

# ON FOURIER-STIELTJES TRANSFORMS

A. P. CALDERÓN AND A. DEVINATZ

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the class of bounded non-decreasing functions defined on the real line which are normalized by the conditions  $\phi(-\infty) = 0$ ,  $\phi(t+0) = \phi(t)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e. the elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are connected by the relation<sup>1</sup>

$$\Phi(x) = \int e^{itx} d\phi(t),$$

where  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ . It is well known, and easy to verify that this mapping from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{F}$  is one to one (1, p. 67, Satz 18).

It is the purpose of this paper to give various topologies to  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  so that the mapping from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  will be continuous or at least continuous at certain points of  $\mathcal{F}$  depending on the topologies. The topologies which we shall have occasion to use are enumerated below.

A. The almost weak topology on  $\mathcal{F}$ . As a neighbourhood basis of an element  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  we shall take the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  which satisfy the relations

$$\left| \int f_k(x) [\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)] dx \right| < \delta, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$\Phi(0) < \Phi_0(0) + \delta$$

where  $\{f_k\}_1^n$  is any finite set of elements in the Lebesgue class  $L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ , and  $\delta$  is any positive number. We shall designate such neighborhoods by

$$\mathfrak{M}[\{f_k\}; \delta; \Phi_0].$$

B. The mean value topology in  $\mathcal{F}$ . As a neighborhood basis of an element  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  we shall take the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  which satisfy the relation

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)| dx < \delta,$$

and

$$\Phi(0) < \Phi_0(0) + \delta$$

where  $\delta > 0$ . In case a  $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}$  satisfies the above two relations we shall write

$$\|\Phi - \Phi_0\|_m < \delta.$$

---

Received July 14, 1954.

<sup>1</sup>Absence of limits of integration will mean that the integral is taken over the interval  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

C. The mean almost weak topology in  $\mathcal{F}$ . As a neighborhood basis of a  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  we shall take those sets which for any  $\delta$  satisfy simultaneously the relations in A and B. We shall designate such neighborhoods by

$$\mathfrak{M}_m[\{f_k\}; \delta; \Phi_0].$$

D. The uniform topology in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let us write

$$\|\Phi - \Phi_0\| = \sup |\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)|,$$

where the sup is taken over all  $x$  on the real line. Then as a neighborhood basis of  $\Phi_0$  we shall take the sets which satisfy

$$\|\Phi - \Phi_0\| < \delta.$$

The same type of topology on  $\mathcal{M}$  will be called the uniform topology on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

E. The variational topology on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We shall write

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\|_v = \text{total variation } [\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)],$$

and as a neighborhood basis of  $\phi_0$  take the sets in  $\mathcal{M}$  which satisfy

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\|_v < \delta.$$

Suppose now that  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $t$  a point where  $\phi(t) - \phi(t - 0) \geq \delta > 0$ . Let  $I(\phi; \delta; t)$  be a generic symbol for an open interval which contains the point  $t$  and let  $\{I(\phi; \delta; t_k)\}$  represent a class of such intervals where the  $t_k$  run over all points for which the jump of  $\phi(t)$  is greater than or equal to  $\delta$ . Each such class of course contains only a finite number of members.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. There exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if we exclude a small interval about each point of the real axis where the jump of  $\phi_0(t)$  is greater than or equal to  $\delta$ , we can find an almost weak neighborhood of  $\Phi_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}[\{f_k\}; \delta; \Phi_0]$ , so that outside the excluded intervals each element of  $\mathcal{M}$  which corresponds to an element of  $\mathfrak{M}[\{f_k\}; \delta; \Phi_0]$  is uniformly within  $\epsilon$  of  $\phi_0(t)$ .*

In more technical language the above theorem can be stated as follows: Given  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\{I(\phi_0; \delta; t_k)\}$  there exists an  $\mathfrak{M}[\{f_k\}; \delta; \Phi_0]$  so that  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{M}[\{f_k\}; \delta; \Phi_0]$  implies  $|\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)| < \epsilon$  for all  $t \notin \cup I(\phi_0; \delta; t_k)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$  be given and choose  $R$  sufficiently large so that

$$\int_{|t| \geq R} d\phi_0(t) < \delta$$

Further, choose  $f_0^*(t)$  to be of class  $C^2$  (continuous second derivatives) such that  $0 \leq f_0^*(t) \leq 1$  and

$$f_0^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & |t| \leq R \\ 0, & |t| \geq R + 1. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-ixt} f_0^*(t) dt.$$

Integrating by parts twice will immediately show that  $f_0(x) \in L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ . Further, since  $f_0^*(t)$  itself belongs to  $L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ , is continuous and of bounded variation over the whole real axis, we have the inversion formula (1, p. 42).

$$f_0^*(t) = \int f_0(x) e^{ixt} dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\int f_0^*(t) d\phi(t) = \int \left[ \int f_0(x) e^{ixt} dx \right] d\phi(t).$$

Since  $f_0(x) \in L^1(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\phi(t)$  is bounded we may apply Fubini's theorem (4, p. 77) and we get the Parseval relation

$$\int f_0^*(t) d\phi(t) = \int f_0(x) \Phi(x) dx,$$

Therefore, if we choose any  $\Phi$  such that

$$(1) \quad \left| \int f_0(x) [\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)] dx \right| < \delta,$$

we have for the corresponding  $\phi(t)$ ,

$$\left| \int f_0^*(t) d[\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)] \right| < \delta.$$

If  $\Phi$  satisfies the further condition

$$(2) \quad \Phi(0) < \Phi_0(0) + \delta,$$

then we have

$$(3) \quad \Phi_0(0) + \delta > \Phi(0) \geq \int f_0^*(t) d\phi(t) > \int f_0^*(t) d\phi_0(t) - \delta > \Phi_0(0) - 2\delta.$$

Therefore,

$$0 \leq \int d\phi(t) - \int_{|t| < R+1} d\phi(t) \leq \int d\phi(t) - \int f_0^*(t) d\phi(t) < 3\delta,$$

from which we get

$$(4) \quad \phi(-R-1) < 3\delta.$$

Now, choose a set  $\{I(\phi_0; \delta; t_k)\}$  and suppose there exists a  $t_0$  in the complement of  $\cup I(\phi_0; \delta; t_k)$  which lies to the right of  $-R-1$ . There exists an  $h > 0$  such that

$$(5) \quad |\phi_0(t_0 \pm h) - \phi_0(t_0)| < \delta.$$

Choose  $f_1^*(t)$  and  $f_2^*(t)$  to be in  $C^2$  with range in  $[0, 1]$  and defined in the following way:

$$f_1^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & -R - 1 \leq t \leq t_0 - h, \\ 0, & t \leq -R - 2, \quad t \geq t_0, \end{cases}$$

$$f_2^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & -R - 1 \leq t \leq t_0, \\ 0, & t \leq -R - 2, \quad t \geq t_0 + h. \end{cases}$$

If  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  are the Fourier transforms respectively of  $f_1^*(t)$  and  $f_2^*(t)$ , then  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are in  $L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ .

Let  $\Phi(x)$  be any element of  $\mathcal{F}$  which satisfies (1), (2) and the further conditions

$$\left| \int f_k(x) [\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)] dx \right| < \delta, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

By the Parseval relation we have for  $k = 1, 2$ ,

$$(6) \quad \left| \int f_k^*(t) d[\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)] \right| < \delta$$

Consequently, by (4), (5) and (6) we get

$$\phi_0(t_0) - 3\delta < \int f_1^*(t) d\phi(t) < \phi(t_0),$$

$$\phi(t_0) - 3\delta < \int f_2^*(t) d\phi(t) < \phi_0(t_0) + 2\delta.$$

From this it follows that

$$-5\delta < \phi_0(t_0) - \phi(t_0) < 3\delta.$$

The complement of  $\cup I(\phi_0; \delta; t_k)$  (which we may as well suppose is not the null set) which lies in the interval  $(-R - 1, \infty)$  consists of a finite number of mutually disjoint intervals. In each such interval it is possible to find a finite set of numbers  $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_n$  such that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_n$  are the endpoints of the interval and

$$\phi_0(\tau_{k+1}) - \phi_0(\tau_k) < \delta.$$

Therefore, there exist functions  $\{f_k(x)\}$  each of which belongs to  $L^1(-\infty, \infty)$  such that if  $\Phi(x) \in \mathfrak{M}[\{f_k\}; \delta; \Phi_0]$  we have

$$|\phi(\tau_k) - \phi_0(\tau_k)| < 5\delta.$$

((2) and (3) also give us this relation for  $\tau_k = \infty$ .)

Suppose  $\tau_k \leq t \leq \tau_{k+1}$ . Then

$$\phi_0(\tau_k) \leq \phi_0(t) \leq \phi_0(\tau_{k+1}), \quad \phi(\tau_k) \leq \phi(t) \leq \phi(\tau_{k+1}).$$

Therefore

$$(7) \quad -6\delta < \phi(\tau_k) - \phi_0(\tau_{k+1}) \leq \phi(t) - \phi_0(t) \leq \phi(\tau_{k+1}) - \phi_0(\tau_k) < 6\delta.$$

Since we are dealing with only a finite number of intervals in the complement of  $\cup I(\phi_0; \delta; t_k)$  which lies in  $(-R - 1, \infty)$  we can find an almost weak

neighborhood of  $\Phi_0$  such that if  $\Phi$  belongs to this neighborhood, then the corresponding functions satisfy (7). If we now choose  $\delta = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon$  we have our theorem.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $\phi_0(t)$  is continuous then the mapping from  $\mathcal{F}$ , with the almost weak topology, to  $\mathcal{M}$ , with the uniform topology, is continuous at  $\Phi_0$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\phi_0(t) \in \mathcal{M}$  be a step function. Then given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\|\Phi - \Phi_0\|_m < \delta$$

*implies*

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\|_v < \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* Given  $\phi(t)$  and  $\phi_0(t)$ , let  $t_n$  be the set of points where either  $\phi(t)$  or  $\phi_0(t)$  has a jump. Let  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  be respectively the jump of  $\phi_0(t)$  and  $\phi(t)$  at  $t_n$ . Let us write

$$\phi(t) = S(t) + D(t),$$

where  $S(t)$  is a step function and  $D(t)$  is a continuous function. We then have

$$\phi(t) - \phi_0(t) = \{S(t) - \phi_0(t)\} + D(t).$$

Since  $\phi_0(t)$  is a step function,  $S(t) - \phi_0(t)$  is either a step function or identically zero since  $S(-\infty) = \phi_0(-\infty) = 0$ . This gives us the decomposition of  $\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)$  into a step function and a continuous function. Therefore (2, pp. 189–190)

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\|_v = \|S - \phi_0\|_v + \|D\|_v$$

Now, let  $\psi(t) = S(t) - \phi_0(t)$ . Then (2, pp. 188–190),

$$\|S - \phi_0\|_v = \|\psi\|_v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{|\psi(t_n + 0) - \psi(t_n)| + |\psi(t_n) - \psi(t_n - 0)|\}$$

By normalization of the functions in  $\mathcal{M}$  we have

$$|\psi(t_n + 0) - \psi(t_n)| = 0$$

Therefore

$$\|S - \phi_0\|_v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n - a_n|.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi - \phi_0\|_v &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n - a_n| + \|D\|_v \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N |b_n - a_n| + \|D\|_v + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} b_n + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Phi(0) = \|\phi\|_v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n + \|D\|_v,$$

we have

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\|_v = \sum_{n=1}^N |b_n - a_n| + \Phi(0) - \sum_{n=1}^N b_n + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_n.$$

Let us here make the parenthetical remark that if either  $\phi(t)$  or  $\phi_0(t)$  has a finite number of jumps, then  $b_n$  or  $a_n$  from some point on will be zero.

Now,

$$\Phi(0) - \sum_{n=1}^N b_n = \Phi(0) - \Phi_0(0) + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_n - \sum_{n=1}^N (b_n - a_n).$$

Therefore

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\|_v \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^N |b_n - a_n| + 2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_n + \Phi(0) - \Phi_0(0).$$

Choose  $N$  so that

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_n < \epsilon/5$$

and then choose  $\delta \leq \epsilon/5N$ . It is well known (**1**, p. 79, Satz 24) that

$$a_n = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T e^{-it_n x} \phi_0(x) dx,$$

$$b_n = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T e^{-it_n x} \phi(x) dx.$$

Therefore

$$|b_n - a_n| \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |\phi(x) - \phi_0(x)| dx.$$

From this inequality we get the desired result.

From the two preceding results we might expect that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is given the mean almost weak topology and  $\mathcal{M}$  the uniform topology, then the mapping from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  is continuous. This is shown by the next theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *Given  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\mathfrak{M}_m(\{f_k\}; \delta; \Phi_0)$  such that  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{M}_m$  implies*

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\| < \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 1, let  $\delta > 0$  be given and choose  $R$  sufficiently large so that

$$\int_{|t| \geq R} d\phi_0(t) < \delta.$$

Also, choose  $f_0^*(t)$  as in Theorem 1 and let  $f_0(x)$  be its Fourier transform. Then if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$  is such that

$$(2) \quad \Phi(0) \leq \Phi_0(0) + \delta$$

and

$$\left| \int f_0(x) [\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)] dx \right| < \delta;$$

as in Theorem 1 we get, for  $t \leq -R - 1$ ,

$$0 \leq \phi(t) \leq \phi(-R - 1) < 3\delta,$$

and

$$\Phi(0) > \Phi_0(0) - 2\delta.$$

Suppose now that  $\{\tau_k\}$  is the finite set of points to the right of  $-R - 1$  for which  $\phi_0(\tau_k) - \phi_0(\tau_k - 0) \geq \delta$ . The interval  $[\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$  may be subdivided by a finite number of points

$$\tau_k = \tau_{0,k} < \tau_{1,k} < \dots < \tau_{m,k} = \tau_{k+1}$$

such that

$$\phi_0(\tau_{j+1,k}) - \phi_0(\tau_{j,k}) < \delta, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1,$$

and

$$\phi_0(\tau_{k+1} - 0) - \phi_0(\tau_{m-1,k}) < \delta.$$

Therefore, there exists a finite set of points,  $-R - 1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = \infty$ , which includes the set  $\{\tau_k\}$  and such that

$$\phi_0(t_{k+1}) - \phi_0(t_k) < \delta, \quad t_{k+1} \notin \{\tau_k\},$$

and

$$\phi_0(t_{k+1} - 0) - \phi_0(t_k) < \delta, \quad t_{k+1} \in \{\tau_k\}.$$

For  $k = 1, \dots, n - 2$ , choose, as in Theorem 1,  $f_k^*(t) \in C^2$  and with range in  $[0, 1]$  in the following manner:

$$f_k^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t_0 \leq t \leq t_k \\ 0, & t \geq t_{k+1}, \quad t \leq t_0 - 1. \end{cases}$$

Further, choose  $f_{n-1}^*(t) \in C^2$  such that  $0 \leq f_{n-1}^*(t) \leq 1$  and

$$f_{n-1}^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t_0 \leq t \leq t_{n-1} \\ 0, & t \geq t_{n-1} + 1, \quad t \leq t_0 - 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $f_k(x)$  be the Fourier transform of  $f_k^*(t)$ . Then if we choose  $\Phi$  to satisfy (2) and

$$(8) \quad \left| \int f_k(x) [\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)] dx \right| < \delta, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

then for  $t_k \notin \{\tau_k\}$ , by the same method of proof as in Theorem 1 we have

$$|\phi(t_k) - \phi_0(t_k)| < 5\delta.$$

If  $t_k \in \{\tau_k\}$  then we have

$$\phi_0(t_k - 0) - 3\delta < \int f_{k-1}^*(t) d\phi(t) \leq \phi(t_k - 0),$$

from which

$$\phi_0(t_k - 0) - \phi(t_k - 0) < 3\delta.$$

Further, for the same  $t_k$

$$\phi(t_k) - \phi(-R - 1) \leq \int f_k^*(t) d\phi(t) < \phi_0(t_k) + 2\delta,$$

from which

$$\phi_0(t_k) - \phi(t_k) > -5\delta.$$

In addition to (2) and (8) let us now pick  $\Phi \in U$  to also satisfy

$$(9) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)| dx < \delta.$$

Suppose

$$\phi_0(t_k - 0) - \phi(t_k - 0) \leq -6\delta \text{ or } \phi_0(t_k) - \phi(t_k) \geq 5\delta.$$

Then, if  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are respectively the jump of  $\phi_0(t)$  and  $\phi(t)$  at  $t_k$  we have

$$a_k - b_k \geq \delta.$$

But since

$$|a_k - b_k| \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |\Phi(x) - \Phi_0(x)| dx < \delta,$$

we get a contradiction. Therefore,

$$|\phi_0(t_k - 0) - \phi(t_k - 0)| < 6\delta,$$

and

$$|\phi_0(t_k) - \phi(t_k)| < 5\delta.$$

If we now proceed as in Theorem 1, the proof of our theorem is complete.

From this theorem we get the following corollary, which was originally proved by Dyson (3).

COROLLARY. Given  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|\Phi - \Phi_0\| < \delta_1 \text{ implies } \|\phi - \phi_0\| < \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$M = \max_k \int |f_k(x)| dx,$$

where  $\{f_k\}$  is the set in Theorem 3. Then choose  $\delta_1 = \delta/M$ , where  $\delta$  is that of Theorem 3.

In closing this paper we wish to remark that if we replace the space  $\mathcal{M}$  by the space  $\mathcal{B}$  of all functions of total bounded variation defined on the line and normalized in the same way as in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then our previous theorems can be given a meaning. We shall write down these corresponding theorems without proof and only remark that the proofs follow the pattern we have established before with only some slight modification.



THEOREM 1'. Let a continuous  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  and functions  $\{f_k\}_1^n \subset C^2$  such that

$$\left| \int f_k d[\phi - \phi_0] \right| < \delta,$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_v \leq \|\phi_0\|_v + \delta$$

implies

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\| < \epsilon.$$

THEOREM 2'. Let  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  be a step function. Then given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\max |\text{saltus} [\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)]| < \delta$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_v \leq \|\phi_0\|_v + \delta$$

implies

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\|_v < \epsilon.$$

THEOREM 3'. Let  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exist a  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{f_k\}_1^n \subset C^2$  such that

$$\left| \int f_k d[\phi - \phi_0] \right| < \delta, \quad \max |\text{saltus}[\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)]| < \delta,$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_v \leq \|\phi_0\|_v + \delta$$

implies

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\| < \epsilon.$$

In the above theorems it is of course understood that  $\phi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Bochner, *Vorlesungen über Fouriersche Integrale* (Leipzig, 1932).
2. C. Caratheodory, *Vorlesungen über reelle Funktionen* (Leipzig und Berlin, 1918).
3. F. J. Dyson, *Fourier transforms of distribution functions*, *Can. J. Math.*, 5 (1953), 554–558.
4. S. Saks, *Theory of the integral* (New York, 1937).

*Institute for Advanced Study*