

*Cambridge Quarterly of
Healthcare Ethics*

*The International Journal for
Healthcare and Ethics Committees*

Volume 26, 2017

Volume Contents

Cambridge University Press



**Cambridge
Quarterly of
Healthcare
Ethics**

A Quarterly
Journal Devoted
to Engaging a
World Community
of Bioethicists

EDITOR

Thomasine Kushner, *University of California, Berkeley*
kushnertk@gmail.com

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

James Giordano, *Georgetown University Medical Center*

EDITORIAL BOARD

Yves Agid, *Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle Épinière, France*
 Jennifer Chandler, *University of Ottawa, Canada*
 Markus Christen, *University of Zurich, Switzerland*
 Joseph J. Fins, *Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York*
 Paul J. Ford, *Department of Bioethics, Cleveland Clinic*
 Grant Gillett, *University of Otago Medical School, New Zealand*
 John Harris, *University of Manchester, England*
 Stephen Hauser, *University of California, San Francisco*
 Judy Illes, *The University of British Columbia, Canada*
 Philipp Kellmeyer, *University of Freiburg Medical Center, Germany*
 Catherine Madison, *California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco*
 Christine Mitchell, *Harvard Medical School*
 Erik Parens, *The Hastings Center, Garrison, New York*
 John R. Shook, *University at Buffalo*
 Alan Yee, *California Pacific Medical Center*

The Cambridge Bioethics Education Working Groups: Connecting Bioethics Educators Around the World

The purpose of the Cambridge Bioethics Education Working Groups is to encourage people and institutions to work together on developing bioethics education in their countries. These focus groups invite others to share their information and develop mutual projects to further how bioethics is taught in universities, hospitals, and for the general public.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-quarterly-of-healthcare-ethics/cambridge-bioethics-education-working-groups>

EDITORS

Thomasine Kushner, PhD, *University of California, Berkeley*
 Steve Heilig, MPH, *San Francisco Medical Society*

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Matti Häyry, *Aalto University, Helsinki, Finland*
 Tuija Takala, *University of Helsinki, Finland*
 David C. Thomasma, PhD, Co-Editor, 1992–2002

EDITORIAL BOARD

Akira Akabayashi, *Kyoto University, Japan*
 William Andereck, *Medicine and Human Values, California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco*
 Daniel Callahan, *The Hastings Center, Garrison, New York*
 Arthur Caplan, *Division of Medical Ethics, NYU Langone Medical Center, New York*
 Andrew Dobson, *Keele University, England*
 Denise Dudzinski, *Department of Bioethics and Humanities, University of Washington School of Medicine*
 Joseph J. Fins, *Division of Medical Ethics, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York*
 Leonard M. Fleck, *Center for Ethics and Humanities, Michigan State University*
 Paul J. Ford, *Department of Bioethics, Cleveland Clinic*
 James Giordano, *Georgetown University Medical Center*
 Amnon Goldwirth, *Stanford University School of Medicine*
 John Harris, *University of Manchester, England*
 Gerrit K. Kimsma, *Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam*
 Eric M. Meslin, *Indiana University Center for Bioethics, Indianapolis*
 Christine Mitchell, *Center for Bioethics, Harvard Medical School*
 Jonathan D. Moreno, *Center for Bioethics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia*
 Rosamond Rhodes, *Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York*
 Pablo Rodriguez del Pozo, *Weill Medical College of Cornell University in Qatar*
 Doris Schroeder, *Centre for Professional Ethics, University of Central Lancashire, England*
 Robyn Shapiro, *Center for the Study of Bioethics, Medical College of Wisconsin*
 Pavel Tichtchenko, *Institute of Philosophy, Academy of Science, Moscow, Russia*
 Griffin Trotter, *Center for Health Care Ethics, Saint Louis University*
 Mark R. Wicclair, *University of Pittsburgh & West Virginia University*

Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics

Volume 26, Number 1, January 2017

CONTENTS

Contributors	1
<i>Special Section: Conscientious Objection in Healthcare: Problems and Perspectives</i>	
Guest Editorial: Conscientious Objection in Healthcare: Problems and Perspectives ALBERTO GIUBILINI AND JULIAN SAVULESCU	3
Conscientious Objection in Healthcare and Moral Integrity MARK WICCLAIR	7
Tolerance, Professional Judgment, and the Discretionary Space of the Physician DANIEL P. SULMASY	18
Conscientious Objection and "Effective Referral" ROGER TRIGG	32
My Conscience May Be My Guide, but You May Not Need to Honor It HUGH LAFOLLETTE	44
The Legal Ethical Backbone of Conscientious Refusal CHRISTIAN MUNTHE AND MORTEN EBBE JUUL NIELSEN	59
The Cost of Conscience: Kant on Conscience and Conscientious Objection JEANETTE KENNEDY	69
The Inevitability of Assessing Reasons in Debates about Conscientious Objection in Medicine ROBERT F. CARD	82
Two Concepts of Conscience and their Implications for Conscience-Based Refusal in Healthcare STEVE CLARKE	97
Conscientious Objection, Complicity in Wrongdoing, and a Not-So-Moderate Approach FRANCESCA MINERVA	109
How to Allow Conscientious Objection in Medicine While Protecting Patient Rights AARON ANCELL AND WALTER SINNOTT-ARMSTRONG	120
Conscientious Non-objection in Intensive Care DOMINIC WILKINSON	132
Refusing to Treat Sexual Dysfunction in Sex Offenders THOMAS DOUGLAS	143

Departments and Columns

Responses and Dialogue

- When the Milk of Human Kindness Becomes a Luxury
(and Untested) Good: A Reply to Harris's Unconditional
Embrace of Mitochondrial Replacement Techniques
INMACULADA DE MELO-MARTIN 159
- A Response to "Germline Modification and the Burden of
Human Existence," by John Harris (*CQ* 25(1))

- How to Welcome New Technologies: Some Comments on
the Article by Inmaculada de Melo-Martin
JOHN HARRIS 166

Perspectives

- Principles of Ethical Leadership Illustrated by Institutional
Management of Prion Contamination of Neurosurgical
Instruments
TIM LAHEY, JOSEPH PEPE, AND WILLIAM NELSON 173

Corrigendum

- The Effects of Closed-Loop Medical Devices on the Autonomy
and Accountability of Persons and Systems—CORRIGENDUM
PHILIPP KELLMAYER, THOMAS COCHRANE, OLIVER MÜLLER,
CHRISTINE MITCHELL, TONIO BALL, JOSEPH J. FINS,
AND NIKOLA BILLER-ANDORNO 180

Best Practices Guidelines for Publishing in the Bioethics Literature

Volume 26, Number 2, April 2017

CONTENTS

- Contributors 181

Special Section: Synthetic Biology: Ethical and Philosophical Challenges

- Guest Editorial: Yet Another Emerging Technology: Old and
New Questions Posed by Synthetic Biology
TUIJA TAKALA AND MATTI HÄYRY 183

- Synthetic Biology and Ethics: Past, Present, and Future
MATTI HÄYRY 186

- Consequentialism and the Synthetic Biology Problem
PATRICK HEAVEY 206

- The Bioethicist Who Cried "Synthetic Biology": An Analysis
of the Function of Bioterrorism Predictions in Bioethics
SØREN HOLM 230

- Finding Hope in Synthetic Biology
TUIJA TAKALA 239

Synthetic Biology between Self-Regulation and Public Discourse: Ethical Issues and the Many Roles of the Ethicist GARDAR ARNASON	246
Synthetic Biology: The Response of the Commission of the (Catholic) Bishops' Conferences of the European Community PATRICK HEAVY	257
Sex before the State: Civic Sex, Reproductive Innovations, and Gendered Parental Identity TIMOTHY F. MURPHY	267
<i>Departments and Columns</i>	
<i>Responses and Dialogue</i>	
Resource Allocation, Treatment, Disclosure, and Mitochondrial Replacement Techniques: Some Comments on de Melo-Martin and Harris CÉSAR PALACIOS-GONZÁLEZ	278
A Response to "When the Milk of Human Kindness becomes a Luxury (and untested) Good," by Inmaculada de Melo-Martin, and "How to Welcome New Technologies: Some Comments on Inmaculada de Melo-Martin," by John Harris (CQ 26 (1))	
From What Kind of Research Can They Dissent? Distinguishing between Biomedical and Behavioral Research in Granting Dissent and Assent to Chimpanzees Used in Experimentation MARIA BOTERO	288
A Response to "Can a Chimp Say 'No'" by Andrew Fenton (CQ 23 (2)), and "Is There a Role for Assent or Dissent in Animal Research," by Holly Kantin and David Wendler (CQ 24 (4))	
<i>Neuroethics Now</i>	
Neuroscience Fiction as Eidolá: Social Reflection and Neuroethical Obligations in Depictions of Neuroscience in Film RACHEL WURZMAN, DAVID YADEN, AND JAMES GIORDANO	292
Beyond an Open Future: Cognitive Enhancement and the Welfare of Children JENNY I. KRUTZINNA	313
<i>Bioethics and Information Technology</i>	
Introduction: Symposium on Ethical Issues in Data Science and Digital Medicine KENNETH W. GOODMAN	326
The Electronic Medical Record and the Loss of Narrative DANIEL A. MOROS	328
The Electronic Health Record and Patient Portals in HIV Medicine: Pushing the Boundaries of Current Ethics and Stigma DEMETRE C DASKALAKIS	332

Safeguarding Confidentiality in Electronic Health Records AKHIL SHENOY AND JACOB M. APPEL	337
Health Information Technology as a Universal Donor to Bioethics Education KENNETH W. GOODMAN	342
<i>Bioethics Education</i> Bioethicsing: Medical Students Engaged in Bioethics THALIA ARAWI AND DIANA MIKATI	348

Best Practices Guidelines for Publishing in the Bioethics Literature

Volume 26, Number 3, July 2017

CONTENTS

Contributors	355
<i>Special Section: Enhancement and Goodness</i>	
Enhancements: How and Why to Become Better, How and Why to Become Good	
Guest Editors: VOJIN RAKIĆ AND JOHN HARRIS*	
Enhancements: How and Why to Become Better, How and Why to Become Good VOJIN RAKIĆ	358
Is It Desirable to Be Able to Do the Undesirable? Moral Bioenhancement and the Little Alex Problem MICHAEL HAUSKELLER	365
Commentary: What Price Freedom? SARAH CHAN	377
Moral Bioenhancement and Free Will: Continuing the Debate VOJIN RAKIĆ	384
Commentary: Freedom Means Self-Awareness and Self-Control: Bioenhancement Can Help JAMES HUGHES	394
Would We Even Know Moral Bioenhancement If We Saw It? HARRIS WISEMAN	398
Commentary: Moral Bioenhancement Worthy of the Name ROBERT SPARROW	411
Imagining Moral Bioenhancement Practices: Drawing Inspiration from Moral Education, Public Health Ethics, and Forensic Psychiatry JONA SPECKER AND MAARTJE H.N. SCHERMER	415

Commentary: Reservations about the Lessons Drawn from Moral Education, Public Health Ethics, and Forensic Psychiatry BERT GORDIJN	427
Modeling the Social Dynamics of Moral Enhancement: Social Strategies Sold Over the Counter and the Stability of Society ANDERS SANDBERG AND JOAO FABIANO	431
Commentary: Modeling the Social Dynamics of Moral Enhancement while Illustrating Some Basic Divergences in the Enhancement Debate SØREN HOLM	446
Human Enhancement and the Story of Job NICHOLAS AGAR AND JOHNNY McDONALD	449
Enhancing a Person, Enhancing a Civilization: A Research Program at the Intersection of Bioethics, Future Studies, and Astrobiology MILAN M. ĆIRKOVIĆ	459
 <i>Departments and Columns</i>	
<i>The Caduceus in Court</i>	
Expert Testimony by a Bioethicist: Perspectives and Practice JOHN J. PARIS	469
<i>Neuroethics Now</i>	
More Human than Human DAVID LAWRENCE	476
<i>Bioethics Education</i>	
When Teachable Moments Become Ethically Problematic ELIZABETH DZENG	491
Simulated Mortality—We Can Do More: An Imperative for Educators ANDREW T. GOLDBERG, BENJAMIN J. HELLER, JESSE HOCHKEPPEL, ADAM I. LEVINE, AND SAMUEL DEMARIA	495
<i>Dissecting Bioethics</i>	
Profound Intellectual Disability and the Bestowment View of Moral Status SIMO VEHMAS AND BENJAMIN CURTIS	505
<i>CQ Review</i>	
Where Science and Ethics Meet: Dilemmas at the Frontiers of Medicine and Biology, by Chris Willmott and Salvador Macip REVIEWED BY THOMAS R. COLE	517
<i>Perspectives</i>	
Medical Companionship HOWARD TRACHTMAN	518

Volume 26, Number 4, October 2017

CONTENTS

Contributors	521
--------------	-----

From the Editors

Neuroethics: Cashing the Reality Check THOMASINE KUSHNER AND JAMES GIORDANO	524
--	-----

Two Hemispheres

Subdural Hematoma: From Inside and Out GRANT GILLETT	527
---	-----

Articles

Ethical and Legal Implications of the Methodological Crisis in Neuroimaging PHILIPP KELLMAYER	530
--	-----

Locked Out: Ignorance and Responsibility in Brain–Computer Interface Communication in Locked-in Syndrome VERONICA JOHANSSON, SURJO R. SOEKADAR, AND JENS CLAUSEN	555
---	-----

Do Chimeras have Minds? The Ethics of Clinical Research on a Human–Animal Brain Model BENJAMIN CAPPES	577
--	-----

Research Domain Criteria as Psychiatric Nosology: Conceptual, Practical, and Neuroethical Implications FAISAL AKRAM AND JAMES GIORDANO	592
---	-----

Pesticides: A Case Domain for Environmental Neuroethics LAURA Y. CABRERA	602
---	-----

Effaced Enigmata: When Ethics Precedes Neuroscience GRANT GILLETT	616
--	-----

Practical Implications of the Minimally Conscious State Diagnosis in Adults KAROLA V. KREITMAIR AND KATHERINE E. KRUSE	628
---	-----

Continuing the Conversation

Deep Brain Stimulation, Authenticity and Value JONATHAN PUGH, HANNAH MASLEN, AND JULIAN SAVULESCU	640
--	-----

Deep Brain Stimulation, Authenticity and Value: Further Reflections SVEN NYHOLM AND ELIZABETH O'NEILL	658
---	-----

Departments and Columns

<i>Pathographies: Voices of Illness</i> Obbligato MARK OSTEEN	671
---	-----

CN Reviews

<i>Parental Responsibility in the Context of Neuroscience and Genetics</i> , by Kristien Hens, Daniela Cutas, and Dorothee Horstkötter REVIEWED BY ARIANNA MANZINI, ROSE MORTIMER, AND ILINA SINGH	681
---	-----

<i>Ethics in Child Health: Principles and Cases in Neurodisability</i> , by Peter L. Rosenbaum, Gabriel M. Ronen, Bernard Dan, Jennifer Johannessen, and Eric Racine REVIEWED BY MICHAEL SHEVELL	686
---	-----

Responses and Dialogue

Closed-Loop Medical Devices Might Reduce Iatrogenic Loss of Autonomous Action Selection OMAR F.F. ODISH AND MARTIJN BEUDEL	688
---	-----

A Reply to “The Effects of Closed-Loop Medical Devices on the Autonomy and Accountability of Persons and Systems,” by Philipp Kellmeyer, Thomas Cochrane, Oliver Müller, Christine Mitchell, Tonio Ball, Joseph J. Fins, and Nikola Biller-Andorno (*CQ* 25(4))

A Hauntingly Familiar Scenario CATHERINE MADISON	691
---	-----

A Reply to “Dementia, Advance Directives, and Discontinuity of Personality,” by Joseph P. DeMarco and Samuel H. LiPuma (*CQ* 25(4))

<i>What Do I Do Now?</i> The Case: “I Have to Ace These Exams, or I’ll Crater Law School”	693
--	-----

Commentary: Cognitive Enhancement: Are the Claims of Critics “Good Enough”? VOJIN RAKIĆ	693
--	-----

Commentary: Care, Choice, and the Ethical Imagination FRED B. KETCHUM	698
--	-----

Commentary: Aiding or Abetting? Responding to a Request for Cognitive Enhancement	
WILLIAM S. ANDERECK	700
Commentary: Just Say “No”	
HERVÉ CHNEIWEISS	701
 <i>In Memoriam</i>	
From Bench to Bedside in Neuropsychology: Professor Glyn W. Humphreys’ Legacy	
NELE DEMEYERE	705

Best Practices Guidelines for Publishing in the Bioethics Literature

GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

SCOPE OF THE JOURNAL. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* is designed to serve as an international forum for addressing the increasingly complex challenges of biology, medicine, and healthcare. As a journal committed to expanding the community of bioethicists worldwide, CQ welcomes well-argued papers from a variety of methodological and normative viewpoints.

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPTS. Papers submitted to CQ should be sent as electronic copy, preferably in MS Word, and should contain in the following order: title page, text, references. Manuscripts typically should not exceed 3,500 words, including endnotes. On a separate page, supply a brief entry listing academic degrees, institutional affiliation, and current projects for a "Contributors" section that appears in each issue of CQ. More detailed guidelines follow.

Title Page: Title of the article, name of each author with institutional affiliation and complete mailing address for correspondence, plus phone and fax numbers and e-mail address. Include a short title of 45 characters or fewer to be used as a runninghead. Acknowledgments, if any, are to be provided with the submission as an unnumbered footnote. They are not to be added later with proof corrections.

References: Responsibility for accuracy and thoroughness of citations rests with the author(s). References are to be placed as endnotes following the article (not as footnotes on each page) and are to be numbered in the order of the callouts. *For multiple callouts of the same reference, each callout is to be given its own superscript arabic number; then in the Notes section a crossreference should be used, styled as in item 4 below.* The names of the first six authors of each reference item should be provided, followed by ", et al." if there are more than six authors.

References should follow the format of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors, *with the CQ modification that titles of journals and books are to be spelled out in full (except JAMA) and italicized.* Sample references in the style of the ICMJE Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals can be obtained at http://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/uniform_requirements.html. Lawyers may use their own standard style ("The Bluebook") but avoid abbreviations.

Examples of the correct CQ format follow.

1. Parker SG, Kassirer JP. Decision analysis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1987;316:250–8. or: ... 1987;316(2):250–8.
2. Beauchamp TL, Walters L, eds. *Contemporary Issues in Bioethics*, 4th ed. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth; 1994.
3. Weinstein L, Swartz MN. Pathogenic properties of invading microorganisms. In: Sodeman WA Jr, Sodeman WA, eds. *Pathologic Physiology: Mechanisms of Disease*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1974:457–72.
4. See note 2, Beauchamp, Walters 1994:431–512.
5. Mathewes-Green F. Dignity, always dignity. *World Magazine* 1995 Feb 18; available at <http://www.theologymatters.com/TMIssues/MayJun97.pdf> (last accessed 15 Jul 2007).

"Unpublished observations" and "personal communications" should not appear in the references, but should be inserted in parentheses in the text.

Quotations: Extensive quotations should be set off in a separate paragraph with double indentation. Short quotations remain in the running text, enclosed in double quotation marks. When quoting another author, always indicate the specific source page number in the corresponding endnote.

Abbreviations: Avoid abbreviations in the title. The full term for which an abbreviation stands should precede its first use in the text.

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION. Manuscript submissions should be sent to Thomasine Kushner, Ph.D. To facilitate review, manuscripts are to be submitted as electronic copy, preferably in MS Word, and addressed to: kushnerk@gmail.com. Before manuscripts can be considered for review, they must meet the standard requirements of written academic English. Author(s) should state, in a covering letter, that the material has not been previously published elsewhere nor submitted for publication elsewhere. Authors should also disclose financial or other relationships that might lead to a conflict of interest.

COPYRIGHT. Authors of accepted articles will be asked to sign a Transfer of Copyright form, transferring copyright of the article to the publisher.

Contents continued from back cover

Departments and Columns

Pathographies: Voices of Illness

Obbligato

MARK OSTEEN

671

CN Reviews

Parental Responsibility in the Context of Neuroscience and Genetics, by Kristien Hens, Daniela Cutas, and Dorothee Horstkötter

REVIEWED BY ARIANNA MANZINI, ROSE MORTIMER,
AND ILINA SINGH

681

Ethics in Child Health: Principles and Cases in Neurodisability,
by Peter L. Rosenbaum, Gabriel M. Ronen, Bernard Dan,
Jennifer Johannessen, and Eric Racine

REVIEWED BY MICHAEL SHEVELL

686

Responses and Dialogue

Closed-Loop Medical Devices Might Reduce Iatrogenic
Loss of Autonomous Action Selection

OMAR F.F. ODISH AND MARTIJN BEUDEL

688

A Reply to "The Effects of Closed-Loop Medical Devices
on the Autonomy and Accountability of Persons and
Systems," by Philipp Kellmeyer, Thomas Cochrane,
Oliver Müller, Christine Mitchell, Tonio Ball,
Joseph J. Fins, and Nikola Biller-Andorno (CQ 25(4))

A Hauntingly Familiar Scenario

CATHERINE MADISON

691

A Reply to "Dementia, Advance Directives, and
Discontinuity of Personality," by Joseph P. DeMarco
and Samuel H. LiPuma (CQ 25(4))

What Do I Do Now?

The Case: "I Have to Ace These Exams, or I'll Crater Law School" 693

Commentary: Cognitive Enhancement: Are the Claims
of Critics "Good Enough?"

VOJIN RAKIĆ

693

Commentary: Care, Choice, and the Ethical Imagination

FRED B. KETCHUM

698

Commentary: Aiding or Abetting? Responding to a Request
for Cognitive Enhancement

WILLIAM S. ANDERECK

700

Commentary: Just Say "No"

HERVÉ CHNEIWEISS

701

In Memoriam

From Bench to Bedside in Neuropsychology:

Professor Glyn W. Humphreys' Legacy

NELE DEMEYERE

705

Best Practices Guidelines for Publishing in the Bioethics Literature

CONTENTS

Contributors

521

From the Editors

Neuroethics: Cashing the Reality Check

THOMASINE KUSHNER AND JAMES GIORDANO

524

Two Hemispheres

Subdural Hematoma: From Inside and Out

GRANT GILLETT

527

Articles

Ethical and Legal Implications of the Methodological

Crisis in Neuroimaging

PHILIPP KELLMAYER

530

Locked Out: Ignorance and Responsibility in Brain-Computer
Interface Communication in Locked-in SyndromeVERONICA JOHANSSON, SURJO R. SOEKADAR,
AND JENS CLAUSEN

555

Do Chimeras have Minds? The Ethics of Clinical Research
on a Human–Animal Brain Model

BENJAMIN CAPPS

577

Research Domain Criteria as Psychiatric Nosology:
Conceptual, Practical, and Neuroethical Implications

FAISAL AKRAM AND JAMES GIORDANO

592

Pesticides: A Case Domain for Environmental Neuroethics

LAURA Y. CABRERA

602

Effaced Enigma: When Ethics Precedes Neuroscience

GRANT GILLETT

616

Practical Implications of the Minimally Conscious State
Diagnosis in Adults

KAROLA V. KREITMAIR AND KATHERINE E. KRUSE

628

Continuing the Conversation

Deep Brain Stimulation, Authenticity and Value

JONATHAN PUGH, HANNAH MASLEN, AND JULIAN SAVULESCU

640

Deep Brain Stimulation, Authenticity and Value:
Further Reflections

SVEN NYHOLM AND ELIZABETH O'NEILL

658

Contents continued