

# ON GROUP RINGS

D. B. COLEMAN

**Introduction.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and let  $G$  be a group. The group ring  $RG$  is a free  $R$ -module having the elements of  $G$  as a basis, with multiplication induced by

$$G: \left( \sum_g \alpha_g g \right) \left( \sum_g \beta_g g \right) = \sum_{h,g} \alpha_h \beta_{h^{-1}g}.$$

The first theorem in this paper deals with idempotents in  $RG$  and improves a result of Connell. In the second section we consider the Jacobson radical of  $RG$ , and we prove a theorem about a class of algebras that includes  $RG$  when  $G$  is locally finite and  $R$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The last theorem shows that if  $R$  is a field and  $G$  is a finite nilpotent group, then  $RG$  determines  $RP$  for every Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , regardless of the characteristic of  $R$ .

1. For a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , let  $wH$  denote the augmented left ideal of  $H$ ; that is,  $wH$  is the left ideal in  $RG$  generated by elements  $h - 1$  for  $h \in H$ . It is easy to see that if  $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a complete set of left coset representatives for  $G$  modulo  $H$ , then the elements  $g_i(h - 1)$ , with  $i \in I$  and  $h \neq 1$ , form an  $R$ -basis for  $wH$ .

In [3] it was shown that  $wG$  is a direct summand of  $RG$  if and only if  $G$  has finite order  $n$  and  $n$  is a unit in  $R$ , i.e.,  $n \cdot 1$  has an inverse in  $R$ . It was also noted there that if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $wH$  is a direct summand, then  $H$  has finite order. We see now that in this case this order must also be a unit in  $R$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $wH$  is a direct summand of  $RG$  (as a left ideal) if and only if  $H$  has finite order  $m$ , and  $m$  is a unit in  $R$ . Moreover, in this case the right unity element of  $wH$  is unique if and only if  $H$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H$  has finite order  $m$ , and let  $H^* = \sum_H h$ . Then clearly  $1 - m^{-1}H^*$  is a right unity element for  $wH$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $wH$  has a right unity element  $e$ . Let  $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\bar{g}_i\}_{i \in I}$  be complete sets of left and right coset representatives for  $G$  modulo  $H$ , respectively. Take  $1 \in I$  and  $g_1 = \bar{g}_1 = 1$ , the identity in  $G$ . As noted above,  $H$  has finite order  $m$ . Then the elements  $H^* \bar{g}_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , form an  $R$ -basis for the right annihilator  $(wH)^r$  of  $wH$ .

---

Received November 26, 1968.

Let  $H = \{h_1 = 1, h_2, \dots, h_m\}$ , and write

$$e = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} g_i (h_j - 1); \quad \alpha_{ij} \in R, \quad j \neq 1.$$

Then  $1 - e \in (wH)^r$ , and thus

$$(1) \qquad 1 - e = \sum_i \beta_i H^* \bar{g}_i.$$

Equating coefficients in these expressions, we see that  $\beta_1 = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^m \alpha_{1j}$ . Fix  $k > 1$  and consider  $h_k - 1$ . Letting  $h_k^{-1} = h_{k'}$ , we have

$$h_k - 1 = (h_k - 1)e = \sum \alpha_{ij}(h_k - 1)g_i(h_j - 1).$$

Now equating coefficients of the identity and using (1) we have

$$-1 = \sum_{j=2}^m \alpha_{1j} + \alpha_{1k'} = (\beta_1 - 1) + \alpha_{1k'},$$

so that  $\beta_1 = -\alpha_{1k'}$ . Now

$$\beta_1 = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^m \alpha_{1j} = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^m \alpha_{1k'} = 1 - (m - 1)\beta_1;$$

thus  $m\beta_1 = 1$ . Hence  $m$  is a unit.

In case  $m$  is a unit and  $e = 1 - m^{-1}H^*$ , it is easy to see that for each  $i \in I$  and  $j = 2, \dots, m$ ,  $g_i h_j g_i^{-1} \in H$  if and only if  $eg_i(h_j - 1) = g_i(h_j - 1)$ . Since the uniqueness of a right unity is equivalent to its being a two-sided unity, the result follows. Note that the commutativity of  $R$  was not needed.

An open question is the following. If  $R$  is an integral domain, and if  $RG$  has an idempotent different from 0 or 1, is it true that  $G$  has a finite non-trivial subgroup whose order is a unit in  $R$ ?

It is of interest here that if  $G$  has finite order  $n$  and if  $n$  is a unit in  $R$ , then Maschke’s theorem holds for  $R$ -representations of  $G$ . That is, if

$$\mu(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(g) & * \\ 0 & \mu_2(g) \end{pmatrix}, \quad g \in G,$$

gives a representation of  $G$  by unimodular matrices over  $R$ , then there is a matrix  $D$  over  $R$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & D \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \mu(g) \begin{pmatrix} I & -D \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2(g) \end{pmatrix}, \quad g \in G.$$

This can be seen by an almost exact duplication of the material immediately surrounding [4, Theorem (73.22)]. Conversely, if  $n$  is not a unit in  $R$ , then Maschke’s theorem fails for  $G$ . For in this case  $wG$  does not have a  $G$ -invariant complement in  $RG$ . These remarks give a matrix analogue to [3, corollary to Theorem 3].

**2.** Let  $J(A)$  denote the Jacobson radical of a ring  $A$ .

H. K. Farahat has asked: Under what conditions does  $J(RG) = J(R)G$ ? We give some partial answers.

Again, let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$ .

A *locally finite group* is a group in which every finitely generated subgroup is finite.

**LEMMA.** *If  $G$  is locally finite, then  $J(RG) = J(R)G$  if and only if  $J(\bar{R}G) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By [3, Proposition 9],  $J(R) = J(RG) \cap R$  if  $G$  is locally finite. Hence  $J(R)G \subset J(RG)$ . Thus it suffices to notice that  $\bar{R}G = RG/J(R)G$ .

**LEMMA.** *If  $G$  is finite of order  $n$ , then  $J(RG) = J(R)G$  if and only if  $n$  is not a zero divisor in  $\bar{R}$ .*

*Proof.* By [3, Theorem 7],  $J(\bar{R}G) = 0$  if and only if  $n$  is not a zero divisor in  $\bar{R}$ . This suffices, using the previous lemma.

Note that the above condition on  $n$  and  $R$  means that every element of finite order in  $(\bar{R}, +)$  has order prime to  $n$ . This is equivalent to the condition: For every  $x \notin J(R)$ , there is a maximal ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $R$  such that  $x \notin \mathcal{A}$  and  $n \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

If every finitely generated subgroup of  $G$  has a semisimple group ring, then so does  $G$ ; see [1]. Thus the above lemmas yield the following.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a locally finite group such that no element in  $G$  has order that is a zero divisor in  $\bar{R}$ . Then  $J(R)G = J(RG)$ .*

The converse of Theorem 2 is false. For example, take  $R$  to be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 and let  $G = \langle A, \sigma \rangle$ , where  $A$  is an infinite abelian group without elements of order 2,  $\sigma^{-1}x\sigma = x^{-1}$  for  $x \in A$ , and  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . Then according to [7, Theorem 1],  $J(RG) = J(R)G = 0$ . But the condition on  $R$  fails at 2, of course.

However, we do have the following result.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(\bar{R}, +)$  is a torsion-free abelian group.
- (2)  $J(RG) = J(R)G$  for every finite group  $G$ .
- (3)  $J(RG) = J(R)G$  for every locally finite group  $G$ .

The proof is clear from the above.

Let  $K$  be a field, and let  $A$  be an algebra over  $K$ . Then  $J(A)$  is the intersection of the kernels of all the irreducible representations of  $A$  as linear transformations on vector spaces over  $K$ . If  $\mu: A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(V, V)$  is such a representation, let  $C(\mu) = \text{Hom}_A(V, V)$ , the commuting algebra of  $\mu$ . Let us define  $J^*(A) = \bigcap \text{Ker } \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is taken over those irreducible representations such that  $C(\mu)$  consists of scalar multiples of the identity. Then clearly  $J^*(A) \supset J(A)$ .

If  $K$  is algebraically closed, and if  $G$  is either an abelian or a locally finite group, then  $J^*(KG) = J(KG)$ . The first part follows from [6, Lemma 2] and the second since locally finite groups have algebraic group algebras. Complex Banach algebras also have  $J^*(A) = J(A)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose that  $K$  is a field and  $A$  and  $B$  are algebras over  $K$  such that  $J^*(A) = 0$  and  $J(B) = 0$ . Then  $J(A \otimes_K B) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_j\}$  be  $K$ -bases for  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Suppose that  $c = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} a_i \otimes b_j$ ,  $\alpha_{ij} \in K$ , is a non-zero member of  $A \otimes B$ . For convenience, label  $b_1$  so that some  $\alpha_{i1} \neq 0$ . Since  $J^*(A) = 0$ , there is an irreducible  $A$ -module  $V$  whose commuting algebra is  $K$  and such that  $(\sum \alpha_{i1} a_i)V \neq 0$ .

By a theorem of Azumaya and Nakayama [5, p. 113], if  $W$  is an irreducible  $B$ -module with commuting algebra  $C$ , then  $V \otimes W$  is an irreducible  $(A \otimes B)$ -module with commuting algebra  $C$ . Thus it suffices to show that for some irreducible  $B$ -module  $W$ , we have that  $c \cdot V \otimes W \neq 0$ .

Let  $\{x_\lambda\}$  be a  $K$ -basis for  $V$ . Put  $a_i x_\lambda = \sum_k \beta_{k\lambda}^i x_k$  for each  $i, \lambda$ . There is  $\lambda_1$  such that

$$\left( \sum_i \alpha_{i1} a_i \right) x_{\lambda_1} = \sum_k \left( \sum_i \alpha_{i1} \beta_{k\lambda_1}^i \right) x_k \neq 0.$$

Fix  $k_1$  so that  $\sum_i \alpha_{i1} \beta_{k_1\lambda_1}^i \neq 0$ . Let  $\rho_j = \sum_i \alpha_{ij} \beta_{k_1\lambda_1}^i$ ; note that  $\rho_1 \neq 0$ .

Choose an irreducible  $B$ -module  $W$  so that  $(\sum_j \rho_j b_j)W \neq 0$ . Let  $\{y_\mu\}$  be a  $K$ -basis for  $W$  and fix  $\mu_1$  such that

$$\left( \sum_j \rho_j b_j \right) y_{\mu_1} \neq 0.$$

Put  $b_j y_{\mu_1} = \sum_\nu \gamma_\nu^j y_\nu$  for each  $j$ . We claim that  $c \cdot x_{\lambda_1} \otimes y_{\mu_1} \neq 0$ . For suppose not; then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c \cdot x_{\lambda_1} \otimes y_{\mu_1} = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} (a_i x_{\lambda_1}) \otimes (b_j y_{\mu_1}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \left( \sum_k \beta_{k\lambda_1}^i x_k \right) \otimes \left( \sum_\nu \gamma_\nu^j y_\nu \right) = \sum_{k,\nu} \left( \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{k\lambda_1}^i \gamma_\nu^j \right) x_k \otimes y_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{x_k \otimes y_\nu\}_{k,\nu}$  is a basis for  $V \otimes W$ , it follows that for each pair  $k, \nu$  we have

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{k\lambda_1}^i \gamma_\nu^j = 0.$$

In particular, for each  $\nu$ ,

$$\sum_j \rho_j \gamma_\nu^j = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{k_1\lambda_1}^i \gamma_\nu^j = 0.$$

But for each  $j$ ,

$$\rho_j b_j y_{\mu_1} = \sum_\nu \rho_j \gamma_\nu^j y_\nu;$$

hence summing over  $j$ , we have

$$\left(\sum_j \rho_j b_j\right) y_{\mu_1} = \sum_\nu \left(\sum_j \rho_j \gamma_\nu^j\right) y_\nu = 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

Hence  $c \cdot V \otimes W \neq 0$ , and the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $J^*(A) = 0$  and  $J^*(B) = 0$ , then  $J^*(A \otimes_K B) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* In the proof of the theorem, take  $W$  to have trivial commuting algebra.

**3.** If  $G$  is a finite nilpotent group, and  $K$  is a field whose characteristic does not divide  $|G|$ , then  $KG$  determines  $KP$  for every Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ ; see [8; 2]. We are able to drop the condition on the characteristic of  $K$ .

$A_n$  denotes the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over a ring  $A$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $G = P \times H$ , where  $P$  is a finite  $p$ -group and  $H$  is a finite group whose order is prime to  $p$ , and let  $K$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . Then  $KG$  determines  $KP$  and  $KH$ .*

*Proof.*  $KH$  is semisimple; thus suppose that  $KH = \bigoplus \sum_i D_{n_i}^{(i)}$ , with  $D^{(i)}$  a division algebra over  $K$ . Then

$$KG \cong KP \otimes KH \cong \bigoplus \sum_i KP \otimes D_{n_i}^{(i)} \cong KP \oplus \dots \oplus KP \oplus (\sum KP \otimes D_{n_i}^{(i)}),$$

this last summation is taken over those summands with  $n_i > 1$  or  $D_i \neq K$ . Now  $KP \otimes D_{n_i}^{(i)} \cong (D^{(i)}P)_{n_i}$  as algebras over  $K$ ; thus

$$KG \cong KP \oplus \dots \oplus KP \oplus \sum (D^{(i)}P)_{n_i}.$$

Each of these  $D^{(i)}P$  is indecomposable as a direct sum of two-sided ideals. Hence  $(D^{(i)}P)_{n_i}$  is indecomposable and its dimension over  $K$  exceeds  $|P|$ . Thus  $KP$  appears as a two-sided ideal component of  $KG$  of minimal dimension over  $K$ . By the Krull-Schmidt theorem,  $KP$  is uniquely determined. Since  $KG/wP = KG/J(KG) \cong KH$ , we have that  $KH$  is uniquely determined.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are finite nilpotent groups and  $K$  is a field, then  $KG_1 \cong KG_2$  if and only if for each prime  $p$ ,  $KP_1 \cong KP_2$ , where  $P_i$  is the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .*

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *On the semi-simplicity of group algebras*, Michigan Math. J. 6 (1959), 251–253.
2. D. B. Coleman, *Finite groups with isomorphic group algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1962), 1–8.
3. I. G. Connell, *On the group ring*, Can. J. Math. 15 (1963), 650–685.
4. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras* (Wiley (Interscience), New York, 1962).
5. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 37 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1964).

6. D. S. Passman, *On the semi-simplicity of modular group algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *20* (1969), 515–519.
7. ——— *On the semisimplicity of modular group algebras. II*, Can. J. Math. *21* (1969), 1137–1145.
8. P. Perlis and G. L. Walker, *Abelian group algebras of finite order*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *68* (1950), 420–426.

*University of Kentucky,  
Lexington, Kentucky*