
hazelnut production in Viterbo and,	family farms, 238–241
187–188, 198	in sheep farming in Huesca,
Huesca sheep farming and, 161–162	165–166
Polish fruit and vegetable production	cooperatives
and, 220–221	in Bourbonnais farming system, 177
reflections on effects of, 82–85	dairy, 116–117 relational learning through, 27
research methods and data in analysis of, 70–74	risk management through, 22, 25
resilience effects of, 63, 66–68,	corporate farms
353–354	lack of successors for, 51–55
risk management support by, 20	private life overlap with, 47
robustness effects of, 66–68, 74–77,	Corylus avellana. See hazelnut
82–85, 353–354	production
robustness orientation of, 347–348,	Covid-19 pandemic
353–354	Bourbonnais beef production during,
transformability effects of, 66-68,	178–179
80–85	East of England arable farming
Common Market Organization	during, 269–271
(CMO), in Viterbo hazelnut	farming system impact of, 3–4
production, 187-188	resilience attributes in response to,
complex systems, resilience of, 64	346, 369–370
complexity, of resilience of farming	resilience urgency generated by, 355
systems, 343, 366	critical thresholds
conflict, family, 50–51	challenges pushing farms towards,
consumers	348–355
Bourbonnais farming system	as predictors of resilience, 344–345
practices and, 177-179, 181	crop insurance. See insurance
environmental sustainability driven	cross-scale effects, 347–348
through, 100–105	cultural lock-ins, 88–90, 97–100

dairy farming in Altmark, 143–144 in Flanders, 112–114 dynamics and growth in, 114–116 policy effects on, 70–82, 119–121 public and private functions of, 117–119 resilience of, 114–116, 119–122 robustness of, 116–117, 119–121 social capital of, 116–117 demographics of Altmark farming systems, 147–148, 150 of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 131–132	of Bourbonnais farming system, 177 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 225–226 of Viterbo farming systems, 133–134 diversity, as resilience attribute, 331–333, 344–345, 369–370 downstream market concentration, in Viterbo hazelnut production, 133–134 drought Altmark farming systems and, 143–144, 146–147 arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria and, 130 Bourbonnais farming system and,
of Bourbonnais farming system, 176 EU changes in, 38–42 farm structural change link to, 39–41	130, 175–176 risk management strategies for, 29 Romanian small, mixed, family farms and, 237, 240
farm system challenges involving, 351–352 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 217–218, 222–228 policy approaches to, 55–58 resilience and, 41–44, 55–58 resilience-enabling strategies for, 358–359 of Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 236 of sheep farming in Huesca, 159–160 structural change as resilience capacity, 51–55 digital platform, for co-creation,	eco-friendly requirements, Viterbo hazelnut production and, 192–198 ecological connectedness, as resilience- enabling strategy, 356 ecological farms, in Polish fruit and vegetable production, 223–225 economic challenges, 349–350 of Altmark farming systems, 144–147 of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 129–130 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
direct payments adaptability effects of, 77–80 to dairy farms in Flanders, 119–120 demographic and structural change impact of, 55–56 to East of England arable farms, 265–266 to Huesca sheep farmers, 161–162 recommendations for reduction of, 360–361 robustness effects of, 74–77, 353–354 diversification of Altmark farming systems, 147–148	of sheep farming in Huesca, 157–161 economic lock-ins, 88–90, 97–100 economic viability, 344–345, 349 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 219 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 125, 133–134, 188–189, 192 as resilience-enabling strategy, 356 eco-schemes, 360–361 for extensive grazing systems, 165 ecosystem, adaptive cycles of, 9 ecosystem services, of cattle farming, 171 egg and broiler farms, Swedish, 249–250 adaptability of, 256–259
in arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 136	challenges faced by, 250–262 current resilience of, 259–260

egg and broiler farms, Swedish (cont.) environmental sustainability. functions of, 254–255 See sustainability risk management and cooperation in, eroding-goals archetype, 310-311 255-256 European Union (EU). See also robustness of, 258 Common Agricultural Policy transformability of, 258-259 agricultural resilience policies in, 63 ELMS. See Environment Land farm demographic and structural Management Scheme change in, 38–42 enabling environment. See also resilience-enabling strategies for, resilience-enabling environment 355-363, 370 experiential learning, 27 context-specific nature of, 347 of dairy farming in Flanders, 115-119 extensive grazing systems, of Huesca sheep farming, 127, 156-157, for environmental sustainability and resilience, 105 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, alternative systems for, 163-164 187-188 business and policy enabling actions for, 164–166 needs and strategies at level of, 347–348 challenges and lock-ins to, 97-105 resilience attributes and, 5–8 risk management and, 20 challenges threatening, 97–105, 127, England, arable farming in, 158-161 263-265, 277 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–94, 96–97, challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271 environmental sustainability and 100 - 105resilience of, 91–93, 95–97, functions provided by, 157–158 100-105 future resilience of, 166–167 knowledge networks and learning in, lock-ins to, 97-105 272 - 276past resilience of, 160–163 lock-ins to, 97-105 policy effects on, 70-80, 160-162, policy effects on, 70-82, 265-266, 164-166 275 - 276weakened resilience attributes of, 162 - 163resilience of, 269–271, 276 risk management in, 265-271 extreme weather events. See also environment. See also enabling drought environment Altmark farming systems and, Altmark farming system impact on, 143-144, 146-147 143-144 arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria Flanders dairy farming impact on, and, 130 117-119 insurance against, 76-77 Northeast Bulgaria arable farming Polish fruit and vegetable production impact on, 127–128, 130 and, 220, 226 Veenkoloniën arable farming and, risk management and, 21-22, 29 risks associated with, 18–19 Viterbo hazelnut production impact on, 185–187, 190, 192–198 family conflict, 50-51 Environment Land Management family farming Scheme (ELMS), 102, 265-266 in East of England arable farming, environmental challenges, 349–350. See 272-273 also specific challenges intergenerational transfer in, 28, of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 40-41, 49, 57 250-262 lack of successors for, 51–55

in Nord-Est region of Romania, 234–235 alternative systems to, 242–245 challenges faced by, 238–240 current resilience of, 237–241, 245–247 functions and performance of, 237–238 future challenges to, 242 future resilience of, 242–247 historical context and background of, 234–237 risk management strategies of, 240–241 policy bias toward, 57 in Polish fruit and vegetable production, 215–221 adaptive cycles of, 218–221 alternative farming systems for, 223–226 future resilience of, 223–231 past and current resilience of, 218–221, 229–231 policy effects on, 70–82, 220–222 private life overlap with, 47 risk management in, 21–22 in Sweden, 249 farm needs and strategies at level of,	resilience and, 41, 44–51 resilience-enabling strategies for, 358–359 stages of, 46–51 Farm to Fork Strategy, 90, 360–361 agricultural production vision of, 356–357 agricultural resilience promotion by, 63 as resilience-enabling, 355 farmer populations, 39–41 farmers cooperation among, 103–104 in farming systems, 3 limited networks of, 354 open-mindedness of, 179–180 risk perception by, 19, 23–27 self-identity of, 47 social network of, 50 technology development by, 102–103 well-being of, 49–50 farmers' unions, in East of England arable farming, 273 farming lifestyle, 46 farming systems. See also specific case studies adaptability of, 5, 18, 65 balance between public and private functions of, 117–119
347–348 risk management at level of, 21–22 farm demographics. <i>See</i> demographics	biodiversity input-based, 88–90 biological input-based global commodity, 88–90, 92–94,
farm household, risk management impact on, 21–22	96–97, 352–353 challenges of, 3–4, 72–73, 348–355
farm interest groups, in Swedish egg and broiler production, 257	assessment of, 281–282, 284–289 co-creation of strategies dealing
farm succession	with, 333–336
adaptive capacities of structural	farmer perceptions of, 23–27
change and, 51–55 in East of England, 267, 269 EU support for, 40–41	farming system resilience and, 1–2 stakeholder perceptions of, 329–333
in family farming, 28, 40-41, 49, 57	chemical input-based global
farm demographics impact of, 42–43 farm system challenges involving,	commodity, 88–90, 93–97, 352–353
351–352 lack of successors for, 51–55	classification of, 88–90 context-specific nature of, 347
in Northeast Bulgaria, 137	definition of resilience of, 2–14
policy approaches to, 55–58	environmental sustainability of,
in Polish fruit and vegetable production, 218–219, 222–228	88–90, 100–105, 352–353 in food system context, 363

()	
farming systems. (cont.)	resilience challenges of, 1–2, 348–355
functions of, 4–5, 349, 363–364	
assessment of, 282–283, 289–293	resilience crisis of, 348–355
resilience attributes link to,	resilience-enabling strategies for,
294–295	355–363, 370
stakeholder perceptions of,	risk management at level of, 21–22
330–331	risks faced by, 18–19
indicators for measuring resilience of,	robustness of, 5, 18, 65
365	social capital as robustness-
joined-up vision on, 356–357	increasing asset of, 116–117
lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353	stakeholders of, 3
needs and strategies at level of,	transformability of, 5, 18, 65
347–348	farming systems assessment.
non-resilience of, 346–347	See resilience assessment;
policy effects on resilience of, 63–65	SURE-Farm approach
adaptability findings, 77–80,	feedbacks, as resilience attribute,
353–354	344–345, 369–370
bottom-up approach to analysis of,	financial resources, 346
68–70 reflections on, 82–85	CAP, 74–77, 353–354
research methods and data in	for Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237
analysis of, 70–74	for sheep farming in Huesca, 132
on resilience capacities, 65–68,	fire prevention, Huesca sheep farming
74–82	provision of, 157–158
robustness findings, 74–77,	Flanders
353–354	agriculture in, 112
transformability findings, 80–82,	dairy farming in, 112–114
353–354	dynamics and growth in,
policy impacts at level of, 64	114–116
resilience attributes of, 2–14,	policy effects on, 70–82, 119–121
344–347, 369–370	public and private functions of,
assessment of, 283-284, 293-294	117–119
resilience capacities relationship to,	resilience of, 114-116, 119-122
365	robustness of, 116–117, 119–121
stakeholder perceptions of,	social capital of, 116-117
331–333	structural change in, 51-55, 112,
system functions link to, 294-295	114–116
resilience capacities of, 5, 65,	focus groups, for co-creation, 321–322,
347–348	324–325
anticipation as, 344	food policy. See policies
assessment of, 283-284, 293-294	food production. See agricultural
critical thresholds of, 344-345	production
policy effects on, 65-68, 74-82,	food safety
353–354	chemical and industrial agriculture
resilience attributes relationship to,	production for, 88-90
365	in egg and broiler farms in Sweden,
risk impact on, 18	251, 255–256
risk management contribution to,	in Flanders dairy farming, 116–117
29–31	in fruit and vegetable production in
structural change as, 51–55	Poland, 220–221

food security resilience and, 41, 44-51 CAP provisions for, 66–67 stages of, 46-51 East of England contribution to, Germany, Altmark farming systems of, 263-264 130, 140-141, 152 in Romania, 237-238 challenges impacting essential system threats to, 342–343 functions of, 146–147 food system, farming system in context ecological characteristics and of, 363 challenges of, 143-144 FoPIA-SURE-Farm workshops, 281, economical characteristics and 324-325 challenges of, 144-145 framework, of SURE-Farm approach, future strategies enhancing resilience 2-8, 343-348 of, 149–151 France, Bourbonnais beef production historical circumstances shaping, in, 127, 171–173, 182 142-143 challenges faced by, 175-177 institutional embedding in, 145-146 economic viability and quality of life resilience capacities and attributes of, 147-149 in, 135 food production and natural simulation of structural changes in, resources of, 173-174 51 - 55perceived performance of functions social environment and challenges of, of, 127, 173 quality of beef in, 174–175 structural features of, 140–142 resilience of, 180-181 global commodity-based systems, social expectations on, 177–179 88–90, 92–97, 352–353 transformability of, 179–180 governance. See also policies; unimportant functions of, 175 regulations of Altmark farming systems, fruit and vegetable farming/production/ 149-150 sector, in Poland, 215-221 adaptive cycles of, 218-221 of arable farming in Northeast alternative farming systems for, Bulgaria, 130–131 of fruit and vegetable production in 223-226 future resilience of, 223–231 Poland, 222, 226 hybrid, 361-362 past and current resilience of, 218-221, 229-231 resilience-oriented, 366-367 policy effects on, 70–82, 220–222 grain production. See arable farming functional diversity grassland-based cattle system, of Altmark farming systems, 147–148 Bourbonnais, 127, 171–173, as resilience attribute, 331-333 182 challenges faced by, 175-177 general resilience, 2-14 economic viability and quality of life generational renewal in, 135 in East of England, 267, 269 food production and natural resources of, 173-174 EU support for, 40–41 in family farming, 28, 40–41, 49, 57 perceived performance of functions farm demographics impact of, 42–43 of, 127, 173 lack of successors for, 51-55 quality of beef in, 174-175 in Northeast Bulgaria, 137 resilience of, 180-181 policy approaches to, 55–58 social expectations on, 177–179 in Polish fruit and vegetable transformability of, 179-180 production, 218–219, 222–228 unimportant functions of, 175

grasslands insurance, 132 in hazelnut production in Viterbo, grazing. See extensive grazing systems 193-198 Green Deal, 63, 198, 240-241, as resilience attribute, 331–333, 353-354, 360-361 344-345 in Veenkoloniën farming systems, hazelnut production 208-209 risk management and, 26 institutional challenges, 350. See also in Viterbo farming system, 127, policy effects 185–188, 199 of arable farming in Northeast alternative configurations of, Bulgaria, 136-137 192 - 198of arable farming in Veenkoloniën, 203 challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, current resilience of, 188-190 250 - 262environmental sustainability and of sheep farming in Huesca, 160 resilience of, 91-97, 100-105 institutional lock-ins, 88-90, 97-100 future resilience of, 127, 190–195 institutional risks, 18-19 hedges, of Bourbonnais farming system, insurance 127, 171-173 adaptability through, 353-354 hired labour grasslands, 132 farm demographics impact of, 42 risk management through, 22, 29, policy approaches to, 57 350-351 horticulture. See fruit and vegetable for weather-related risks, 76–77 farming/production/sector integrated assessment, 279-281, household, risk management impact on, 298–299 21 - 22in SURE-Farm approach, 9–13, 366 Huesca, sheep farming in, 127, sustainability and resilience insights 156-157, 167 from, 295-297 alternative systems for, 163-164 toolbox for resilience assessment, business and policy enabling actions for, 164-166 integrated landscape approaches, 88-90 challenges threatening, 97–105, 127, 158 - 161intensification environmental sustainability and of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, resilience of, 91–94, 96–97, 249 100 - 105farm growth through, 351–352 functions provided by, 157-158 of fruit and vegetable production in future resilience of, 166–167 Poland, 223–226 lock-ins to, 97-105 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, past resilience of, 160-163 185–187, 190, 196–198 policy effects on, 70-80, 160-162, profitability through, 352–353 164-166 interest groups weakened resilience attributes of, for egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 162-163 2.57 hybrid food governance, 361-362 policy dialogues with, 317–318 for Polish fruit and vegetable infrastructure for innovation. production, 226 See innovation for Romania small, mixed, family farms, 238-239 innovation in arable farming in Northeast intergenerational transfer. See generational renewal Bulgaria, 136

Italy, hazelnut production in, 127, integrated approaches to, 88-90 185–188, 199 resilience-enhancing agricultural, alternative configurations of, 357-358 192-198 large scale farms, in Northeast Bulgaria, challenges and lock-ins to, 97-105 126-127 leadership, as resilience attribute, 346, current resilience of, 188-190 369-370 environmental sustainability and learning resilience of, 91–97, 100–105 future resilience of, 127, 190–195 CAP effects on, 79–80 risk management and, 26 in East of England arable farming, 272 - 276knowledge exchange environmental sustainability driven in East of England arable farming, through, 100-105 272 - 276limited, 354 environmental sustainability driven as resilience attribute, 346 through, 100-105 resilience based on type of, 28 as resilience-enabling strategy, 361 as resilience-enabling strategy, 361 risk management through, 22, 25-27, 350 labour for Altmark farming systems, 147-148 lifestyle, farming as, 46 for arable farming in East of England, limits to growth archetype, 312 local markets/valorisation 273 for arable farming in Northeast of Bourbonnais farming system, Bulgaria, 131–132 177-179 farm demographics and, 42–43 in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, farm system challenges involving, 249-250 352 environmental sustainability driven for fruit and vegetable production in through, 100-105 Poland, 217–218, 220, 223–225 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 223-225 policy approaches to, 57 resilience-enabling strategies for, of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 358-359 125, 192-198 for Romanian small, mixed, family local resources, utilisation and farms, 236, 245 regeneration of, 90 land access, CAP effects on, 74–77 lock-in, of agricultural practices, 88–92, landscape 97–105, 352–353 Bourbonnais farming system and, 127, 171–173, 182 market challenges challenges faced by, 175-177 in East of England arable farming, economic viability and quality of 266-269 life in, 135 in Northeast Bulgaria arable farming, food production and natural resources of, 173-174 market integration, by Romanian small, perceived performance of functions mixed, family farms, 239 of, 127, 173 market mechanisms, resilience impacts quality of beef in, 174-175 of, 362-363 resilience of, 180-181 market risks, 18-19 social expectations on, 177–179 Mazovia, fruit and vegetable farming transformability of, 179–180 in, 215–221 unimportant functions of, 175 adaptive cycles of, 218–221

Mazovia, fruit and vegetable farming in organic farming in Bourbonnais farming system, alternative farming systems for, 177 - 179223-226 in fruit and vegetable production in future resilience of, 223-231 Poland, 223-225 past and current resilience of, in hazelnut production in Viterbo, 218–221, 229–231 133 - 134policy effects on, 70-82, 220-222 on Romanian small, mixed, family migration farms, 245 farm demographics impact of, 43 ovine breeding. See sheep farming/ policy approaches to, 57 production/sector mixed farming/farms/agriculture, in perennial crops, hazelnut production in Nord-Est region of Romania, 234-235 Italy, 91-97, 100-105, 127, 185-188 alternative systems to, 242-245 challenges faced by, 238-240 pest and disease prevention current resilience of, 237-241, in East of England arable farming, 267 in Romanian small, mixed, family 245-247 functions and performance of, farms, 240 237-238 plant protection products, regulation future challenges to, 242 of, 81-82 future resilience of, 242–247 Podlasie, policy effects on fruit and historical context and background of, vegetable farming in, 70-82 234-237 Poland, fruit and vegetable farming in, risk management strategies of, 240-241 215 - 221mixed methods, 9-13, 366 adaptive cycles of, 218-221 modularity, as resilience attribute, alternative farming systems for, 223-226 344-345, 369-370 future resilience of, 223-231 past and current resilience of, 218-221, 229-231 Netherlands, arable farming in, 201-204, 211-212 policy effects on, 70-82, 220-222 future resilience of, 206–211 policies long-term challenges and risk co-creation implications for, 338 management in, 207-208 demographic and structural change past resilience of, 204–206 approach of, 55–58 policy effects on, 70–82, 203 environmental sustainability driven social self-organisation and through, 100-105 infrastructure for innovation in, farm demographics impact of, 43 208-209 resilience-enabling, 356, 359-361 adaptability-enabling, 65–66, transformative strategies for, 209–211 networks 77 - 80in East of England arable farming, co-creation of, 336 272-276 risk management and, 32 robustness-enabling, 65-66, 74-77 limited farmer, 354 non-resilience, 346–347 transformability-enabling, 65-66, 80 - 82on-farm risk management strategies, risk management and, 20, 32 risks associated with, 18-19 21 - 22openness, as resilience attribute, robustness orientation of, 347–348 344-345, 369-370 unpredictability of, 80-82

policy design, resilience-oriented, producer associations, for Romanian 366-367 small, mixed, family farms, 245 policy effects, 63-65, 350 production risks, 18-19 on Altmark farming systems, productivity, as resilience attribute, 145–146, 149–150 344-345 on arable farming in East of England, profitability, 349 of Altmark farming systems, 70-82, 265-266, 275-276 on arable farming in Northeast 144-147 Bulgaria, 130–131, 133–135 of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 129-130 on arable farming in Veenkoloniën, 70-82, 203 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, bottom-up approach to analysis of, 250-262 68 - 70of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 219, 225-226 on Bourbonnais farming system, 180 on dairy farming in Flanders, 70–82, of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 119-121 125, 133–134, 188–189, 192 on egg and broiler farms in Sweden, through intensification, 352–353 250-262 market mechanisms and, 362–363 on fruit and vegetable production in as resilience attribute, 331–333, Poland, 70-82, 220-222 344-345 on hazelnut production in Viterbo, of Romanian small, mixed, family 187-188, 198 farms, 242–244 reflections on, 82-85 of sheep farming in Huesca, 157-161 research methods and data in analysis public goods of, 70–74 Altmark farming system provision of, on resilience capacities 146-147 adaptability, 65-68, 77-80, cattle farming provision of, 171 82-85, 353-354 farming system provision of, 4-5, robustness, 65–68, 74–77, 82–85, 282–283, 349, 363–364 353-354 Flanders dairy farming provision of, 117-119 transformability, 65-68, 80-85, 353-354 Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157-158 on sheep farming in Huesca, 70-80, 160-162, 164-166 market mechanisms and, 362-363 policy instrument. See Common Northeast Bulgaria arable farming Agricultural Policy provision of, 127–128 private goods Polish fruit and vegetable production Altmark farming system provision of, provision of, 218 146-147 Viterbo hazelnut production farming system provision of, 4–5, provision of, 188 282–283, 349, 363–364 public policy. See policies Flanders dairy farming provision of, 117-119 qualitative methods Northeast Bulgaria arable farming for resilience assessment, 281-284 SURE-Farm approach use of, 9–13, provision of, 127–128 Polish fruit and vegetable production 366 provision of, 218 quantitative methods Viterbo hazelnut production for resilience assessment, 281–284 provision of, 188 SURE-Farm approach use of, 9–13, proactive learners, 26, 28, 350 366

RDPs. See Rural Development Programs	indicators for measurement of, 365 learning and, 28
reactive learners, 26, 28, 350	lock-in as barrier to, 88-92, 97-105,
reflexivity, 357–358	352–353 market mechanisms impacting,
regulations on dairy farming in Flanders,	362–363
116–117	policy design oriented to, 366–367
on East of England arable farming,	policy effects on, 63–65
265–266	adaptability findings, 77–80
on egg and broiler farms in Sweden,	bottom-up approach to analysis of,
250–262	68–70
on Romanian small, mixed, family	reflections on, 82–85
farms, 240	research methods and data in
unpredictability of, 80–82	analysis of, 70–74
relational learning, 27	on resilience capacities, 65–68,
resilience. See also specific case studies	74–82
of Altmark farming systems,	robustness findings, 74–77
147–151	transformability findings, 80–82
of arable farming in East of England,	regional context in assessment of, 5–8
269–271, 276	resilience attributes and critical
of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 133–137	thresholds as predictors of, 344–345
of biological input-based global	resources required for, 346
commodity systems, 92–94,	risk management and, 18, 22, 29–31
96–97	of sheep farming in Huesca, 91–94,
of Bourbonnais farming system,	96–97, 100–105, 160–163,
180–181	166–167
of chemical input-based global	of small, mixed, family farms in
commodity systems, 93–97	Romania, 237–247
of complex systems, 64	social capital contribution to,
context-specific nature of, 347	116–117
of dairy farming in Flanders,	stakeholder understanding of, 366
114–116, 119–122	sustainability interaction with,
definition of, 2–14	88-92, 279-281, 295-299,
disciplines involved in assessment	368–369
of, 9	resilience assessment, 279–281, 298–299
of egg and broiler farms in Sweden,	attributes and capacities, 283-284
256–259	in current systems, 293–294
farm demographics and, 41–44,	in future systems, 293–294
55–58	challenges of farming systems,
farming system challenges in, 1–2,	281–282, 284–289
348–355	functions of farming systems,
farming system crisis of, 348–355 of fruit and vegetable production in	282–283, 289
Poland, 218–221, 223–231	alternative, 292 current, 289–292
generational renewal and,	future, 292–293
41, 44–51	mixed methods in, 9–13, 366
of hazelnut production in Viterbo,	need for systematic, 368
127, 188–198	qualitative and quantitative methods
improvement of, 297–298	used in, 281–284
<u>.</u> , <del> </del>	, . =

sustainability and resilience resilience-enabling strategies, 355-363, improvements from, 297–298 sustainability and resilience insights co-creation of, 333-336 from, 295–297 response diversity, as resilience system dynamics, 294-295 attribute, 331-333, 344-345 resilience attributes, 2–14, 344–347, risk management, 18–21, 31–32, 369 - 370350-351 assessment of, 283-284, 293-294 in Altmark farming systems, 149–150 resilience capacities relationship to, in arable farming in East of England, 265-271 365 stakeholder perceptions of, in arable farming in Veenkoloniën, 331-333 207-208 system functions link to, co-creation of strategies for, 333-336 294-295 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, resilience capacities, 5, 65, 347-348. 255-256 See also adaptability; robustness; at farm-level and farming system level, 21-22 transformability in fruit and vegetable production in anticipation as, 344 assessment of, 283-284, 293-294 Poland, 221 critical thresholds of, 344–345 improved strategies for, 28-29 policy effects on, 65-68, 74-82, resilience and, 18, 22, 29-31 353-354 resilience-enabling strategies for, 359 risk perception role in, 19, 23–27 resilience attributes relationship to, 365 in small, mixed, family farms in risk impact on, 18 Romania, 240–241 risk management contribution to, risk perception, 19, 23-27 29 - 31risk-sharing strategies, 21-22 structural change as, 51-55 co-creation of, 333-336 resilience framework, of SURE-Farm robustness approach, 2-8, 343-348 of Altmark farming systems, 148-149 resilience-enabling environment, 302–303, 359–360 of arable farming in East of England, 269-271, 276 methodology for studying, 303-306 patterns in, 306-307 of arable farming in Northeast burden shifting archetype, 307–310 Bulgaria, 133–135 eroding-goals archetype, 310–311 of biological input-based global limits to growth archetype, 312 commodity systems, 92-94 success-to-the-successful of Bourbonnais farming system, archetype, 312-313 176 - 177principles for creation of, 313–317 CAP effects on, 66–68, 74–77, recommendations for creation of, 82-85, 353-354 317 - 319CAP orientation to, 347–348, 353-354 resilience-enabling policies, 356, 359-361 CAP revision to promote, 360–361 adaptability-enabling, 65–66, 77–80 of dairy farming in Flanders, co-creation of, 336 116-117, 119-121 risk management and, 32 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, robustness-enabling, 65-66, 74-77 258 transformability-enabling, 65-66, of fruit and vegetable production in 80 - 82Poland, 222–223

robustness (cont.) of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198 policy effects on, 65–68, 74–77, 82–85, 353–354	challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105 challenges threatening, 97–105, 127, 158–161 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–94, 96–97,
as resilience capacity, 5, 65 risk impact on, 18 risk management and, 26, 29–31 in Romanian small, mixed, family	100–105 functions provided by, 157–158 future resilience of, 166–167 lock-ins to, 97–105
farms, 240–241, 247	past resilience of, 160–163
of sheep farming in Huesca,	policy effects on, 70–80, 160–162,
161–162, 166	164–166
social capital increase of, 116–117	weakened resilience attributes of,
of Veenkoloniën farming systems,	162–163
204–209	shelter farming, 227–229
robustness-enabling policies, 65–66,	small farms, in Nord-Est region of
74–77	Romania, 234–235
Romania, small, mixed, family farms in,	alternative systems to, 242–245
234–235	challenges faced by, 238–240
alternative systems to, 242–245	current resilience of, 237–241,
challenges faced by, 238–240	245–247
current resilience of, 237–241,	functions and performance of,
245–247	237–238
functions and performance of, 237–238 future challenges to, 242 future resilience of, 242–247 historical context and background of,	future challenges to, 242 future resilience of, 242–247 historical context and background of, 234–237
234–237	risk management strategies of,
risk management strategies of,	240–241
240–241	social capital, as robustness-increasing
Rural Development Programs (RDPs)	asset, 116–117
adaptability effects of, 77–80,	social challenges, 349–350
353–354	of Altmark farming systems, 146
in hazelnut production in Viterbo, 187–188	of Bourbonnais farming system, 176 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
seasonal labour farm demographics impact of, 43 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 217–218, 220, 223–225	of sheep farming in Huesca, 159–160 social connectedness, as resilience-enabling strategy, 356 social expectations. <i>See also</i> consumers
policy approaches to, 57	of Bourbonnais farming system,
self-organisation. See social	177–179
self-organization	economic viability of arable farming
shared learning, as resilience attribute,	and, 129–130
346 sheep farming/production/sector, in Huesca, 127, 156–157, 167	social learning, CAP effects on, 79–80 social lock-ins, 88–90, 97–100 social risks, 18–19
alternative systems for, 163–164	social self-organization
business and policy enabling actions	of arable farmers in Northeast
for, 164–166	Bulgaria, 132

of dairy farming in Flanders, 116–117 as resilience attribute, 331–333, 344–345 in Veenkoloniën farming systems, 208–209 socio-economic systems alternative food systems, 88–90 circular economies, 88–90	long-term challenges and risk management in, 207–208 past resilience of, 204–206 policy effects on, 203 social self-organisation and infrastructure for innovation in, 208–209 transformative strategies for, 209–211
global commodity-based systems, 88–90, 92–97, 352–353 socio-technical regimes, 88–90,	structural change in EU, 38–42 farm demographics link to, 39–41
352–353 Spain, sheep farming in, 127, 156–157, 167	farm system challenges involving, 351–352 in Flanders, 51–55, 112, 114–116
alternative systems for, 163–164	policy approaches to, 55–58
business and policy enabling actions	as resilience capacity, 51–55
for, 164–166	subsistence farms, Romanian, 237
challenges and lock-ins to,	success-to-the-successful archetype,
97–105	312–313
challenges threatening, 97–105, 127,	supply chain, dairy farming
158–161	involvement of, 116–117
environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–94, 96–97,	SURE-Farm approach. See also resilience assessment; specific
100–105	case studies
functions provided by, 157–158	assumptions of, 342–343
future resilience of, 166–167	CAP analysis in, 66–70
lock-ins to, 97–105	adaptability findings, 77–80
past resilience of, 160-163	bottom-up approach to, 68–70
policy effects on, 70–80, 160–162,	reflections on, 82–85
164–166	research methods and data, 70-74
weakened resilience attributes of,	robustness findings, 74–77
162–163	transformability findings, 80-82
specialisation, 351–352	farm succession insights from, 44–55
specified resilience, 2–14	future research avenues identified by,
stakeholders, 3. See also specific	368–370
stakeholders co-creation involvement of, 321–322	integrated assessment in, 9–13, 366 limitations of, 363–367
needs and strategies of different,	mixed methods used in, 9–13, 366
347–348	multiple disciplines involved in, 9
resilience concept understanding by,	purpose of, 342–343
366	regional context in, 5–8
stakeholders' perception	resilience framework of, 2–8,
of current resilience, 328–333	343–348
of future resilience, 328–329,	resilience need identified by, 367-368
333–336	resilience-enabling strategies
starch potato production, in	formulated from, 355-363, 370
Veenkoloniën farming systems,	risk management insights from, 20,
201–204, 211–212	22–31
future resilience of, 206–211	scope of, 363

sustainability of arable farming in East of England, agricultural practices promoting, 269–271, 276 88-90, 100-105, 352-353 of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 133-135 of biological input-based global commodity systems, 92-94, of Bourbonnais farming system, 96-97, 352-353 179-180 CAP effects on, 77-80 CAP effects on, 66–68, 80–85, of chemical input-based global 353-354 commodity systems, 93-97, CAP revision to promoter, 360–361 352-353 of dairy farming in Flanders, farming system classification and, 119 - 12188-90 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, improvement of, 297-298 258-259 lock-in as barrier to, 88-92, 97-105 of fruit and vegetable production in resilience interaction with, 88-92, Poland, 222-223 279–281, 295–299, 368–369 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196-198 Sweden, egg and broiler production in, policy effects on, 65-68, 80-85, 249-250 adaptability of, 256-259 353-354 challenges faced by, 250-262 as resilience capacity, 5, 65 current resilience of, 259-260 risk impact on, 18 functions of, 254–255 risk management and, 26, 29-31 risk management and cooperation in, in Romanian small, mixed, family 255-256 farms, 240–241, 245–246 robustness of, 258 of sheep farming in Huesca, transformability of, 258-259 161–162, 166 system functions, 4-5, 349, 363-364 structural change as, 55 assessment of, 282-283, 289-293 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, resilience attributes link to, 294–295 208 - 211stakeholder perceptions of, 330-331 transformability-enabling policies, 65-66, 80-82 system reserves, as resilience attribute, 344-345, 369-370 system resilience, policy effects on, 64 United Kingdom, arable farming in, systematic assessment, need for, 368 263–265, 277 systems archetypes, 306–307 challenges faced by, 97-105, 265 - 271burden shifting, 307–310 eroding-goals, 310-311 environmental sustainability and limits to growth, 312 resilience of, 91–93, 95–97, success-to-the-successful, 312-313 100 - 105knowledge networks and learning in, technological innovation 272 - 276environmental sustainability driven lock-ins to, 97–105 policy effects on, 70-82, 265-266, through, 102-103 in hazelnut production in Viterbo, 275 - 276193-198 resilience of, 269–271, 276 technological progress, farm risk management in, 265-271 demographics impact of, 43 unknown transformability, 369 resilience as ability to deal with, of Altmark farming systems, 344-345 148-149 risks associated with, 18–19

value chain, 179-180 Veenkoloniën, arable farming in, 201–204, 211–212 future resilience of, 206-211 long-term challenges and risk management in, 207 - 208past resilience of, 204-206 policy effects on, 70-82, 203 social self-organisation and infrastructure for innovation in, 208-209 transformative strategies for, 209-211 vegetable farming/production/sector. See fruit and vegetable farming/ production/sector vision, as resilience attribute, 346

Viterbo, hazelnut production in, 127, 185–188, 199
alternative configurations of, 192–198 challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105 current resilience of, 188–190, 196–198 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–97, 100–105 future resilience of, 127, 190–198 volatility, 102

water availability. See drought weather. See climate change; extreme weather events weather index insurances, 29 wild fauna attacks, 158–160, 163–164 workshops for co-creation, 321–322, 324–325 for resilience assessment, 281

young farmer, 47, 55-56

